

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. MOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

10/2/03 Date iture ٩K **n** DI Operator's S

f.

1030100



2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1088

House Judiciary Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date 1-15-03

Ę,

and the second s

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	XX		22-end
1		XX	0-2 take broken

Minutes: All members were present.

Chairman DeKrey: We will open the hearing on HB 1088.

Ted Gladden, State Court Administrator: (see testimony) In favor.

Rep. Eckre: Do other states use a formula similar to what you are proposing?

Mr. Gladden: It is handled differently in many states. More and more of the costs are moving over to the states, where states are assuming responsibility for the facilities.

Rep. Klemin: Right now, we have a filing fee of \$80, some of that money is dedicated, \$65 of

that \$80 is deposited into the state general fund. Why don't we take this \$10 out of that \$65,

instead of adding another \$10 on top of it.

Mr. Gladden: That might possibly be an alternative.

<u>Rep. Klemin:</u> Maybe that would accomplish the purpose that you are looking at and it would keep the fee the same. Was there any discussion on your working committee as to what happens

to that other \$65 that is going into the general fund, or why you couldn't use it. างสมของออสสันเขียงสมของสมของสันสถาร์การการการสมของการสมของสันส์สุนสุนันไป การได้การสาวการการการการการการการการ The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and use filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Page 2 House Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 1-15-03

1.

Mr. Gladden: We didn't get into discussion of that at all, no.

Rep. Kretschmar: Under current law, does the Supreme Court have the authority to determine if a county's facility is not adequate or proper to hold the district court, can they tell the county we are going to have your cases tried in the county next door.

Mr. Gladden: There is a provision in the code that allows, every county must provide adequate facilities, there is a provision that could occur if the facilities got to the point where they were unacceptable for court proceedings.

Rep. Kretschmar: Have the counties not been meeting their responsibilities.

<u>Mr. Gladden:</u> I don't know if it's a matter of not meeting their responsibilities, but there is a more of a sense that since the state has all of the revenues, the state should participate in providing some costs toward the maintenance of the facilities.

Rep. Kretschmar: Currently, are there any court facilities that the Court believes are not adequate for the holding of court trials.

Mr. Gladden: At the present time there have been no facilities that have been deemed inadequate in terms of court services.

Rep. Boehning: If you going to grant counties 25% of funds, do you have a cap on those funds that you are going to grant to counties.

<u>Mr. Gladden:</u> Those details would have to be worked out by this committee that would be put in place to set the guidelines on how the funds would be distributed.

<u>Rep. Delmore:</u> Can you tell me about the utilization of the courthouses. Do we really have a reason that every one of those courthouses is necessary for the process. How often are they



_ ۲

Page 3

House Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 1-15-03

<u>Mr. Gladden</u>: Our commitment is to provide services in every county of the state, we go in, regardless of the volume, going in at least on a monthly basis to handle the master calendar at the minimum.

Rep. Delmore: I realize how difficult all of this is in working out the details, I think that if we took it over in state courts, we do owe the counties some money for this type of project in keeping up our courthouses. What about statistics that tell us how often the courts are used.

<u>Mr. Gladden:</u> We have no statistics statewide. It is just a matter of finding out how many trial dates there are in every county.

<u>Chairman DeKrey:</u> Thank you for appearing before our committee. Any testimony in support of HB 1088.

Wede Williams. ND Association of Counties: (see testimony) Support of HB 1088. My testimony mirrors Mr. Gladden's testimony.

Rep. Delmore: Are you aware of any courthouses that are in immediate need that this would help.

<u>Mr. Williams</u>: There are a number of counties that are looking at replacing carpets, benches, juror chairs that are waiting to see what happens if this legislation passes.

<u>Chairman DeKrey:</u> Thank you for appearing before us. Anyone else wishing to testify in support of HB 1088. Anyone wishing to testify in opposition or neutral?

<u>Christine Hogen, Executive Director, State Bar Association of ND</u>; Opposition. (see testimony) In terms of the courthouses being utilized, there are some that have not seen a criminal trial in over 20 years.

Rep. Eckre: Weren't you part of the committee that helped put this together. The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. s Signature ator

Page 4 House Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 1-15-03

Ms. Hogan: I am.

<u>Ren. Eckre:</u> Then why weren't these concerns addressed at that time.

<u>Ms. Hogan:</u> I thought it might be something that might be palatable to my association, it turned out that it was not to tack on another filing fee on to the plaintiff's who are bringing their actions to the court.

Rep. Delmore: There are a number of people on the committe that share your same feelings about the filing fees, and I think it is very real. Would you lose your objection to the bill, if we did indeed find a way to take that \$10 from somewhere else, rather than adding another fee of \$10.

Ms. Hogan: I would have to talk to the board about that, but I think that would acceptable.

<u>Chairman DeKrey:</u> It is the judge's discretion to waive the filing fees, right?

Ms. Hogan: That is correct.

<u>Chairman DeKrey:</u> So, then supposedly the people can afford this user fee must be the ones that pay it, because the ones that can't, would be excused from it anyway. And we would still be below the national average on both civil and small claims filings.

Ms. Hogan: That is certainly true of an indigent plaintiff, that the court has the discretion to waive the filing fee.

Rep. Klemin: Since part of this filing fee are dedicated in this law, if that \$10 came out of the state general fund, you wouldn't have a problem dedicating \$10 of that to that fund.

Ms. Hogan: I wouldn't have an objection if that happened.

<u>Rep.</u> <u>Klemin</u>: I don't think the court has unbridled discretion to waive filing fees, except in the case where somebody has applied for that to happen because they can't afford it.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. s Signature

Page 5 House Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 1-15-03

Ms. Hogan: In the case of an indigent person.

<u>Rep. Klemin</u>: But most of the time, everybody pays the filing fee.

Ms. Hogan: Obviously this is one small group of society bearing the expense that has not been asked to pay for courthouse repairs. This is something that all people enjoy.

<u>Chairman DeKrey:</u> Thank you for appearing before our committee. Anyone else in opposition to HB 1088.

John Olson, Trial Lawyers Association: I want to reiterate. This is not a user fee. Most of the filings in your clerk's offices are criminal. They wouldn't be covered by this. So you those people excluded, you would have a lot of civil cases that may be excluded because of impoverished plaintiffs. Civil cases such as mental health commitments, etc. those would be excluded. So you just have the plaintiff's filing fees on civil cases. Also, huge amount of those caes have answers from defendants, which are excluded. So this is discriminatory, to make them pay for improvements in other counties.

Rep. Delmore: In terms of utilization of courthouses, each courthouse holds several offices of the county, correct?

Mr. O'son: Correct. How would you allocate repairs.

<u>Chairman DeKrey</u>: Thank you for appearing. Any further testimony in opposition? We will close the hearing.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. signatur Oderator's

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO, HB 1088

House Judiciary Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date 1-20-03

	43-end
<u> </u>	0-3
······································	
	nnose

Minutes: 12 members present, 1 member absent (Rep. Maragos)

Chairman DeKrey: We will take a look at HB 1088, the \$10 fee.

Rep. Klemin: I don't have an amendment prepared on this, but I think this needs an amendment, a simple one. Move to amend pg 1, line 11, remove the overstrike on 80 and delete 90, and pg 2, line 3 - take \$10 fee from \$65 and change to \$55 remainder goes to state general fund. One of the reasons I'm proposing this amendment, is so that we really aren't increasing the fee on civil litigants to provide for maintenance on the courthouse.

Chairman DeKrey: Rep. Klemin has moved that pg 1, line 11, remove overstrike on 80 and delete 90, and pg 2 line 3 change \$65 to \$55. Any further discussion.

Rep. Boehning: Should we place a total on how much can be granted to a county.

<u>Chairman DeKrey:</u> There will be a board overseeing the expenditures is balanced and no county will be able to get more dollars than other counties.

า**และและสุของสารและแ**ละสุของสารได้เสียงและสารและได้และสุของได้ และสารไป และสิของการได้และการได้แก่ () และการได้ 100 And Andrews Andrews The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and the midrographic images of this fith are accurate reproductions of records detivered to nouern antonaction systems for migrofilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the 10 document being filmed. Operator's Signature

Page 2 House Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 1-20-03

Rep. Delmore: I still have a question about the utilization of the courthouses. I wish there was a way to fund it. I don't think the counties will receive the \$10.

Rep. Eckret I feel it is still a user fee, the people using the courthouse should pay for it.

Rep. Grande: With the amendments as they are, the fiscal note changes, revenue will change.

Now it will come out of the general fund as a negative.

<u>Rep. Klemin:</u> There wouldn't be any additional revenue.

Rep. Grande: It will be a decrease in revenue, though to the general fund.

Rep. Klemin: Yes, it would decrease.

Rep. Wrangham: I intend to vote no on the amendment, because without the amendment, because without the amendment, it is easier to vote against the bill.

<u>Chairman DeKrey:</u> Any further discussion. We will take a voice vote on the amendment proposed by Rep. Klemin on HB 1088. Poll the members. 8 Yes 4 No 1 Absent The amendment passes. We have HB 1088 before us as amended. Is there any discussion on the bill.

<u>Rep. Klemin:</u> I move a Do Pass with Amendment to be rereferred to Appropriations Committee. Rep. Boehning: Seconded.

<u>Chairman DeKrey:</u> Since I was on the committee, I haven't said much about this bill, because I didn't wan to influence the committee members, but I think this bill is needed. We've got a lot of courthouses out there in disrepair in rural areas. When we unified the court system, we made the statement that we were going to have judicial services in every county in this state. We can't

tell them we have no money for this, if we're going to close some rural courthouses, then let's



put in a bill in and discuss it, but let's not try to bleed them off a little at a time to force them out.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfflmi were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Ins (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality document being filmed.

Page 3

Π.,

House Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 1-20-03

Rep. Lekre: I agree completely. I am going to vote for the bill. I am against the amendment on that, I would rather see the \$10 as a user fee, but I agree that when we did this to the counties, I don't believe we should have done it like this to the counties and I was against the unification. I will vote for the bill for the way it is now.

Rep. Deimore: We moved a lot of things, and took all of the money, and we need to bear that in mind, we need to be responsible for these costs. I will support the bill.

Rep. Wrangham: I don't disagree with any of the arguments that the counties need help. I don't think this is going to be effective to getting money to those who really need it. I don't think we need to set up another fund.

<u>Chairman DeKrey:</u> The clerk will call the roll on Do Pass with Amendments on HB 1088 rereferred to Appropriations.

10 YES /2 NO/ 1 ABSENT DO PASS W/AMENDMENTS CARRIER: Rep. Kretschmar

1 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and user filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. ator's Signature ŧ

FISCAL NOTE Requested by Legislative Council 04/04/2003

Amendment to: H

HB 1088

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005	Biennium	2005-2007 Blennium		
	General Fund	Cther Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	Goneral Fund	Other Funds	
Revenues	······		\$175,722	\$791,100	\$175,722	\$791,100	
Expenditures				\$6,504		\$6,504	
Appropriations							

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

200	2001-2003 Blennium			2003-2005 Blennium			5-2007 Bienr	nlum
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Citles	School Districts

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

The amended engrossed bill provides a graduated administrative fee structure for misdemeanor and felony defendants. The bill also provides for a \$100 administrative fee. Of the additional one hundred dollar court administration fee, the first seven hundred fifty thousand dollars collected per biennium must be deposited in the indigent defense administration fund, and the next four hundred sixty thousand dollars collected per biennium must be deposited in the deposited in the court facilities improvement and maintenance fund. After the minimum thresholds have been collected, one-half of the additional court administration fee must be deposited in each fund.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

The revenues are based on the following assumptions after reviewing historical data.

The entire fee assessed in section 6, beginning on page 4, line 25, will generate the following estimated biennial revenues:

(Data Provided by Office of State Court Administrator and represents two year projections)

Technology	Total Defendants	Defendants Paying Fee	% of Total	Admin. Fee Collected
AGAA	706	21	3¥	\$ 21,000
В	812	40	5¥	\$ 30,000
c	5,766	576	0¥	\$ 288,000
Misdemeanor				
А	11,222	2,244	20%	\$ 673,200
В	25,152	5,030	20%	\$1,131,750

Special Funds \$ 791,100 A second sec second sec The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

 General Fund
 \$1,352,850

 Less current fees
 (1,177,128)

 Total New Admin. Fees \$966,822

Statistics are based on the 2002 criminal caseloads and have been doubled to provided blennial projections.

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line Item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

Anticipated expenditures are for travel expenses for the committee. Assuming quarterly meetings (8 per biennium), estimated expenses for mileage, meals and lodging are \$6,504.

Name:	Ted Gladden	Agency:	Supreme Court
Phone Number:	328-4216	Date Prepared:	04/04/2003

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document bains filmed. document being filmed. nsta ZX signature

FISCAL NOTE Requested by Legislative Council 03/27/2003

REVISION

Amendment to: HB 1088

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005	Biennium	2005-2007 Blennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues			\$175,722	\$791,100	\$175,722	\$791,100
Expenditures				\$6,504		\$8,504
Appropriations						

 1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect:
 Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

 2001-2003 Biennium
 2003-2005 Biennium
 2005-2007 Biennium

		School			School			School
Counties	Cities	Districts	Counties	Cities	Districts	Counties	Cities	Districts

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

The amended engrossed bill provides a graduated administrative fee structure for misdemeanor and felony defendants. The bill provides for \$100 of the administrative fee to go toward indigent defense services and courthouse remodeling, unless waived due to indigence. \$50 will go into a court facilities remodeling and maintenance fund and \$50 will go into the indigent defense administration fund.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

The revenues are based on the following assumptions after reviewing historical data.

The entire fee assessed in section 6, beginning on page 4, line 25, will generate the following estimated blennial revenues:

(Data Provided by Office of State Court Administrator and represents two year projections)

Technology	Total Defendants	Defendants Paying Fee	<pre>% of Total</pre>	Admin. Fee Collected
Афаа	706	21	3*	\$ 21,000
В	812	40	51	\$30,000
C	5,766	576	01	\$ 288,000
Misdemeanor				
A	11,222	2,244	201	\$ 673,200
В	25,152	5,030	20%	\$1,131,750

Special Funds \$ 791,100

General Fund \$1,352,850 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Hodern Information Systems for microfilming and He monographic images of this film are accurate reproductions of records detivered to monstrikiton systems for millional Standards Institute were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute data to another standards in the standards of the standards of the standards of the standards in the standards in the standards in the standards in the standards of the standards of the standards of the standards in the standards of the standards in the standards of the standards of the standards of the standards of the standards in the standards in the standards of the stand were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mattorat standards institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Operator's Signatur

Less current fees (1,177,128) Total New Admin. Fees \$966,822

N.,

Statistics are based on the 2002 criminal caseloads and have been doubled to provided biennial projections.

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

Anticipated expenditures are for travel expenses for the committee. Assuming quarterly meetings (8 per biennium), estimated expenses for mileage, meals and lodging are \$6,504.

Name:	Ted C. Gladden	Agency:	Supreme Court
Phone Number:	328-4216	Date Prepared:	03/27/2003

ŝ. ŵ. The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the designed balanced document being filmed. 1 23 Operator's Signature

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council 03/19/2003

Amendment to:

HB 1088

1A. State flecal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-2003 Blennium		2003-2005	Biennium	2005-2007	Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Othor Funds	
Revenues			\$1,352,850	\$791,100	\$1,352,850	\$791,100	
Expenditures				\$6,504		\$6,504	
Appropriations							

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

200	1-2003 Bienr	num	200	3-2005 Bienn	ium	200	5-2007 Bienr	NUM
		School			School			School
Counties	Cities	Districts	Countles	Cities	Districts	Counties	Cities	Districts

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

The amended engrossed bill provides a graduated administrative fee structure for misdemeanor and felony defendants. The bill provides for \$100 of the administrative fee to go toward indigent defense services and courthouse remodeling, unless waived due to indigence. \$50 will go into a court facilities remodeling and maintenance fund and \$50 will go into the indigent defense administration fund.

3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:

A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provido detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

The revenues are based on the following assumptions after reviewing historical data.

The entire fee assessed in section 6, beginning on page 4, line 25, will generate the following estimated blennial revenues:

(Data Provided by Office of State Court Administrator and represents two year projections)

Technology	Total Defendants	Defendants Paying Fee	<pre>% of Total</pre>	Admin. Fee Collected
ALAA	706	21	38	\$ 21,000
				• •
В	812	40	5*	\$ 30,000
C	5,766	576	10*	\$ 288,000
Misdemeanor				
Α	11,222	2,244	201	\$ 673,200
В	25,152	5,030	20%	\$1,131,750

Special Funds\$ 791,100General Fund\$1,352,850



Statistics are based on the 2002 criminal caseloads and have been doubled to provided biennial projections.

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

Anticipated expenditures are for travel expenses for the committee. Assuming quarterly meetings (8 per biennium), estimated expenses for mileage, meals and lodging are \$6,504.

Name:	Ted C. Gladden	Agency:	Supreme Court	
Phone Number:	328-4216	Date Prepared:	03/24/2003	

. **Martina martina na mandra de Contra de Co Contra de C** The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archivel microfilm. WOYICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 10/2 document being filmed. Ņ Operator's Signature

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council 02/14/2003

Amendment to: HB 1088

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-200	3 Blennium	2003-200	5 Blennium	2005-2007 Biennium		
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	
Revenues				\$461,300		\$461,000	
Expenditures				\$8,504		\$6,504	
Appropriations							

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

Ì	200	1-2003 Bienr	nium	2003-2005 Blennium 2005-2007 Blennium				nium	
			School		the second second second	School			School
	Counties	Cities	Districts	Counties	Cities	Districts	Counties	Citles	Districts
1			ļ	1. Sec. 1. Sec					

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

This bill establishes a court facilities improvement and maintenance fund to be funded through a \$10 increase to civil case filing fees.

An estimate of the average civil cases for 2001 and 2002 is 25,628 per year. Assuming a 10% waiver (a judge can waive the filing fee) for the blennium this doubles to 46,130 cases. At \$10 per case the estimated revenue is \$461,300.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.
 - B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

Anticipated expenditures are for travel expenses for the committee. Assuming quarterly meetings (8 per blennium), estimated expenses for mileage, meals and lodging are \$6,504.

Name:	Ted C. Gladden	Agency:	Supreme Count
Phone Number:	329-4216	Date Prepared	: 02/18/2003

The Man Man Market Constraint State and the second s The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and Here filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOVICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Operator's Signature

FISCAL NOTE Requested by Legislative Council 01/22/2003

ú

Amendment to: HB 1088

1A. State flecal effect: identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-2003 Blennium		2003-2005	Biennlum	2005-2007 Blennium		
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	
Revenues			(\$461,300)	\$461,300	(\$'461,300'	\$461,300	
Expenditures				\$461,300		\$461,300	
Appropriatione							

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

2001-2003 Biennium				3-2005 Blenn	lum	2005-2007 Biennium		
		School			School			School
Counties	Cities	Districts	Counties	Cities	Districts	Counties	Cities	Districts

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

This bill establishes a court facilities improvement and maintenance fund to be funded by \$10 of the current \$80 civil case filing fee. These are funds that currently go to the general fund.

An estimate of the average civil cases for 2001 and 2002 is 25,628 per year. Assuming a 10% waiver (a judge can waive the filing fee) for the biennium this doubles to 46,130 cases. At \$10 per case the estimated amount available would be \$461,300.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

This would not generate new revenue. \$10 of the \$80 civil case filing fee would go to the court maintenance fund instead of the general fund.

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

Of the total funds available, aproximately \$6,504 would be needed for travel expenses for the committee. This assumes quarterly meetings (8 per biennium) for 5 committee members and one supreme court staff. This would leave \$454,796 available for court maintenance.

A Constant of the second se The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Hational Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the 10/2/03 document being filmed. Origentiton

Name:	Ted Gladden	Agency:	ND Supreme Court
Phone Number:	328-4216	Date Prepared:	01/23/2003

-----The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and where filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 105 Date Date 4 0 7 Operator's Signature

FISCAL NOTE Requested by i.egistative Council 01/09/2003

REVISION

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1088

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-200	3 Biennium	2003-2005	Blennlum	2005-200	7 Blennium
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues				\$461,295		\$461,000
Expenditures				\$3,504		\$6,504
Appropriations						

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal cliect on the appropriate political subdivision.

200	1-2003 Bienr	nlum	2003-2005 Biennium			2005-2007 Biennium			
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	

2, Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

This bill establishes a court facilities improvement and maintenance fund to be funded through a \$10 increase to civil case filing fees.

An estimate of the average civil cases for 2001 and 2002 is 25,628 per year. Assuming a 10% waiver (a judge can waive the filing fee) for the biennium this doubles to 46,130 cases. At \$10 per case the estimated revenue is \$461,300.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.
 - B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

Anticipated expenditures are for travel expenses for the committee. Assuming quarterly meetings (8 per biennium), estimated expenses for mileage, meals and lodging are \$6,504.





The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Hodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSL) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 10 2 () î Operator's Signature Dete

1

4

ù

www.Derekte besternent kunsteligten is antische kunsteligten in der schlieben der schlieben schlieben schlieben im schlieben im

FISCAL NOTE Requested by Legislative Council 01/03/2003

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1088

言いたまま

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-2003 Biennium		2003-200	5 Biennium	2005-2007 Biennium		
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	
Revenues				\$461,295		\$461,000	
Expenditures							
Appropriations							

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

200	1-2003 Blenr	nium	200	3-2005 Bienr	nium	200	nium	
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
					· · · · ·			

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

This bill establishes a court facilities improvement and maintenance fund to be funded through a \$10 increase to civil case filing fees.

