

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

10/3/03 Date 17

2003 HOUSE POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS

HB 1280

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTLE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1280

House Political Subdivisions Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date: January 24, 2003

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	X		11.4-27.7
	Mili	Saharida	2-3-03
Committee Clerk Signatu	re Mickie .	Schmidt	Á

Minutes:

TAPE 1; SIDE A:

(11.4) REP. GLEN FROSETH: We will open the hearing on HB 1280.

(11.6) REP. WILLIAM KRETSCHMAR: Testimony in support of HB 1280. My intent in the beginning was to change the number on line 7, the 28 to 43. I had no intention on changing anything else on the Bill. Apparently the communication with legislative council wasn't efficient. They changed the other number on line 10 and 11 also. The Bill will increase the mill levy that cities under \$5,000 could levy from 38 to 43.

(14.2) REP. NANCY JOHNSON: About what kind of an increase would this be Ashley?

(14.2) REP. WILLIAM KRETSCHMAR: It would go from 38 to 43 mills. They may have used some of the inflation adjustments that came along the way here.

(14.8) REP. BRUCE ECKRE: Would you prefer an amendment offered to take it off for the larger cities?

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Kickgord

<u>3/03</u>

Page 2 House Political Subdivisions Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1280 Hearing Date: January 24, 2003

(14.9) REP. WILLIAM KRETSCHMAR: If he larger cities would like, I would move the amendment.

(15.3) CONNIE SPRYNSANATYK; ND LEAGUE OF CITIES: Testified in support of HB 1280. I would also like to offer an amendment that would act with the impact. (See attachment #1 and #2)

(19.8) REP. MARY EKSTROM: We're regionalizing the CPI. Has the consumer price index been higher in relative terms to the rest of the country or are we a bit behind?

(20.2) CONNIE SPRYNSANATYK: We tend to be a little bit behind.

(20.7) REP. GIL HERBEL: Looking at this chart, I see the difference between the highs and lows of the county mill levy's. (21.3) How is the decreasing in size of the community relate to the need for decreasing services at the same time? Is there a correlation there?

(21.9) CONNIE SPRYNSANATYK: No, there is an overhead cost to operate. The Federal regulations have placed an additional burden even on the small communities.

(23.6) REP. GIL HERBEL: You've been communicating with these smaller communities and they are satisfied that this increase in mill levy's is going to cost them, and ready to support that?

(23.8) CONNIE SPRYNSANATYK: I can't speak for all of the small communities. But they all know that they don't have the money to do what they need to do.

(25.2) REP. GLEN FROSETH: Just looking at Ward county and the largest cities have the highest mill levy. Any other testimony? We will close the hearing on HB 1280.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

10/3/03 Date

THE

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1280

House Political Subdivisions Committee

□ Conference Committee

Hearing Date: January 30, 2003

Tape Number	Side A.	Side B	Meter #
2	X		20.7-26.1
	. 10.		
Committee Clerk Signate	ire Mickie .	Schmidt	2-11-03

Minutes:

(TAPE 2; SIDE A:)

(20.7) REP. GLEN FROSETH: We will now open the hearing on HB 1280.

(21.2) REP. WILLIAM KRETSCHMAR: I would like to propose an amendment. Explained the amendment. This will tie the mill levy in with the consumer price index. I WOULD MOVE THE AMENDMENT.

(21.9) REP. GLEN FROSETH: I have a motion to move the amendment. Any discussion?

(22.3) REP. MIKE GROSZ: City or Park Districts still have to vote on that increase that

they're capped at?

(22.6) REP. WILLIAM KRETSCHMAR: I think under this amendment, the increase would be put on by the board, not the city council.

(23,2) REP. GLEN FROSETH: Any discussion?

(23.2) REP. GIL HERBEL: This only applies to cities of certain sizes?

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

10/2/C

Page 2
House Political Subdivisions Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB 1280
Hearing Date: January 30, 2003

(23.4) REP. GLEN FROSETH: 5,000 or less.

(23.5) REP. GIL HERBEL: What is the intent of the 5,000?

(24.1) REP. GLEN FROSETH: Let's hold this until tomorrow. We could take a voice vote on the amendment or you could withdraw your motion.

(24.4) REP. WILLIAM KRETSCHMAR: I CAN WITHDRAW THE MOTION.

It looks to me that the list we got from the Tax Dept. and the League of Cities, and what they are levying for cities, I don't see one under 38. Medora.

(25.5) REP. GLEN FROSETH: Let's hold this until tomorrow. We will close the hearing on HB 1280.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

perator's Signature

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1280

House Political Subdivisions Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date: February 6, 2003

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
3	X		23.9-35.0
	nair		3:00pr 2-20-03
Committee Clerk Signat	ure Mickei =	Shmidt	2-20-0

Minutes:

TAPE 3; SIDE A:

(23.9) CHAIRMAN GLEN FROSETH: We will open the hearing on HB 1280.

(24.7) CONNIE SPRYNSANATYK; LEAGUE OF CITIES: (Testimony in support)

Explained the amendment.

(27.1) REP. WILLIAM KRETSCHMAR: I WOULD MOVE THE AMENDMENTS.

(27.2) REP. BRUCE ECKRE: I SECOND IT.

