

2001 SENATE FINANCE AND TAXATION SB 2059

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2059

Senate Finance and Taxation Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 1/15/01

| Tape Number | Side A | Side B | Meter # |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| 1 | X | | 16.1-31.2 |
| | | | Angelon (Angelon Britania) de Angelo |
| | <u> </u> | | and a resource and definition of the second |
| Committee Clerk Signatur | Timelle 4 | 19. Trads | |
| | | 7 0 | and a second districts and a second districts of the control of th |

Minutes:

<u>Senator Urlacher</u>: Opened the hearing on SB 2059, relating to collection of real estate taxes and the form of a tax deed.

Charles Krueger, State Supervisor of Assessments, testified in support of the bill, explaining each section. Written testimony attached.

Senator Urlacher: What were the reasons for the 1999 actions that we're bringing back?

<u>Charles Krueger</u>: The 1999 legislation consisted of 48 sections. It took this huge, cumbersome system and streamlined it and as a result, in doing that work, a couple things were inadvertently overlooked. We want to fine-tune that 1999 legislation.

Senator Christmann: With section 5, what you want add to 57-28 actually was necessary it just that it used to be in 57-27 and we repealed that whole chapter so now you're going to stick in 28?

Charles Krueger: That's exactly right.

Page 2
Senate Finance and Taxation Committee
Bill/Resolution Number 2059
Hearing Date 1/15/01

<u>Senator Stenehjem</u>: Let's say I own a piece of real estate, is my assessed valuation the same as my taxable evaluation or are there two different amounts there? Is there a difference?

Charles Krueger: Yes there is.

<u>Senator Stenehjem</u>: If you're going off taxable values instead rather than assessed values, then my tax is going to be less on the taxable value than the assessed value. Is that correct?

Charles Krueger: Yes.

Senator Urlacher: This will build some uniformity?

<u>Charles Krueger</u>: I think it's already there but this is a holdover from 1981 when the assessed value was multiplied times .5 to come up the taxable value.

Senator Urlacher: Closed the hearing.

AMENDMENT ACTION:

Motion made by <u>Senator Wardner</u>, Seconded by <u>Senator Christmann</u>, to move amendment 18076.0100. Voice Vote taken. All in favor, amendment adopted.

COMMITTEE ACTION:

Motion was made by <u>Senator Christmann</u> for DO PASS AS AMENDED, Seconded by <u>Senator Wardner</u>. Vote was 6 yeas, 0 nay, and 0 absent or not voting. Bill carrier was <u>Senator Christmann</u>.

Prepared by the Office of State Tax Commissioner January 15, 2001

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 2059

Page 5, line 15, after "EFFECTIVE DATE." Insert "Sections 2 and 5 of this Act are effective for taxes which become due after December 31, 1999."

Page 5, line 15, replace "This" with "The remainder of this"

Renumber accordingly

Date: 1/15/c

Date:
Roll Call Vote #:

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2059

| Senate Finance and Taxation | | | | Committee | | |
|---|--------|--|-------------|--|----|--|
| Subcommittee on | | | | | | |
| Conference Committee | | | | | | |
| Legislative Council Amendment Nu | mber _ | 180 | 76.0100 | | | |
| Legislative Council Amendment Num Action Taken Move Amen | dina | / | Voice Vote) | ······································ | | |
| Motion Made By Ward | | | | lnn | | |
| Senators | Yes | No | Senators | Yes | No | |
| Senator Urlacher-Chairman Senator Wardner-Vice Chairman Senator Christmann Senator Stenehjem Senator Kroeplin Senator Nichols | | | | | | |
| Total (Yes) O Absent O Floor Assignment If the vote is on an amendment, briefly | | ing the gash derivative design region is determined. | | | | |

Date: 115/01 Roll Call Vote #: 2

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2050

| Senate Finance and Taxation | | Com | Committee | | |
|---|------|------------|-------------|-----|----|
| Subcommittee on or Conference Committee | | | | | |
| Legislative Council Amendment Num Action Taken | 1 | Imen | ded | | |
| Motion Made By Mristme | lun | Seco By | onded Wardy | UT. | |
| Senators | Yes | No | Senators | Yes | No |
| Senator Urlacher-Chairman Senator Wardner-Vice Chairman Senator Christmann Senator Stenehjem Senator Kroeplin Senator Nichols | | | | | |
| Total (Yes) | 40V) | No . | 0 | , | |

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) January 15, 2001 3:33 p.m.