An estimate of the average civil cases for 2001 and 2002 is 25,628 per year. Assuming a 10% waiver (a judge can waive the filing fee) for the biennium this doubles to 46,130 cases. At \$10 per case the estimated revenue is \$461,300.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. **Revenues:** Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.
 - B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.
 - C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Ted C. Gladden	Agency:	Supreme Court
Phone Number:	328-4216	Date Propared:	01/06/2003

(188) The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and Here filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Operator's Signature

38073.0101 Title.0200

Adopted by the Judiciary Committee January 20, 2003

VR 1/20/03

HOUSE AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1088 JUD 1-21-03

Page 1, line 11, remove the overstrike over "eighty" and remove "ninety"

HOUSE ANKION, ENT TO HE 1088 JUD 1-2-103 Page 2, line 3, overstrike "sixty-five" and insert immediately thereafter "fifty-five"

Page 4, line 5, replace "<u>dispersed</u>" with "<u>disbursed</u>"

Renumber accordingly

Page No. 1 38073.0101 . Menmuseurus ennersianersenersener ennersiönen och som an 1974 an an Arlanda ann an 1974 an 1977 an 1 Set and the set of the set of the The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Operator Signature

			Date: 1/2 Roll Call Vote #: Voi TTEE ROLL CALL VOT D. 1088		Те
Iouse Judiciary			,	Com	mittee
Check here for Conference C	ommittee				
		a (
egislative Council Amendment N	iumber	38	1075.0101 7111	e. 021	50
ction Taken Amer	dura				
Colon TakenATTle	nome	<u>nl</u>			<u> </u>
Iotion Made By		Se	conded By		
		······································			
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman DeKrey			Rep. Delmore	1	
Vice Chairman Maragos	AB		Rep. Eckre		~
			Rep. Onstad		
Rep. Bernstein					
Rep. Bernstein					
Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning	V				
Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin	V	~			
Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin					
Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury					
Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin					
Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin Rep. Kretschmar					
Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin Rep. Kretschmar					
Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin Rep. Kretschmar					

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

Amendment Passes

《發行和 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 10/2/03 Operator's Signature ¢ Date

algoritation and a second

WERE ADDRESS

R

						1	<u>,</u>
							ł
						Ì	
				1		r 1 1	
			Date: 1/20 Roll Call Vote #: 4	0/03		2 *	
	ANDING CORESOLUTI		ITTEE ROLL CALL VO D. /088	TES			
House Judiciary				Com	mittee		
			<u></u>				
Check here for Conference (Committee					1	
Legislative Council Amandment	Nurahar						
Legislative Council Amendment	~ -			· <u> </u>		ann a she basharan a su	
Legislative Council Amendment	Number Pass	ω/	Amendment			ne - ann Arabanan - an An An Anna	
Action Taken Do	Pass						
Action Taken <u>Do</u> Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u>	Pass min	Se	econded By <u>Rep. Bo</u>	chning			
Action Taken <u>Do</u> Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u> Representatives	Pass min Yes		conded By <u>Rep. Bo</u> Representatives		No		
Action Taken Do Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u> <u>Representatives</u> <u>Chairman DeKrey</u>	Pass min Yes	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore	ehning Yes			
Action Taken <u>Do</u> Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u> Representatives	Pass min Yes	Se	conded By <u>Rep. Bo</u> Representatives	chning			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u> <u>Representatives</u> <u>Chairman DeKrey</u> Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning	Pass min Yes V F1B	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre	ehning Yes			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u> <u>Representatives</u> <u>Chairman DeKrey</u> Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Bochning Rep. Galvin	Pass min Yes V FB	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre	ehning Yes			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u> <u>Representatives</u> Chairman DeKrey Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Bochning Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande	Pass min Yes V FIB	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre	ehning Yes			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u> <u>Representatives</u> Chairman DeKrey Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury	Pass min Yes V FIB V	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre	ehning Yes			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u> <u>Representatives</u> <u>Chairman DeKrey</u> Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin	Pass min Yes V FB	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre	ehning Yes			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u> <u>Representatives</u> <u>Chairman DeKrey</u> Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin Rep. Kretschmar	Pass min Yes V FIB V	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre	ehning Yes		na a na bhanna a' na mannachana, ammannachan guilleachan shara branna bhannach a na hachan a sa a suachan a bh	
Action Taken Do Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u> <u>Representatives</u> <u>Chairman DeKrey</u> Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin	Pass min Yes V FB	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre	ehning Yes			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u> <u>Representatives</u> <u>Chairman DeKrey</u> Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin Rep. Kretschmar	Pass min Yes V FB	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre	ehning Yes			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u> <u>Representatives</u> <u>Chairman DeKrey</u> Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin Rep. Kretschmar	Pass min Yes V FB	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre	ehning Yes			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klei</u> <u>Representatives</u> <u>Chairman DeKrey</u> Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin Rep. Kretschmar	Pass min Yes V FB	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre	ehning Yes			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klein</u> <u>Representatives</u> <u>Chairman DeKrey</u> Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin Rep. Kretschmar Rep. Wrangham	Pass min Yes V FIB V V V	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre Rep. Onstad	ehning Yes			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By <u>Rep. Klein</u> <u>Representatives</u> <u>Chairman DeKrey</u> Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin Rep. Kretschmar Rep. Wrangham	Pass min Yes V FIB V V V	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre Rep. Onstad	ehning Yes			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By Rep. Klei Representatives Chairman DeKrey Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Grande Rep. Grande Rep. Klemin Rep. Klemin Rep. Kretschmar Rep. Wrangham Image: Constant of the second seco	Pass min Yes V FIB V V V	Se No	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre Rep. Onstad	ehning Yes			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By Rep. Klei Representatives Chairman DeKrey Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Bochning Rep. Galvin Rep. Galvin Rep. Grande Rep. Kingsbury Rep. Klemin Rep. Kretschmar Rep. Wrangham Total (Yes) / C	Pass min Yes V FIB V V V	Se No	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre Rep. Onstad	ehning Yes			
Action Taken Do Motion Made By Rep. Klei Representatives Chairman DeKrey Vice Chairman Maragos Rep. Bernstein Rep. Boehning Rep. Grande Rep. Grande Rep. Klemin Rep. Klemin Rep. Kretschmar Rep. Wrangham Image: Constant of the second seco	Pass min Yes V FIB V V	Se	Representatives Rep. Delmore Rep. Eckre Rep. Onstad	ehning Yes			

Materian Sciences (1999) and the second

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. MOYICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

at any

1.42

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) January 21, 2003 10:38 a.m.

Module No: HR-11-0819 Carrier: Kretschmar Insert LC: 38073.0101 Title: .0200

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1068: Judiciary Committee (Rep. DeKrey, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS and BE REREFERRED to the Appropriations Committee (10 YEAS, 2 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1088 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 11, remove the overstrike over "eighty" and remove "ninety"

Page 2, line 3, overstrike "sixty-five" and insert immediately thereafter "fifty-five"

Page 4, line 5, replace "dispersed" with "disbursed"

Renumber accordingly

(2) DESK, (3) COMM Page No. 1 HR-11-0819 the second s The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. D





The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Kickton 10 05 \mathcal{L} Operator's Signatura Date

Second

*

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO, HB 1088

House Appropriations Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date 02-11-03

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1		X	10.8-26.5
	<u></u>		
Committee Clerk Signatur	e Chin J	Nuhus	
,		7	

Minutes:

Γ,

Chairman Svedjan Opened HB 1088 for discussion. A quorum was present.

Rep. Dekrey Recommends Reinstating the \$10 fee for creation of a sort of maintenance fund that counties can tap into. This fee is added on to the court filing costs. The fee was stripped out of the bill in the House Judiciary Committee. I recommend reinstating the fee or else killing the bill.

Rep. Kempenich What if the fee was \$5?

Rep. Dekrey I think the concept of the bill is good, but maybe where we found the money was not where we should have gotten it, but everyone involved would be pleased if you could find the money elsewhere.

Rep. Timm Who pays the court costs? The lawyer or the client?

Rep. Dekrey The client, but the judge can waive that fee.

Rep. Skarphol Are the funds for the Displaced Homemakers Account being used? The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for erchivel microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. s Signature ator

Page 2

A.,

House Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 02-11-03

Rep. Dekrey I don't have that information.

Rep. Warner I'm not sure, but I thought that that money went for the Battered Women's Shelter.

Rep. Koppleman Is this part of the Supreme Court budget?

Rep. Dekrey It is a special fund that would be set up.

Rep. Wald What was the vote in your committee when they took out the \$10 fee increase?

Rep. Dekrey I'm not sure off hand, but it was early in the session when people thought there

would be no support for any fee increases anywhere.

Hindander Gescherbergereichen der Bertreichen der Sterken der Sterken der Sterken der Sterken der Sterken der S

Rep. Skarphol The Displaced Homemaker's Fund is in DPI.

Rep. Wald The people who end up in court are the troublemaker's, in my mind. They should

have to pay the \$10. I move to make a motion to reinstate the \$10 fee. 2nd by (inaudible).

Rep. Wald I move a Do Pass As Amended. 2nd by Rep. Gulleson.

Rep. Brusegaard Was there talk about who is in charge of facilities? It seems to me like it would be a straightforward county cost.

Rep. Gulleson All of the fees, the counties have had the fees removed.

Motion Carries 16 yeas, 5 nays, 2 A/NV. Rep. Thoreson will carry this bill.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. s Signature

ć N

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1088

House Appropriations Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date 02-11-03

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
2		X	-20.4 - 32.0
	Ω		
Committee Clerk Signature	Chio	5 Notur	

Minutes:

ι,

Rep. Monson 1 move to reconsider our actions on HB 1088. 2nd by Rep. Warnke.

Rep. Monson I'm a little apprehensive about using State Aid Distribution Fund dollars to fund this.

Rep. Warnke If you look at the fiscal note for HB 1088, the \$10 fee generated \$461,300. I'm guessing \$20 would generate about twice that.

Motion to Reconsider Carries.

Rep. Monson I move that on line 11, pg. 1 we increase the filing fee \$10 from \$90 to \$100,

and that we use that money towards the indigent defense fund. 2nd by Rep. Warnke.

Rep. Delzer So this fiscal note is a deduction to the General Fund?

Rep. Delzer I don't see how you can charge for filing a civil suit and apply that to guardian ad

AND WEIGHT PROPERTY OF THE WAY OF The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process moets standards of the American National Standards Institute (AUSI) for archivel microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. ignature

litem costs. I don't see where they match up. You're just searching for money.

Page 2

House Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 02-11-03

Rep. Warner Marriages, divorces, annulments, etc. are civil suits that have applicable court costs.

Rep. Delzer I understand what you're trying to do, but why not just raise the fee to \$100 dollars,

put it in the General Fund, and then you wouldn't have to run it the other way around.

Rep. Monson I have to problem with that.

Rep. Skarphol This morning we also changed page 2, *line* #3. That \$55 dollar figure goes up to

\$65, correct?

Chairman Svedjan Yes, correct.

Rep. Carlisle I don't think we should put this in the General Fund.

Rep. Koppleman When was the last time this fee was raised?

Chairman Svedjan I'm not sure.

Rep. Kerzman This bill came to us

Motion Fails

Rep. Brusegaard I move a Do Pass As Amended. 2nd by Rep. Gulleson.

Motion Carries.

\$17 WE CARDED CANNER NO CONTRACT **CONT** The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. ianatura

38073.0201 Title.0300

Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for V'House Appropriations February 11, 2003

HOUSE AMENDMENTS TO ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1088 Approp. 2-12-03 Page 1, line 11, overstrike "eighty" and insert immediately thereafter "<u>ninety</u>"

HOUSE AMENDMENTS TO ENGROSSED HB 1088 Approp. 2-12-03 Page 2, line 3, remove the overstrike over "eixty five" and remove "fifty-five" Renumber accordingly



e -

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) February 12, 2003 1:43 p.m.

Module No: HR-27-2421 Carrier: Thoreson Insert LC: 38073.0201 Title: .0300

ク 📲

Constant Providence

Ŷ

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE HB 1088, as engrossed: Appropriations Committee (Rep. Svedjan, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (22 YEAS, 1 NAY, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed HB 1088 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 11, overstrike "eighty" and insert immediately thereafter "ninety"

Page 2, line 3, remove the overstrike over "sixty five" and remove "fifty-five"

Renumber accordingly

のないのである (2) DESK, (3) COMM Page No. 1 HR-27-2421 And the second a allers and a The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archivel microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Öpe s Signatur



Ĵ, 17**11**58102 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. D R 4 î 10 3 Operator's Signature ZÐ Date - Antonia ŧ.

諸ない。

. . 1.12

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1088

Senate Judiciary Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date 03/03/03

Γ.

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	X		16.2 - 46.9
		······································	
		•	

Minutes: Senator John T. Traynor, Chairman, called the meeting to order. Roll call was taken and all committee members present. Sen. Traynor requested meeting starts with testimony on the bill:

Testimony Support of HB 1088

Terry Traynor - Assistant Director North Dakota Association of Counties (meter 16.2) Read Testimony - Attachment #1.

Senator Thomas L. Trenbeath asked for a breakdown of counties with a population of 7,500.

This was arrived as a definition of a "rural chamber". Attachment 1b.

Sen. Traynor - asked if this fund would pay for things such as electricity and heat. He did not

think so, but it was possible. The committee would have free reign of funds.

Ted Gladden - State Court Administrator (meter 20.7) Read Testimony Attachment #2a and

submitted filing fees in other states Attachment #2b Discussed in testimony where funds would



Page 2 Senate Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 03/03/03

In a manufactor of the second of the second of the second of the second s

Γ,

Testimony in opposition of HB 1088

<u>John Olson</u> - ND Association of Trial Attorneys (meter 28) This process/fee is not the correct and fair way to deal with court houses in need of repairs. First this fee applies only to civil cases. This does not apply to criminal cases that are by far the overall cases filled and tried in court house across the state not to mention the mental health and juvenile. This "user" fee will be on the plaintiff filing for a divorce, personal injury, adoptions or something of that fee and the respondent party will not. This is grossly unfair for this reason alone the bill should be defeated. While I agree we have courthouses in need, we as a tax payer in Bismarck have spent a lot of our money updating our facilities. Some counties have made this a priority others have not. For us to service the courthouses where the County Commissioners have not established it as their priority. This is also grossly unfair. The majority of funds will come from the larger area; i.e. Bismarck, Fargo to take care of the rest of the state or smaller communities. Some counties making improvements may not even have had a civil case. What are we actually paying-this is so vague in this bill.

<u>Sen. Traynor</u> discussed how many counties have had inadequate facilities and had to transfer to another facility. (meter 36.0)

<u>Senator Carolyn Nelson</u> discussed how she understood how in Fargo they here why are we paying for all the things in the Western part of the state.

<u>Christine Hogan</u> - Executive Director State Bar Association of ND (meter 39.1) Read Testimony Attachment #3. Discussed how these fees would be better covered on HB 1186. This would be a better way for governmental agencies to pay for themselves.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. Notice: if the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Date s Signature htó

e
Page 3

Senate Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 03/03/03

Sen. Traynor (meter 42.8) discussed the history of filing fees and how they were increased to pay

judges salaries. Discussion on how HB 1186 would pay.

Testimony Neutral to HB 1088

None

Senator John T. Traynor, Chairman closed the hearing

となるのですの r, 1111 19 Sall Handler The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and user filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archivel microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 10 Operator's Signature Date

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1088

Senate Judiciary Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date 03/12/03

5

1	32		
	<u> </u>	والمرور والمراجع والمراجع والمتراجع والمراجع	16.7 - End
		میں	

Minutes: Senator John T. Traynor, Chairman, called the meeting to order. Roll call was taken and all committee members present. Sen. Traynor requested meeting starts with committee work on the bill:

Discussed HB1044 and the indigent defense fund. Discussed Interim Committee. Handed out Amendment #1. This would combined HB1044 with HB1088. Discussed the amount of money need to be raised for the two funds. We could pass 1044 and move indigent defense program to the office of administrative hearings and utilize \$10 filing fee to fund. We could adopt the amendment on HB 1088 and kill HB 1044, using this to increase the indigent defense funding program and provide funding for the courthouses.

Sen. Trenbeath question HB 1088 Amendments, killing 1044 were would the defense program



Page 2 Senate Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 03/12/03

<u>Sen. Lyson</u> discussion of fees already attached to criminal judgments. (meter 19). My fear in doing this is with all the other fees already being charged-how many more do you think we will be able to collect?

<u>Sen. Traynor</u> stated that regardless of what happens we need to come up with \$ % of a million dollars more. We have to have indigent council. They are the ones creating the problem, they should pay it. Success rate would depend on the efficiency of court system <u>Sen. Trenbeath</u> stated that the most cost efficient way to fund this is right now. Without contracts we would have to fund this on an hourly basis, with a substantial increase in cost. If at \$50 a case, 24,000 cases if all were collected it would come to \$1.2 Million.

Discussion of the Hog House Amendment -<u>Sen. Trenbeath</u> spoke of our need to talk to a district judge and the process of collecting court administration fees-does it stay in the county or go to the general fund. <u>Sen. Traynor</u> stated that it goes to state. Last year the over \$565,000 was raised by the court administration fee last year. Discussion of process.

<u>Sen. Nelson</u> asked what the counties think the indigent council should be housed? Anywhere but with the county. We do not have a position. Where would the bar association rather see it? In an independent agency (Christina Hogen-SBAND).

Discussion of HCR 3004 to be heard by our committee next week, Study resolution representation for indigent persons and the feasibility and desirability of establishing a public defender system.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the 03 document being filmed. 10 Operator's Signatur

Page 3 Senate Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 03/12/03

ų, j

Sen. Trenbeath discussed present fine, administration fees and this new fee. (meter 29.5)

Discussion of new FTE's if program transfers. Discussion of Sen. Traynor amendment and how

へに意思

much it would actually raise.

Sen. Traynor discussed incorporating a "statement of intent" and how court perceive these.

Discussion of having or removing a cap.

Committee took the time to go through the amendments with Tim Dowson - Legislative Council

We want the people who use the system to pay for the system.

Senator John T. Traynor, Chairman closed the hearing

Weiner and the second (A)The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and user filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image whow is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 10 **Operator's Signature** Dete ۵

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1088

Senate Judiciary Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date 03/17/03

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	x		0.0 - 4.0
ommittee Clerk Signature	Moria	LSollrerg	

Minutes: Senator John T. Traynor, Chairman, called the meeting to order. Roll call was taken and all committee members present. Sen. Traynor requested meeting starts with committee work on the bill:

<u>Sen. Traynor</u> introduced a hog house amendment - discussed amendment changing the source of the funds from the civil filing fee, to the court administration fee-imposed on all criminal cases. This is in addition to all criminal cases except infractions. This does not affect the percent that the courts could impose but it could go up to 30%. <u>Sen. Trenbeath</u> Discussed " The statute says the court administrative fee **must** include a fee of up to 30%". What does that mean? Could be a dollar? <u>Sen. Traynor</u> responded. That is right-then in addition they get \$100, \$50 for indigent defense and \$50 for courthouses. I question then that we might be relieving the general fund of \$100. What the court may do is levy a \$125 administrative fee i.e.. DUI \$250 Fine and \$125 administrative fee. <u>Sen. Traynor</u> discussed eliminating the "up to"-and just require a 30% administrative fee. Sen. Trenbeath stated that 30% of B- misdemeanor would be \$333 + \$100.



Page 2 Senate Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 03/17/03

ς,

That is considerable when you are talking about the lowest criminal category we have. If you mandate 30% and out of that came \$100 or if we mandate 25%- discussion (meter 4). I do not like to use mandate. What is the standard fee across the state for each offense, this could vary. <u>Sen. Trenbeath</u> discussed a new amendment by setting a fee standard. If we use the terms "In addition to" and name the other two fees i.e. indigent fund.... Keeping all three separate, instead of "must include..." While this amendment says what we want it to, I don't think courts may interpret it as something that will generate the dollars. "Cut the blanket off on one end and sew it on the other". Discussion to maximum allowable fines and fines actually imposed Discussion of actual court process among committee members. (meter 11) Discussion of a new amendment: <u>Sen. Lyson</u> asked if we could raise the fee by the severity of the crime, by percent? They may get a double whammy if the fee goes up also. Discussion on a cap of \$1,000. <u>Sen. Lyson</u> stated "just to throw another ball on the court" what if we forget caps and just state it as "X" amount of dollars for class A misdemeanors, "X" amount for class B,

Mandatory Administration fees to include -

Misdemeanor:

B - \$225 = Administrative Fee (\$125) + Two Funds (Court House & Indigent Defense) \$100 A - \$300

Felony:

C - \$500

D - \$750

A - \$1,000

AA- \$1,000 WWW. Martin Contraction Contraction The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Kodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archivel microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. a Signature ŧ

Page 3 Senate Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 03/17/03

Looking at past history the courts seem to collect 65% of the fees.

Motion Made to DO PASS Amendment to Engrossed HB 1088 by Senator Thomas L.

P #

Trenbeath and seconded by Senator Stanley W. Lyson, Vice Chairman .

Roll Call Vote: 4 Yes. 1 No. 1 Absent

Motion Passed

Motion Made to DO PASS Engrossed HB 1088 to Appropriations as amended by Senator

Thomas L. Trenbeath and seconded by Senator Stanley W. Lyson, Vice Chairman

Roll Call Vote: 4 Yes. 1 No. 1 Absent

Motion Passed

Floor Assignment: Senator John T. Traynor, Chairman

Senator John T. Traynor, Chairman closed the hearing

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Hodern Information Systems for microfilming and user filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Operator's Signature Døté ŧ

AH #/ 3/12

38073.0304 Title.

2.1

We dealer and the second

Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for Senator Traynor March 11, 2003

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO REENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1088

Page 1, line 4, replace "section 27-05.2-03" with "sections 12-48-15, 12-48.1-03, 29-26-22, 39-08-20, and 51-04-03.1" and replace "fees charged by the clerk of" with "court administration fees"

Page 1, line 5, remove "the district court"

Page 1, replace lines 7 through 24 with:

"SECTION 1. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48-15 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48-15. Disposition of moneys earned - Warden to keep account of money earned by inmates - Investment in interest-bearing accounts.