(27.2) CHAIRMAN GLEN FROSETH: Any discussion on the amendments? There was concern of having the caps in place and the CPA allowing them to go above that. (Referred to the green sheet given by the League of Cities.)

(29.2) CONNIE SPRYNSANATYK: Explained the green sheet to the committee.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Choid

Page 2

House Political Subdivisions Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1280 Hearing Date: February 6, 2003

(31.2) CHAIRMAN GLEN FROSETH: Any further questions? Hearing none, I'll take a voice vote on the amendments. All in favor say I; 14-y; 0-n; amendment carries. What are the committees wishes?

(31.5) REP. RON IVERSON: I WOULD MOVE A DO NOT PASS AS AMENDED.

(31.6) REP. GIL HERBEL: I SECOND IT.

(31.7) CHAIRMAN GLEN FROSETH: Any discussion? Hearing none, I will have the clerk take the Roll Call Vote: 4-y; 10-n; 0-absent; Motion fails.

(32.6) REP. ANDREW MARAGOS: I WOULD MOVE A DO PASS AS AMENDED.

(32.7) REP. BRUCE ECKRE: I SECOND IT.

(32.9) CHAIRMAN GLEN FROSETH: Committee discussion? Hearing none I will have the clerk call the Roll Call Vote: 10-y; 4-n; 0-absent; Carrier: Rep. Maragos. (34.1)

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

ickpoid

10/3/03





FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council 01/14/2003

Bill/Resolution No.:

HB 1280

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to

funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-2003 Blennlum		2003-200	5 Blennium	2005-2007 Biennium		
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	
Revenues							
Expenditures							
Appropriations							

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium			2005-2007 Biennium		nlum
Countles	Citles	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

If enacted, HB 1280 will have no fiscal effect on any state funds, counties or school districts. It will affect only those cities that choose to utilize the provisions of the bill. It is not possible to know which cities will use the increased mill levy authority, how many additional mills they will levy, or how much additional revenue may be generated. Of 356 cities, only 110 may be able to benefit from this bill. Currently, 68 cities levy no more than 38 mills for the general fund; 31 levy between 38 and 43 mills; and 11 levy between 43 and 45 mills. The other 246 cities will not be affected by this bill because they already levy more than the maximum levies provided by HB 1280.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. **Revenues:** Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.
 - B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.
 - C. **Appropriations:** Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Kathryn L. Strombeck	Agency:	Tax Dept.
Phone Num	ber: 328-3402	Date Prepared:	01/21/2003

· **李**小春

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

to Dicky

Date: 2-6-03 3'.00 pm	n				
Roll Call Vote #: 5					
2003 HOUSE STAND	ING C	ommit Solutí	TEE ROLL CALL VOTI	es f/	
1/H1			ISION"		mittee
Check here for Conference Com	mittee				
Legislative Council Amendment Nun	nber _				_
Action Taken Do Not	Pass				
Motion Made By		Sec	onded By		·····
Representatives	Yes	No/	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Glen Froseth	. ,				
Vice-Chairman Nancy Johnson	1/				
Mike Grosz					
Gil Herbel	1/				
Ron Iverson	/	/			
William E. Kretschmar		1/			
Andrew Maragos		7,			
Dale Severson		1			
Alon Wieland					
Bruce Eckre					
Mary Ekstrom					
Carol A. Niemeier					
Sally M. Sandvig					
Vonnie Pietsch					
Total (Yes)		No	10		
If the vote is on an amendment, briefly	y indicat	te intent:			

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

A COSTO

toold_

30468.0101

Title.0200

Adopted by the Political Subdivisions Committee

2/7/03

February 6, 2003

HOUSE

AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1280 Pol Sub 2-6-03

Page 1, line 1, after "reenact" Insert "subsection 3 of section 57-15-01.1 and"

Page 1, line 2, after "city" insert "and park district"

Page 1, after line 3, insert:

"SECTION 1. AMENDMENT. Subsection 3 of section 57-15-01.i of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

- 3. A taxing district may elect to levy the amount levied in dollars in the base year. However, a city or park district may elect to levy the amount levied in dollars in the base year adjusted by the consumer price index. Any levy under this section must be specifically approved by a resolution approved by the governing body of the taxing district. Before determining the levy limitation under this section, the dollar amount levied in the base year must be:
 - a. Reduced by an amount equal to the sum determined by application of the Lase year's calculated mill rate for that taxing district to the final base year taxable valuation of any taxable property and property exempt by local discretion or charitable status which is not included in the taxing district for the budget year but was included in the taxing district for the base year.
 - b. Increased by an amount equal to the sum determined by the application of the base year's calculated mill rate for that taxing district to the final budget year taxable valuation of any taxable property or property exempt by local discretion or charitable status which was not included in the taxing district for the base year but which is included in the taxing district for the budget year.
 - Reduced to reflect expired temporary mill levy increases authorized by the electors of the taxing district.
 - d. For purposes of this subsection, "consumer price index" means the percentage change in the consumer price index for all nonmetropolitan consumers in the midwest region as determined by the United States department of labor, bureau of labor statistics, for the most recent year ending December thirty-first,"

