

2001 SENATE EDUCATION

SB 2080

#### ı

#### 2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

#### BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB 2080

Senate Education Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 01/15/01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	X		0 - 25.4
		X	0 - 3.0
I (01-17-Ú1)	X		49.0 - end
		X	0 - 7.6
Committee Clark Signatur	- A 1	Johnson	
Committee Clerk Signatu	ic man	VI MILLION	

Minutes: CHAIRMAN FREBORG called the committee to order.

Roll call was taken with all members present.

CHAIRMAN FREBORG called the hearing on SB 2080 which relates to an exemption from the Administrative Agencies Practice Act for the state board for vocational and technical education; and relates to rulemaking authority of the state board for vocational and technical education.

#### Testimony in support of SB 2080:

RITA WILHELMI, a member of the State Board for Vocational and Technical Education (SBVTE), testified in support of SB 2080. (see attached testimony)

SENATOR KELSH asked if SBVTE had ever used the rulemaking authority given in chapter 28-32. She stated that policies have been established by SBVTE and the rules in 28-32 have agreed with the policies. This could be interpreted as establishing policies out of line.

SENATOR COOK asked if it was the 1997 Session that changes were made which required the SBVTE to establish rules under chapter 28-32. LeaAnn Schneider, AG - atty. for SBVTE,

Page 2
Senate Education Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB 2080
Hearing Date 01/15/01

stated the state board has never adopted rules through 28-32. The 1997 session set requirements for DPI to adopt rules of which SBVTE is not a part. SEN. COOK asked for the difference between a rule and a policy and what effect it has. She stated it is important to know if a rule is passed pursuant to 28-32. This is from the Administrative Agency Practices Act, which is the formal rulemaking process. This requires drafting the rules, sending out the notices, having a hearing, getting feedback in written form, commenting on the feedback in documented form, getting approval from the AG office as to the draft rules, file with the Legislative Council, and the following month the rules would become effective. In the case of SBVTE they go through the process of having feedback at their meetings. SEN. CHRISTENSON asked her to discuss the ramifications of a group that has exempted themselves from this policy. The significance is that you don't have to go through the formal process of rule making. Policies are not filed with the Legislative Council, and are kept in house with the agencies.

SENATOR COOK asked LEAANN SCHNEIDER to discuss any rules involved in notification of third party. The Board is not governed under the rules in 28-32, but they do give notice regularly to the Voc/Ed director and anyone interested. SENATOR COOK asked if there was a danger of someone who would be affected by the policies not being given notice. He feels it is important for the legislature to know what the process is for notification of third parties. The Board has authority under NDCC to adopt rules but has chosen not to but would rather make policies. She knows of no third party who is dissatisfied. The main purpose of the rules is to remove the process of 28-32 ruling which is not used by the Board.

WAYNE KUTZER, State Director of SBVTE, stated the information abort Board meetings is sent to all state agency heads, posted on their web site, and sent to all vocational directors. The biggest issue they have is in funding and budgetary concerns. The Board needs to have

Page 3
Senate Education Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB 2080
Hearing Date 01/15/01

flexibility. SENATOR KELSH asked what the main reason is for the exemption. He stated the main issue is funding and the ability to deal with the funding rates on an annual basis.

SENATOR KELSH asked if the Board would be required to do anything different if this bill does not pass. LEA ANN SCHNEIDER replied the Board has been advised to follow the 28-32 rules when there are third parties involved. SEN. COOK asked if there is any way a school district can challenge a rule or policy of the Board. She stated in some instances the Board is required to make rules and those are under section 28-32. She also knows of no time where they have been challenged and feels this shows their willingness to work with third parties.

No Opposition.

Hearing Closed.

SENATOR COOK moved a DO NOT PASS on SB 2080. SENATOR FLAKELL seconded.

Roll Call Vote: 2 YES. 5 NO. 0 Absent. Motion Failed.

01-15-01 Tape 1, Side B, 0 - 3.0

LEA ANN SCHNEIDER discussed what is in law (NDCC) as to what the duties of the Board are. She feels the law suggests the Board should have rules (sec. 28-32) governing area Vo/Tech centers. The law states the Board has power and duty over post secondary institutions and therefore should have 28-32 rules over them. She does have concerns on the enforcement of these.

Bill to be held for further discussion.