Module No: SR-05-1009 Carrier: Christmann

Insert LC: 18076.0101 Title: .0200

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2059: Finance and Taxation Committee (Sen. Urlacher, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (6 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2059 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 5, line 15, replace "This" with "Sections 2 and 5 of this Act are effective for taxes that become due after December 31, 1999. The remainder of this"

Renumber accordingly

2001 HOUSE FINANCE AND TAXATION

SB 2059

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB 2059

House Finance and Taxation Committee

□ Conference Committee

Hearing Date March 7, 2001

| Tape Number | Side A | Side B | Meter # | |
|---------------------------|--------|----------|---------|--|
| 1 | X | | 5,275 | |
| | | | | |
| Committee Clerk Signature | Jan | ie Stein | | |

Minutes:

REP. AL CARLSON, CHAIRMAN Opened the hearing.

CHUCK KRUEGER, STATE SUPERVISOR OF ASSESSMENTS, STATE TAX

DEPARTMENT, Testified in support of the bill, referred to it as a housekeeping bill. See attached written testimony.

REP. DROVDAL Referred to Section 7, it is strange to see an effective date back to 1999, why is that date in there?

CHUCK KRUEGER What happened, is Section 2 and Section 5, we would like that to take effect immediately, this relates to the collection of 1999 tax on lease hold interests, and those provisions were due in the year 2000, and are now delinquent in the year 2001. In order to proceed with the collection procedures, we need to get this into effect to collect taxes for the year 1999.

REP. DROYDAL Can we pass a law for something that is long gone?

Page 2 House Finance and Taxation Committee Bill/Resolution Number SB 2059 Hearing Date March 7, 2001

<u>CHUCK KRUEGER</u> When we reviewed this, we would not have done something that was illegal.

REP. SCHMIDT Asked what the word "escheated" means.

<u>CHUCK KRUEGER</u> It means that title to the property has reverted to the state because of failure to pay the taxes.

With no further testimony, the hearing was closed.

COMMITTEE ACTION 3-7-01, TAPE #1, SIDE B, METER #4200

REP. WINRICH Made a motion for a **DO PASS**

REP. CLARK Second the motion. **MOTION CARRIED.**

12 YES 0 NO 3 ABSENT

REP. WINRICH Was given the floor assignment.

Date: 3-70/ Roll Call Vote #: 1

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB 2059

| House FINANCE & TAXAT | ION | | | _ Comi | mittee |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--|-------------|
| Subcommittee on | *************************************** | ······ | | | |
| or Conference Committee | | | | | |
| Legislative Council Amendment Nu | mber _ | | | | |
| Action Taken |)0 | | Pass | | |
| Motion Made By Rep. Lin | rich | Se | conded By Ref. Cla | лK | |
| Representatives | Yes | No | Representatives | Yes | No |
| CARLSON, AL, CHAIRMAN | | | NICHOLAS, EUGENE | | |
| DROVDAL, DAVID,V-CHAIR | 1 | | RENNER, DENNIS | // | |
| BRANDENBURG, MICHAEL | <u></u> | | RENNERFELDT, EARL | 1 | |
| CLARK, BYRON | 1 | | SCHMIDT, ARLO | 1 | |
| GROSZ, MICHAEL | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | WIKENHEISER, RAY | | |
| HERBEL, GIL | | | WINRICH, LONNY | | |
| KELSH, SCOT | 1 | | | | |
| KROEBER, JOE | 4 | | | | |
| LLOYD, EDWARD | M | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | - | | | + | , <u></u> , |
| | - | | | 1 | <u> </u> |
| | | | | + | |
| | | | | | |
| Total (Yes) | | No | _ | | |
| | | | ′ 0 | | |
| | 2 | | | | |
| Absent | <u>J</u> | | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | - |
| loor Assignment Ken. W. | 1 | _ | | | |
| loor Assignment Kup. | DALCA | | | Mary on particular Property | |
| the vote is on an amendment, briefly | v indicate | e intent | <u>!</u> | | |

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 7, 2001 1:32 p.m.