Renumber accordingly

Page No. 1

30468.0101

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Date: 2-6-03 3:00 pm

Roll Call Vote #: 🎸

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. /280 # 2

House "POLI	"POLITICAL SUBDIVISION"				
Check here for Conference Cor					
Legislative Council Amendment Nu	mber <u>:</u>	30468	.010/ 0200		
Legislative Council Amendment Nu Action Taken 5 Pass	A3 ,	Amen	ded		
Motion Made By			nded By	·	
Representatives	Yey	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Glen Froseth					
Vice-Chairman Nancy Johnson		//			
Mike Grosz		//			
Gil Herbel					
Ron Iverson					
William E. Kretschmar	1//				
Andrew Maragos	1//				
Dale Severson					
Alon Wieland					
Bruce Eckre					
Mary Ekstrom					
Carol A. Niemeier	1//				
Sally M. Sandvig	17/				
Vonnie Pietsch					
Total (Yes)		No _	4		
Absent O					
Floor Assignment Rep.	Ma	nago	5		
If the vote is on an amendment, brief		()			

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and Here filmed in the regular course of business. The phytographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

7 43 · j

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) February 10, 2003 8:38 a.m.

Module No: HR-25-2074 Carrier: Maragos

Insert L.C: 30468.0101 Title: .0200

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1280: Political Subdivisions Committee (Rep. Froseth, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (10 YEAS, 4 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1280 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 1, after "reenact" insert "subsection 3 of section 57-15-01.1 and"

Page 1, line 2, after "city" Insert "and park district"

Page 1, after line 3, insert:

Parion I

"SECTION 1. AMENDMENT. Subsection 3 of section 57-15-01.1 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:


- 3. A taxing district may elect to levy the amount levied in dollars in the base year. However, a city or park district may elect to levy the amount levied in dollars in the base year adjusted by the consumer price index. Any levy under this section must be specifically approved by a resolution approved by the governing body of the taxing district. Before determining the levy limitation under this section, the dollar amount levied in the base year must be:
 - a. Reduced by an amount equal to the sum determined by application of the base year's calculated mill rate for that taxing district to the final base year taxable valuation of any taxable property and property exempt by local discretion or charitable status which is not included in the taxing district for the budget year but was included in the taxing district for the base year.
 - b. Increased by an amount equal to the sum determined by the application of the base year's calculated mill rate for that taxing district to the final budget year taxable valuation of any taxable property or property exempt by local discretion or charitable status which was not included in the taxing district for the base year but which is included in the taxing district for the budget year.
 - Reduced to reflect expired temporary mill levy increases authorized by the electors of the taxing district.
 - d. For purposes of this subsection, "consumer price index" means the percentage change in the consumer price index for all nonmetropolitan consumers in the midwest region as determined by the United States department of labor, bureau of labor statistics, for the most recent year ending December thirty-first."

Renumber accordingly

(2) DE8K, (3) COMM

Page No. 1

HR-25-2074



......

2003 TESTIMONY


HB 1280

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANS!) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

10/3/03

4)



TO: HOUSE POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS COMMITTEE

FROM: NORTH DAKOTA LEAGUE OF CITIES

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2003

RE: HOUSE BILL NO (1280)

The 1981 North Dakota Legislative Assembly passed legislation that created significant changes in the way that property, particularly agricultural property, was being valued. Because the full impact of these changes on mill levy limitations was very difficult to determine, the legislature decided to allow political subdivision budgets to grow by a fixed percentage rate without regard to mill levy limitations. The initial growth rate allowed at that time was 7% for 1981 and 7% for 1982. At the time the basic general fund mill levy limitation for cities with a population of less than 5,000 was 38 mills.

The state legislature saw fit to provide additional percentage growth allowances over the next fourteen years, ranging from 2% to 5%. These percentage growth allowances provided some means for those cities that were not expanding to levy enough to keep up with inflation.

Starting with a 38 general fund mill levy in 1980, a city could have potentially increased its levy to over 70 mills by 1996 by taking these percentage growth rate allowances as shown in the following example:

Year	% Increase Allowed	Potential General Fund Levy
1980	-	38.00 mills
1981	7%	40.66
1982	7%	43.50
1983	4%	45.24
1984	4%	47.04
1985	3%	48.45
1986	3%	49.90
1987	5%	52.39
1988	5%	55.00
1989	5%	57.75
1990	5%	60.63
1991	4%	63.05
1992	4%	65.57
1993	3%	67.53
1994	2%	68.88
1995	2%	70.25
1996	2%	71.65
1997	0%	71.65
1998	0%	71.65
1 9 9>	0%	71.65
2000	0%	71.65
2001	0%	71.65
2002	0%	71.65 mills

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the

Operator's Signature

document being filmed.

As you can see, the legislature has provided for a zero growth rate for city budgets for the last 6 years. For many smaller communities not adding valuation within their cities, this has placed severe constraints on the ability to keep up with the increasing cost of providing necessary city services.

The League believes HB 1280 should be amended to allow city and park district budgets to grow if the consumer price index grows. The "consumer price index" is defined as the percentage change in the consumer price index for all nonmetropolitan consumers in the midwest region as determined by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, for the most recent year.

It is time to restore some budgeting flexibility to the local officials who were elected to act in the best interests of their communities. We ask that you simply give cities and park districts the ability to set budgets that keep up with the level of inflation. The League supports amendments to HB 1280 which will solve this problem.

