

2001 SENATE GOVERNMENT AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

SB 2084

٠

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB 2084

Senate Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 19, 2001

		were a long and a second of the second se
X		36.1-End
	X	0.0-11.9
, I	X	12.5-13.4
		X X

Minutes: <u>Chairman Krebsbach</u> called the committee back to order and opened the hearing on SB 2084 which relates to computation of benefits, post retirement adjustments, and beneficiaries under the highway patrolmen's retirement system; and to provide an effective date. Appearing before the committee was <u>Deb Knudsen</u> Manager of Benefits Planning and Research with PERS, who presented testimony in behalf of Sparb Collins, Executive Director of PERS. A copy of Mr. Collins written testimony is attached. <u>Senator T. Mathern</u> Are the five options under section 1 of this bill available to the other public employees in the other PERS program? <u>Ms. Knudsen</u> indicated they are. Actually steps have been taken to make this purchase provision more available. <u>Chairman Krebsbach</u> indicated that this brings the highway patrol system to 90% with 25 years of service. Say someone starts at the age of 25 with the patrol and age 50 they would have 90% of their final salary, is this correct? Ms. Knudsen, assuming they've got the rule of 80 they would be able to leave with full benefits. The rule of 80 still applies. They would be able to leave but with a reduction of benefits because of the rule of 80. Senator Dever

Page 2 Senate Government and Veterans Affairs Committee Bill/Resolution Number SB 2084 Hearing Date January 19, 2001

inquired about social security and it's affect on other retirees in the other PERS program. In the main system if an employee retires at age 55 then they 64% instead of 90%. Ms. Knudsen indicated that they would receive a 60% reduction in their benefits if they had not reached the rule of 80. Appearing before the committee in support of SB 2084 was Colonel Jim Hughes, Superintendent of the North Dakota Highway Patrol. He indicated in his testimony that he stands in support of SB 2084. The NP Highway Patrol has a pay and benefits committee and they have reviewed the bill and recommand it's favorable passage. He then proceeded to talk to the committee about the North Dakota Highway Patrol including the areas of recruitment, retention, and retirement. Chairman Krebsbach inquired of the patrol had any difficulty filling positions. <u>Colonel Hughes</u> indicated they have no difficulty. ______ or <u>C. Nelson</u> asked Colonel Hughes to describe how young people become patrolmen. <u>Colonel Hughes</u> explained the process to the committee. Senator Kilzer inquired about immunizations for members of the highway patrol. Colonel Hughes indicated that there are all kinds of opportunities for vaccinations for employees of the patrol. No one is forced to take them, however they are all offered the opportunity to take them. Other questions were offered by Senators Dever, T. Mathern, and C. Nelson. The fiscal note on the bill was read to the committee. There was nothing further on the bill. Chairman Krebsbach closed the hearing on SB 2084. A motion for a Do Pass on SB 2084 was made by <u>Senator T. Mathern</u>, seconded by <u>Senator Dever</u>. Roll Call Vote indicated 6 Yeas, 0 Nays, and 0 Absent or Not Voting. <u>Scnator T. Mathern</u> will carry the bill.

.

FISCAL NOTE Requested by Legislative Council 12/14/2000

Bill/Resolution No.: SB 2084

Amendment to:

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1999-2001 Biennium		2001-2003 Blennium		2003-2005 Biennium		
area May badan site. If it applied function with a fiel on or so there are been see	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	
Revenues	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$7,600	an an ann an	\$7.600	and an all parameters in the rest of the second statements	
Exponditures						annan an tha an	
Appropriations					anna an	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

[1999-2001 Blennium		200	1-2003 Blen	nium	200	nium		
	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
-{							1		

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant your analysis.

State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

Additional revenues are the result of income and sales taxes paid by the retiree's on the additional benefit payment.

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

This bill will have no effect on state expenditures since no additional employer or employee contribution is required. The proposed benefit enhancement will be paid for with existing funds in the retirement system.