- 1. The warden of the penitentiary shall keep an account for each inmate. Not more than fifty percent of an inmate's penitentiary earnings, as provided by penitentiary rules, must be withheld from an inmate and deposited in a separate account for the inmate and may not be made available to the inmate until the inmate's release from the penitentiary, except as authorized by the warden. The remainder of an inmate's earnings must be made available to the inmate on a regular basis.
- 2. Inmates may, in writing, authorize the warden or designee to deposit any of their accumulated earnings from the prison industries, hobby, work release, or any other prison program in an interest-earning account in the Bank of North Dakota for the benefit of the inmate. The account must be a two-signature account requiring the inmate's signature and that of an authorized designated officer or employee of the state penitentiary for withdrawal.
- 3. The warden may directly deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside of the penitentiary in any bank or account the inmate may designate. If a court order does not allow an inmate to designate a bank or account other than a Bank of North Dakota account or if it is necessary for the benefit and protection of the inmate, the warden, upon written explanation to the inmate, shall deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside the penitentiary into a Bank of North Dakota account. The department of corrections and rehabilitation and its divisions, departments, officers, and employees may not be held responsible or liable for any inmate.
- 4. The warden is responsible for guiding inmates in making proper use of their funds to pay their obligations, including the payment of court costs any administration fee, court-appointed counsel fees, court-ordered restitution, support for dependent relatives, or to provide for their own medical, surgical, eye care, or dental treatment or services not generally provided by

the state. The warden may withdraw funds from an inmate's penitentiary account or Bank of North Dakota two-signature account, without the inmate's signature, to meet the inmate's legitimate financial obligations. Before the funds may be withdrawn, the inmate must first receive written notice and be provided a penitentiary administrative hearing with the right to penitentiary staff assistance and the right to appeal to the director of the

Page No. 1

38073.0304

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

gnature

department of corrections and rehabilitation. An inmate is not entitled to prior written notice, administrative hearing, or right to an appeal to the department of corrections and rehabilitation when funds are to be withdrawn for payment of a court-ordered obligation, including child support, provided the inmate has had notice and an opportunity to be heard in the court proceedings. 的法书的

5. The warden may pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account, less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary, when the inmate is transferred to a county jail or regional correctional center or placed in community corrections confinement. The warden shall pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary when the inmate is transferred to a correctional facility outside of this state, released on parole, or discharged from the penitentiary.

SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48.1-03 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48.1-03. Use of funds earned on work release. The plan for the inmate shall provide that any funds earned in outside employment will be used in the following order: for necessary expenses of the inmate, including room and board costs of the institution: court opsts or any administration fee and line; restitution if a part of the sentence; necessary support of dependents; and credited to inmate's personal account to be paid the inmate on release."

Page 2, remove lines 1 through 19

ALL ALL

Page 2, line 24, after "fund" insert "certain" and remove "paragraph 2 of"

Page 2, line 25, remove "subdivision a of subsection 1 of" and replace "27-05.2-03" with "29-26-22"

Page 4, after line 7, insert:

"SECTION 6. AMENDMENT. Section 29-26-22 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

29-26-22. Judgment for fines, costs, and court administration fee -Statement to be filed by court Special fund - Docketing and enforcement. In all criminal cases upon a plea or finding of guilt, a court administration fee of up to thirty fifty percent of the maximum allowable fine for the offense may must be taxed against the defendant in lieu of the assessment of court costs. If the court does assessed as part of its sentence, the court shall include in the judgment the facts justifying the amount assessed. The court shall assess an administration fee of at least one hundred dollars against each criminal defendant. Fifty dollars of each administration fee must be deposited in a special fund to be used to support the indigent defense system in this state. Fifty dollars of each administration fee must be deposited in the court facilities improvement and maintenance fund. A court may waive the administration fee upon a showing of indigency as provided in section 25-03.1-13. District court costs, administration fees, greater than one hundred dollars and forfeitures must be deposited in the state general fund. A judgment that the defendant pay a fine, costs, or court administration fee, or any combination thereof both, may be docketed, and thereafter if docketed constitutes a lien upon the real estate of the defendant in like manner as a judgment for money rendered in a civil action. The court may allow the defendant to pay any assessed costs or administrative administration fee in installments. When a

Page No. 2

38073.0304

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

defendant is assessed costs or administrative <u>administration</u> fees, the court may not impose at the same time an alternative sontence to be served if the costs fees are not paid. and the second

es Eller

AUX MIN

SECTION 7. AMENDMENT. Section 39-08-20 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

39-08-20. Driving without liability insurance prohibited - Penalty.

- 1. A person may not drive, or the owner may not cause or knowingly permit to be driven, a motor vehicle in this state without a valid policy of liability insurance in effect in order to respond in damages for liability arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of that motor vehicle in the amount required by chapter 39-16.1. Upon being stopped by a law enforcement officer for the purpose of enforcing or investigating the possible violation of an ordinance or state law or during the investigation of an accident, the person driving the motor vehicle shall provide to the officer upon request satisfactory evidence of the policy required under this section. If unable to comply with the request, that person may be charged with a violation of this section if that person fails to submit satisfactory evidence of the policy to the officer or the officer's agency within twenty days of the date of the request. If that person produces a valid policy of liability insurance in effect at the time of violation of this section to the officer, officer's agency, or a court, that person may not be convicted or assessed any court costo administration fee for violation of this section. If the driver is not an owner of the motor vehicle, the driver does not violate this section if the driver provides the court with evidence identifying the owner of the motor vehicle and describing circumstances under which the owner caused or permitted the driver to drive the motor vehicle. Violation of this section is a class B misdemeanor and the sentence imposed must include a fine of at least one hundred fifty dollars which may not be suspended. A person convicted for a second or subsequent violation of driving without liability insurance within an eighteen-month period must be fined at least three hundred dollars which may not be suspended.
- 2. Upon conviction for a violation of this section or equivalent ordinance, the person who has been convicted shall provide proof of motor vehicle liability insurance to the department in the form of a written or electronically transmitted certificate from an insurance carrier authorized to do business in this state. This proof must be provided for a period of three years and kept on file with the department. If the person fails to provide this information, the department shall suspend that person's driving privileges and may not issue or renew that person's operator's license unless that person provides proof of insurance.
- 3. A person who has been convicted for violation of this section or equivalent ordinance shall surrender that person's operator's license and purchase a duplicate operator's license with a notation requiring that person to keep proof of liability insurance on file with the department. The fee for this license is fifty dollars and the fee to remove this notation is fifty dollars.
- 4. When an insurance carrier has certified a motor vehicle liability policy, the insurance carrier shall notify the director no later than ten days after cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy by filing a notice of cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy; except that a policy subsequently procured and certified shall, on the effective date of its

certification, terminate the insurance previously certified with respect to any motor vehicle designated in both certificates.

Page No. 3 38073.0304



SECTION 8. AMENDMENT. Section 51-04-03.1 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

51-04-03.1. License to be carried by licensee and exhibited on demand. Every transient merchant licensed under this chapter shall have the license in immediate possession at all times when engaging in or transacting any business regulated by this chapter. The licensee shall display the license when requested to do so by any court, law enforcement official, peace officer, or consumer. However, a person charged with violating this requirement may not be convicted, fined, or assessed ecurt costs the administration fee if the license is produced in court or to the arresting officer and if the license was valid at the time of the arrest."

Renumber accordingly

Page No. 4 38073.0304 ÷È, ana and the second contraction of the second contraction of the second The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. HOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. **áto**r s Signature

38073.0305 Title,

R.,

MAL MIS

2. His

Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for Senate Judiciary March 12, 2003

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO REENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1088

Page 1, line 4, replace "section 27-05.2-03" with "sections 12-48-15, 12-48.1-03, 29-26-22, 39-08-20, and 51-04-03.1" and replace "fees charged by the clerk of" with "court administration fees"

Page 1, line 5, remove "the district court"

Page 1, replace lines 7 through 24 with:

"SECTION 1. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48-15 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48-15. Disposition of moneys earned - Warden to keep account of money earned by inmates - Investment in Interest-bearing accounts.

- 1. The warden of the penitentiary shall keep an account for each inmate. Not more than fifty percent of an inmate's penitentiary earnings, as provided by penitentiary rules, must be withheld from an inmate and deposited in a separate account for the inmate and may not be made available to the inmate until the inmate's release from the penitentiary, except as authorized by the warden. The remainder of an inmate's earnings must be made available to the inmate on a regular basis.
- 2. Inmates may, in writing, authorize the warden or designee to deposit any of their accumulated earnings from the prison industries, hobby, work release, or any other prison program in an interest-earning account in the Bank of North Dakota for the benefit of the inmate. The account must be a two-signature account requiring the inmate's signature and that of an authorized designated officer or employee of the state penitentiary for withdrawal.
- 3. The warden may directly deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside of the penitentiary in any bank or account the inmate may designate. If a court order does not allow an inmate to designate a bank or account other than a Bank of North Dakota account or if it is necessary for the benefit and protection of the inmate, the warden, upon written explanation to the inmate, shall deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside the penitentiary into a Bank of North Dakota account. The department of corrections and rehabilitation and its divisions, departments, officers, and employees may not be held responsible or liable for any inmate.
- 4. The warden is responsible for guiding inmates in making proper use of their funds to pay their obligations, including the payment of ecurt costs any administration fee, court-appointed counsel fees, court-ordered restitution, support for dependent relatives, or to provide for their own medical, surgical, eye care, or dental treatment or services not generally provided by

the state. The warden may withdraw funds from an inmate's penitentiary account or Bank of North Dakota two-signature account, without the inmate's signature, to meet the inmate's legitimate financial obligations. Before the funds may be withdrawn, the inmate must first receive written notice and be provided a penitentiary administrative hearing with the right to penitentiary staff assistance and the right to appeal to the director of the

Page No. 1

38073.0305

《新闻》

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

department of corrections and rehabilitation. An immate is not entitled to prior written notice, administrative hearing, or right to an appeal to the department of corrections and rehabilitation when funds are to be withdrawn for payment of a court-ordered obligation, including child support, provided the inmate has had notice and an opportunity to be heard in the court proceedings.

5. The warden may pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account, less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary, when the inmate is transferred to a county jail or regional correctional center or placed in community corrections confinement. The warden shall pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary when the inmate is transferred to a correctional facility outside of this state, released on parole, or discharged from the penitentiary.

SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48.1-03 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48.1-03. Use of funds earned on work release. The plan for the inmate shall provide that any funds earned in outside employment will be used in the following order: for necessary expenses of the inmate, including room and board costs of the institution; eeurt costs or any administration fee and fine; restitution if a part of the sentence; necessary support of dependents; and credited to inmate's personal account to be paid the inmate on release."

Page 2, remove lines 1 through 19

SUS MUN

Page 2, line 24, after "fund" insert "certain" and remove "paragraph 2 of"

Page 2, line 25, remove "subdivision a of subsection 1 of" and replace "27-05.2-03" with "29-26-22"

Page 4, after line 7, insert:

"SECTION 6. AMENDMENT. Section 29-26-22 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

29-26-22. Judgment for fines, costs, and court administration fee -Statement to be filed by court Special fund - Docketing and enforcement. In all criminal cases upon a plea or finding of guilt, a the court shail impose a court administration fee. The court administration fee must include a fee of up to thirty percent of the maximum allowable fine for the offense may be taxed against the defendant in lieu of the assessment of court costs. If the court does assess costs as part of its contonce, the court shall include in the judgment the facts justifying the amount accessed. In addition, the court administration fee must include fifty dollars for deposit in a special fund to be used to support the indigent defense system in this state and fifty dollars for deposit in the court facilities improvement and maintenance fund. A court may waive the administration fee upon a showing of Indigency as provided in section 25-03.1-13. District court eests, administration fees, greater than one hundred dollars and forfeitures must be deposited in the state general fund. A judgment that the defendant pay a fine, costs, or court administration fee, or any combination thereof both, may be docketed, and thereafter if docketed constitutes a lien upon the real estate of the defendant in like manner as a judgment for money rendered in a civil action. The court may allow the defendant to pay any assessed eeste or administrative administration fee in installments. When a defendant is assessed ecets er

Page No. 2

38073.0305

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

NAME OF COLUMN

ARGEN

administrative administration fees, the court may not impose at the same time an alternative sentence to be served if the costs fees are not paid.

SECTION 7. AMENDMENT. Section 39-08-20 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows: 8

1 Things

39-08-20. Driving without liability insurance prohibited - Penalty.

- A person may not drive, or the owner may not cause or knowingly permit to 1. be driven, a motor vehicle in this state without a valid policy of liability insurance in effect in order to respond in damages for liability arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of that motor vehicle in the amount required by chapter 39-16.1. Upon being stopped by a law enforcement officer for the purpose of enforcing or investigating the possible violation of an ordinance or state law or during the investigation of an accident, the person driving the motor vehicle shall provide to the officer upon request satisfactory evidence of the policy required under this section. If unable to comply with the request, that person may be charged with a violation of this section if that person fails to submit satisfactory evidence of the policy to the officer or the officer's agency within twenty days of the date of the request. If that person produces a valid policy of liability insurance in effect at the time of violation of this section to the officer, officer's agency, or a court, that person may not be convicted or assessed any court costs administration fee for violation of this section. If the driver is not an owner of the motor vehicle, the driver does not violate this section if the driver provides the court with evidence identifying the owner of the motor vehicle and describing circumstances under which the owner caused or permitted the driver to drive the motor vehicle. Violation of this section is a class B misdemeanor and the sentence imposed must include a fine of at least one hundred fifty dollars which may not be suspended. A person convicted for a second or subsequent violation of driving without liability insurance within an eighteen-month period must be fined at least three hundred dollars which may not be suspended.
- 2. Upon conviction for a violation of this section or equivalent ordinance, the person who has been convicted shall provide proof of motor vehicle liability insurance to the department in the form of a written or electronically transmitted certificate from an insurance carrier authorized to do business in this state. This proof must be provided for a period of three years and kept on file with the department. If the person falls to provide this information, the department shall suspend that person's driving privileges and may not issue or renew that person's operator's license unless that person provides proof of insurance.
- 3. A person who has been convicted for violation of this section or equivalent ordinance shall surrender that person's operator's license and purchase a duplicate operator's license with a notation requiring that person to keep proof of liability insurance on file with the department. The fee for this license is fifty dollars and the fee to remove this notation is fifty dollars.
- 4. When an insurance carrier has certified a motor vehicle liability policy, the insurance carrier shall notify the director no later than ten days after cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy by filing a notice of cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy; except that a policy subsequently procured and certified shall, on the effective date of its

certification, terminate the insurance previously certified with respect to any motor vehicle designated in both certificates.

SECTION 8. AMENDMENT. Section 51-04-03.1 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

Page No. 3

38073.0305

65 DA

1

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Derator's Signature 51-04-03.1. License to be carried by licensee and exhibited on demand. Every transient merchant licensed under this chapter shall have the license in immediate possession at all times when engaging in or transacting any business regulated by this chapter. The licensee shall display the license when requested to do so by any court, law enforcement official, peace officer, or consumer. However, a person charged with violating this requirement may not be convicted, fined, or assessed court-costs the administration fee if the license is produced in court or to the arresting officer and if the license was valid at the time of the arrest."

Renumber accordingly

1.J

38073.0305 Page No. 4 . Kina The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archivel microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. s Signature

į.

38073.0306 Title.

Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for Senate Judiciary March 13, 2003

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO REENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1088

Page 1, line 4, replace "section 27-05.2-03" with "sections 12-48-15, 12-48.1-03, 29-26-22, 39-08-20, and 51-04-03.1" and replace "fees charged by the clerk of" with "court administration fees"

Page 1, line 5, remove "the district court"

Page 1, replace lines 7 through 24 with:

"SECTION 1. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48-15 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48-15. Disposition of moneys earned - Warden to keep account of money earned by inmates - Investment in interest-bearing accounts.

- 1. The warden of the penitentiary shall keep an account for each inmate. Not more than fifty percent of an inmate's penitentiary earnings, as provided by penitentiary rules, must be withheld from an inmate and deposited in a separate account for the inmate and may not be made available to the inmate until the inmate's release from the penitentiary, except as authorized by the warden. The remainder of an inmate's earnings must be made available to the inmate on a regular basis.
- 2. Inmates may, in writing, authorize the warden or designee to deposit any of their accumulated earnings from the prison industries, hobby, work release, or any other prison program in an interest-earning account in the Bank of North Dakota for the benefit of the inmate. The account must be a two-signature account requiring the inmate's signature and that of an authorized designated officer or employee of the state penitentiary for withdrawal.
- 3. The warden may directly deposit an irimate's funds from sources outside of the penitentiary in any bank or account the inmate may designate. If a court order does not allow an inmate to designate a bank or account other than a Bank of North Dakota account or if it is necessary for the benefit and protection of the inmate, the warden, upon written explanation to the inmate, shall deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside the penitentiary into a Bank of North Dakota account. The department of corrections and rehabilitation and its divisions, departments, officers, and employees may not be heid responsible or liable for any inmate income or funds deposited into a bank or account designated by an inmate.
- 4. The warden is responsible for guiding inmates in making proper use of their funds to pay their obligations, including the payment of eeurt costs any administration fee, court-appointed counsel fees, court-ordered restitution, support for dependent relatives, or to provide for their own medical, surgical, eye care, or dental treatment or services not generally provided by the state. The warden may withdraw funds from an inmate's penitentiary account or Bank of North Dakota two-signature account, without the inmate's signature, to meet the inmate's legitimate financial obligations.

Before the funds may be withdrawn, the inmate must first receive written notice and be provided a penitentiary administrative hearing with the right to penitentiary staff assistance and the right to appeal to the director of the

Page No. 1 38073.0306

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

department of corrections and rehabilitation. An inmate is not entitled to prior written notice, administrative hearing, or right to an appeal to the department of corrections and rehabilitation when funds are to be withdrawn for payment of a court-ordered obligation, including child support, provided the inmate has had notice and an opportunity to be heard in the court proceedings. 1

5. The warden may pay an inmate all funds in the Inmate's account, less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary, when the inmate is transferred to a county jail or regional correctional center or placed in community corrections confinement. The warden shall pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary when the inmate is transferred to a correctional facility outside of this state, released on parole, or discharged from the penitentiary.

SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48.1-03 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48.1-03. Use of funds earned on work release. The plan for the inmate shall provide that any funds earned in outside employment will be used in the following order: for necessary expenses of the inmate, including room and board costs of the institution; court costs or any administration fee and fine; restitution if a part of the sentence; necessary support of dependents; and credited to inmate's personal account to be paid the inmate on release."

Page 2, remove lines 1 through 19

Page 2, line 24, after "fund" insert "certain" and remove "paragraph 2 of"

Page 2, line 25, remove "subdivision a of subsection 1 of" and replace "27-05.2-03" with "29-26-22"

Page 4, after line 7, insert:

1.186

"SECTION 6. AMENDMENT. Section 29-26-22 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

29-25-22. Judgment for fines, costs, and court administration fee -Statement to be filed by court Special fund - Docketing and enforcement. In all criminal cases upon a plea or finding of guilt, e the court shall impose a court administration fee. The court administration fee must include a fee of up to thirty percent of the maximum allowable fine for the offense mcy be taxed against the defendant in lieu of the assessment of court costs. If the court doos assess costs as part of its contonce, the court chall include in the judgment the facts justifying the amount accessed. In addition, the court administration fee must include fifty dollars for deposit in the indigent defense administration fund and must be used to contract for indigent defense services in this state and fifty dollars for deposit in the court facilities improvement and maintenance fund. A court may waive the administration fee upon a showing of indigency as provided in section 25-03.1-13. District court eosts, administration fees, greater than one hundred dollars and forfeitures must be deposited in the state general fund. A judgment that the defendant pay a fine, costs, or court administration fee, or any combination thereof both, may be docketed, and thereafter if docketed constitutes a lien upon the real estate of the defendant in like manner as a judgment for money rendered in a civil action. The court may allow the defendant to pay any assessed eeste or administrative administration fee in installments. When a

Page No. 2

38073.0306

0.050

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

defendant is assessed eosts or administrative administration fees, the court may not impose at the same time an alternative sentence to be served if the eeste fees are not paid.

Π.

SECTION 7. AMENDMENT. Section 39-08-20 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

39-08-20. Driving without liability insurance prohibited - Penalty.

- A person may not drive, or the owner may not cause or knowingly permit to 1. be driven, a motor vehicle in this state without a valid policy of liability insurance in effect in order to respond in damages for liability arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of that motor vehicle in the amount required by chapter 39-16.1. Upon being stopped by a law enforcement officer for the purpose of enforcing or investigating the possible violation of an ordinance or state law or during the investigation of an accident, the person driving the motor vehicle shall provide to the officer upon request satisfactory evidence of the policy required under this section. If unable to comply with the request, that person may be charged with a violation of this section if that person fails to submit satisfactory evidence of the policy to the officer or the officer's agency within twenty days of the date of the request. If that person produces a valid policy of liability insurance in effect at the time of violation of this section to the officer, officer's agency, or a court, that person may not be convicted or assessed any court coole administration fee for violation of this section. If the driver is not an owner of the motor vehicle, the driver does not violate this section if the driver provides the court with evidence identifying the owner of the motor vehicle and describing circumstances under which the owner caused or permitted the driver to drive the motor vehicle. Violation of this section is a class B misdemeanor and the sentence imposed must include a fine of at least one hundred fifty dollars which may not be suspended. A person convicted for a second or subsequent violation of driving without liability insurance within an eighteen-month period must be fined at least three hundred dollars which may not be suspended.
- 2. Upon conviction for a violation of this section or equivalent ordinance, the person who has been convicted shall provide proof of motor vehicle liability insurance to the department in the form of a written or electronically transmitted certificate from an insurance carrier authorized to do business in this state. This proof must be provided for a period of three years and kept on file with the department. If the person fails to provide this information, the department shall suspend that person's driving privileges and may not issue or renew that person's operator's license unless that person provides proof of insurance.
- 3. A person who has been convicted for violation of this section or equivalent ordinance shall surrender that person's operator's license and purchase a duplicate operator's license with a notation requiring that person to keep proof of liability insurance on file with the department. The fee for this license is fifty dollars and the fee to remove this notation is fifty dollars.
- 4. When an insurance carrier has certified a motor vehicle liability policy, the insurance carrier shall notify the director no later than ten days after cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy by filing a notice of cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy; except that a

policy subsequently procured and certified shall, on the effective date of its certification, terminate the insurance previously certified with respect to any motor vehicle designated in both certificates.