Connie Sprynczynatyk Executive Director

2

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and Hero filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets stundards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Macosta

Richford

· \$\p2 1-24.

HB 1280

2002 TAXABLE VALUATION AND TAX LEVIES IN NORTH DAKOTA CITIES

January, 2003

	2002	2001	2000	1000	1000
Cities levying 200 mills+	2	3		<u>1999</u>	1998
Cities levying 150-199 mills	17	15	4	4	3
Cities levying 100-149 mills	95		10	8	11
Cities levying 90-99 mills		91	89	88	84
	19	21	27	23	32
Cities levying 80-89 mills	30	29	24	32	26
Cities levying 70-79 mills	29	28	2.7	28	33
Cities levying 60-69 mills	45	46	46	49	45
Cities levying 50-59 mills	25	28	38	36	33
Cities levying 40-49 mills	50	46	43	40	
Cities levying 30-39 mills	22	25	23		44
Cities levying 20-29 mills	4	5	··· -	22	21
Cities levying 10-19 mills	•	=	5	5	5
	4	4	4	1	1
Cities levying under 10	4	6	6	8	5
Cities with no levy	12	14	15	17	18
Total number of cities:	358	361	361	361	361

_	<u>High</u>	<u>Average</u>	Low
County Levy	150.29	98.63	42.81
School Levy	323.84	180.27	38.12
City Levy	234.83	79.46	none
Park District	57.76	10.54	none

NORTH DAKOTA LEAGUE OF CITIES P.O. BOX 2235 BISMARCK, NORTH DAKOTA 58502

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

perator's Signature

gram.

2002 Taxable Valuations

City	Tax	able Valuation	State/County	School	City	Park	Other*	Total Levie
~ADANS CO		8 058 047				i		
ADAMS CO.	\$	6,956,917	454.00	477.00				
Jcyrus	\$	19,773	151.29	177.63		<u> </u>	5.00	333 32
. laynes	\$	16,496	151.29	177.63	91.88 ;		4.56	
Hettinger	\$	1,291,919	151.29	177.63	70.37	30.86	4.56	434.71
Reeder	\$	146,464	151.29	171.96	84.34	14.60	5.00	427.19
BARNES CO.	• \$	32,321,849		<u> </u>	<u>i</u>			1
Dazey	\$	41,749	109.15	137.60	61.68	_	5.00	313.43
Fingal	1\$	75,449	109.15	140.84	84.37	6.63	5.00	345.99
Kathryn	\$	59,350	109.15	231.07	44.47	3.37	5.00	
Leal	\$	36,953	109.15	137.60	47.83	- 1	5.00	299.58
Litchville	\$	119,482	108.15	112.05	156.29	7.87	•	384.36
Nome	\$	25,153	108.15	224.29	48.32	4.00	5.00	387.76
Oriska	\$	72,384	109.15	10.08	43.18		-	162.41
Pillsbury	\$	38,405	108.24	190.13	62.09	•	7.14	
Rogers	\$	117,218	109.15	137.60	56.45	-	•	303.20
Sanborn	\$	122,087	109.15	137.60	111.42	4.20	8.28	370.65
Sibley	\$	55,086	109.15	137.60	44.43	-	-	291.18
Tower City**	\$	16,555	109.15	140.84	47.64	-	-	297.63
Valley City	\$	7,306,232	106.29	231.07	109.94	39.54	-	486.84
Wimbledon	\$	260,841	106.78	136.18	92.35	-	5.26	340.57
BENSON CO.	\$	12,175,932						
Brinsmade	\$	10,478	114.87	181.48				296.35
Esmond	\$	107,588	114.87	174.94	72.74	8.24	2.98	373.77
Knox	\$	22,877	114.87	189.86	68.83	8.24	5.00	378.56
Leeds	\$	403,217	113.87	181.48	102.84	15.26	5.00	418.45
Maddock	\$	375,331	113.87	174.94	90.40	15.17	1.93	396.31
Minnewaukan	\$	181,506	114.87	205.14	111.95	17.49	1.00	449.45
Oberon	\$	53,260	114.87	128.01	59.27	8.79	-	310.94
Warwick	\$	32,786	114.87	144.15	153.69		7.16	419.87
York	\$	46,276	114.87	181.48	55.64	5.22	5.00	362.21
BILLINGS CO.	\$	4,866,642						
Medora	\$	564,417	48.67	38.12	38.00	_	-	124.79
BOTTINEAU CO.	\$	21,813,001						
Antier	\$	30,236	92.66	152.84	92.93	-	3.24	341.67
Bottineau	\$	2,364,379	92.66	193.89	126.68	27.17	3.67	444.07
Gardena	\$	14,495	92.66	193.89	-	- 1	15.97	302.52
Kramer	\$	61,615	92.66	128.34	105.00	-	10.24	336.24
Landa	\$	21,269	92.66	157.97	61.12	-	3.24	314.99
Lansford	\$	210,619	92.66	193.57	61.96	4.46	7.24	359.89
Maxbass	\$	39,469	92.66	128.34	40.00	4.00	7.24	272.24
Newburg	\$	158,479	92.66	128.34	58.05		7.77	286.82
Overly	\$	33,635	92.66	174.60	-	-	8.24	275.50
Souris	\$	44,536	92.66	193.89	153.23	4.00	10.36	454.14
Westhope	\$	311,621	92.66	157.97	101.93	19.00	2.24	373.80
Willow City	\$	129,202	92.66	174.60	117.93	4.00	7.24	396.43
BOWMAN CO.	\$	8,393,401						
Bowman Bowman	\$	1,905,997	91.33	151.52	79.18	24.07	- 1	346.10
	\$	28,187	96.41	162.38	31.08	2-1.07	5.00	294.87
Gascoyne	\$	169,827	96.41	162.38	63.14	7,99	5.00	334.92
Rhame	\$	437,105	96.41	162.38	63.69	11.43	5.00	338.91
Scranton	3	437,100	90.41	102.30	03.09	11.40	0.00	000,01
BURKE CO.	\$	8,547,565						
Bowbells	\$	445,007	76.49	156.40	63.61	12.74	4,59	313.83
Columbus	\$	79,402	76.49	127.83	83.46	23.11	5.00	315.89