01-17-01 Tape 1, Side A, 49.0 - end; Side B, 0 - 7.6.

SENATOR KELSH presented proposed amendments to SB 2080. (see attached proposed amendments). He also presented a list of post-secondary institutions. (see attached). The

Page 4
Senate Education Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB 2080
Hearing Date-01/15/01

1,1,0,

amendments do not remove the rulemaking authority requirements from post secondary educational institutions. Present law gives the vocational board authority to hold 28-32 hearings on these institutions listed. He feels it is important to put post-secondary schools back under the authority of 28-32.

SENATOR KELSH moved the amendments. Seconded by SENATOR CHRISTENSON.

Discussion followed on the amendments.

ROLL CALL VOTE: 7 Yes. 0 No. 0 Absent. Motion Carried.

SENATOR WANZEK moved a DO PASS AS AMENDED. Seconded by SENATOR KELSH.

SENATOR COOK stated he wants to be sure third parties have due process for being heard and is not sure this bill gives that assurance. SENATOR KELSH stated the board meets every month and those parties who are interested can attend and voice their concerns. More discussion.

Roll Call Vote: 5 Yes. 2 No. 0 Absent. Motion Carried.

Carrier: SENATOR KELSH



### Requested by Legislative Council 12/1 1/2000

Bill/Resolution No.:

\$5,2080

Amendment to:

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

***************************************	1999-200	Biennium	2001-200	3 Biennium	2003-200	5 Biennium
is distributed by representation of the control of	General Fund	Other Funds	Goneral Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues	7 May 1 May	manufactured descriptions can find as as of the second rates. [An	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	The state of the s	production of the second second second second	
Expenditures						
Appropriations		the subset of the said of the	yen Militar patrille if it has a fire thereof any product of the appropriate of the	and the second s	and the combination to the state of the contract of the combination of	

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

1993-2001 Blennium			200	1-2003 Siem	าในเห	500	3-2005 Bleni	กไมเก
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
		ye direntana aya sa mana ya sa marana aya sa sa da ayan aya sa sa				- participation of the second of the second	A C D S ALIQUIA DE AMAZINE S ; 4 SPORT S	Andread American Control of the Cont

2. Meredive: Identify the expects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

There is no Fiscal impact on this bill.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
  - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.
  - B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.
  - C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when suprepriete, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Wayne Kutzer	Agency:	State Board for Vocational Technical
			Education
Phone Number:	328 2259	Date Prepared:	01/09/2001



Date: / - /5 - 0/ Roll Call Vote #: /

# 2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2080

Senate Education				_ Comi	mittee
Subcommittee on		····			
Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nur	mber				
Action Taken Do Not	fas.				
Motion Made By Len. Cook.		Se By	conded In Flakel	<u> </u>	er Alleggereppe of Telephonesis
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Senator Freborg - Chairman		レ	Senator Christenson		V
Senator Flakoll - Vice Chairman	V		Senator Kelsh		V
Senator Cook	V		Senator O'Connell		1
Senator Wanzek		V			
**************************************	-				
- Marienten ( Marienten A. Arabitenten material between places upon the large translation or a particular solution and construction of the large translation of the large t					
A. stadenius tamas Paulanas Silvardis - Natura anti-aranda marina de aguaça probabilistica de la terrapa i cirina batar batar de la composita				-	
				-	
programming the programming and a tributer in the printed it is surprogramme, a printed and control of the printed in the prin		<del>                                      </del>			
property, they don't have been described to the second of the property of the second o	-				<u> </u>
Annual control of the United Annual Control of State Control of the Control of State Contro	<del>  </del>			<del> </del>	
Total (Yes)		No	3		
Paragraphical mentalogical control to the Control of the Control o	to the second profession days		<u>ad 600 - 4 400-1444, 1944-1945, 1954-1954, 1944-1944, 1944-1944, 1944-1944, 1944-1944, 1944-1944, 1944-1944, 19</u>	pipe in the contract of the same tree	- Marie de la Company
Absent	<del>Orde d'accons</del> a place (12) a chimid d	harradag idi papin Musika	ap - may ny g Admidistrat the e-pay-pay like physicistic behaved the second the second to be set of the second the	»، <u>ايلاملند،</u> واستخدوس رحد و وا	
Floor Assignment	100 100 - 10	Tare	led	والمراجع والمراجع المراجع المراجع	-
If the vote is on an amendment, brieff			,		

#### PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 2080

- Page 2, line 6, remove the overstrike over "rules"
- Page 2, line 7, remove the overstrike over "and"
- Page 3, line 29, remove the overstrike over "rules" remove the overstrike over "promulgated", and remove "policies adopted"
- Page 3, line 30, remove the overstrike over "Fromulgate", remove "Adopt", remove the overstrike over "rules", remove "policies", and overstrike "and procedures"
- Page 4, line 12, remove the overstrike over "rules", remove "policies", and overstrike "adopted" and insert immediately thereafter "promulgated"
- Page 4, line 17, remove the overstrike over "rules"
- Page 4, line 18, remove "policies"
- Page 4, line 22, remove the overstrike over "rules" and remove "policies"
- Page 5, line 3, after "to" insert "postsecondary educational institutions under chapter 15-20.4 and"

Renumber accordingly

Date: /-/7-01
Roll Call Vote #: / - comendment

### 2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2080

Senate Education				Com	mittee
Subcommittee on					
or					
Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nu					
Action Taken Do Fas	s a	126.20	dments (prop	OHG	()_
Motion Made By	ish_	Se By	conded Sin Chris	tens	116
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Senator Freborg - Chairman			Senator Christenson	W	
Senator Flakoll - Vice Chairman	W		Senator Kelsh	V	
Senator Cook	V	<u> </u>	Senator O'Connell	V	
Senator Wanzek					
Total (Yes) 7		No	0		
				·Maria in companya in ha	
Floor Assignment	<del></del>	n de like in St. dill die der George gewester der George gewester der George gewester der George gewester der G	numanan jumaka den 1989 nga pagamaka dan kapapan da 1980 nga pagama da 1980 nga pagama da 1980 nga pagama da 1	The latest the second seco	the part of the state of the st
institutions back i	y indicat	e inten	to put post sur	conda 28-3	rry
w/www.mj	• •	-	10		

Date: 1-17-01
Roll Call Vote #: 2

## 2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 。 えつ らつ

Senate Education	·····			Comi	mittee
Subcommittee on					
Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nur	nber				
•		, <u>C</u>	(mended		
Motion Made By Skin, Wa	nze	E By	econded  Jen . )	Klsk	, 
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Senator Freborg - Chairman	V		Senator Christenson	I	
Senator Flakoll - Vice Chairman		V	Senator Kelsh	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
Senator Cook		1	Senator O'Connell	V	
Senator Wanzek	V				
and the state of t					
					<del></del>
				]	
					,,,
Total (Yes) 5		No	2	NAMANANIA (PROPERTY) A AMAZINIA KAN YA AMAZINIA KANI KANI KANI KANI KANI KANI KANI	
Absent				المراجعة والمراجعة والمراج	L
Floor Assignment den -	X	lst	<u> </u>	NA HARRING PRIVIS RACE AND WILL AS A COMMENT	
If the vote is on an amendment, briefl	v indicat	e inten	D.		

Module No: SR-08-1186

Carrier: Kelsh Insert LC: 18061.0101 Title: .0200

#### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2080: Education Committee (Sen. Freborg, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (5 YEAS, 2 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2080 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 2, line 6, remove the overstrike over "rules"

Page 2, line 7, remove the overstrike over "and"

Page 3, line 29, remove the overstrike over "rules" and remove "policies"

Page 3, line 30, remove the overstrike over "rules", remove "policies", and overstrike "and procedures"

Page 4, line 12, remove the overstrike over "rules" and remove "policies"

Page 4, line 17, remove the overstrike over "rules"

Page 4, line 18, remove "policies"

Page 4, line 22, remove the overstrike over "rules" and remove "policies"

Page 5, line 3, after "to" insert "postsecondary educational institutions under chapter 15-20.4 and"

Renumber accordingly

2001 HOUSE EDUCATION

SB 2080

#### 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

#### **BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB2080**

House Education Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 03/13/01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
#1	X		120 to 1817
#1		X	3655 to 4170
			and the same of th
Committee Clerk Signatu	ire (.//	Illus.	

Minutes:

Chairman R. Kelsch, Vice-Chair T. Brusegaard, Rep. Bellew, Rep. Grumbo, Rep. Haas, Rep. Hanson, Rep. Hawken, Rep. Hunskor, Rep. Johnson, Rep. Meier, Rep. Mueller, Rep. Nelson. Rep. Nottestad, Rep. Solberg, Rep. Thoreson

Chairman Kelsch: We will now open the hearing on SB2080.