Module No: HR-39-5024 Carrier: Winrich Insert LC:. Title:.

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2059, as engrossed: Finance and Taxation Committee (Rep. Carlson, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (12 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 3 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed SB 2059 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2001 TESTIMONY

SB 2059

Testimony Before the Senate Finance and Taxation Committee

January 15, 2001

Senate Bill 2059

Charles Krueger, State Supervisor of Assessments

Chairman Urlacher, Committee Members: My name is Charles Krueger. I am the State
Supervisor of Assessments and the Director of the Property Tax Division of the Office of State
Tax Commissioner. I am here to explain Senate Bill 2059, a technical bill, and to propose an
amendment to Section 7. I have distributed copies of the amendment along with my testimony.

Section 1 of the bill removes obsolete language referring to assessed valuation and substitutes taxable valuation.

Section 2 reinstates the provisions for the collection of real estate taxes on leasehold or other possessory interests that were inadvertently repealed by 1999 legislation in the passage of Senate Bill 2334. That bill repealed N.D.C.C. Chapter 57-24, which contained the collection procedures. (See 1999 Session Laws Chapter 503, Section 47.)

Section 3 directs the County Auditor to forward all abatement applications to either the City Auditor or Township Clerk within five business days after the filing date.

Section 4 amends N.D.C.C. Section 57-28-07, eliminating some of the outdated language in the statute relating to the form used in the notice for publication.

Section 5 reinstates the form used in tax deed proceedings for transferring title on escheated property from the state to the county. This provision was inadvertently repealed by 1999 legislation in the passage of Senate Bill 2334 that repealed N.D.C.C. Chapter 57-27. (See 1999 Session Laws Chapter 503, Section 47.)

Section 6 amends N.D.C.C. Section 57-45-11, eliminating some outdated terms and language that are no longer in use.

Finally, Section 7 establishes an effective date for the legislation. The proposed amendment makes sections 2 and 5 of this Act effective for taxes which become due after December 31, 1999.

This concludes my testimony. I will respond to any questions you may have about this bill.

Testimony Before the House Finance and Taxation Committee

March 7, 2001

Senate Bill 2059

Charles Krueger, State Supervisor of Assessments

Chairman Carlson, Committee Members: My name is Charles Krueger. I am the State Supervisor of Assessments and the Director of the Property Tax Division of the Office of State Tax Commissioner. I am here to explain Senate Bill 2059, a technical bill, and ask for your support.

Section 1 of the bill removes obsolete language referring to assessed valuation and substitutes taxable valuation.

Section 2 reinstates the provisions for the collection of real estate taxes on leasehold or other possessory interests that were inadvertently repealed by 1999 legislation in the passage of Senate Bill 2334. That bill repealed N.D.C.C. Chapter 57-24, which contained the collection procedures. (See 1999 Session Laws Chapter 503, Section 47.)

Section 3 directs the County Auditor to forward all abatement applications to either the City Auditor or Township Clerk within five business days after the filing date.

Section 4 amends N.D.C.C. Section 57-28-07, eliminating some of the outdated language in the statute relating to the form used in the notice for publication.

Senate Bill 2059 Testimony of Charles Krueger Page 2

Section 5 reinstates the form used in tax deed proceedings for transferring title on escheated property from the state to the county. This provision was inadvertently repealed by 1999 legislation in the passage of Senate Bill 2334 that repealed N.D.C.C. Chapter 57-27. (See 1999 Session Laws Chapter 503, Section 47.)

Section 6 amends N.D.C.C. Section 57-45-11, eliminating some outdated terms and language that are no longer in use.

Finally, Section 7 establishes an effective date for the legislation.

This concludes my testimony. I will respond to any questions you may have about this bill.