Page 1

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature



2002 Taxable Valuations

City	Ta	xable Valuation	State/County	School	City	Park	Other*	Total Levie
Clarkan	_	47.040	70.40	107.00	00.00		C 00	205.0
Flaxton	\$	47,342	76.49	127.83	96.06	•	5 00	305.38
rson	. \$	8,392	76.49	127.83	57.05	0.50	5.00	266.3
nite	\$	144,995	76.49	127.83	69.22	6.52	9.86	289.93
rortal	\$	111,030	76.49	127.83	66.89	4.50	5.00	280.7
Powers Lake	\$	234,960	76.49	196.44	58.40	9.49	4.47	345.2
BURLEIGH CO.	\$	136,828,889		<u> </u>		1		Ĺ
Bismarck	\$	108,275,282	65.00	274.09	104.44	39.01	-	482.5
Lincoln	\$	1,771,931	65.00	274.09	61.62	7.84 :	20.61	429.1
Regan	\$	29,757	65.00	76.78	88.18		18.40	248.3
Wilton**	\$	148,257	65.00	192.40	66.04	19.67	17.61	360.7
Wing	\$	93,257	65.00	147.52	117.76	• !	13.68	343.9
CASS CO.	S	278,991,914				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Alice	\$	35,780	66.05	224.29	48.88		15.24	354.4
Amenia	\$	124,989	66.05	217.09	41.78		10.31	335.2
Argusville	\$	309,716	65.05	234,33	26.38	13.47	15.31	354.5
Arthur	\$	365,165	65.05	229.83	57.23	8.93	14.89	375.9
Ayr	\$	61,384	66.05	179.57	40.73		15.05	301.4
Briarwood	\$	314,125	65.05	323.84	28.65	7.49	16.45	441.4
Buffalo	\$	254,526	65.05	140.84	109.59	15.00	14.24	344.7
Casselton	\$	2,686,415	65.05	217.09	80.02	27.34	10.31	399.8
Davenport	\$	265,828	65.05	205.60	40.31	17.28	14.99	343.2
Enderlin**	\$	4,072	65.05	224.29	163.66	24.35	19.80	497.1
	\$	194,773,430	65.05	323.84	60.30	32.87	7.96	490.0
Fargo Frontier	\$	554,541	66.05	323.84	14.43	32.01	16.45	420.7
	\$			234.33	40.87	7.88	15.31	363.4
Gardner Grandin**	\$	113,489	65.05 65.05	234.33	46.55	3.91	15.31	365.1
	\$	296,139		254.02	58.45	5.89	10.31	393.7
larwood		978,776	65.05	254.02	33.06	4.00	16.45	372.5
പ്orace	\$	1,526,558	65.05		97.27	7.00	14.88	414.0
Hunter	\$	397,954	65.05	229.83	80.42	27.90	10.31	389.2
Kindred	\$	771,640	65.05	205.60	40.53	27.90 !		329.6
Laonard	\$	211,818	66.05	205.60		45.00	17.43	
Mapleton	\$	816,149	65.05	255.88	60.15	15.05	10.31	406.4
North River	\$	115,537	66.05	323.84	39.62		10.31	439.8
Oxbow	\$	945,829	65.05	205.60	37.61	7.70	16.45	332.4
Page	\$	216,466	65.05	179.57	87.88	13.37	15.05	360.9
Prairie Rose	\$	132,361	66.05	323.84	29.35	-	16.45	435.6
Reile's Acres	\$	433,307	66.05	254.02	51.90	<u>-</u> i	10.31	382.2
Tower City**	\$	267,508	66.05	140.84	47.64	- !	12.60	267.13
West Fargo	\$	28,459,135	65.05	254.02	80.74	26.76	10.31	436.88
CAVALIER CO.	\$	4,294,490						
Alsen	\$	364,586	107.25	147.92	32.64	-	3.00	290.8
Callo	\$	108,075	107.25	147.92	38.00		3.00	296.1
Calvin	\$	51,946	107.25	167.20	63.59	0.94	2.00	340.9
Hannah	\$	35,853	107.25	167.20	66.37	-	2.50	343.3
Hove Mobile Park		solved, 7/2002	107,20	101,123				
	\$	2,754,330	107.25	152.35	114.04	19.73		393.3
Langdon Loma	\$	285,675	107.25	152.35	8.75		0.50	268.8
Milton	\$	188,274	107.25	152.35	37.57		4.54	301.7
	\$	205,899	107.25	147.92	49.91	13.21	3.00	321.2
Munich Notama			107.25	152.35	49.29	10.21	3.65	312.5
Nekoma	\$	48,182		152.35	48.33			307.9
Osnabrock Sarlastt	\$	151,891	107.25	167.20	65.42	7.53	5.00	352.40
Sarles**	\$	66,417	107.25	152.35	76.47	8.23		344.30
Wales	\$_	33,362	107.25	152.30	10.41	0.20		
DICKEY CO.	\$	15,008,104				F0.54	7.00	507.76
Ellendale	\$	921,136	125.37	201.70	122.55	50.31	7.83	507.70