Wayne Kutzer: (State Board for Vocational and Technical Education) \*Please refer to written testimony\*

Rep. Nelson: How do you allow the private citizens of the state be able to participate in this?

Kutzer: The interested third parties are vocational programs. All those directors are served by school boards, and through that process of the director being notified, in turn, the school board and public are notified anytime that there needs to be something addressed in terms of policy set. In terms of funding.

Page 2
House Education Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB2080
Hearing Date 03/13/01

Rep. Hawken: It's more like a school board in that it sets policy, would that be an accurate statement?

Kutzer: Yes.

Rep. Hawken: So, the rulemaking authority actually, we don't want to set unrealistic rules that would affect the liability.

<u>Kutzer:</u> Yes. The chief reason we started the process was in terms of funding rates, so we could have the ability to set those rates.

Rep. Brusegaard: You've already set rates in the past, why do you need to be exempt now?

Kutzer: Maybe our attorney can answer that more clearly, but since the legislation has been unclear, our attorney has advised us that we needed to establish rules.

Rep. Nottestad: Would this have anything to do with the fact that DPI has been asked to go provide ones that are rulemaking processes as well?

Kutzer: No, not at all.

Leann Schneider: (Legal Council for the State Board for Vocational and Technical Education)

The law isn't very clear as to when the State Board should make a rule. There are six areas. The first area is for persons engaged in the training in vocational education teachers. State law requires that the state board have rules on that subject, but the board has never delved into that area, it's the university system that really deals with that and has never had rules in that area, and I think removing rulemaking there would just make sense, because it's not something the board does. The second area is area vocational and technology centers. The law appears to require rulemaking for the establishment and dissolution of area vocational and technology centers.

Apparently, in the 70s when these area voc and tech centers were first created and there has been

Page 3
House Education Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB2080
Hearing Date 03/13/01

no created and maybe one dissolution since then. The board has never had rules regarding creation or dissolution or anything relating to that. The third area is in the area of policy and rules for post secondary education. Approval of post secondary education institutions to operate in this state. The original bill in the Senate had exempted the state board from all rulemaking. the Senate was concerned about that rulemaking for post secondary institutions that it be done through rulemaking, so the bill you have before you requires rulemaking in that area. The other three areas which the law doesn't address at all really is for distribution of funding, cooperative arrangement between schools for vocational education services and teacher certification requirements. There's nothing in the law that requires rulemaking in that area at all, but I have consistently advised state boards that when they are implementing laws that affect third parties, that they should be doing it through the rulemaking process, otherwise we are trying to enforce those rules and it's a question whether they're effective, whether they have effective law, so I advise the board that even their funding process should be done through rulemaking. The question about DPI. State Board for Vocational and Technical Education is a separate agency than DPI, so all that requirements for DPI rulemaking doesn't apply to SBVTE.

Rep. Nottestad: This bill asks that the certification for voc tech not be involved in rulemaking.

Can you give me a good reason why one should be and one shouldn't be?

<u>Schneider:</u> That's true, and I'm not the best person to go into that, because I'm not familiar with the details.

Rep. Nelson: How many boards that you're aware of that are exempt from the rules process?

Schneider: They're specifically listed in 20-32-01.

Page 4
House Education Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB2080
Hearing Date 03/13/01

Rep. Haas: If we leave out post secondary educational institutions, would this bill cause the state board do anything different than it has been doing?

<u>Schneider:</u> Yes, what it would do that it has not been doing is go through the 28-32 ruling process the policy they currently have for when they approve post secondary educational institutions will need to go through the 28-32 process.

Rep. Haas: But in other areas of board policy and administrative...whatever the state board does, things essentially would be done that is being done now.

Schneider: Yes.

Chairman Kelsch: We will now close the hearing on SB2080.

Chairman Kelsch: We will take up SB2080. What are the wishes of the committee?

Rep. Hawken: I move a DO PASS.

Rep. Meier: Second.

Chairman Kelsch: Committee discussion.

