

OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M



ROLL NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

2/48

2001 SENATE EDUCATION

SB 2148

#### 2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

#### BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB 2148

~ .			~	• • •
Somata	HA	ucation	CONTR	3 1 f f <i>t</i> 23 <i>5</i> 3
Dullate	Liu	uvanon	COMM	111100

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 01/16/01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter#
1		X	16.2 - 29.7
Committee Clerk Signatur	· Sandie	Johnson	

Minutes: Chairman Freborg called the committee to order.

Roll call was taken with all members present.

<u>Chairman Freborg</u> opened the hearing on SB 2148 which relates to school district annexations, reorganizations, and dissolutions.

#### Testimony in support of SB 2148.

Tom Decker, Director of Finance and Organization, Dept. Of Public Instruction, spoke in support of the bill. (see attached)

LeaAnn Schneider, attorney for the State Board of Public School Education, spoke to clarification of the bill.

- 1. Amends the law to authorize and allow the Board to adopt rules relating to annexations, reorganization, and dissolutions instead of requiring them to.
- 2. Allows annexations to be suspended until the plan for reorganization is either approved or denied.

Page 2
Senate Education Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB 2148
Hearing Date 01/16/01

- 3. Clarifies which county committees hear a reorganization.
- 4. County committees have to make their decision separately.
- 5. Clarifies how many days of notice it requires for a meeting.
- 6. Removes subsection 9 which is not needed because any county committee decision can be appealed to the State Board.

### There was no opposition.

The hearing was closed on SB 2148.

Sen. O'Connell moved a DO PASS. Seconded by Sen. Kelsh.

Roll call vote: 7 Yes. 0 No. O Absent.

Carrier: Sen. O'Connell

Date: 0/-16-01
Roll Call Vote #:

# 2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. $\leq 8.2/48$

Senate Education	<del>"</del>			Com	mittee
Subcommittee on		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
or Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nu	_				
Action Taken Do Pass	···				
Motion Made By Sin. O'la	rivel	Se By	econded	Kelsh	
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Senator Freborg - Chairman	1		Senator Christenson	V	
Senator Flakoll - Vice Chairman	1		Senator Kelsh		
Senator Cook		) <del></del>	Senator O'Connell		
Senator Wanzek					
		<del></del>	and the second s		
	<del> </del>				
					السيب
Total (Yes)		No		all grandes have been displayed by the hand	***************************************
Absent				وروداندا المراجع والمراجع والم	g yad alvah da gashar A ad
Floor Assignment Assignment	f) (a	プレル	ul	*************************	
f the vote is on an amendment, briefl	v indicat	e inteni	4		

#### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) January 19, 2001 2:22 p.m.

Module No: SR-09-1319 Carrier: O'Connell Insert LC: . Title: .

#### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2148: Education Committee (Sen. Freborg, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (7 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2148 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

2001 HOUSE EDUCATION

SB 2148

## 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

## **BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB 2148**

House Education Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date Feb. 28, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter#
1	X		15.0-21.4
			mortillare sollare d'entre partir de la des de dimprocabilité finincipatif l'étable de l'étable de la description de l'étable
Committee Clerk Signatur	c Or	Me	

Minutes:

LeaAnn Schneider: Asst. Attorney Gen. Sponsor of bill with written testimony.

Chairman Kelsch: Close the hearing on SB 2148.

#### 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

#### BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB2148 A

House Education Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 03/05/01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter#
#2	X		1 to 420
Committee Clerk Signa	ture )		

#### Minutes:

Chairman R. Kelsch, Vice-Chair T. Brusegaard, Rep. Bellew, Rep. Grumbo, Rep. Haas, Rep.

Hanson, Rep. Hawken, Rep. Hunskor, Rep. Johnson, Rep. Meier, Rep. Mueller, Rep. Nelson,

Rep. Nottestad, Rep. Solberg, Rep. Thoreson

<u>Chairman Kelsch:</u> We will now take up SB2148. What are the wishes of the committee?

Rep. Hawken: I move the amendments.

Rep. Brusegaard: Second.

Rep. Hawken: I move a DO PASS AS AMENDED.

Rep. Brusegaard: Second.

Chairman Kelsch: Committee discussion.

The motion of DO PASS AS AMENDED passes with 15 YAY 0 NAY 0 ABSENT

Floor assignment: Rep. Haas

Date: 3/6/01
Roll Call Vote #:

# 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB2148

House House Education				_ Com	mittee
Subcommittee on					·····
or Conference Committee			ı		
Legislative Council Amendment Nun					
Action Taken Do Pass V	As K	me	nded		
Motion Made By Rep. Haus	Ken	Se	conded By Rep. Brus	sega	ava
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman-RaeAnn G. Kelsch			Rep. Howard Grumbo	1/	
V. Chairman-Thomas T. Brusegaard	W		Rep. Lyle Hanson		
Rep. Larry Bellew			Rep. Bob Hunskor		
Rep. C.B. Haas	~		Rep. Phillip Mueller		
Rep. Kathy Hawken	V		Rep. Dorvan Solberg	1	
Rep. Dennis E. Johnson		1 <del>************************************</del>			
Rep. Lisa Meier	/				
Rep. Jon O. Nelson	V				
Rep.Darrell D. Nottestad					
Rep. Laurel Thoreson	V			1	
	<del></del>				
			***************************************		
Total (Yes) 15		No			
Absent O	j	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Name of Street, and a street,
Floor Assignment Pep. +	taas	······································			
If the vote is on an emendment, briefly	indicat	e intent	4		

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 5, 2001 3:57 p.m.