Page 2

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

id____

William Co.

- City	T	inhia Malumbian		2 Taxable Valu		Dark	Others	Total Laude
City	: 1a	xable Valuation	State/County	School	City ,	Park		Total Levie
Forbes	\$	36,356	127.37	201.70	106.16	•	•	435.2
ullerton	. \$	103,970	126.37	201.70	69.69	15.00	17.00	429.7
Jdden	\$	34,057	127.37	208.50	47.39	•	3.49	
Monango	\$	23,271	127.37	201.70	153.03		10.00	492.1
Oakes	\$	2,129,635	125.37	208.50	92.35	18.78	3.98	
DIVIDE CO.	\$	0 226 406		į.		· · · · · · · · ·		
Ambrose		9,336,196	84.20	464 40	43.00		7.04	200 5
Crosby	\$	25,324 799,506	83.54	151.48	117.19	50.30	7.84 7.84	286.5
Fortuna	\$	41,376	84.20	151.48	48.99	30.30 1		410.3
Noonan	· \$	77,043	84.20	151.48	116.06	24.66	12.05 7.84	
Noonan	1	77,043	84.20	131.40	110.00	24.00	7.04	384.2
DUNN CO.	\$	12,227,722						
Dodge	\$	63,029	97.31	203.53	40.37	-	4.84	346.0
Dunn Center	\$	86,407	97.31	158.25	61.17	5.20	5.24	327.1
Halliday	\$	173,899	97.31	174.68	67.03	5.47	4.84	349.3
Killdeer	\$_	658,776	97.31	158.25	164.96	24.71	5.24	450.4
EDDY CO.	\$	6,240,757						
New Rockford	\$	1,105,456	141.30	189.67	115.55	42.80	-	489.3
Sheyenne	\$	143,458	141.30	172.06	138.03	12.55	-	463.9
EMMONS CO.	\$	13,360,790						
Braddock	\$	21,237	80.74	156.95	128.98	4.71	2.70	374.0
Hague	\$	42,716	80.74	127.34	42.68	3.51	5.00	259.2
Hazelton	\$	171,135	80.74	156.95	157.04	2.38	4.45	401.5
Linton	\$	1,222,562	80.74	163.72	102.39	16.58	5.30	368.7
Strasburg	\$	439,155	80.74	127.34	112.40	5.92	5.54	331.9
\								
FOSTER CO.	\$	12,031,025						
Carrington	\$	2,593,037	99.89	164.41	141.57	36.63	<u> </u>	442.5
Glenfield	\$	61,467	100.89	188.26	83.78	-	-	372.9
Grace City	\$	96,144	100.89	188.26	54.14	21.55		364.8
McHenry	\$	30,007	100.89	188.26	121.55	-	-	410.7
GOLDEN VALLEY	\$	5,360,948						
Beach	\$	970,631	93.82	176.33	101.93	30.47	3.00	405.5
Golva	\$	60,679	93.82	179.31	67.15	7.79	4.65	352.7
Sentinel Butte	\$	41,197	93.82	176.33	42.84	9,91	5.68	328.5
GRAND FORKS	\$	120,149,147						
Emerado	\$	318,984	109.83	215.45	65.63	8.36		399.2
Gilby	\$	218,537	113.62	191.20	3.09		5.00	312.8
Grand Forks	\$	86,407,752	104.61	241.03	129.18	42.14		516.9
Inkster	\$	50,054	113.67	191.20	28.49		5.00	338.3
Larimore	\$	1,251,748	109.83	213.54	79.65	23.73		426.7
Manvel	\$	504,589	113.62	205.48	34.16	4.00	5.22	362.4
Niagara	\$	52,967	109.83	169.20	83.43	22.63	5.00	390.0
Northwood	\$	1,162.238	107.52	167.58	80.79	20.67	5.00	381.5
Reynolds**	\$	140,082	113.62	160.59	50.52	5.00	5.00	334.7
Thompson	\$	1,423,651	113.62	190.50	54.32	9.00	5.00	372.4
GRANT CO.	\$	7,961,660					i	
Carson	\$	235,504	105.25	195.36	152.93	11.71	6.29	471.5
A COLORADO	\$	407,986	105.25	198.10	119.31	20.64	7.13	450.4
							6.29	306.9
Elgin	2	15 075 1	105 25 1	ו סוג.מאו	• .			
	\$ \$	15,975 203,042	105.25 105.25	195.36 198.10	72.13	6.28	4.74	386.5

Page 3

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Sha Costa Rickford

10/3/03 Date THE REAL PROPERTY.