The motion of DO PASS passes with 12 YAY 2 NAY 1 ABSENT

Floor Assignment: Rep. Nelson

Date: 3 13 01
Roll Call Vote #: 1

# 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 582080

House House Education	**			_ Com	mittee
Subcommittee on		-			-
or Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nun	nber _		namen daga and the control of the co		alandhad wwwd i bys gy
Action Taken Do Pass	 >	·		وو والمناشق مي الاستان المساجع الي	
Motion Made By Rep. Haw	Len	Se	conded By Rep. M	ells	rain shadd ynd bhysiagain
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman-RaeAnn G. Keisch			Rep. Howard Grurnbo	1	
V. Chairman-Thomas T. Brusegaard	1		Rep. Lyle Hanson	レ	
Rep. Larry Bellew		1	Rep. Bob Hunskor	V	
Rep. C.B. Haas	1		Rep. Phillip Mueller		
Rep. Kathy Hawken	اسما		Rep. Dorvan Solberg	اسا	
Rep. Dennis E. Johnson	1.				
Rep. Lisa Meier	1				
Rep. Jon O. Nelson	1/				
Rep.Darrell D. Nottestad		V			
Rep. Laurel Thoreson					
Total (Yes) 12		No	2	PARTIES DE PARTIES DE LE COMPANIE DE	
Absent /		<u> </u>	es form material lighting sold and a confidence of the confidence	alälliggepennikepyyydd -vil syglydyid	·
Floor Assignment Rup		150	W	علاده خوارد المراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة	<del> </del>
f the vote is on an amendment, briefly	/ indicate	e intent	<b>!</b>		

### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 13, 2001 12:28 p.m.

Module No: HR-43-5443 Carrier: Nelson Insert LC: . Title: .

#### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2080, as engrossed: Education Committee (Rep. R. Kelsch, Chairman) recommends NO PASS (12 YEAS, 2 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed SB 2080 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2001 TESTIMONY

SB 2080

TESTIMONY ON SB2080 Monday, January 15, 2001 Prepared by Rita Wilhelmi

Chairman Freborg and members of the committee, my name is Rita Wilhelmi. I am a member of the State Board for Vocational and Technical Education (SBVTE).

The State Board for Vocational and Technical Education asks to be exempted from chapter 28-32 rulemaking requirements.

Our Board has a procedure in place that provides an opportunity for a third party to make and submit comments, before the SBVTE, on any matters including funding, cooperative arrangements, teacher certification, and private postsecondary institutions. We have never found it necessary to adopt rules under chapter 28-32.

The SBVTE is a nine member board, six members are appointed by the governor and three are state officers. The six members are appointed from each of the six judicial districts in the state, two of them must be members of the North Dakota School Boards Association. The three state officers are the superintendent of public instruction, the commissioner of higher education or designee, and the executive director of job service or designee.

The SBVTE already follows the procedures and process that ensures that an affected third party will have an opportunity to provide comment on any matter. We have regularly scheduled monthly meetings that are scheduled a year in advance, notices and agendas of every meeting are sent out to all vocational directors, interested parties, and the media.

As an example, our board establishes funding rates to reimburse schools and each biennium and sometimes annually, we need to adjust those rates in order to stay within our legislative appropriation. When we put together draft rules that the SBVTE the ability to adjust funding rates, we were told by our attorney that, in her opinion, the rules would need to be more specific.

Another area is cooperative agreements. Cooperative agreement policies set the funding rates for schools and are approved by the board on an annual basis, at the monthly board meetings again with notices, agendas, and allowances for public comment at those meetings.

Two other areas are in teacher certification and private postsecondary institutions. In either area, policies are set by the board at our monthly meetings. We have never had any concerns brought forward as to either the policies or the procedure in place to set those policies.

On behalf of the State Board for Vocational Technical Education I ask that you do exempt our board from rulemaking authority.

### POSTSECONDARY EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS AUTHORIZED TO OPERATE

in the State of North Dakota by the
North Dakota State Board for Vocational and Technical Education
School Year 2000-2001

#### PRIVATE/POSTSECONDARY INSTITUTIONS

AAKER'S BUSINESS COLLEGE 4012 19th Ave. S.W. Fargo, ND 58103

COMPUTERLAND OF BISMARCK 1726 East Main Avenue Bismarck, ND 58501

GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY INC. 928 17<sup>th</sup> St. N.E. P.O. Box 594 MANDAN, ND 58554-0594

CENTRAL MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY College of Extended Learning 131 Rowe Hall Mount Pleasant, MI 48859-0001