C. **Appropriations:** Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

me:	Sparb Collins	Agency: Public Employees Retirement System
none Number:	328-3901	Date Prepared: 12/20/2000

Date: //19/01 Roll Call Vote #: |

Ŗ

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB $\partial \mathcal{B}_{4}$

Senate GOVERNMENT AND V	ETERAN	N'S AF	FAIRS	Com	mittee
Subcommittee on or Conference Committee					99.955 BLUE & C. 4 ^{9.9} 94
Legislative Council Amendment N	umber _	777-212-22-2		ورون ورون ورون ورون ورون ورون ورون ورون	
Action Taken	Pass	5			
Motion Made By Sen. T.	Moth	Se ernB	conded Sen, Der	ICY	
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yeş	No
Senator Karen Krebsbach, Chr.	V		Senator Carolyn Nelson	V,	
Senator Dick Dever, Vice-Chr.			Senator Tim Mathern	\checkmark	
Senator Ralph Kilzer	V				
Senator Rich Wardner	V				
		···			
				_	
l					
Total (Yes)		No	, 0		
Absent		0		<u></u>	
Floor Assignment	Ser	M	lathern		

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) January 19, 2001 12:36 p.m.

Module No: 8R-09-1291 Carrier: T. Mathem Insert L.C: , Title: .



REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE SB 2084: Government and Veterans Affairs Committee (Sen. Krebsbach, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (6 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2084 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.



)

2001 HOUSE GOVERNMENT AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

SB 2084

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB 2084

House Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date 3/08/01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #				
2	X		0-1358				
3/09/01 (1)	Х		3176-3674				
Committee Clerk Signature Jobin S. Small							
	(•					

Minutes:

REP. M. KLEIN called the hearing to order with all members present.

In favor:

SPARB COLLINS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR NORTH DAKOTA PERS

Please see testimony.

REP. M. KLEIN asks about being a contributor. COLLINS replies that one can not receive credit

for this twice. <u>REP. M. KLEIN</u> asks what line 24 means.

In favor:

COLONEL JAMES HUGHES, SUPERINTENDENT OF THE NORTH DAKOTA HIGHWAY
PATROL

<u>HUGHES</u> is in support of this bill. States that one officer is receiving disability right now. 62% of the officers on the force right now have bachelor degrees. Only one officer left the department in the year 2000. Fifty two officers were hired since 1995.

Page 2 House Government and Veterans Affairs Committee Bill/Resolution Number SB 2084 Hearing Date 3/08/01

REP. M. KLEIN asks how does this retirement rate with other states? HUGHES replies that they

are the envy of many other states in respect to the retirement plan that North Dakota has.

<u>REP. M. KLEIN</u> asks what contribution the state makes. <u>HUGHES</u> replies that it is 16.7%.

Being there was no further testimony in favor or in opposition the hearing was closed.

Action was taken on March 9th, 2001. REP. GRANDE motioned for a DO PASS, seconded by

REP. KASPER. The roll call was taken with 15 YES, 0 NO and 0 ABSENT AND NOT

VOTING. The motion carries. The CARRIER of the bill is <u>REP. KASPER</u>.

SB 2084: DO PASS 15-0

CARRIER: <u>REP. KASPER</u>





L/RES	OLUT	TTEE ROLL CALL VOT TON NO. SB 2084		
TERA	NGAL			
	ING AI	FAIRS	Com	mitte
hau				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Q_			
	Se By	(happen)		
		<u> </u>		
Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
-V	··· ····	REP KROEBER		ļ
~		······································		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
21				,
	No	0		
		Antonio o defense interiori Matter		
		Se By Yes No V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Seconded By Hasper Yes No Representatives	Seconded By Yes No Representatives Yes Yes <th< td=""></th<>

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 9, 2001 11:01 a.m.



REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2084: Government and Veterans Affairs Committee (Rep. M. Klein, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (15 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2084 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2001 TESTIMONY

SB 2084

REPORT OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL'S EMPLOYEE BENEFITS PROGRAMS COMMITTEE SENATE BILL NO. 2084

Sponsor: Retirement Board

Proposal: Allows contributors to the Highway Patrolmen's retirement system to purchase additional service credit from rollovers from other qualified plans, purchase additional credit for up to four years of active employment in the armed forces of the United States, purchase credit for employer-approved leaves of absence, and purchase additional years of service credit to enable the contributor to qualify for normal retirement; increases the benefit multiplier from 3.40 to 3.60 percent of final average salary for the first 25 years of service; provides a postretirement increase in the benefit multiplier from 3.40 to 3.60 percent of six percent of final average salary; provides an increase for individuals receiving disability retirement benefits of six percent of the individual's present benefits; provides for the determination of beneficiaries under the Highway Patrolmen's retirement system; allows members of the Highway Patrolmen's retirement system; allows members of the Highway Patrolmen's retirement system.