			200	2 Taxable Valu	ations			
City	· Ta	xable Valuation. S	state/County	School	City	Park	Other*	. Total Levies
00100000		0.700.400		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
GRIGGS CO.	\$	8,760,162	424.04	100.00	70.00			000.44
Rinford	\$	130,604	131.04	188.26	73.80	8.08		399.16
Jooperstown	\$	1,109,893	131.04 (233.44	117.68	21.17		503.33
Hannaford	\$	105,619	131.04	233.44	65.49	7.21		437.18
HETTINGER CO). \$	8,834,557						
Mott	! \$	505,502	108.08	144.68	202.38	57.00	3.29	515.39
New England	\$	395,536	108.06	146.65	198.07	54.60	-	507.38
Regent	\$	160,437	108.06	144.68	234.83	35.08	5.13	527.78
KIDDER CO.		9 040 259						
	<u> </u>	8,919,358	04.00	207.20	E 4 76	4.00	4.00	000.46
Dawson Pettibone	\$	39,023	91.99	207.39	54.75	4.00	4.00 5.00	362.13
Robinson		39,494	91.99	157.43	47.42	-	5.00	301.84
Steele	\$	51,054	91.99	180.30 207.39	78.38 74.06		5.00	350.67
		734,414	91.99	186.74	73.93	20.00	10.00	398.44
Tappen	\$		91.99					362.66
Tuttle	\$	78,415	91.99	171.48	88.93	-	5.00	357.40
LAMOURE CO.	\$	15,616,203						
Berlin	\$	49,567	85.45	184.16	37.73	- 1	-	307.34
Dickey	\$	26,744	83.97	131.61	82.09	6.84	4	304.51
Edgeley	\$	692,561	81.97	184.16	97.84	19.75	-	383.72
Jud	\$	48,444	83.97	152.90	78.68	15.31	5.00	335.86
Kulm	\$	446,841	81.97	152.90	139.78	17.09	-	391.74
LaMoure	\$	844,109	81.97	166.16	110.98	31.01	-	390.12
Marion	\$	131,896	83.97	131.61	61.77	9.93	-	287.28
Verona	\$	53,831	85.45	175.09	126.97		-	387.51
1 2241122		0.550.040						
LOGAN CO.	\$	6,559,942	402.52	450.00	104 65		3 70	264.90
Fredonia	\$	48,520	103.53	152.90	104.65	24.29	3.72 5.83	364.80 401.49
Gackle	\$	250,676	103.53	132.66 178.30	135.18 73.00	24.29	3,83	354.83
Lehr**	\$	29,289	103.53			23.35	4.77	446.26
Napoleon	\$	640,319	103.53	176.49	138.12	23,30	4 .77	440.20
MCHENRY CO.	\$	20,056,244						
Anamoose	\$	190,271	74.70	155,17	60.21	12.56	12.00	314,64
Balfour	\$	19,916	74.70	157.51	41.95	-	4.18	278.34
Bantry	\$	4,503	74.70	122.92	66.65		7.43	271.70
Bergen	\$	14,732	74.70	154.66	57.74	-	5.51	292.61
Deering	\$	54,976	74.70	127.43	49.41	-	7.43	258.97
Drake	\$	260,587	74.70	157.51	78.66	10.82	2.00	321.69
Granville	\$	123,017	74.70	122.92	115.52		7.01	320.15
Karlsruhe	\$	87,641	74.70	154.66	43.00	-	2.00	274.36
Kief	\$	20,605	74.70	•	47.58	-	4.18	126.46
Towner	\$	470,032	74.70	122.92	105.84	-	2.43	305.89
Upham	\$	88,572	74.70	122.92	104.93	-	7.43	309,98
Velva	\$	827,618	74.70	154.66	109.26	33.48	2.00	374.10
Voltaire	\$	163,172	74.70	154.66	16.49	-	5.51	251.36
MONTOCHICO		0 412 200						
MCINTOSH CO.	\$	9,413,280 818,863	108.54	159.52	104.72	29.43	<u>-</u>	402.21
Ashley Lehr**	\$	110,567	108.54	178.30	73.00	23,73	 	359.84
	\$	19,178	108.54	159.52	47.03			315.09
Venturia	\$	897,801	108.54	178.30	111.60	27.03	7.13	432.60
Wishek Zeeland	\$	111,816	108.54	125.41	125.04	27.05	3.59	362.58
#adialin	─	711,010	100.04	120.31	120.07		 -	
MCKENZIE CO.	\$	16,186,233						
Alexander	\$	140,085	43.81	138.87	78.94	8.04	6.00	275.66
Arnegard	\$	59,731	43.81	148.29	39.43	4.67	6.00	242.20