Page No. 3 38073.0306 ((******\$})) The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

SECTION 8. AMENDMENT. Section 51-04-03.1 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

51-04-03.1. License to be carried by licensee and exhibited on demand. Every transient merchant licensed under this chapter shall have the license in immediate possession at all times when engaging in or transacting any business regulated by this chapter. The licensee shall display the license when requested to Jo so by any court, law enforcement official, peace officer, or consumer. However, a person charged with violating this requirement may not be convicted, fined, or assessed court costs the administration fee if the license is produced in court or to the arresting officer and if the license was valid at the time of the arrest."

Renumber accordingly



38073.0307 Title.

Real and the second s

Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for Senate Judiciary March 13, 2003 "城"

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO REENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1088

Page 1, line 4, replace "section 27-05.2-03" with "sections 12-48-15, 12-48.1-03, 29-26-22, 39-08-20, and 51-04-03.1" and replace "fees charged by the clerk of" with "court administration fees"

Page 1, line 5, remove "the district court"

Page 1, replace lines 7 through 24 with:

"SECTION 1. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48-15 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-40-15. Disposition of moneys earned - Warden to keep account of money earned by inmates - Investment in Interest-bearing accounts.

- 1. The warden of the penitentiary shall keep an account for each inmate. Not more than fifty percent of an inmate's penitentiary earnings, as provided by penitentiary rules, must be withheld from an inmate and deposited in a separate account for the inmate and may not be made available to the inmate until the inmate's release from the penitentiary, except as authorized by the warden. The remainder of an inmate's earnings must be made available to the inmate on a regular basis.
- 2. Inmates may, in writing, authorize the warden or designee to deposit any of their accumulated earnings from the prison industries, hobby, work release, or any other prison program in an interest-earning account in the Bank of North Dakota for the benefit of the inmate. The account must be a two-signature account requiring the inmate's signature and that of an authorized designated officer or employee of the state penitentiary for withdrawal.
- 3. The warden may directly deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside of the penitentiary in any bank or account the inmate may designate. If a court order does not allow an inmate to designate a bank or account other than a Bank of North Dakota account or if it is necessary for the benefit and protection of the inmate, the warden, upon written explanation to the inmate, shall deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside the penitentiary into a Bank of North Dakota account. The department of corrections and rehabilitation and its divisions, departments, officers, and employees may not be held responsible or liable for any inmate income or funds deposited into a bank or account designated by an inmate.
- 4. The warden is responsible for guiding inmates in making proper use of their funds to pay their obligations, including the payment of ecurt costs any <u>administration fee</u>, court-appointed counsel fees, court-ordered restitution, support for dependent relatives, or to provide for their own medical, surgical, eye care, or dental treatment or services not generally provided by the state. The warden may withdraw funds from an inmate's penitentiary account or Bank of North Dakota two-signature account, without the

inmate's signature, to meet the inmate's legitimate financial obligations. Before the funds may be withdrawn, the inmate must first receive written notice and be provided a penitentiary administrative hearing with the right to penitentiary staff assistance and the right to appeal to the director of the

Page No. 1 38073.0307



department of corrections and rehabilitation. An inmate is not entitled to prior written notice, administrative hearing, or right to an appeal to the department of corrections and rehabilitation when funds are to be withdrawn for payment of a court-ordered obligation, including child support, provided the inmate has had notice and an opportunity to be heard in the court proceedings. No.

ANA ALLA

5. The warden may pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account, less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary, when the inmate is transferred to a county jail or regional correctional center or placed in community corrections confinement. The warden shall pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary when the inmate is transferred to a correctional facility outside of this state, released on parole, or discharged from the penitentiary.

SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48.1-03 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48.1-03. Use of funds earned on work release. The plan for the inmate shall provide that any funds earned in outside employment will be used in the following order: for necessary expenses of the inmate, including room and board costs of the institution; eourt costs or any administration fee and fine; restitution if a part of the sentence; necessary support of dependents; and credited to inmate's personal account to be paid the inmate on release."

Page 2, remove lines 1 through 19

Page 2, line 24, after "fund" insert "certain" and remove "paragraph 2 of"

Page 2, line 25, remove "subdivision a of subsection 1 of" and replace "27-05.2-03" with "29-26-22"

Page 4, after line 7, insert:

"SECTION 6. AMENDMENT. Section 29-26-22 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

29-26-22. Judgment for fines, costs, and court administration fee -Statement to be filed by court Special fund - Docketing and enforcement. In all criminal cases upon a plea or finding of guilt, a the court shall impose a court administration fee. The court administration fee must include a fee of up to thirty percent of the maximum allowable fine for the offense may be taxed against the defendant in lieu of the assessment of court costs. If the court doce assess costs as part of its centones, the court shall include in the judgment the facts justifying the amount assessed. In addition, in all criminal cases except infractions, the court administration fee must include fifty dollars for deposit in the indigent defense administration fund and must be used to contract for indigent defense services in this state and fifty dollars for deposit in the court facilities improvement and maintenance fund. A court may waive the administration fee upon a showing of indigency as provided in section 25-03.1-13. District court ecoter administration fees, exclusive of

provided in section 25-03.1-13. District court eeote, administration fees, exclusive of amounts deposited in the indigent defense administration fund and the court facilities and improvement fund, and forfeitures must be deposited in the state general fund. A judgment that the defendant pay a fine, costs, or court administration fee, or any combination thereof both, may be docketed, and thereafter if docketed constitutes a lien upon the real estate of the defendant in like manner as a judgment for money rendered

Page No. 2

38073.0307



\$15-

A STATE BY

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is lucan egible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

in a civil action. The court may allow the defendant to pay any assessed eests or administrative administration fee in installments. When a defendant is assessed eests or administrative administration fees, the court may not impose at the same time an alternative sentence to be served if the eests fees are not paid.

SECTION 7. AMENDMENT. Section 39-08-20 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

39-08-20. Driving without liability insurance prohibited - Penalty.

- 1. A person may not drive, or the owner may not cause or knowingly permit to be driven, a motor vehicle in this state without a valid policy of liability insurance in effect in order to respond in damages for liability arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of that motor vehicle in the amount required by chapter 39-16.1. Upon being stopped by a law enforcement officer for the purpose of enforcing or investigating the possible violation of an ordinance or state law or during the investigation of an accident, the person driving the motor vehicle shall provide to the officer upon request satisfactory evidence of the policy required under this section. If unable to comply with the request, that person may be charged with a violation of this section if that person falls to submit satisfactory evidence of the policy to the officer or the officer's agency within twenty days of the date of the request. If that person produces a valid policy of liability insurance in effect at the time of violation of this section to the officer, officer's agency, or a court, that person may not be convicted or assessed any court-ocste administration fee for violation of this section. If the driver is not an owner of the motor vehicle, the driver does not violate this section if the driver provides the court with evidence identifying the owner of the motor vehicle and describing circumstances under which the owner caused or permitted the driver to drive the motor vehicle. Violation of this section is a class B misdemeanor and the sentence imposed must include a fine of at least one hundred fifty dollars which may not be suspended. A person convicted for a second or subsequent violation of driving without liability insurance within an eighteen-month period must be fined at least three hundred dollars which may not be suspended.
- 2. Upon conviction for a violation of this section or equivalent ordinance, the person who has been convicted shall provide proof of motor vehicle liability insurance to the department in the form of a written or electronically transmitted certificate from an insurance carrier authorized to do business in this state. This proof must be provided for a period of three years and kept on file with the department. If the person fails to provide this information, the department shall suspend that person's driving privileges and may not issue or renew that person's operator's license unless that person provides proof of insurance.
- 3. A person who nas been convicted for violation of this section or equivalent ordinance shall surrender that person's operator's license and purchase a duplicate operator's license with a notation requiring that person to keep proof of liability insurance on file with the department. The fee for this license is fifty dollars and the fee to remove this notation is fifty dollars.
- 4. When an Insurance carrier has certified a motor vehicle liability policy, the insurance carrier shall notify the director no later than ten days after cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy by filing a notice of cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy; except that a

policy subsequently procured and certified shall, on the effective date of its certification, terminate the insurance previously certified with respect to any motor vehicle designated in both certificates.

38073.0307

A MAIN

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Page No. 3

TOWAR

The second

SECTION 8. AMENDMENT. Section 51-04-03.1 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

51-04-03.1. License to be carried by licensee and exhibited on demand. Every transient merchant licensed under this chapter shall have the license in immediate possession at all times when engaging in or transacting any business regulated by this chapter. The licensee shall display the license when requested to do so by any court, law enforcement official, peace officer, or consumer. However, a person charged with violating this requirement may not be convicted, fined, or assessed court costs the administration fee if the license is produced in court or to the arresting officer and if the license was valid at the time of the arrest."

Renumber accordingly

Γ,

Page No. 4 38073.0307 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meats standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archivel microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Signature htör ŧ

38073.0308 Title.0400

A the work of the alter of the second

Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for Senate Judiciary March 17, 2003

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO REENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO, 1088

Page 1, line 4, replace "section 27-05.2-03" with "sections 12-48-15, 12-48.1-03, 29-26-22, 39-08-20, and 51-04-03.1" and replace "fees charged by the clerk of" with "court administration fees"

Page 1, line 5, remove "the district court"

Page 1, replace lines 7 through 24 with:

"SECTION 1. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48-15 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48-15. Disposition of moneys earned - Warden to keep account of money earned by inmates - investment in interest-bearing accounts.

- 1. The warden of the penitentiary shall keep an account for each inmate. Not more than fifty percent of an inmate's penitentiary earnings, as provided by penitentiary rules, must be withheld from an inmate and deposited in a separate account for the inmate and may not be made available to the inmate until the inmate's release from the penitentiary, except as authorized by the warden. The remainder of an inmate's earnings must be made available to the inmate available to the inmate on a regular basis.
- 2. Inmates may, in writing, authorize the warden or designee to deposit any of their accumulated earnings from the prison industries, hobby, work release, or any other prison program in an interest-earning account in the Bank of North Dakota for the benefit of the inmate. The account must be a two-signature account requiring the inmate's signature and that of an authorized designated officer or employee of the state penitentiary for withdrawal.
- 3. The warden may directly deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside of the penitentiary in any bank or account the inmate may designate. If a court order does not allow an inmate to designate a bank or account other than a Bank of North Dakota account or if it is necessary for the benefit and protection of the inmate, the warden, upon written explanation to the inmate, shall deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside the penitentiary into a Bank of North Dakota account. The department of corrections and rehabilitation and its divisions, departments, officers, and employees may not be held responsible or liable for any inmate income or funds deposited into a bank or account designated by an inmate.
- 4. The warden is responsible for guiding inmates in making proper use of their funds to pay their obligations, including the payment of <u>court costs any</u> <u>administration fee</u>, court-appointed counsel fees, court-ordered restitution, support for dependent relatives, or to provide for their own medical, surgical, eye care, or dental treatment or services not generally provided by the state. The warden may withdraw funds from an inmate's penitentiary account or Bank of North Dakota two-signature account, without the inmate's signature, to meet the inmate's legitimate financial obligations.

Before the funds may be withdrawn, the inmate must first receive written notice and be provided a penitentiary administrative hearing with the right to penitentiary staff assistance and the right to appeal to the director of the

Page No. 1

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

onsture

38073.0308

department of corrections and rehabilitation. An inmate is not entitled to prior written notice, administrative hearing, or right to an appeal to the department of corrections and rehabilitation when funds are to be withdrawn for payment of a court-ordered obligation, including child support, provided the inmate has had notice and an opportunity to be heard In the court proceedings.

5. The warden may pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account, less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary, when the inmate is transferred to a county jail or regional correctional center or placed in community corrections confinement. The warden shall pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary when the inmate is transferred to a correctional facility outside of this state, released on parole, or discharged from the penitentiary.

SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48.1-03 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48.1-03. Use of funds earned on work release. The plan for the inmate shall provide that any funds earned in outside employment will be used in the following order: for necessary expenses of the inmate, including room and board costs of the institution; ecurt costs c. any administration fee and fine; restitution if a part of the sentence; necessary support of dependents; and credited to inmate's personal account to be paid the inmate on release."

Page 2, remove lines 1 through 19

Page 2, line 24, after "fund" insert "certain" and remove "paragraph 2 of"

Page 2, line 25, remove "subdivision a of subsection 1 of" and replace "27-05.2-03" with '<u>29-26-22</u>"

Page 4, after line 7, insert:

S crol.

document being filmed.

"SECTION 6. AMENDMENT. Section 29-26-22 of the North Dakota Century Code is amonded and reenacted as follows:

29-26-22. Judgment for fines, costs, and court administration fee -Statement to be filed by court Special fund - Docketing and enforcement. In all criminal cases except infractions, upon a plea or finding of guilt, a the court shall impose a court administration fee in lieu of the assessment of court costs. The court administration fee must include a fee of up to thirty percent of the maximum allowable fine for the offense may be taxed against the defendant in lieu of the assessment of court costs one hundred twenty-five dollars for a class B misdemeanor, two hundred dollars for a class A misdemeanor, four hundred dollars for a class C felony, six hundred fifty dollars for a class B felony, and nine hundred dollars for a class A or AA felony. If the court does assess costs as part of its sentence, the court shall include in the judgment the facts justifying the amount assessed. In addition, in all criminal cases except infractions, the court administration fee must include fifty dollars for deposit in the indigent defense administration fund which must be used to contract for indigent defense services in this state and fifty dollars for deposit in the court facilities

A cour improvement and maintenance fund may waive the administration fee upon a showing of indigency as provided in section 25-03.1-13. District court eests, administration fees, exclusive of amounts deposited in the indigent defense administration fund and the court facilities and improvement fund, and forfeitures must Page No. 2 38073.0308 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of businoss. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the

CHILD.

÷,

2.44

be deposited in the state general fund. A judgment that the defendant pay a fine, ceets, or court administration fee, or any combination thereof both, may be docketed, and thereafter if docketed constitutes a lien upon the real estate of the defendant in like manner as a judgment for money rendered in a civil action. The court may allow the defendant to pay any assessed exets or administrative administration fee in installments. When a defendant is assessed exets or administrative administrative administration fees, the court may not impose at the same time an alternative sentence to be served if the exets fees are not paid.

Wing

3054

SECTION 7. AMENDMENT. Section 39-08-20 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

39-08-20. Driving without liability insurance prohibited - Penalty.

- A person may not drive, or the owner may not cause or knowingly permit to 1. be driven, a motor vehicle in this state without a valid policy of liability insurance in effect in order to respond in damages for liability arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of that motor vehicle in the amount required by chapter 39-16.1. Upon being stopped by a law enforcement officer for the purpose of enforcing or investigating the possible violation of an ordinance or state law or during the investigation of an accident, the person driving the motor vehicle shall provide to the officer upon request satisfactory evidence of the policy required under this section. If unable to comply with the request, that person may be charged with a violation of this section if that person fails to submit satisfactory evidence of the policy to the officer or the officer's agency within twenty days of the date of the request. If that person produces a valid policy of liability insurance in effect at the time of violation of this section to the officer, officer's agency, or a court, that person may not be convicted or assessed any court costs administration fee for violation of this section. If the driver is not an owner of the motor vehicle, the driver does not violate this section if the driver provides the court with evidence identifying the owner of the motor vehicle and describing circumstances under which the owner caused or permitted the driver to drive the motor vehicle. Violation of this section is a class B misdemeanor and the sentence imposed must include a fine of at least one hundred fifty dollars which may not be suspended. A person convicted for a second or subsequent violation of driving without liability insurance within an eighteen-month period must be fined at least three hundred dollars which may not be suspended.
- 2. Upon conviction for a violation of this section or equivalent ordinance, the person who has been convicted shall provide proof of motor vehicle liability insurance to the department in the form of a written or electronically transmitted certificate from an insurance carrier authorized to do business in this state. This proof must be provided for a period of three years and kept on file with the department. If the person fails to provide this information, the department shall suspend that person's driving privileges and may not issue or renew that person's operator's license unless that person provides proof of insurance.
- 3. A person who has been convicted for violation of this section or equivalent ordinance shall surrender that person's operator's license and purchase a duplicate operator's license with a notation requiring that person to keep proof of liability insurance on file with the department. The fee for this license is fifty dollars and the fee to remove this notation is fifty dollars.
- 4. When an insurance carrier has certified a motor vehicle liability policy, the

insurance carrier shall notify the director no later than ten days after cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy by filing a notice of cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy; except that a

Page No. 3 38073.0308

R

ÚN BOR

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Operator's Signature Date policy subsequently procured and certified shall, on the effective date of its certification, terminate the insurance previously certified with respect to any motor vehicle designated in both certificates.

言語意義

4.14

SECTION 8. AMENDMENT. Section 51-04-03.1 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

51-04-03.1. License to be carried by licensee and exhibited on demand. Every transient merchant licensed under this chapter shall have the license in immediate possession at all times when engaging in or transacting any business regulated by this chapter. The licensee shall display the license when requested to do so by any court, law enforcement official, peace officer, or consumer. However, a person charged with violating this requirement may not be convicted, fined, or assessed ecurt costs the administration fee if the license is produced in court or to the arresting officer and if the license was valid at the time of the arrest."

Renumber accordingly

С

新聞のたい Page No. 4 38073.0308 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for erchival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. onstur ٤.

Date: March 17, 2003 Roll Call Vote #: 1

4

6.2.1

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1088

Senate	JUDICIARY			Committee		
Check here fo	or Conference Com	mittee				
Legislative Counci	l Amendment Nur	nber _			38073.	0308
Action Taken	DO PASS Amen	drnent				
Motion Made By	Sen. Trenbeath		Se	econded By Sen. Lyson		
a second s	ators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Sen. John T. Tray		X		Sen. Dennis Bercier	Α	A
Sen. Stanley. Lys	on - Vice Chair	X		Sen. Carolyn Nelson	<u> </u>	
Sen. Dick Dever			X			
Sen. Thomas L. J	renbeath	X				
		{				
······					•	
·····						
	میں میں بار ان اور میں ان میں اور میں ان اور میں ان اور میں او اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور					
······································		11				
الم من الم			د بدی معالم به در ا			
Total (Yes)	FOUR (4) 1)		No	ONE (1)		
Floor Assignment) 				

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

1.7

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. **î** 20

2

Operator's Signature

10 Date

.

្រស្

Date: March 17, 2003 Roll Call Vote #: 2 ACT

<u>i</u>la

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1088

Senate	JUDICIARY			Committee		
Check here for	or Conference Com	mittee				
Legislative Counc	il Amendment Nur	nber _	<u> </u>		38073.	0308
Action Taken	DO PASS Engr	ossed Hl	B with	Amendment	- <u></u>	
Motion Made By	Sen. Trenbeath		Se	conded By Sen. Lyson		
and the second	ators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Sen. John T. Tra	ynor - Chairman	X		Sen. Dennis Bercier	A	A
Sen. Stanley. Ly:	son - Vice Chair	X		Sen. Carolyn Nelson	X	
Sen. Dick Dever)		X			
Sen. Thomas L.	Trenbeath	X				
	-					
Total (Yes)	FOUR (4)		No	ONE (1)		
						<u> </u>
Absent ONE	(1)					- <u>V</u>
Floor Assignment	Sen. Traynor					

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

L.

مساري سوار الم مشتق به معالم

of Ph

N.Y. The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 10 Δ Operator's Signature

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 18, 2003 10:52 a.m.

Module No: SR-48-5009 Carrier: Traynor Insert LC: 38073.0308 Title: .0400

Ŷ

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1088, as reengrossed: Judiclary Committee (Sen. Traynor, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS and BE REREFERRED to the Appropriations Committee (4 YEAS, 1 NAY, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Reengrossed HB 1088 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 4, replace "section 27-05.2-03" with "sections 12-48-15, 12-48.1-03, 29-26-22, 39-08-20, and 51-04-03.1" and replace "fees charged by the clerk of" with "court administration fees"

Page 1, line 5, remove "the district court"

Page 1, replace lines 7 through 24 with:

"SECTION 1. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48-15 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48-15. Disposition of moneys earned - Warden to keep account of money earned by inmates - investment in interest-bearing accounts.

- 1. The warden of the penitentiary shall keep an account for each inmate. Not more than fifty percent of an inmate's penitentiary earnings, as provided by penitentiary rules, must be withheld from an inmate and deposited in a separate account for the inmate and may not be made available to the inmate until the inmate's release from the penitentiary, except as authorized by the warden. The remainder of an inmate's earnings must be made available to the inmate on a regular basis.
- 2. Inmates may, in writing, authorize the warden or designee to deposit any of their accumulated earnings from the prison industries, hobby, work release, or any other prison program in an interest-earning account in the Bank of North Dakota for the benefit of the inmate. The account must be a two-signature account requiring the inmate's signature and that of an authorized designated officer or employee of the state penitentiary for withdrawal.
- 3. The warden may directly deposit an inmate's funds from sources ouiside of the penitentiary in any bank or account the inmate may designate. If a court order does not allow an inmate to designate a bank or account other than a Bank of North Dakota account or if it is necessary for the benefit and protection of the inmate, the warden, upon written explanation to the inmate, shall deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside the penitentiary into a Bank of North Dakota account. The department of corrections and rehabilitation and its divisions, departments, officers, and employees may not be held responsible or liable for any inmate income or funds deposited into a bank or account designated by an inmate.
- 4. The warden is responsible for guiding inmates in making proper use of their funds to pay their obligations, including the payment of court costs any administration fee, court-appointed counsel fees, court-ordered restitution, support for dependent relatives, or to provide for their own medical, surgical, eye care, or dental treatment or services not generally provided by the state. The warden may withdraw funds from an inmate's

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records dolivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and SR-48-5009 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records dolivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and SR-48-5009 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records dolivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and SR-48-5009 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records dolivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and SR-48-5009 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records dolivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and SR-48-5009 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records dolivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and SR-48-5009 Description information in the regular ocurate reproductions of records dolivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and SR-48-5009 Description information information Systems for microfilming and SR-48-5009 Description information information information Systems for microfilming and SR-48-5009 Description information information information Systems for microfilming and SR-48-5009 Description information in

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 18, 2003 10:52 a.m.