EMBRY-RIDDLE AERONAUTICAL UNIVERSITY
College of Career Education
600 South Clyde Morris Boulevard
Daytona Beach, FL 32114-3900

GALLAUDET UNIVERSITY
Extension Programs
College for Continuing Education
Kendall Green
800 Florida Avenue NE
Washington, DC 20002-3695

PARK UNIVERSITY
School for Extended Learning
8700 NW River Park Drive
P.O. Box 68
Parkville, MO 64152-3795

SAIN JOSEPH'S COLLEGE Distance Education Program 278 White's Bridge Road Standish, ME 04084-5263

WESTERN SEMINARY
Department of External Studies
5511 SE Hawthorne Boulevard
Portland, OR 97215-3399

INSTITUTE OF MYOTHERAPEUTIC STUDIES 1303 East Central Avenue Bismarck, ND 58501

THE PROFESSIONAL INSTITUTE OF MASSAGE THERAPY 4235 13TH Avenue, SW PO Box 227 Fargo, ND 58103

SISTER ROSALIND GEFRE SCHOOL OF PROFESSIONAL MASSAGE 1519 1<sup>ST</sup> AVE SE #A Fargo, ND 58103-1501

# TESTIMONY ON SB2080 Prepared for the House Education Committee Tuesday, March 13, 2001 Prepared by Dr. Charles Brickner

Madam Chair and members of the committee, my name is Charles Brickner. I am chairman of the State Board for Vocational and Technical Education.

SB2080 as amended by the Senate asks that the State Board for Vocational and Technical Education (State Board) be exempted from chapter 28-32 rulemaking requirements" except with respect to postsecondary educational institutions". That amendment is on page 5, section 11 lines 3 through 5.

The State Board is a nine-member board, six members are appointed by the governor and three are state officers. The six members are appointed from each of the six judicial districts in the state, two of them must be members of the North Dakota School Boards Association. The three state officers are the superintendent of public instruction, the commissioner of higher education or designee, and the executive director of job service or designee.

The State Board has always been receptive to public comment and follows a procedure and process that ensures that an affected third party will have an opportunity to provide comment on any matter including funding, cooperative arrangements, teacher certification, and private postsecondary institutions. We have regularly scheduled monthly meetings the third Monday of every month. They are scheduled a year in advance and notices and agendas of every meeting are sent out to all vocational directors, any interested parties, all state agency heads, and the media. Because we follow this process we feel that we are allowing all comments to be made and that we are more

responsive and fiscally responsible to all parties than if we went through the rule making process. The century code that governs our board is not very clear regarding when rulemaking is required. Also, in those areas where rulemaking appears to be required, it also seems not to be necessary, except in the area of private postsecondary institutions. Our attorney is here and will provide further comment on the state laws regarding rulemaking by the State Board. The State Board has never adopted rules under chapter 28-32.

Our board establishes funding rates to reimburse schools, and each biennium and sometimes annually we need to adjust those rates in order to stay within our legislative appropriation. Drafting rules that included funding rates would dramatically affect how our board could manage the agency. When we tried to put together draft rules "that gave the State Board the ability to adjust funding rates", our attorney told us that, in her opinion, the rules would need to be specific as to the rates. This would severely limit our responsiveness to financial affairs of the agency. We do discuss funding rates at our board meetings and we do have a room full of interested parties who provide comment on those rates.

Another area is cooperative arrangements. Cooperative arrangement policies again set the funding rates for schools and are approved by the board on an annual basis at the monthly board meetings, again with notices, agendas, and allowances for public comment at those meetings.

Another area is teacher certification. In this area, the board at our monthly nicetings accepts public comment and sets policies. Again notices of our meeting are sent out to all vocational directors, any interested parties, all state agency heads, and the

media. We have never had any concerns raised as to either the policies regarding teacher certification or the procedure in place to set those policies.

Two other areas are the qualifications of vocational teacher educators and area vocational and technology centers. The State Board has never established policies in either of these areas. The qualifications for vocational teacher educators are determined by the teacher education programs at those universities. The six area vocational and technology centers were established in the early 1970s. Again, we have never needed any rules regarding the operation of the area centers.

On behalf of the State Board for Vocational Technical Education I ask that you do pass Engrossed SB2080.

I would be glad to answer any questions.