Module No: HR-37-4860

Carrier: Haas

Insert LC: 18161.0101 Title: .0200

#### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2148: Education Committee (Rep. R. Kelsch, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (15 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2148 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 4, line 29, after "superintendent" insert "after July 31, 2001"

Renumber accordingly

2001 TESTIMONY SB 2148

# TESTIMONY ON SB 2148 SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

January 16, 2001 by Tom Decker, Director of Finance and Organization 328-2267

## **Department of Public Instruction**

Mr. (Madam) Chairman and members of the committee:

I am here to speak in support of Senate Bill 2148.

The Department of Public Instruction introduced SB 2148 to respond to issues which arose over the biennium regarding the process of annexation, reorganization, and dissolution. Most of the changes in the bill simply are housekeeping. There is one substantive change that appears in Section 3 on pages 4 and 5. Before we go through that Section, other changes in the bill such as the clarification of language on line 14, page 1, and on page 3, lines 13, 26, and 27 provide clarifications for aspects of the current process. In Section 3, the new language starting at line 29, page 4, renders annexations involving property currently included in proposed organization plan void and limits annexations until the reorganization process is completed or defeated by the voters. It is important to remember, school districts in the course of the reorganization process have the right to leave parts of any district involved in the reorganization out of the organization. If they do that, those parts left out of the reorganization plan would be involved in a hearing process before the State Board of Public Education. The Board would make a decision about where that land would be attached. After reorganization is completed, any patron of the new district would again have access to the annexation process under the statutory provisions for annexation. The new language in Section 4, page 6, lines 14-21, again provides clarification of the current reorganization hearing process. The same is true on the added language on page 7, lines 4-8. There is similar additional language in Section 5, page 9, lines 2-4 and 16-18.

# TESTIMONY OF ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL LEA ANN SCHNEIDER REGARDING SENATE BILL NO. 2148 BEFORE THE HOUSE EDUCATION COMMITTEE ON FEBRUARY 28, 2001.

Chairperson Kelsch, members of the committee, my name is Lea Ann Schneider. I am an Assistant Attorney General and am appearing here in my capacity as legal advisor for the State Board of Public School Education.

Senate Bill 2148 relates to school district annexations, reorganizations, and dissolutions. The bill does six things:

- It <u>authorizes</u> the State Board of Public School Education to make rules regarding annexations, reorganizations, and dissolutions instead of <u>requiring</u> it to make such rules.
  - State law is quite detailed regarding the requirements for school district annexations, reorganizations, and dissolutions, which makes rulemaking unnecessary.
  - (See Section 1 of the bill.)
- 2. It suspends all annexation petitions until a reorganization plan has completed the process and has been either approved or denied by the voters.
  - The suspension of annexation petitions is currently in the law for dissolutions.
  - Suspension of the annexation petitions makes the process cleaner.
  - (See page 4 line 29, page 5 lines 1 6.)
- 3. It clarifies which county committees hear a reorganization.
  - (<u>See</u> page 6 lines 14 21.)
- 4. It provides that, when two or more county committees hear an annexation, the county committees shall make their decisions separately regarding approval of the annexation.
  - Left out of the title 15 rewrite last session.
  - County committees have always voted separately.
  - (See page 3, lines 26 27.)

- 5. It clarifies how many days notice must be given for State Board hearings or meetings regarding annexations, reorganizations, and dissolutions.
  - The law requires county committees to give fourteen days notice and the State Board has always given fourteen days notice for its meetings and hearings.
  - (See page 3 line 13, page 7 lines 4 8, page 9 lines 2 4, and page 9 lines 16 18.)
- 6. It removes the limitation on the frequency with which annexation petitions involving the same property may be brought before the county committee.
  - All decisions of county committees may be appealed to the State Board and the law currently provides for the frequency with which an annexation involving the same property can be brought before the county committee if it is denied by the State Board.
  - (Compare page 3 lines 28 -- 31 and page 4 lines 1 2, with page 4 lines 3 7.)

I would like to propose one amendment to clarify that the filing of a reorganization plan on and after the effective date of the bill will suspend annexation petitions. If the bill is passed, it will be effective on August 1, 2001.

I would be glad to answer any questions.

# PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 2148

Page 4, line 29, after "superintendent" insert "after July 31, 2001"
Renumber accordingly