Module No: SR-48-5009 Carrier: Traynor Insert LC: 38073.0308 Title: .0400

C Lings

receive written notice and be provided a penitentiary administrative hearing with the right to penitentiary staff assistance and the right to appeal to the director of the department of corrections and rehabilitation. An inmate is not entitled to prior written notice, administrative hearing, or right to an appeal to the department of corrections and rehabilitation when funds are to be withdrawn for payment of a court-ordered obligation, including child support, provided the inmate has had notice and an opportunity to be heard in the court proceedings.

5. The warden may pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account, less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary, when the inmate is transferred to a county jail or regional correctional center or placed in community corrections confinement. The warden shall pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary when the inmate is transferred to a correctional facility outside of this state, released on parole, or discharged from the penitentiary.

SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48.1-03 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48.1-03. Use of funds earned on work release. The plan for the inmate shall provide that any funds earned in outside employment will be used in the following order: for necessary expenses of the inmate, including room and board costs of the institution; court costs or any administration fee and fine; restitution if a part of the sentence; necessary support of dependents; and credited to inmate's personal account to be paid the inmate on release."

Page 2, remove lines 1 through 19

Page 2, line 24, after "fund" insert "certain" and remove "paragraph 2 of"

Page 2, line 25, remove "subdivision a of subsection 1 of" and replace "27-05.2-03" with "29-26-22"

Page 4, after line 7, insert:

"SECTION 6. AMENDMENT. Section 29-26-22 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

29-26-22. Judgment for fines, easts, and court administration fee -Statement to be filed by court Special fund - Docketing and enforcement. In all criminal cases except infractions, upon a plea or finding of guilt, ethe court shall impose a court administration fee in lieu of the assessment of court costs. The court administration fee must include a fee of up to thirty percent of the maximum allowable fine for the offense may be taxed against the defendant in lieu of the assessment of court costs one hundred twenty-five dollars for a class B misdemeanor, two hundred dollars for a class A misdemeanor, four hundred dollars for a class C felony, six hundred fifty dollars for a class B felony, and nine hundred dollars for a class A or AA felony. If the court does access costs as part of its sentence, the court shall include in the judgment the fasts justifying the amount accessed. In addition, in all criminal cases except infractions, the court administration fee must include fifty dollars for deposit in

the indigent defense administration fund which must be used to contract for indigent defense services in this state and fifty dollars for deposit in the court facilities improvement and maintenance fund. A court may waive the administration fee upon a showing of indigency as provided in section 25-03.1-13. District court eests, administration fees, exclusive of amounts deposited in the indigent defense

(2) DESK, (3) COMM

Page No. 2

SR-48-5009

61.

SAL STR



Read & Alexander

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

signature

REPORT OF S' ANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 18, 2003 10:52 a.m.

Module No: SR-48-5009 Carrier: Traynor Insert LC: 38073.0308 Title: .0400

administration fund and the court facilities and improvement fund, and forfeitures must be deposited in the state general fund. A judgment that the defendant pay a fine, costs, or court administration fee, or any combination thereof both, may be docketed, and thereafter if docketed constitutes a lien upon the real estate of the defendant in like manner as a judgment for money rendered in a civil action. The court may allow the defendant to pay any assessed costs or administrative administration fee in installments. When a defendant is assessed costs or administrative administration fees, the court may not impose at the same time an alternative sentence to be served if thecests fees are not paid.

SECTION 7. AMENDMENT. Section 39-08-20 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

39-08-20. Driving without liability insurance prohibited - Penalty.

- A person may not drive, or the owner may not cause or knowingly permit 1. to be driven, a motor vehicle in this state without a valid policy of liability insurance in effect in order to respond in damages for liability arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of that motor vehicle in the amount required by chapter 39-16.1. Upon being stopped by a law enforcement officer for the purpose of enforcing or investigating the possible violation of an ordinance or state law or during the investigation of an accident, the person driving the motor vehicle shall provide to the officer upon request satisfactory evidence of the policy required under this section. If unable to comply with the request, that person may be charged with a violation of this section if that person fails to submit satisfactory evidence of the policy to the officer or the officer's agency within twenty days of the date of the request. If that person produces a valid policy of liability insurance in effect at the time of violation of this section to the officer, officer's agency, or a court, that person may not be convicted or assessed any eourt contradministration fee for violation of this section. If the driver is not an owner of the motor vehicle, the driver does not violate this section if the driver provides the court with evidence identifying the owner of the motor vehicle and describing circumstances under which the owner caused or permitted the driver to drive the motor vehicle. Violation of this section is a class B misdemeanor and the sentence imposed must include a fine of at least one hundred fifty dollars which may not be suspended. A person convicted for a second or subsequent violation of driving without liability insurance within an eighteen-month period must be fined at least three hundred dollars which may not be suspended.
- 2. Upon conviction for a violation of this section or equivalent ordinance, the person who has been convicted shall provide proof of motor vehicle liability insurance to the department in the form of a written or electronically transmitted certificate from an insurance carrier authorized to do business in this state. This proof must be provided for a period of three years and kept on file with the department. If the person fails to provide this information, the department shall suspend that person's driving privileges and may not issue or renew that person's operator's license unless that person provides proof of insurance.
- 3. A person who has been convicted for violation of this section or equivalent

ordinance shall surrender that person's operator's license and purchase a duplicate operator's license with a notation requiring that person to keep proof of liability insurance on file with the department. The fee for this license is fifty dollars and the fee to remove this notation is fifty dollars.

(2) DESK, (3) COMM

Page No. 3

5R-46 J009



The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 18, 2003 10:52 a.m.

Module No: SR-48-5009 Carrier: Traynor Insert LC: 38073.0308 Title: .0400

4. When an insurance carrier has certified a motor vehicle liability policy, the insurance carrier shall notify the director no later than ten days after cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy by filing a notice of cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy; except that a policy subsequently procured and certified shall, on the effective date of its certification, terminate the insurance previously certified with respect to any motor vehicle designated in both certificates.

SECTION 8. AMENDMENT. Section 51-04-03.1 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

51-04-03.1. License to be carried by licensee and exhibited on demand. Every transient merchant licensed under this chapter shall have the license in immediate possession at all times when engaging in or transacting any business regulated by this chapter. The licensee shall display the license when requested to do so by any court, law enforcement official, peace officer, or consumer. However, a person charged with violating this requirement may not be convicted, fined, or assessed ecurt ecets the administration fee if the license is produced in court or to the arresting officer and if the license was valid at the time of the arrest."

Renumber accordingly





The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

How Operator's Signature 2 Date 3 ink 10 ∢¥

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1088

Senate Appropriations Committee

Conference Cummittee

Hearing Date March 20, 2003

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
#1	X		345 - 2840
<u> </u>			
Committee Clerk Signatu	ire Jande	mdruckn-	
	0		

Minutes:

Γ.

Senator Holmberg called the meeting back to order.

Senator Holmberg introduced HB 1088 - This bill was heard on the floor yesterday, and a new fiscal note will be coming to the committee next week. This bill will be put in with the other court subcommittee.

Jack Traynor, Senator District 15. He wanted to tell the committee about HB 1044 which came out of the interim committee and it would have transferred the indigent defense program from the court system to the office of administrative hearings. In 1044 there was also a means by which to finance the change from the court system to the office of administrative hearings and there was a fiscal note on that change of about \$151,000, which was the office of administrative hearings felt it would cost for them to set up the program. The Judical Committee considered that and they thought it would be better to leave the indigent defense program with the court, because the court

is all over the state, the administrative hearings is just in Bismarck, and they have limited **มออกสารมากการแบบเหมากบน**ตรงสารประวัติสารมณฑ์แจ้วไปให้สารใจของให้สีนั้นการสารการการการการการการการการการการการกา The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Hodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANS1) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Ignature

Page 2

Senate Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date March 20, 2003

experience in contracting with attorneys. The courts have vast experience in contracting with attorneys. The case load in the indigent defense program has been somewhat overwhelming (picture was passed out, Exhibit #1). Three firms that have had contracts with the state, have indicated that they are not interested in renewing, because the compensation is inadequate for the case loads, which have increased because of the meth cases and the other drug cases. He had asked Ted Gladden, state court administrator for information about the possibility of using administration fees imposed by the court in criminal cases as a means to finance both the improvement of the court courthouses and the indigent defense program. Letter from Mr. Gladden is attached, exhibit #2. HB 1088 did provide for the renovation of the courthouses in the various county seats across the state. The counties take the position that now the Judiciary is integrated, this should be a state expense. In the original bill, it provided that facilities be improved by an increase in the civil filing fees, presently they are \$80.00, with a proposal of going to \$90.00. That was opposed by the trail lawyers and by bar association as a means to fund this practical program. So his committee went to the administrative fee source in criminal cases, the logic being that those folks who are defendants in criminal cases are the ones that are using the court system and the funds from there should be used to fund the indigent defense fund and the courthouse improvements. The amendments adopted would do this Mr. Gladden's letter (Exhibit #2) also provided a sheet with the number of cases in the various judicial districts, and the number of misdemeanors and felonies which totals over 24,000 (Exhibit #3). There has some been discussion about the amount of money that would be collected from the criminal cases for administrative fees. Exhibit #4 shows the fees and cost recites from January - December 2002,

under the present system. The courts have collected over \$565,000 for just the first year and this The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and Where filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. s Signature Operator
Page 3 Senate Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date March 20, 2003

money went into the general fund, so in the 2 years it would be over a \$1 million. The collection has been about 65% for the court administrative fees. In the amendments in HB 1088, the Judiciary Committee set up the amount of administrative fees in every class of crime, starting with class B misdemeanors at \$125.00, then the amendment also provided that there be an additional \$100 assessed by the court that would maintain, if possible, the present flow of money from the administrative fee source into the general fund as shown by Exhibit #4. In additional, by assessing \$50.00 more for the indigent defense program and \$50.00 for the court facilities program, they would have enough to fund these two programs without invading the general fund. It is the intention of the Judicial Committee if HB 1088 is successful, then they will vote a DO NOT PASS on HB 1044. There is a resolution that the Judiciary Council that calls for a study of the indigent defense department to be set up in the future. There was not enough information to do the study now. Senator Holmberg (#1242) asked Senator Traynor if he would point out that you are talking about people that are pleading guilty or have been found guilty of a crime, not just someone who is in the system and has been charged with a crime. Are there other pleas, for example, no contest or some of those, would they still have to pay or isn't there a plea of no contest anymore. Senator Traynor replied that he has not been a criminal lawyer for sometime, so he wasn't able to answer that, it would have to be someone who was convicted of a crime, infractions would be eliminated, because they don't require any jail time. Senator Holmberg stated that the courts would have the decision to say this person does not have any money, to not assess those charges to them. Is that how it would work? Senator Traynor replied that it was, the court does have the right to waive the administrative fees. Senator Bowman (#1391) On the

second part of the first page, there is a \$10.00 fee. Senator Holmberg explained that it was taken The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. aneture

Senate Appropriations Committee **Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088** Hearing Date March 20, 2003

out, actually the first page after line 7 is all gone. It says on page 1, remove lines 7-24, Senator Christmann: (#1462) In the amendments on page 2, when it says you are going to charge upon a plea or finding of guilt, isn't non-guilty a plea, also? Senator Traynor: He thought it was a finding of guilt. Senator Christmann continued; This court consolidation happened before he was in the Senate or the first year he was in the Senate and he didn't fully grasp it, but the state was saying that they could run the courthouses so much more efficiently if they ran them, instead of the counties, and now it is being realized that it is not so cheap and so easy and the fees are being raised to keep up on them. Senator Traynor replied that he thought he was correct, the way it started in the reorganization of the Judiciary they had the county courts as well as the district courts, now the county courts have been eliminated, so the district courts handled the probate and the guardianship cases that the county courts formally handled. Then the Legislature moved on and all of the Judges and staff are now state employees, then recently the clerks of court were brought in to be contracted state employees, so the counties are now saying it is the judiciary's responsibility to pay for the upkeep of the courthouses where you have your hearings. Senator Christmann continued with asking those deemed innocent would not have to pay these costs? Senator Traynor replied that was correct, you have to be convicted with by a plea of guilty, finding by the court, or finding by the jury.

Senator Holmberg stated that the committee is focusing on the amendments because they are what the fiscal effect of the bill.

Ted Gladden (#1760), State Court Administrator. Written testimony is attached., Exhibit #5. See his written testimony. He was asked to provide a fiscal note on this and he will have it

available by Monday for the committee. Senator Holmberg stated that it was appropriate, The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Hodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. itor

Page 5 Senate Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date March 20, 2003

because the committee needs to mull this over, because it was a change in HB 1088 and they don't want to rush to judgment on this bill. He stated that he noticed that he mentioned that the court costs of the administration fees will not generate enough money to justify the cost of trying to collect, that must be a sense, because the committee doesn't have the fiscal note. Mr. Gladden stated that was correct. He stated that in talking to judges, you are dealing with people who are not generally good citizens, they have financial problems to begin with and they probably won't pay anyway, so the question gets to be is will there be substantial revenue from what is received now. Senator Bowman: (#2300) He had more of a concern, he wanted to know if this was the result of all of the things that the legislature has done to change the system to be more effective and yet it in only a couple of years, the legislature has to find a way to increase the funding for the court system that was suppose to save all of this money in the first place. Is this what this bill does, is add money to that court system to take care of the increase case load that was suppose to save money in the first place. Mr. Gladden replied that there is no control over how many people come through the court doors, and as the Supreme Court Judges pointed out, North Dakota is the only state that has a contract with lawyers for indigent defense services, which was done very inexpensively. Is it a matter of state funding that it is less efficiently, he didn't think so, it is just hard to generate a lot of support to pay more money to hire attorneys to represent people who don't live by our norms anyway, and it has caught up with the courts. It is just that the courts can't find attorneys anymore, this is just a mechanism that will add more revenues to pay for the indigent defense system in part as well as to pay for the recognition that a different mechanism in place for court facilities, but it doesn't really speak to

the efficiency of the court. The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Hodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image shove is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Signature

Page 6 Senate Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date March 20, 2003

η,

Senator Holmberg stated that the welding of the two issues, one probably that has more support than the other is one of the problems. Senator Holmberg (#2333) has a question for Senator Traynor, he wanted to know what he thought. Senator Traynor respectfully disagreed with the court. He refereed to the exhibit #4 that shows what each county collects and they are not just the assessments of the administration fees, these are the collections. Ramsey county (his county) collected over \$36,000, in one year, from criminal defendants that were found guilty, pled guilty and convicted. The judges in Ramsey county assess for administrative fees either \$125 or \$150 in every criminal case. He felt this means would bring in a lot of money, so he respectively disagree with the courts conclusion that it will be more trouble than gain. In the courts budget, he understands that there is presently \$4.3 million for indigent defense, and that is in place, but the indigent defense program, because it is not adequately funded, is going to cost the state another \$750,000, so if there source is not found in the administrative fee source it is to be found somewhere else -- general fund? He hope that the fiscal note is positive, he know these judges and they will do everything they can to collect these admistrative fees if this bill is passed. Senator Holmberg, understand that the rights of the indigent's rights to have legal counsel, if there were \$4 million and it costs \$4.4 million, the court has to spend \$4.4 million Senator Traynor stated that was correct.

With no other testimony on HB 1088, the hearing was closed: #2840.

! •พระมหละสรรณของวงหนอมวรรรณสรรณวิจารองประสิทิชมระจากกร้างระชาวิจากกร้างสาวกับสาวกับ เป็นสรรณร์ สาวกุษ เป็นกูม การก็สสับบรรณ์ได้ -The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less Legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1088 Vote

Senate Appropriations Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date March 26, 2003

	Side A	Side B	Meter #
#!		x	1,322-1526

Minutes:

C

Senator Holmberg explained HB 1350 (#1322). This bill is to collect moneys from people who are found guilty or plead guilty and are sentenced to jail. This will be used to help with the indigent defense fund. The fiscal note is about \$791,000 of new money. The thought for now is that the indigent defense will stay with the courts and not go to the administrative hearing office. Motion by Senator Robinson for a DO PASS, with a second by Senator Bowman. Roll call vote was taken, which is attached. 14 yes 0 no 0 absent Motion carried. Bill will be returned to Judiciary for carrying.

Voting closed #1526.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Signature Öde \$

Date: Roll Call Vote #:

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. /088

Committe			te Appropriations
		e Committee	Check here for Conference Co
3/26/03		nt Number	lative Council Amendment N
(as a mendred grusse		Pass	n Taken Do Pa
(as a mended grusse Seconded By Bourman	{	nsin	on Made By Roluns
No Senators Yes No	No	Yes	Senators
			ator Holmberg, Chairman
			ator Bowman, Vice Chair
		r	ator Grindberg, Vice Chair
		V	ator Andrist
			ator Christmann
			ator Kilzer
			ator Krauter
			ator Kringstad
			ator Lindaas
			ator Mathern
			ator Robinson
			ator Schobinger
			ator Tallackson
			ator Thane
No 10	N	Ý	(Yes) [4
icary. Trayma.			it

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

L.

Construction of the transfer of the second second The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. $\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ n¥ Ċ. stor's Signature Öper

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 26, 2003 10:42 a.m.

E.,

Moder's No: SR-54-5789 **Carrier:** Traynor Insert LC: . Title: .

1.2

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE HB 1088, as reengrossed and amended: Appropriations Committee (Sen. Holmberg, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (14 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VO ING). Reengrossed HB 1088, as amended, was placed on the Fourteenth order

No. of Contraction (2) DESK, (3) COMM Page No. 1 SR-54-5789 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Oper s Signature Date ator

2003 HOUSE JUDICIARY CONFERENCE COMMITTEÉ

10 A 11

1

A Lange

HB 1088

Ņ

्य

1

85.5 B

.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

10, () ick DI Date \mathcal{N} Operator's Signature

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1088

House Judiciary Committee

Conference Committee / House and Senate Judiciary Committees

Hearing Date 4/2/03

. .

的出来的

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	XX		0-12.4
	ین میرون از این میرون میرون این م		
Committee Clerk Signatu	re APenne	se	

Minutes: 6 members present: Sens. Traynor, Trenbeath, C. Nelson and Reps. Kretschmar, Maragos and Eckre.

Rep. Kretschmar: Called the Conference Committee meeting to order on HB 1088. Let the record show that all members are present and there is a quorum. I don't recognize HB 1088 any longer and I am going to call on Sen. Traynor to explain to us what the Senate did to our bill. Sen. Traynor: We heard HB 1088 and as I remember the original bill, it was to fund the court services program. There was going to be a \$10 increase in the civil filing fee which would rise from \$80 to \$90, and that would generate over the biennium \$460,000 for improvement of the courthouses. There was dissatisfaction expressed about that method of funding by the Bar Association and Trial Lawyers. So we looked at other sources of revenue, not only to fund the court services, court remodeling and improvement program, but also looking toward the Indigent defense program, because after we heard the bill, we learned that the Indigent Defense contracts

	were under fund	ded to the extent of	f about \$750,000	There is an ite	m in the Supre	me Court		
Alexandra san al management.	n en alter anna an a							
								<u>í</u>
6							Ŕ	
	· · · · · .	a Marcana and a star and a star and a	er alvä i dispersionisen kunstaan aiseteksen ihijen ov			· · · · · · · ·		
The microg	raphic images on the	is film are accurate purse of business. T m. NOTICE: If the f	reproductions of re-	cords delivered to cess meets standard	Modern Informati ds of the America	on Systems for mic an National Standa	rds Institute	
(ANSI) for	r archival microfilm being filmed.	n. NOTICE: If the f	filmed image above i	s less legible the	n this Notice, i	it is due to the q	uality of the	
abcument b		Halast	n Kickly	n al		10/2/0	3	

House Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 4/2/03

budget of \$4.3 million for the programs, but in at least three of the districts, or areas where there are contract with firms of attorneys to handle the defense of people who can't pay for their own defense in criminal cases, the attorneys have said, "we're not going to renew those contracts because we are overwhelmed by the number of drug cases and when we figure out our hourly rate, it's down to about \$30-40, and we can't keep our offices open with that kind of payment". So, confronted with that problem, the Senate looked to the imposition of administrative fees by the court in all criminal cases, as a mode of financing both programs; both the indigent defense program and the court facilities improvement program. The Senate Committee finally settled on the imposition of a fixed amount in each category of crime, starting with class B misdemeanors, the fixed amount would be \$125 per case, and it goes on up in a scale until finally class A felony and class AA felony at \$900. Then, in addition to that, we added \$50 to be imposed by the court in administrative fees in every criminal case; \$50 for the indigent defense program and \$50 for the court facilities improvement program, hoping that that additional \$100 would fund these two programs. I did speak to the Chief Justice about it. They, of course, wanted to get rid of the indigent defense program, because the judges have problems if a judge appoints an attorney in a criminal case to defend the party, and then the court also rules perhaps on the competer cy of his appointee, and that is embarrassing to the judges. I can understand that. But we looked at the HB 1044, provided a switch from the court to the Office of Administrative Hearings for the implementation of the indigent defense program. Well, we thought that was not an appropriate assignment. For one thing, that office is not experienced in criminal matters at all. They do make some assignments of contracts with attorneys, as I understand it, in worker's compensation cases, but that is the limit of their exposure. Besides they had a fiscal note of \$151,000 to set up

Water Assessment and the providence of the second the micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were flimed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for erchivel microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this dotice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

R.,

House Judiciary Committee **Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088** Hearing Date 4/2/03

the program in the Office of Administrative Hearings. So we decided in Senate Judiciary, to leave that with the Court, and the Chief Justice understands that, he understands the reasoning, and I think the court is now agreeable to doing that, because we assured him that we have the study resolution 2003, that Sen. Trenbeath carried yesterday, that calls for a study of the program and the ideal thing would be to set up an office independent of the Judiciary, to handle the Indigent defense program. That would probably require the employment of 2 or 3 attorneys to run the office and they would make the contacts throughout the state. They would run it and be independent of Judiciary, which would be the ideal program. The Chief Justice agreed with us, that it was too late in the session to try to do that now, because we don't know all of the specifics. The study is the vehicle to accomplish that. So, that's the explanation of where we are. Hopefully this amendment on HB 1088 will fund both programs, leave the Indigent defense program with the Court, at least for this biennium and if the fees generated by the imposition of the administrative fees in criminal cases is sufficient, that could well ease the pain of an independent agency to run the program. I might also tell the committee that in the year 2000, through the imposition of administrative fees, \$565,000 was generated for the General Fund. So at the present time that is generating a considerable amount of money. We would hope that, and I think we have the cooperation of the Chief Justice, he's going to talk to the district judges, they'll cooperate with this program and that should fund both programs.