The committee amended the bill at the request of the Retirement Board to clarify that the purchase of service credit applies to both normal benefits and additional benefits.

Actuarial Analysis: The reported actuarial cost impact of the proposal is 3.98 percent of payroll. The statutory contribution rate is 16.70 percent of payroll, and the cost of the current plan is 9.18 percent of payroll. Thus, if the proposal is enacted, the remaining margin of the Highway Patrolmen's retirement system will be 3.54 percent (16.70 - 9.18 = 7.52; 7.52 - 3.98 = 3.54).

The actuarial cost impact of the proposal is summarized in the following table:

	Current Results	Combined Changes	Benefit Multiplier	6% Ad-Hoc COLA
Actuarial accrued liability	\$34,034,236	\$35,928,926	\$35,004,632	\$34,958,530
Normal cost	\$1,019,503	\$1,072,219	\$1,072,219	\$1,019,503
Required contribution	\$427,514	\$612,461	\$547,954	\$492,021
As a percent of pay	9,18%	13.16%	11.77%	10.57%

Committee Report: Favorable recommendation.

TESTIMONY

OF

SPARB COLLINS ON

SB 2084

Madame Chair, members of the Committee, good morning. My name is Sparb Collins. I am Executive Director of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System, or PERS.

SB 2084 relates to the Highway Patrol Retirement System administered by the Public Employees Retirement System. This retirement system covers highway patrol officers. As of June 30, 2000 there were 122 active members. The average age of these members is 38 years with 12.5 average years of service. In addition, there are 59 pensioners and 21 surviving spouses receiving benefits. For the year ending June 30, 2000 this retirement system paid out \$1,629,020 in retirement benefits.

Section 1 of the bill provides for the purchase of additional service credit in a manner similar to that in the main retirement system and provides for the following:

- 1. That the highway patrol fund can accept rollovers from other qualified plans.
- 2. That highway patrol members may purchase additional service credit for certain active time served in the armed forces.
- 3. That a member may purchase credit for an approved leave of absence.

- 4. That a vested member may purchase additional time to qualify for the normal retirement date.
- 5. That the purchase amount shall be done in an amount equal to the actuarial cost to the fund for providing the additional credit.

Section 2 of the bill increases the multiplier for this retirement system from 3.4% to 3.6%. This section also provides for an equivalent increase of approximately 6% to retirees of the system, including disability retirees. This proposed increase in the multiplier is consistent with the PERS Board's goal to provide a benefit of 90% of final average salary for a member of the highway patrol retirement system with 25 years of service. This is the same goal that the board has for all the retirement systems it administers. Under present law a member would receive approximately 85% of final average salary upon retirement. Should the increase in this bill pass, a member with 25 years of service would receive 90% of their final average salary. Please note that officers in the highway patrol are not covered by social security and, therefore, this retirement system is their primary retirement benefit.

Section 3 of the bill clarifies the statutory language relating to payment of benefits to beneficiaries, contingent beneficiaries, and the member's estate.

Section 4 of the bill allows members of the highway patrol retirement system to purchase additional service on a pre-tax basis. By allowing pre-tax purchases it reduces the effect on a members take home salary.

Section 5 of the bill specifies that the PERS Board must receive a letter ruling from the IRS before section 4 of the bill can take effect to insure that these purchases can be done on a pre-tax basis and still maintain the qualified status of this system.

Our actuary has determined that the enhancements provided in this bill can be paid for by available funds within the Highway Patrol Retirement System. The actuary has also determined that this can be done on an actuarially sound basis with no increase required in the employer or employee contribution.

The interim Legislative Employee Benefits Committee reviewed this bill and the actuarial analysis. After studying the bill and the actuarial information the committee gave this bill a favorable recommendation.

Madam Chair, members of the committee, I request your favorable consideration of this bill on behalf of the PERS Board.

This concludes my testimony.