<u>Rep. Kretschmar:</u> Thank you Sen. Traynor. Are there any questions of Sen. Traynor by the members of the committee or any comments.

Sen. Trenbeath: This is something that, as you might expect by the voluminous testimony package that you've got here, that we looked at this long and hard and looked at several different

. Ref 1860 Marsh Shandare and the and the second The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 's Signature

House Judiciary Committee **Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088** Hearing Date 4/2/03

ways of crafting this thing. I think we've hit on a pretty good way of doing it. I speak from some experience, in having been a contract attorney in the past for indigent defense and you can starve to death doing that. It's just amazing to me that it has taken so long for the guys that do that sort of thing, to start resigning. At least this is a good starting point. I think the study will tell us a lot.

Rep. Kretschmar: The bill then put the Indigent Defense Council program in the Office of Administrative Hearings.

Sen. Traynor: That was killed in HB 1044. We thought this method of funding was more appropriate because these defendants in criminal cases are the ones that use the system. The court didn't like the raise in civil fees either, because the Chief Justice thought that was an entry fee to the court system. So they want to keep courts open as much as possible.

Rep. Eckre: I brought it up on the House side various times and I was on the Interim Committee on this one too, that we're getting less and less attorneys that want to represent these people because, like you said, the money. They were losing money taking these clients, how can we expect good attorneys to take a criminal case like this, when they are going to lose dollars. Something had to change. So I said that many times. It was in the newspapers a couple of times. <u>Sen. Trenbeath</u>: You are really looking at the situation where the State runs the risk of being sued.

Rep. Eckre: Yes.

West was to the second and the

Sen. Trenbeath: On federal law, because some of the attorneys that have these contracts, about all they turn in to, is a revolving door. You get out there, you talk to the guy, convince him he

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document heing filmed.

s Signature

Page 5 House Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 4/2/03

N.,:

has to plead guilty, and then move on to the next one. Even when you find a case that could be right for trial, the temptation i to just get past it, get on to another case.

<u>Rep. Eckre:</u> I do like this plan, and I make a motion.

Rep. Kretschmar: Just a moment, I, too, like the Senate version. It sounds like a reasonable solution to a very vexing problem. I agree with the study, we have to someday get an independent office for the indigent defense. Rep. Maragos, what is your feeling on this.

Rep. Maragoo: I agree.

the contract of the contract of the first of the second states of the second states of the second states of the

Rep. Kretschmar: I believe Sen. Traynor has prepared some amendments to this whole thing to present.

Sen. Traynor: The fiscal note in this matter, has been a moving target. I think we got one dated 3/19 and it said it would generate well over a million dollars, and then we got one later one that said it would generate \$700,000. Sen. Trenbeath, who has been experienced in that field, thinks that it is going to generate a lot of money. Enough to fund both programs, but the critical need is in the area of the indigent defense. So I had an amendment drawn, that I think would accomplish that end. Under the bill as it passed the Senate, \$50 would be dedicated to the administrative fees to each program equally. Now, since we thought that the indigent defense program was the more pressing need, this amendment would provide that there would be an imposition in addition to the fixed amount that the court must assess in every criminal case. For instance in class B misdemeanors \$125.00, they would have to assess another \$100, so every defendant in a DUI, would have to pay an administrative fee of \$225.00. What the amendment does, it coesn't mention the \$50, it just mentions the \$100.00; and provides that of the additional \$100 fee, first

\$750,000 collected per biennium must be deposited in the Indigent defense program and used for

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Π,

House Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1088 Hearing Date 4/2/03

Indigent Defense services, and the next \$460,000 collected would be deposited in the court facilities improvement fund. Then after the minimum thresholds have been collected, ½ of the fees would go to each fund, they would be split; but at least this would ensure that we would have the money there for the indigent defense. In discussing the matter with the Chief Justice, he did make the comment, that that program must be funded. And he said that if it weren't funded, I can issue an order on the General Fund. They have sweeping powers. I think we better take care of it here.

<u>Rep. Kretschmar:</u> Are there copies.

Sen. Traynor: Yes.

<u>Rep. Eckre:</u> Those powers are given in the constitution.

Sen. Traynor: I guess so. The changes start on the bottom of page 2 and go on to the top of

page 3. Of course, the court could waived these administration fees for the indigent.

Sen. Nelson: This is basically our amendment with that small change?

Sen. Traynor: Right.

<u>Rep. Kretschniar:</u> How do you feel about this.

Rep. Eckre: I think it is a good deal, Mr. Chair.

Sen. Traynor: I move that the Senate recede from the Senate amendments on HJ 1101-1104

and further amend as per the Proposed Amendments to Reengrossed House Bill No. 1088,

#38073.0309 prepared by LC on 4/2/03.

Sen. Trenbeath: Seconded.

6 YES 0 NO 0 ABSENT

AMENDMENTS PASSED Carrier: Rep. Krotschmar

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. Novice: if the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Operator's Signature

38073.0309 Title.0500

5X46 (1)

Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for Senator Traynor April 2, 2003 1

141268

Conference Committee Amendments to Reengrossed HB 1088 - 04/02/2003

That the Senate recede from its amendments as printed on pages 1101-1104 of the House Journal and pages 811-813 of the Senate Journal and that Reengrossed House Bill No. 1088 be amended as follows:

- Page 1, line 5, remove "the district court"
- Page 1, replace lines 7 through 24 with:

"SECTION 1. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48-15 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48-15. Disposition of moneys earned - Warden to keep account of money earned by inmates - Investment in Interest-bearing accounts.

- 1. The warden of the penitentiary shall keep an account for each inmate. Not more than fifty percent of an inmate's penitentiary earnings, as provided by penitentiary rules, must be withheld from an inmate and deposited in a separate account for the inmate and may not be made available to the inmate until the inmate's release from the penitentiary, except as authorized by the warden. The remainder of an inmate's earnings must be made available to the inmate on a regular basis.
- 2. Inmates may, in writing, authorize the warden or designee to deposit any of their accumulated earnings from the prison industries, hobby, work release, or any other prison program in an interest-earning account in the Bank of North Dakota for the benefit of the inmate. The account must be a two-signature account requiring the inmate's signature and that of an authorized designated officer or employee of the state penitentiary for withdrawal.
- 3. The warden may directly deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside of the penitentiary in any bank or account the inmate may designate. If a court order does not allow an inmate to designate a bank or account other than a Bank of North Dakota account or if it is necessary for the benefit and protection of the inmate, the warden, upon written explanation to the inmate, shall deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside the penitentiary into a Bank of North Dakota account. The department of corrections and rehabilitation and its divisions, departments, officers, and employees may not be held responsible or liable for any inmate.
- 4. The warden is responsible for guiding inmates in making proper use of their funds to pay their obligations, including the payment of oourt costs any <u>administration fee</u>, court-appointed counsel fees, court-ordered restitution, support for dependent relatives, or to provide for their own medical, surgical, eye care, or dental treatment or services not generally provided by the state. The warden may withdraw funds from an inmate's penitentiary account or Bank of North Dakota two-signature account, without the

inmate's signature, to meet the inmate's legitimate financial obligations. Before the funds may be withdrawn, the inmate must first receive written

1 of 4 38073.0309

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process muets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Page 1, line 4, replace "section 27-05.2-03" with "sections 12-48-15, 12-48.1-03, 29-26-22, 39-08-20, and 51-04-03.1" and replace "fees charged by the clerk of" with "court administration fees"

notice and be provided a penitentiary administrative hearing with the right to penitentiary staff assistance and the right to appeal to the director of the department of corrections and rehabilitation. An inmate is not entitled to prior written notice, administrative hearing, or right to an appeal to the department of corrections and rehabilitation when funds are to be withdrawn for payment of a court-ordered obligation, including child support, provided the inmate has had notice and an opportunity to be heard In the court proceedings.

The warden may pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account, less the 5. inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary, when the inmate is transferred to a county jail or regional correctional center or placed in community corrections confinement. The warden shall pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary when the inmate is transferred to a correctional facility outside of this state, released on parole, or discharged from the penitentiary.

SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48.1-03 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48.1-03. Use of funds earned on work release. The plan for the inmate shall provide that any funds earned in outside employment will be used in the following order: for necessary expenses of the inmate, including room and board costs of the Institution; court costs or any administration fee and fine; restitution if a part of the sentence; necessary support of dependents; and credited to inmate's personal account to be paid the inmate on release."

Conference Committee Amendments to Reengrossed HB 1088 - 04/02/2003

Page 2, remove lines 1 through 19

Page 2, line 24, after "fund" insert "certain" and remove "paragraph 2 of"

Page 2, line 25, remove "subdivision a of subsection 1 of" and replace "27-05.2-03" with '<u>29-26-22</u>"



2 of 4 38073.0309

Conference Committee Amendments to Reengrossed HB 1088 - 04/02/2003

Page 4, after line 7, insert:

SECTION 6. AMENDMENT. Section 29-26-22 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

N Martin

×6.

29-26-22. Judgment for fines, eeste, and court administration fee -Statement to be filed by court Special fund - Docketing and enforcement. In all criminal cases except infractions, upon a plea or finding of guilt, a the court shall Impose a court administration fee in lieu of the assessment of court costs. The court administration fee must include a fee of up to thirty-percent of the maximum allowable fine for the offense may be taxed against the dyfondant in lieu of the assessment of eeurt ceste one hundred twenty-five dollars for a class B misdemeanor, two hundred dollars for a class A misdemeanor, four hundred dollars for a class C felony, six hundred fifty dollars for a class B felony, and nine hundred dollars for a class A or AA felony. If the court does assess costs as part of its sentence, the court shall inslude in the judgment the facts justifying the amount accessed. In addition, in all criminal cases except infractions, the court administration fee must include one hundred dollars. Of the additional one jundred dollar court administration fee, the first seven hundred fifty thousand dollars collected per biennium must be deposited in the indigent defense administration fund, which must be used to contract for indigent defense services in this state, and the next four hundred sixty thousand dollars collected per biennium must be deposited in the court facilities improvement and maintenance fund. After the minimum thresholds have been collected, one-half of the additional court administration fee must be deposited in each fund. A court may waive the administration fee upon a showing of indigency as provided in section 25-03.1-13. District court eests, administration fees, exclusive of amounts deposited in the indigent defense administration fund and the court facilities and improvement fund, and forfeitures must be deposited in the state general fund. A judgment that the defendant pay a fine, costo, or court administration fee, or any combination thereof both, may be docketed, and thereafter if docketed constitutes a lien upon the real estate of the defendant in like manner as a judgment for money rendered in a civil action. The court may allow the defendant to pay any assessed oeste or administrative administration fee in installments. When a defendant is assessed eests or administrative administration fees, the court may not impose at the same time an alternative sentence to be served if the costs fees are not paid.

SECTION 7. AMENDMENT. Section 39-08-20 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

39-08-20. Driving without liability insurance prohibited - Penalty.

A person may not drive, or the owner may not cause or knowingly permit to 1. be driven, a motor vehicle in this state without a valid policy of liability insurance in effect in order to respond in damages for liability arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of that motor vehicle in the amount required by chapter 39-16.1. Upon being stopped by a law enforcement officer for the purpose of enforcing or investigating the possible violation of an ordinance or state law or during the investigation of an accident, the person driving the motor vehicle shall provide to the officer upon request satisfactory evidence of the policy required under this section. If unable to comply with the request, that person may be charged with a violation of this section if that person fails to submit satisfactory evidence of the policy to the officer or the officer's agency within twenty days of the date of the request. If that person produces a valid policy of liability insurance in effect at the time of violation of this section to the officer, officer's agency, or a court, that person may not be convicted or assessed any oourt costs

administration fee for violation of this section. If the driver is not an owner of the motor vehicle, the driver does not violate this section if the driver provides the court with evidence identifying the owner of the motor vehicle

3 of 4 38073.0309



The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

and describing circumstances under which the owner caused or permitted the driver to drive the motor vehicle. Violation of this section is a class B misdemeanor and the sentence imposed must include a fine of at least one hundred fifty dollars which may not be suspended. A person convicted for a second or subsequent violation of driving without liability insurance within an eighteen-month period must be fined at least three hundred dollars which may not be suspended.

- 2. Upon conviction for a violation of this section or equivalent ordinance, the person who has been convicted shall provide proof of motor vehicle liability insurance to the department in the form of a written or electronically transmitted certificate from an insurance carrier authorized to do business in this state. This proof must be provided for a period of three years and kept on file with the department. If the person fails to provide this intormation, the department shall suspend that person's driving privileges and may not issue or renew that person's operator's license unless that person provides proof of insurance.
- 3. A person who has been convicted for violation of the section or equivalent ordinance shall surrender that person's operator's license and purchase a duplicate operator's license with a notation requiring that person to keep proof of liability insurance on file with the department. The fee for this license is fifty dollars and the fee to remove this notation is fifty dollars.
- 4. When an insurance carrier has certified a motor vehicle liability policy, the insurance carrier shall notify the director no later than ten days after cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy by filing a notice of cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy; except that a policy subsequently procured and certified shall, on the effective date of its certification, terminate the insurance previously certified with respect to any motor vehicle designated in both certificates.

SECTION 8. AMENDMENT. Section 51-04-03.1 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

51-04-03.1. License to be carried by licensee and exhibited on demand. Every transient merchant licensed under this chapter shall have the license in immediate possession at all times when engaging in or transacting any business regulated by this chapter. The licensee shall display the license when requested to do so by any court, law enforcement official, peace officer, or consumer. However, a person charged with violating this requirement may not be convicted, fined, or assessed court costs the administration fee if the license is produced in court or to the arresting officer and if the license was valid at the time of the arrest."

Renumber accordingly



ς,

With the

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

的副编制

REPORT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE (ACCEDE/RECEDE)

Bill Number 1088 (, as (re)engrossed):

Your Conference Committee

For the Senate:

F.

For the House:

Sen. Traynor Oux	Rep. Kretchmar
Sen. Trenbeath	Rep. Maragos
Sen. C. Nelson	Rep. Eckre
recommends that the (SENATE/HO	USE) (ACCEDE to) (RECEDE from)
the (Senate/House) amendme	ents on (SJ/HJ) page(s) 1101 1104
and place	on the Seventh order.
, adopt (further) amend Seventh order:	ments as follows, and place 1678 on the
having been unable to agree, recommendation new committee be appointed.	nends that the committee be discharged and a
((Re)Engrossed) 1088 was placed o	on the Seventh order of business on the calendar.
DATE: 4/1/03 CARRIER: Kletschman	
LC NO. of amendment 38	013.0309 0500
LC NO. of engrossment	
Emergency clause added or deleted	
Statement of purpose of amendment	

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 10/2/03 Dete 0 A 0 DI N) 1 Operator's Signature

61987

£

REPORT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE (420) April 10, 2003 2:58 p.m.

Module No: HR-65-7369

the second

Insert LC: 38073.0309

REPORT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

HB 1088, as reengrossed: Your conference committee (Sens. Traynor, Trenbeath, Nelson and Reps. Kretschmar, Maragos, Eckre) recommends that the SENATE RECEDE from the Senate amendments on HJ pages 1101-1104, adopt amendments as follows, and place HB 1088 on the Seventh order:

That the Senate recede from its amendments as printed on pages 1101-1104 of the House Journal and pages 811-813 of the Senate Journal and that Reengrossed House Bill No. 1088 be amended as follows:

Page 1, line 4, replace "section 27-05.2-03" with "sections 12-48-15, 12-48.1-03, 29-26-22, 39-08-20, and 51-04-03.1" and replace "fees charged by the clerk of" with "court administration fees"

Page 1, line 5, remove "the district court"

Page 1, replace lines 7 through 24 with:

"SECTION 1. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48-15 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48-15. Disposition of moneys earned - Warden to keep account of money earned by inmates - Investment in Interest-bearing accounts.

- 1. The warden of the penitentiary shall keep an account for each inmate. Not more than fifty percent of an inmate's penitentiary earnings, as provided by penitentiary rules, must be withheld from an inmate and deposited in a separate account for the inmate and may not be made available to the inmate until the inmate's release from the penitentiary, except as authorized by the warden. The remainder of an inmate's earnings must be made available to the inmate on a regular basis.
- 2. Inmates may, in writing, authorize the warden or designee to deposit any of their accumulated earnings from the prison industries, hobby, work release, or any other prison program in an interest-earning account in the Bank of North Dakota for the benefit of the inmate. The account must be a two-signature account requiring the inmate's signature and that of an authorized designated officer or employee of the state penitentiary for withdrawal.
- 3. The warden may directly deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside of the penitentiary in any bank or account the inmate may designate. If a court order does not allow an inmate to designate a bank or account other than a Bank of North Dakota account or if it is necessary for the benefit and protection of the inmate, the warden, upon written explanation to the inmate, shall deposit an inmate's funds from sources outside the penitentiary into a Bank of North Dakota account. The department of corrections and rehabilitation and its divisions, departments, officers, and employees may not be held responsible or liable for any inmate income or funds deposited into a bank or account designated by an inmate.
- 4. The warden is responsible for guiding inmates in making proper use of their funds to pay their obligations, including the payment of court costs any administration fee, court-appointed counsel fees, court-ordered



REPORT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE (420) April 10, 2003 2:58 p.m.

3

Module No: HR-65-7369

一日 城市

Insert LC: 38073.0309

penitentiary account or Bank of North Dakota two-signature account, without the inmate's signature, to meet the inmate's legitimate financial obligations. Before the funds may be withdrawn, the inmate must first receive written notice and be provided a penitentiary administrative hearing with the right to penitentiary staff assistance and the right to appeal to the director of the department of corrections and rehabilitation. An inmate is not entitled to prior written notice, administrative hearing, or right to an appeal to the department of corrections and rehabilitation when funds are to be withdrawn for payment of a court-ordered obligation, including child support, provided the inmate has had notice and an opportunity to be heard in the court proceedings.

5. The warden may pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account, less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary, when the inmate is transferred to a county jail or regional correctional center or placed in community corrections confinement. The warden shall pay an inmate all funds in the inmate's account less the inmate's outstanding obligations to the penitentiary when the inmate is transferred to a correctional facility outside of this state, released on parole, or discharged from the penitentiary.

SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 12-48.1-03 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

12-48.1-03. Use of funds earned on work release. The plan for the inmate shall provide that any funds earned in outside employment will be used in the following order: for necessary expenses of the inmate, including room and board costs of the institution; court costs or any administration fee and fine; restitution if a part of the sentence; necessary support of dependents; and credited to inmate's personal account to be paid the inmate on release."

Page 2, remove lines 1 through 19

Page 2, line 24, after "fund" insert "certain" and remove "paragraph 2 of"

Page 2, line 25, remove "subdivision a of subsection 1 of" and replace "27-05.2-03" with "29-26-22"

Page 4, after line 7, insert:

"SECTION 6. AMENDMENT. Section 29-26-22 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

29-26-22. Judgment for fines, eeste, and court administration fee -Statement to be filed by eourt Special fund - Docketing and enforcement. In all criminal cases except infractions, upon a plea or finding of guilt, ethe court shall impose a court administration fee in lieu of the assessment of court costs. The court administration fee must include a fee of up to thirty percent of the maximum-allowable fine for the effense may be taxed against the defendant in lieu of the assessment of court costs one hundred twenty-five dollars for a class B misdemeanor, two hundred dollars for a class A misdemeanor, four hundred dollars for a class C felony, six hundred fifty dollars for a class B felony, and nine hundred dollars for a class A or AA felony. If the court does assess costs as part of its contence, the court shall include in the judgment the fasts justifying the amount assessed. In addition, in all criminal cases

except infractions, the court administration fee must include one hundred dollars. Of the additional one hundred dollar court administration fee, the first seven hundred fifty thousand dollars collected per blennium must be deposited in the indigent defense Page No. 2 (2) DESK, (2) COMM HR-85-7380 HARAN HULL AND SALARS The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and Here filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute Another analytic management of the standards of the American National Standards and the (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

April 10, 2003 2:58 p.m.

Module No: HR-65-7369

C Mary

Insert L.C: 38073.0309

administration fund, which must be used to contract for indigent defense services in this state, and the next four hundred sixty thousand dollars collected per blennium must be deposited in the court facilities improvement and maintenance fund. After the minimum thresholds have been collected, one-half of the additional court administration fee must be deposited in each fund. A court may waive the administration fee upon a showing of indigency as provided in section 25-03.1-13. District courteeter, administration fees, exclusive of amounts deposited in the indigent defense administration fund and the court facilities and improvement fund, and forfeitures must be deposited in the state general fund. A judgment that the defendant pay a finer, eester, or court administration fee, or any combination thereof both, may be docketed and thereafterif docketed constitutes a lien upon the real estate of the defendant in like manner as a judgment for money rendered in a civil action. The court may allow the defendant to pay any assessed eeste and administrative administration fee in installments. When a defendant is assessed eeste or administrative administration fees, the court may not impose at the same time an alternative sentence to be served if theeeste fees are not paid.

SECTION 7. AMENDMENT. Section 39-08-20 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

39-08-20. Driving without liability insurance prohibited - Penalty.

- A person may not drive, or the owner may not cause or knowingly permit 1. to be driven, a motor vehicle in this state without a valid policy of liability insurance in effect in order to respond in damages for liability arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of that motor vehicle in the amount required by chapter 39-16.1. Upon being stopped by a law enforcement officer for the purpose of enforcing or investigating the possible violation of an ordinance or state law or during the investigation of an accident, the person driving the motor vehicle shall provide to the officer upon request satisfactory evidence of the policy required under this section. If unable to comply with the request, that person may be charged with a vinlation of this section if that person falls to submit satisfactory evidence of the policy to the officer or the officer's agency within twenty days of the date of the request. If that person produces a valid policy of liability insurance in effect at the time of violation of this section to the officer, officer's agency, or a court, that person may not be convicted or assessed any eourt eesteadministration fee for violation of this section. If the driver is not an owner of the motor vehicle, the driver does not violate this section if the driver provides the court with evidence identifying the owner of the motor vehicle and describing circumstances under which the owner caused or permitted the driver to drive the motor vehicle. Violation of this section is a class B misdemeanor and the sentence imposed must include a fine of at least one hundred fifty dollars which may not be suspended. A person convicted for a second or subsequent violation of driving without liability insurance within an eighteen-month period must be fined at least three hundred dollars which may not be suspended.
- 2. Upon conviction for a violation of this section or equivalent ordinance, the person who has been convicted shall provide proof of motor vehicle liability insurance to the department in the form of a written or electronically transmitted certificate from an insurance carrier authorized to do business in this state. This proof must be provided for a period of three



REPORT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE (420) April 10, 2003 2:58 p.m.

ALC: AL

Module No: HR-65-7369

Insert LC: 38073.0309

- 3. A person who has been convicted for violation of this section or equivalent ordinance shall surrender that person's operator's license and purchase a duplicate operator's license with a notation requiring that person to keep proof of liability insurance on file with the department. The fee for this license is fifty dollars and the fee to remove this notation is fifty dollars.
- 4. When an insurance carrier has certified a motor vehicle liability policy, the insurance carrier shall notify the director no later than ten days after cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy by filing a notice of cancellation or termination of the certified insurance policy; except that a policy subsequently procured and certified shall, on the effective date of its certification, terminate the insurance previously certified with respect to any motor vehicle designated in both certificates.

SECTION 8. AMENDMENT. Section 51-04-03.1 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

51-04-03.1. License to be carried by licensee and exhibited on demand. Every transient merchant licensed under this chapter shall have the license in immediate possession at all times when engaging in or transacting any business regulated by this chapter. The licensee shall display the license when requested to do so by any court, law enforcement official, peace officer, or consumer. However, a person charged with violating this requirement may not be convicted, fined, or assessed eeurt eeets the administration fee if the license is produced in court or to the arresting officer and if the license was valid at the time of the arrest."

Renumber accordingly

Reengrossed HB 1088 was placed on the Seventh order of business on the calendar.





The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the Rick document being filmed. \wedge 10 A 121 DI

 \mathcal{L} 7

Operator's Signature

俪 ġ,

d gil

ran an Raine an an Raine Ang

4.90

Date

ŧ

"Basad"

ľ.

CALL ROLL

perd I more

House Bill 1088 House Judiciary Committee by Ted Gladden, State Court Administrator

Chair Duane DeKrey and members of the House Judiciary Committee, my name is Ted Gladden, I am the State Court Administrator, and I am appearing in support of House Bill 1088.

Over a year ago, Mark Johnson from the Association of Counties and I met with Senator Traynor and Representative DeKrey regarding our concerns over court facility related issues in a host of counties in our state. In arriving at this bill, Mark Johnson, Christine Hogan, the executive director from the State Bar Association, and I met with representatives appointed by the Legislative Council, including Senator Trenbeath and Representatives Gulleson and DeKrey. This bill resulted from that process and is supported by the Judiciary. It recognizes that some mechanism needs to be established to address the increasing problem over who pays for what services.

In 1995 the Legislative Assembly moved the cost of county judges and their secretaries from the counties to the state and merged the former county courts and district courts into a one-tier district court system. At that time, as part of different legislation requested by OMB, the fee revenue that had been flowing to the counties was redirected to the state general fund. In 1999, the Legislative Assembly approved the transition of clerk of court services from county expense to the state, either through the counties electing to give up these services and have them taken over by the state or by counties electing to contract to provide the services with county personnel.



G:\WP\Connie\2003 Legislature\Remarks - HB 1088.wpd

the second stand of the second states of the second

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Oberator's Signature N.D.C.C. §27-01-01.1, provides that the counties shall provide offices for clerks of court and chambers for judges, as well as courtroom space. However, there is no clear delineation of responsibility between the state and the counties for the provision and upkeep of these facilities. Now that the fee revenues are flowing to the state, there is a sense in many counties that the state should be providing some monies for facilities. House Bill 1088 provides for the establishment of a fund based on a \$10 addition to civil filing fees for court facilities and maintenance and improvement. Our estimate, based on an average of 2001 and 2002 civil filings, is that this bill will generate between \$450,000 and \$500,000 for the biennium. The purpose of these funds would be to provide grants to counties for court facilities improvement and maintenance projects. A committee made up of representatives from the judiciary, legislature, counties, and bar association would establish the guidelines for the administration of these funds, but in no case could a grant be made for more than 75% of the cost of the project to a county.

Our concern is that if no legislation is put in place for providing funds to share the costs for the maintenance and improvement of court facilities, we will continue to have points of conflict with the counties. These conflicts have risen to the point of involving issues about whose responsibility it is to replace carpeting, provide for basic maintenance and custodial services, pay for wiring to reconnect security systems following relocation of offices, painting, wallpapering, and other ordinary maintenance issues. If this bill is passed, the anticipated funding will certainly not address major construction and remodeling initiatives, but it will recognize the need for both the state and the counties to work together to address areas of common concern within the framework of existing statutes. This bill will not have a negative

House Bill 1088

Next Report And Contract of Sec.

G:\WP\Connie\2003 Legislature\Remarks - HB 1088.wpd

Page 2

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. $\frac{10/2,03}{0}$ impact on people's access to the courts. I attach a copy of filing fees from other states to give you an idea of where we stand related to other states' civil filling fees. Thank you.

ι,

4

Page 3 日本部門書 G:\WP\Connie\2003 Legislature\Itemarks - HB 1068.wpd mannennennennannannannannannan som at som an en state det som som frederingen som hande bester for at som frede The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and user filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. MOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Operator s Signature

FILING FEES IN STATE COURTS - 2002							
Civil Fees by State, Fall 2002							
STATE	COURT OF GENERAL JURISDICTION FILING	STATE	SMALL CLAIMS COURT				
		West Virginia	\$0.00				
West Virginia	\$55.00	South Carolina	\$0.00				
South Carolina	\$60.00	Maryland	\$10.00				
Wyoming	\$65.00	North Dakota	\$10.00				
Georgia	\$80.00	Wyoming	\$10.00				
North Dakota	\$80.00	Rhode Island	\$11.00				
Colorado	\$90.00	Nebraska	\$12.00				
Delaware	\$90.00	Virginia	\$12.00				
Maryland	\$90.00	New Jersey	\$15.00				
North Carolina	\$90.00	Texas	\$15.00				
South Dakota	\$91.00	Arizona	\$16.00				
Oklahoma	\$92.00	Montana	\$17.00				
Nebraska	\$100.00	Massachusetts	\$19.00				
Alaska	\$100.00	Washington	\$21.00				
lowa	\$100.00	Kentucky	\$23.00				
Maine	\$100.00	Colorado	\$23.00				
Mississippi	\$100.00	Alabama	\$25.00				
New Hampshire	\$108.00	Arkansas	\$25.00				
Kentucky	\$110.00	New Hampshire	\$25.00				
Kansas	\$111.00	South Dakota	\$25.00				
Alabama	\$118.00	Indiana	\$30.00				
ldaho	\$120.00	Connecticut	\$35.00				

Filing Fees in State Courts - 2002

Prepared by the State of Colorado Administrative Office of the Courts

í.

Page 1

(p)

- C. 180



FILING FEES IN STATE COURTS - 2002							
Civil Fees by State, Fall 2002							
STATE	COURT OF GENERAL JURISDICTION FILING	STATE	SMALL Claims Court				
Arkansas	\$120.00	Hawali	\$35.00				
Indiana	\$120.00	Idaho	\$35.00				
Washington	\$130.00	California	\$38.50				
Arizona	\$135.00	Maine	\$40.00				
Rhode Island	\$137.00	Minnesota	\$40.00				
New Mexico	\$137.50	Mississippi	\$42.00				
Tennessee	\$140.00	Missouri	\$42.00				
Utah	\$145.00	Ohio	\$45.00				
Minnesota	\$150.00	Alaska	\$50.00				
Vermont	\$152.00	lowa	\$50.00				
Nevada	\$170.00	New York	\$50.00				
Техаз	\$184.00	Vermont	\$50.00				
Wisconsin	\$185.00	Kansas	\$51.00				
California	\$185.00	Georgia	\$55.00				
Montana	\$185.00	Louisiana	\$55.00				
New York	\$186.00	North Carolina	\$55.00				
Virginia	\$190.00	Illinois	\$61.00				
Connecticut	\$198.00	Wisconsin	\$61.00				
Illinois	\$200.00	Michigan	\$64.00				
Michigan	\$200.00	Pennsylvania	\$65.50				
New Jersey	\$210.00	New Mexico	\$67.00				
Massachusetts	\$225.00	Utah	\$70.00				
Hawali	\$225.00	Nevada	\$78.00				

Filing Fees in State Courts - 2002 Prepared by the State of Colorado Administrative Office of the Courts

ж.,

, , , , , , , , , Ø

A

1. A. W.

G:\WP\Connie\TED\Filing Fees in State Courts - 2002 (Table).wpd set (new strategy of the second متروك عدر 1 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 203 Date 101 ٨ Operator's Signature

			·			
Civil Fees by State, Fall 2002						
STATE	COURT OF GENERAL JURISDICTION FILING	STATE	SMALL CLAIMS COURT			
Missouri	\$250.00	Oregon	\$81.00			
Ohio	\$258.50	Oklahoma	\$91.00			
Louisiana	\$273.50	Florida	\$200.00			
Florida	\$27 5.0 0	Delaware	Not Aveilable			
Oregon	\$280.00	Tennessee	Not Aveilable			
Pennsylvania						
Average	\$90.00		\$23,00			
NOTE:		······································				

17

(~ 🕴

1. 2 Bar

12

G:\WP\Connie\TED\Filing Fees in State Courts - 2002 [Table].wpd . e i 6 and the state The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archivel microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. わる 10 Operator's Signature ŧ

Testimony on HB 1088 House Judiciary Committee

Christine Hogan Executive Director State Bar Association of North Dakota

The State Bar Association of North Dakota opposes House Bill 1088 for public policy reasons. While the Association appreciates the intent of the legislation is to provide a source of funds for much needed courthouse improvements, the source of funds in this instance infringes on access to justice.

The Legislative Committee and the Board of Governors of the State Bar Association of North Dakota strongly believe that courthouse improvements are public expenses that should be paid for with public funds. The Association recognizes that in these times of limited public resources, it is difficult to find revenue in county and state budgets to fund even routine maintenance in the courthouses, but it is unfair to require the citizens who use the court system and their lawyers to bear the burden of paying for what is appropriately a governmental function.

The plaintiffs who bring their law suits to the court system are exercising their constitutional right of access to the court. They should not be further burdened with additional fees stacked on top of the substantial user fees that are already included in the current civil filing fee. User fees paid by one small element of society should not be used to support what is in reality a legitimate governmental expense.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being dimend. document being filmed. tor's Signature

The State Bar Association of North Dakota goes on record opposing an additional civil filing fee to pay for court maintenance because it is a potential infringement on the public's right of access to the court system.

4

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and users filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Microfilmed. Microfilmed

Ġ,

TESTIMONY TO THE HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE Prepared January 15, 2003 by the North Dakota Association of Counties Wade Williams, NDACo Government Relations

Concerning House Bill 1088

常長期

Chairman DeKrey and members of the Judiciary Committee, I am here on behalf of North Dakota's counties in support of House Bill 1088. We believe HB 1088 addresses a concern many counties have had since the court consolidation took place in 1991 that concern being who is responsible for court facility upkeep.

House Bill 1088 creates a committee that includes membership for large and small counties, a member appointed by the chief justice, state bar association, and the legislature. The duties of the committee will be to review applications requesting grants from the court facilities improvement and maintenance fund. A grant may be awarded to a county only if the county is willing to match with local funds for the project in an amount equal to twenty-five percent of the total project.

House Bill 1088 is the result of negotiations that took places during the interim. Participants included members of the legislature, the Supreme Court and the Association of counties.

Mr. Chairman we urge a "DO PASS" on HB 1088, and I will try to

Ũ	answer any questions you may have.		
AN A STATE OF A STATE OF A	anne a star a Na star a star		
		and the second	

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

DAKOT TRIAL AWYER

NORTH DAKOTA TRIAL LAWYERS ASSOCIATION

PO Box 365 Mandan, ND 58554 Ph.: 701-663-3916 Fax: 701-663-3917 www.ndtla.com

16 January 2003

The Honorable Lois M. Delmore, State Representative North Dakota House of Representatives 600 East Boulevard Ave Bismarck, ND

Dear Representative Delmore;

At Judiciary Committee hearings on House Bill 1088 (Civil Action Filing Fees to be allocated for Courthouse maintenance) on January 15 you inquired about Courthouse utilization.

The North Dakota Trial Lawyers Association has been compiling data on Civil Cases for the period of 1997 to 2002. While the survey is not yet complete, Clerks of District Court for the following counties have indicated they have had no civil trials for the period: Griggs County, Benson County & McIntosh County.

Dicky County and McKenzie County responded they had only one trial for the period. While there were other cases filed in these counties, the parties settled and never had to go to Court.

The North Dakota Trial Lawyers Association opposes HB 1088 as it is currently written because increasing plaintiff's filing fees further reduces access to the Civil Justice System (Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United States) for those who have been injured or harmed. The Bill makes it more difficult to plaintiffs who may have already suffered large monetary losses and protects wrongdoers.

NDTLA is neutral on amending the bill to allow \$10.00 from the current filing fee to go to a Courthouse maintenance fund. Counties and government have an obligation to all the citizens of our state to provide suitable Court facilites. It is unfair to place the burden for maintenance on those who have been injured or harmed.

Sincerely,

Paula J. Grosinger, NDTLA Director and Lobbyist

were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archivel microfilm, NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 's Signature

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and

100121

Att #1a

Testimony To The SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE Prepared March 3, 2003 by the North Dakota Association of Counties Terry Traynor, Assistant Director

CONCERNING REENGROSSED HOUSE BILL 1088

Mr. Chairman and members of the Judiciary Committee, on behalf of North Dakota's counties I wish to provide testimony in support of House Bill 1088. We believe that this bill addresses a concern many counties have had since court consolidation began in 1981 and continues since its final implementation in 2001 – that concern being the responsibility for court facility maintenance and improvement. HB1088 is the result of negotiations that took place during the interim among members of the Legislature, the Supreme Court and the Association of Counties.

Prior to court consolidation, approximately \$5 million in court fees and bond forfeitures were collected and retained by counties each biennium. This revenue source made the annual budgeting for court facilities much easier. We acknowledge that the shift of this revenue to the State coincided with the loss of certain personnel costs, however, with increasing pressure on property taxes, it has become more and more difficult for counties to fund the necessary maintenance and desired improvements.

House Bill 1088 creates a small fund and a committee to oversee that fund, to begin to address this concern. The committee includes representatives of both large and small counties, as well as members appointed by the chief justice, state bar association, and the Legislature. The committee will review applications requesting grants from the fund. A grant may be awarded to a county only if the county provides local matching funds of at least twenty-five percent of the total project.

The fund is created by a \$10 increase in civil filing fees, allowing the users of the court to help fund its maintenance and improvement. We feel this increase is measured and appropriate, while maintaining our State's reasonable access to the courts.

Mr. Chairman we urge a "DO PASS" on HB 1088, and I will try to answer any questions you may have.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTIFE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

a Signature

Table 1

1. A. # 16

R

W.

Census Changes to State Aid Fund Population Categories - Rural

	COUNTY	1990 Census	2000 Census	Change Census			
	SLOPE	907	767	-15.4%	تصواحت المريام وأكال الشاد		
	BILLINGS	1,108	888	-19.9%			1
	SHERIDAN	2,148	1,710	-20.4%			
	GOLDEN VALLEY	2,108	1,924	-8.7%			
	OLIVER	2,381	2,065	-13.3%			
	BURKE	3,002	2,242 *	-25.3%			
	STEELE	2,420	2,258	-6.7%			
	DIVIDE	2,899	2,283 *	-21.2%			
	LOGAN	2,847	2,308 *	-18.9%	2,000		
	ADAMS	3,174	2,593	-18.3%			
	RENVILLE	3,160	2,610	-17.4%			
	HETTINGER KID DER	3,445	2,715	-21.2%			
	GRIGGS	3,332 3,303	2,753 2,754	-17.4% -16.6%			1
	EDDY	2,951	2,757	-6.6%			
	GRANT	3,549	2,841	-19.9%			
	TOWNER	3,627	2,876	-20.7%			
	BOWMAN	3,596	3,242	-9.8%			
	McINTOSH	4,021	3,390	-15.7%			
	DUNN	4,005	3,600	-10.1%			
	NELSON	4,410	3,715	-15.8%			
	FOSTER	3,983	3,759	-5.6%			
	SIOUX	3,761	4,044	7.5%			.
	EMMONS	4,830	4,331	-10.3%			
	SARGENT	4,549	4,366	-4.0%			
	PIERCE	5,052	4,675 *	-7.5%			
	LaMOURE	5,383	4,701 *	-12.7%			
	CAVALIER	6,064	4,831 *	-20.3%	6,000		
	WELLS	5,864	5,102	-13.0%)
	McKENZIE	6,383	5,737	-10.1%			•
	DICKEY	6,107	5,757	-5.7%			10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1
	RANSOM	5,921	5,890	-0.5%			
	MCHENRY	6,528	5,987	-8.3%			
	MOUNTRAIL	7,021	6,631	-5.6%			
	BENSON	7,198	6,964	-3.3%			Ļ
	BOTTINEAU	8,011	7,149	-10.8%			
	TRAILL PEMBINA	8,752 9,238	8,477 8,585	-3.1% -7.1%	4		
	MERCER	9,238	8,644	-11.9%			
	McLEAN	10,457	9,311 *	-11.0%	10,000		
	BARNES	12,545	11,775	-6.1%			
	RAMSEY	12,681	12,066	-4.8%			
	WALSH	13,840	12,389	-10.5%			
	ROLETTE	12,772	13,674	7.1%			
	RICHLAND	18,148	17,998	-0.8%			
	WILLIAMS	21,129	19,761 *	-6.5%	20,000		r H
	STUTSMAN	22,241	21,908	-1.5%			1
	STARK	22,832	22,636	-0.9%			
~~. •	MORTON	23,700	25,303	6.8%	50,000		(F
)	WARD	57,921	58,795	1.5%			í
/	GRAND FORKS	70,683	66,109	-6.5%			i. L
	BURLEIGH	60,131	69,416	15.4%	100,000		1 2 3
	CASS	102,874	123,138	19.7%		,	1 m
	and and a state of the state of	638,800	642,200			,	1
4 1 4 M 10 4 m -		·		,			19 19 19
1/15/2003		H	B1025 SADF formula.xh	•		Census	ĥ
an a the second second	na da circana manana da circana da circana da circana da circ	Contration of the second second	e tra di Carta da Carta da C	ta an Araba	an a		
				وكالمحار والمراجع	erile titele ja og en en er en sjæl. Here	a har a straight a that a straight	
							¢
The micrograph	ic images on this film ar	e accurate repi	roductions of reco	ords delivered to	Hodern Informatio	Systems for microfilm	ing and
were filmed in	the regular course of bu bival microfilm. NOTICE	ainess. The p	photographic proce	ess meets standar Less Legible th	ds of the American an this Notice. it	National Standards Ind is due to the cuality	of the
(ANSI) for and document being	filmid.			l teginte ch	an one worked to	, ,	
	L.A.	7 1 1	CALLAND A	1		14 / 2 / 4	
	10	Signature	Kicko	ich		10/2/03	
H# #Za

House Bill 1088 **Senate Judiciary Committee** by Ted Gladden, State Court Administrator

Chairman Traynor and members of the Senate Judiciary Committee, I am appearing in support of House Bill 1088.

Over a year ago, Mark Johnson from the Association of Counties and I met with Senator Traynor and Representative DeKrey regarding our concerns over court facility related issues in a host of counties in our state. In arriving at this bill, Mark Johnson, Christine Hogan, the executive director from the State Bar Association, and I met with representatives appointed by the Legislative Council, including Senator Trenbeath and Representatives Gulleson and DeKrey. This bill resulted from that process. It is supported by the Judiciary. It recognizes that some mechanism needs to be established to address the increasing problem over who pays for what services in county courthouses.

In 1995 the Legislative Assembly moved the cost of county indges and their secretaries from the counties to the state and merged the former county courts and district courts into a one-tier district court system. At that time, as part of different legislation requested by OMB, the fee revenue that had been flowing to the counties was redirected to the state general fund. In 1999, the Legislative Assembly approved the transition of clerk of court services from county expense to the state, either through the counties electing to give up these services and have them taken over by the state or by counties electing to contract to provide the services with county personnel.



O:\WP\Counis\2003 Logislature\Remarks - HB 1088.wpd

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Oderátór's

N.D.C.C. §27-01-01.1, provides that the counties shall provide offices for clerks of court and chambers for judges, as well as courtroom space. However, there is no clear delineation of responsibility between the state and the counties for the provision and upkeep of these facilities. Now that the fee revenues are flowing to the state, there is a sense in many counties that the state should be providing some monies for facilities. House Bill 1088 provides for the establishment of a fund based on a \$10 addition to civil filing fees for court facilities and maintenance and improvement. Our estimate, based on an average of 2001 and 2002 civil filings, is that this bill will generate between \$450,000 and \$500,000 for the biennium. The purpose of these funds would be to provide grants to counties for court facilities improvement and maintenance projects. A committee made up of representatives from the judiciary, legislature, counties, and bar association would establish the guidelines for the administration of these funds, but in no case could a grant be made for more than 75% of the cost of the project to a county.

Our concern is that if no legislation is put in place for providing funds to share the costs for the maintenance and improvement of court facilities, we will continue to have points of conflict with the counties. These conflicts have risen to the point of involving issues about whose responsibility it is to replace carpeting, juror chairs, provide for basic maintenance, custodial services, pay for wiring to reconnect security systems following relocation of offices, painting, wallpapering, and other ordinary maintenance issues. If this bill is passed, the anticipated funding will certainly not address major construction and remodeling initiatives, but it will recognize the need for both the state and the counties to work together to address areas of common concern within the framework of existing state law. Raising the civil filing fee will

House Bill 1088

G:\WP\Connie\2003 Legislature\Remarks - HB 1088.wpd

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, if is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

not have a negative impact on people's access to the courts. I attach a copy of filing fees from other states to give you an idea of where we stand related to other states' civil filling fees.

Thank you.

j.



Ä

FILING FEES IN STATE COURTS - 2002				
Civil Fees by State, Fall 2002				
STATE	COURT OF GENERAL JURISDICTION FILING	STATE	SMALL CLAIMS COURT	
		West Virginia	\$0.00	
West Virginia	\$55.00	South Carolina	\$0.00	
South Carolina	\$60.00	Maryland	\$10.00	
Wyoming	\$65.00	North Dakota	\$10.00	
Georgia	\$80.00	Wyoming	\$10.00	
North Dakota	\$80.00	Rhode Island	\$11.00	
Colorado	\$90.00	Nebraska	\$12.00	
Delaware	\$90.00	Virginia	\$12.00	
Maryland	\$90.00	New Jersey	\$15.00	
North Carolina	\$90.00	Техаз	\$15.00	
South Dakota	\$91.00	Arizona	\$16.00	
Oklahoma	\$92.00	Montana	\$17.00	
Nebraska	\$100.00	Massachusetts	\$19.00	
Alaska	\$100.00	Washington	\$21.00	
lcwa	\$100.00	Kentucky	\$23.00	
Maine	\$100.00	Colorado	\$23.00	
Mississippi	\$100.00	Alabama	\$25.00	
New Hampshire	\$108.00	Arkansas	\$25.00	
Kentucky	\$110.00	New Hampshire	\$25.00	
Kansas	\$111.00	South Dakota	\$25.00	
Nabama	\$118.00	Indiana	\$30.00	
daho	\$120.00	Connecticut	\$35.00	

ab.

P

Ę

「単語の言語

Filing Fees in State Courts - 2002 Prepared by the State of Colorado Administrative Office of the Courts

G:\WP\Connie\TED\'lling Fees in State Courts - 2002 [Table].wpd

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

JU Operator's Signature

10

en Milderen anderen Mildeligen Stale

Page 1

-

······································	FILING FEES IN ST	ATE COURTS - 2002	2	
Civil Fees by State, Fall 2002				
STATE	COURT OF GENERAL JURISDICTION FILING	STATE	SMALL GLAIMS COURT	
Arkansas	\$120.00	Hawali	\$35.00	
Indiana	\$120.00	Idaho	\$35.00	
Washington	\$130.00	California	\$38,50	
Arizona	\$135.00	Maine	\$40.00	
Rhode Island	\$137.00	Minnesota	\$40.00	
New Mexico	\$137.50	Mississippi	\$42.00	
Tennessee	\$140.00	Missouri	\$42.00	
Utah	\$145.00	Ohio	\$45.00	
Minnesota	\$150.00	Alaska	\$50.00	
Vermont	\$152.00	lowa	\$50.00	
Nevada	\$170.00	New York	\$50.00	
Texas	\$184.00	Vermont	\$50.00	
Wisconsin	\$185.00	Kansas	\$51.00	
California	\$185.00	Georgia	\$55.00	
Montana	\$185.00	Louisiana	\$55.00	
New York	\$186.00	North Carolina	\$55.00	
Virginia	\$190.00	Illinois	\$61.00	
Connecticut	\$198.00	Wisconsin	\$61.00	
Illinois	\$200.00	Michigan	\$64.00	
Michigan	\$200.00	Pennsylvania	\$65.50	
New Jersey	\$210.00	New Mexico	\$67.00	
Massachusetts.	\$225.00	Utah	\$70.00	
Hawaii	\$225.00	Nevada	\$78.00	

Filing Fees in State Courts - 2002

an alter testedaren gangt propisitionen i

Page 2

 $\{(a_i)_{i=1}^{i=1}\}$

Prepared by the State of Colorado Administrative Office of the Courts G:\WP\Connie\TED\Filing Fees in State Courts - 2002 [Table].wpd

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and user filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 10

Operator's Signature

0 M3

 $= \{0,\ldots,0\}$

	Civil Fees by t	State, Fall 2002	
STATE	COURT OF GENERAL JURISDICTION FILING	STATE	SMALL Claims Court
Missouri	\$250.00	Oregon	\$81.0
Ohio	\$258.50	Oklahoma	\$91.00
Louisiana	\$273.50	Florida	\$200.00
Florida	\$275.00	Delaware	Not Availabl
Oregon	\$280.00	Tennessee	Not Available
Pennsylvania			
Average	\$90.00		\$23.00
NOTE:			



AH #3

Testimony on HB 1088 Senate Judiciary Committee

Christiae Hogan Executive Director State Bar Association of North Dakota March 3 2003

The State Bar Association of North Dakota opposes House Bill 1088 for public policy reasons. While the Association appreciates the intent of the legislation is to provide a source of funds for much-needed courthouse improvements, the source of funds in this instance infringes on access to justice.

The Legislative Committee and the Board of Governors of the State Bar Association of North Dakota strongly believe that courthouse improvements are public expenses that should be paid for with public funds. The Association recognizes that in these times of limited public resources, it is difficult to find revenue in county and state budgets to fund even routine maintenance in the courthouses, but it is unfair to require the citizens who use the court system and their lawyers to bear the burden of paying for what is appropriately a governmental function.

The plaintiffs who bring their law suits to the court system are exercising their constitutional right of access to the court. They should not be further burdened with additional fees stacked on top of the substantial user fees that are already included in the current civil filing fee. User fees paid by one small element of society should not be used

support what is in reality a legitimate governmental expense an film frank in der state state state The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Overstor's Signature

The State Bar Association of North Dakota goes on record opposing an additional civil filing fee to pay for court maintenance because it is a potential infringement on the public's right of access to the court system.

C

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 10 Operator's Signature Date

ann an e **ma** anns a marsaidhean anns beann de stàidh bha stàinn an an an bha bhailtean dhean an bhailtean bhailte



State of North Bakota

OFFICE OF STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

March 4, 2003

SUPREME COURT Judicial Wing, 1st Floor 600 E Boulevard Ave Dept 180 Bismarck, ND 58505-0830 Phone: (701) 328-4216 Fax: (701) 328-2092

Senator John T. Traynor Chairman, Senate Judiciary Committee Senate Chambers State Capitol Building Bismarck, ND 58505

Dear Senator Traynor:

When I appeared before the Senate Judiciary Committee on March 3, 2003, in regard to House Bill 1088, I was asked to provide additional information regarding the number and names of counties with a population of less than 7,500 residents. Attached is that information for your consideration.

Sincerely

Ted Gladden State Court Administrator

TG/cs Attachments

A ... CAM ANOMA

ALL ACHS

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

gnature

West Constant product strategy of the

ter all the state of the second states and the second states and the second states and the second states and t

U.S. Census Bureau 2000 Census

Ë

y ist.

4

an an an tha ann an tha an t

North Dakota County Population Below 7,500

County	Population
Adams	2,593
Benson	6,964
Billings	888
Bottineau	7,149
Bowman	3,242
Burke	2,242
Cavalier	4,831
Dickey	5,757
Divide	2,283
Dunn	3,600
Eddy	2,757
Emmons	4,331
Foster	3,759
Golden Valley	1,924
Grant	2,841
Griggs	2,754
Hettinger	2,715
Kidder	2,753
LaMoure	4,701
Logan	2,308
McHenry	5,987
McIntosh	3,390



States & Land & Balling &

R.,

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets atandards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. $\frac{10/2}{0.2}$ Operator's Signature

County	Population	
McKenzie	5,737	
Mountrail	6,631	
Nelson	3,715	
Oliver	2,065	
Pierce	4,675	
Ransom	5,890	
Renville	2,610	
Sargent	4,366	
Sheridan	1,710	
Sioux	4,044	
Slope	767	
Steele	2,258	
Towner	2,876	
Wells	5,102	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File



State of North Dakota

OFFICE OF STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

SUPREME COURT Judicial Wing, 1st Floor 600 E Boulevard Ave Dept 180 Bismarck, ND 58505-0530 Phone: (701) 328-4216 Fax: (701) 328-2092

HS 1088

March 5, 2003

FROM:

TO:

SUBJECT: Selected Case Statistics for Calendar Year 2002

Sen, John T. "Jack" Traynor

Ted Gladden

While I thought your request was a very simple and straightforward one, as with everything in life, it seems to be a little more difficult to respond to than I initially thought. Attached is the most accurate data I can provided based on your request this morning. I need to point out that the disposition data contained in the attachment reflects all cases disposed of for any one defendant on any given day during 2002. I provide the data in this format due to the variance of charging practices by state's attorneys throughout the state. Some charge each count as a separate case while others will include counts within cases. This would relate to driving under suspension and driving without liability insurance as well as the check charge cases. As a result, to get any kind of an accurate figure on the number of cases for your purposes, I am suggesting that you use the attached data which really looks at the number of defendants that were processed by the courts and not the actual number of cases against those defendants, as I would doubt that the court would impose a separate fee if a defendant had two related cases arising out of one incident or, for that matter, one case with two counts.

I cannot breakout the attached data by case type as we instructed our programmer to take the most serious offense that was disposed of on any given day and count based on the most serious offense, but if you are interested in class B misdemeanor and above, this would be the most reliable information for you to use in your computations.

We do not have municipal court data other than summary statistics that we obtain from approximately 15 of the largest municipalities. These municipalities lump their data into two categories, administrative traffic and non-traffic related criminal cases. As a result, all infraction violations are included in their data reporting. All of the costs and any administrative fees collected remain with the municipality.

The second attachment is a report of the fines, fees, and costs that were reported to the State Treasurer for calendar year 2002.



O:\WP\Renee\Legislature\Memo to Sen Traynor re Case Statistics for 2002.wpc

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is tess legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

s Signature ator

Fees and Costs Receipted	Court Admin, Fee	District Court Costs	TOTAL BY COUNTY
January - December 2002	148,204.28	610.00	148,814.28
Caas	8,245.00	25.00	8,270.00
Steele	13,685.70	620.00	14,305.70
Traill	170,134.98	1,255.00	171,389.98
Orend Ferke	92,898.70	1,061.50	93,960.20
Grand Forks	6,464.13		6,464.13
Nelson	99,362.83	1,061.50	100,424.33
Danaan	12,619.90	325.00	12,944.90
Benson Bottineau	21,904,98	020100	21,904.98
Cavalier	9,200.00	25.00	9,225.00
McHenry	9,482.41	-	9,482.41
Pembina	20,460.52	•	20,460.52
Pierce	11,037.08	-	11,037.08
Ramsey	36,463.37	•	36,463.37
Renville	2,750.00	-	2,750.00
Rolette	15,844.00	•	15,844.00
Towner	7,411.19	-	7,411.19
Walsh	25,254.00	50.00	25,304.00
V Clott	172,427.45	400.00	172,827.45
Burke	200.00	•	200.00
Divide	1,444.30	-	1,444.30
McKenzie	6,605.18	250.00	6,855.18
Mountrail	1,665.00	-	1,665.00
Ward	12,490.50	255.00	12,745.50
Williams	8,425.00	200.00	8,625.00
	30,82 9.98	705.00	31,534.98
Burleigh	27,571.00	1,145.00	28,716.00
Emmons	4,641.00	91.00	4,732.00
Grant	275.00	9,132.00	9,407.00
Kidder	670.00		670.00
Logan	2,100.00		2,100.00
McIntosh	475.00	•	475.00
McLean	10,730.00	-	10,730.00
Mercer	1,708.00	825.00	2,533.00
Morton	14,128.42	411.40	14,539.82
Oliver	720.00	50.00	770.00
Sheridan	-	-	-
Sloux	50.00	-	50.00
	63,068.42	11,654.40	74,722.82
Bames	87.00	1,153.10	1,240.10 1,675.00
Dickey	1,675.00	-	2,270.00
Eddy	2,270.00	-	3,827.16
Foster	3,827.16	-	2,637.10
Griggs	1,830.00	807.10 100.00	3,665.00
LaMoure	3,565.00		1,356.25
Ransom	831,25	525.00 485.00	3,429.33
Richland	2,944.33	400.00	1,265.00
Sargent	1,265.00	3,690.50	8,265.50
Stutsman	4,575.00	290.00	3,055.00
Wells	2,765.00	7,050.70	3 2,685.44
A house	25,634.74 495.00	1,000.10	495.00
Adams	490,00	-	
Billings	.	-	-
Bowman		-	-

500.00 500.00 の時代により Dunn . Golden Valley . Hettinger -. . -Slope . 3,984.75 1,288.50 2,696.25 Stark 4,979.75 1,288.50 3,691.25 23,415.10 588,564.75 565,149.65 **GRAND TOTALS** RANKER AND ADDA. 1. HERRICH The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. ID. ŽV **_**; Λ Operator's Signature

3.

North Dakota Judiciary Selected District Court Criminal Case Statistics- 2002 Number of Unique Dispositions

4

4.2.1

日本語語の

R.,

District	Misdemeanors	Felonies	Total
NW Judicial District	2,823	523	3,346
NE Judicial District	2,977	512	3,489
NEC Judicial District	3,304	641	3,945
EC Judicial District	2,848	610	3,458
SE Judicial District	3,084	461	3,545
SC Judicial District	3,689	929	4,618
SW Judicial District	1,474	179	1,653
Total	20,199	3,855	24,054

Data from Unified Court Information System

Prepared by the Office of the State Court Administrator

3/5/2003

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Signature



State of North Bakota

OFFICE OF STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

SUPREME COURT Judicial Wing, 1st Fincr 600 E Boulevard Ave Dept 180 Bismarck, ND 58505-0530 Phone: (701) 328-4216 Fax: (701) 328-2092

March 12, 2003

Honorable John T. "Jack" Traynor State Senator 600 E. Boulevard Ave. Bismarck, ND 58505

RE: Proposed Amendments to Reengrossed HB 1088

Dear Senator Traynor:

Upon returning to the office following the Chief Justice's comments on HB 1044, I gave a copy of your proposed amendment to Jim Ganje for his review. He indicated that at the present time, the only fund relating to indigent defense services is the one that has been established for the deposit of the indigent defense application fee revenues. There is no other special fund established for these funds.

Attached is Jim's analysis of the proposed amendments. My request is that you give consideration to amending the language for the special fund that has been established for the application fee monies so that this administrative fee could go into that fund. This would eliminate the need for another special fund to be created for the administration of indigent defense fee revenues.

Sincerely

Ted Gladden State Court Administrator

TG/rb Attachment





State of North Dakota

OFFICE OF STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

SUPREME COURT Judicial Wing, 1st Floor 600 E. Boulevard Ave Dept 180 Bismarok, ND 58505-0530 Phone: (701) 328-4216 Fax: (701) 328-2092

March 12, 2003

TO: Ted Gladden

Jim Ganje

FROM:

SUBJECT: Proposed Amondments to Reengrossed House Bill No. 1088

As you requested, I have reviewed the proposed amendments to House Bill 1088 prepared for Senator Traynor. I have only one observation.

Proposed Section 6 in the amendments would amend Section 29-26-22 to provide, in part, for an administration fee amount to be deposited "in a special fund to be used to support the indigent defense system in this state." At present, there is no such special fund, at least as general in scope as the amendments seem to contemplate, and the proposed amendments do not provide for the establishment of such a fund. The only existing special fund related to indigent defense is set out in subsection 4 of Section 29-07-01.1, which is used for the deposit of indigent defense application fee revenue. Moneys in the fund are appropriated on a continuing basis to the judicial branch to be used "in the administration of the indigent defense system and the collection of indigent defense costs and expenses required to be reimbursed ... " by a defendant. Use of the revenue in the fund may, arguably, be more narrowly directed than towards "support of the indigent defense system."

There are a couple of possibilities if fee revenue collected under Section 29-26-22, as it would be amended, is to be available generally to supplement funds already appropriated for indigent defense. One might be to establish a new special fund, appropriated on a continuing basis, which could be available for any number of uses with respect to indigent defense, e.g., "any costs or expenses associated with the operation of the indigent defense system." The other might be to amend Section 29-07-01.1(4) to provide for deposit in the indigent defense administration fund (or perhaps simply "indigent (lefense fund") of fee revenue collected under amended Section 29-26-22, as well as application fee revenue. The permissible use of moneys in the fund could be clarified to cover, similar to above, "any costs or expenses associated with the operation of the indigent defense system."

JG/



G:\WP\ConnieVim-2\JG to TG re Ivor Amends to R sengrossed 1088.wpd

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and HE micrographic images on this firm are socorate reproductives of records deriverse to modell information systems for microfilming and Here filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute Alega des membras standards in any tops. If the drived image above in loss leather that the base is to the the the the drived the (ANSI) for archival microfilm. Worlds: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the

document being filmed.



Kert T 631460 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and Were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meats standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. n¥ Operator's Signature Date ٠

Exhibit # 5 HB 1088

Page 1

House Bill 1088 Senate Appropriations Committee by Ted Gladden, State Court Administrator

٩.,

MARK

Good morning Chairman Holmberg and members of the Senate Appropriations Committee.

I am appearing today to express concern regarding HB 1088 as it appears through the second engrossment with Senate amendments. HB 1088 began its journey through the legislative process to create a fund for court facilities improvements and maintenance. The original bill provided for the addition of \$10 on every civil filing to create a fund for maintenance and improvement of court facilities. It has now been amended to provide for the assessment of a graduated fee on all criminal defendants, except for infraction cases. The proposed amendments beginning on line 27, of page 4, to amend § 29-26-22 providing for a graduated court administration fee structure to be assessed in lieu of court costs will not generate enough money to justify the costs of trying to collect the fee. I question if the proposed amendment will accomplish what is desired. Of all of the defendants that appear in district court, a large percentage are indigent and represented by courtappointed counsel. Another group of defendants, primarily those appearing on Class B misdemeanor charges, are defendants who appear without counsel but are also indigent. The remainder of the defendants appearing in court may have some means to pay additional administrative fees. That is not to suggest that they will all have the capability of paying the fees as outlined in this legislation, but they would have the ability to pay something.

The real issue with these amendments has to do with the impact on the system. It is not a matter of the time of the judge to assess the fee, it is more the matter of time

				ana Ang taong
The micrographic images on this film are accurate re were filmed in the regular course of business. The	productions of records delivered to mathematic process meets stander	Nodern Info ds of the A	mation Systems merican Nationa	for microfilming and I Standards Institute
were filmed in the regular course of business. The (ANSI) for erchival microfilm. NOTICE: If the fil	med image above is less legible th	an this Not	ice, it is due	to the quality of the

for other personnel to monitor and attempt to collect the fee and the cost for these efforts. This proposal will of necessity involve clerk personnel in monitoring payments, the need for the issuance of orders to show cause by clerk personnel for nonpayment of fees, the attendant bench warrants that will be issued by the district court when defendants do not respond to their orders to show cause, the time of the states attorneys to prosecute defendants for noncompliance, and the additional court appearances of defendants who are just getting by. We already have statutes that provide that fees are to be assessed for probation supervision and for the cost of generating presentence investigation reports. There are also fees that are assessed for defendants involved in community service. A concern expressed to me by a district judge is that rather than increasing the amount of funds that will be generated, there is a likelihood that the fines may be reduced, in part, to pay the administrative fees. Thus, the monies that are currently going into the Common School Trust Fund may be redirected into the general fund or one of the special funds provided for in this bill.

Many of the defendants appearing in district court are charged with Class B misdemeanors. This class includes offenses such as minor in possession, check charges, disorderly conduct, and other more minor criminal offenses. Many of these defendants are transient and do not have the ability to pay the fines that are provided for now, and it is questionable if we will be able to find them overtime. To add the fees provided for in the amended bill will mean that the accounts receivable for the court will grow, with little likelihood of actually returning any substantial revenue to the state for the amount of staff time by court, sheriff's department, and state's attorney personnel that will be involved in monitoring and attempting to collect these monies. For these reasons, I suggest the bill, as drafted, will not generate the kind of



5 12. Mar

CHANGE STATE

News Consequence of the constraint of the

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modorn Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets atundards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the

ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image stove is the filmed in age stove is the filmed in age stove is the filmed in a filmed. 10/2/0 document being filmed.

revenues that are hoped for and question the advisability of adding more fees to the system that are not collectable.

I should note that we remain supportive of HB 1088 as it was introduced, which provided a method for funding court improvements.

I was asked for a fiscal note on the reengrossed bill at 4:30 p.m. yesterday. I could not do the computation, but can have it available by Monday.

Thank you.

۰.

Page 3 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 00 Bigneture