Page 4

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Moid

0/3/03

· ETYMLARIA

			200	2 Taxable Valu	ations			
City	Tax	able Valuation	State/County	School	City ;	Park	Other*	Total Levies
				<u> </u>	-	•		
Rawson	dis	solved, 1/2002		(1			
Vatford City	\$	1,247,781	43.81	148.29	119.19	47.61	1.00	359.90
	;			1	i	1		
MCLEAN CO.	\$	23,415,966						
Benedict	\$	40,253	48.94	165.00	38.00	<u> </u>	10.08	262.02
Butte	\$	53,544	48.94	-	-	- 1	4.50	
Coleharbor	\$	46,0613	48.94	188.72	2.00	• 1	15.58	255.24
Garrison	\$	1,377,728	48.92	190.73	68.03	27.85	4.09	
Max	\$	232,854	48.92	165.00	100.59	11.11	10.10	335.72
Mercer	\$	42,880	48.94	135.18	41.14	-	10.34	235.60
Riverdale	\$	330,249	48.94	188.72	48.00	14.76	0.95	301.37
Ruso	\$	4,919	48.94		-	-	4.50	53.44
Turtle Lake	\$	415,211	48.94	135.18	62.21	15.00	.76	269.09
Underwood	\$	638,967	48.94	188.72	83.02	29.59	15.58	365.85
Washburn	\$	1,443,324	48.94	153.97	75.00	23.09	5.95	306.95
Wilton**	\$	545,203	48.94	192.40	66.04	19.67	10.95	338.00
	Ť		- 10.01		-	10.0		000.00
MERCER CO.	\$	17,801,894						
Beulah	\$	3,412,187	76.38	215.42	69.00	28.53		389.33
Golden Valley	\$	132,194	80.38	128.89	75.08			284.35
Hazen	\$	2,637,067	80.38	196.51	77.59	23,44	-	377.92
Pick City	\$	158,120	80.38	188.72	77.70			346.80
Stanton	\$	289,375	80.38	226.29	111.91	12.51		431.09
Zap	\$	112,623	80.38	215.42	94.62	25.31		415.73
Zap	-	112,023	00.30	210.42	34.02	25.51		410.73
MORTON CO.	\$	49,902,911						
Almont	\$	54,530	135.18	176.77	89.96		9.00	410.91
Flasher	\$	191,374	133.18	190.33	153.56	20.77	9.42	507,26
Glen Ullin	\$	551,637	133.18	145.65	99.99	12.68	7.38	398.88
Hebron	\$	594,249	133.18	144.55	113.20	37.91	8.88	437.72
~	\$		122.77	212.10	115.00	43.09	5.70	498.66
Mandan Naw Salam		22,185,018			89.06	18.06	~~~	
New Salem	\$	920,759	135.18	182.12	09,00	18.06	8.76	433.18
MOUNTDAIL CO	•	14 040 040						
MOUNTRAIL CO.		14,219,048	446.30	191,20	108.34	44.07	4 20	425 NE
New Town	\$	650,806	116.32			14.87	4.32	435.05
Palermo	\$	51,975	121.06	204.49	10.02	00.00	3.82	339.39
Parshall	\$	525,464	118.56	202.82	123.01	22.20	9.82	476.41
Plaza	\$	117,603	118.56	216.52	57.54	5.16	5.69	403.47
Ross	\$	62,548	121.06	204.49	49.55		3.82	378.92
Stanley	\$	1,064,434	116.32	204.49	122.21	27.54	0.98	471.54
White Earth	\$	42,572	121.06	184.48	42.01		0.98	348.53
NEI CON CO		10.000						
NELSON CO.	\$	10,822,976			74.65	40.40		100.05
Aneta	\$	194,466	145.04	189.12	71.29	13.45	1.95	420.85
Lakota	\$	579,439	145.04	195.00	147.67	25.07	7.57	520.35
McVille	\$	324,452	145.04	189.12	157.02	40.30	10.00	541.48
Michigan	\$	246,348	145.04	189.12	68.55	17.28	15.35	435.34
Pekin	\$	44,029	145.04	189.12	77.64	-	4,34	416.14
Petersburg	\$	155,425	141.04	189.12	76.32	7.70	12.30	426.48
Tolna	\$	149,187	145.04	189.12	65.82	5.51	5.02	410.51
	·						<u></u>	
OLIVER CO.	\$	5,204,960						
1	\$	567,265	96.70	170.00	60.36	6.43	5.14	338.63
Center				ļ				
PEMBINA CO.	\$	29,215,670						
	\$	29,215,670 30,382	96.60	204.38	55.94	-u	-	356.92
PEMBINA CO.			96.60 96.60	204.38 188.00	38.00	•	-	322.60
PEMBINA CO. Bathgate	\$	30,382				- - 4.48	-	

Page 5

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Ja Costa Ricky

10/3/03 Date Paris I



				2 Taxable Valu				
City	Tax	able Valuation S	state/County	School ,	City .	Park ·	Other*	Total Levies
Drayton	\$	833,825	94.60	187.09	83.52	17.72		382.93
Yamilton	: \$	55,302	98.60	188.00	40.39			324.99
ountain	1 \$	48,283	98.60	180.77	45.16	-	•	322.53
Neche	\$	298,020	96.60	204.38	40.02	22.81	5.00	368.81
Pembina	1 \$	933,692	94.60	247.95	121.98	1		464.53
St. Thomas	\$	403,855	94.60	212.10	51.50	7.43	4.31	369.94
Walhalla	, \$	1,387,567	94.60	205.34	86.89	12.42	7.01	399.25
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1	1,001,001	34,00	200.04	00.00	16.72		369.23
PIERCE CO.	\$	13,122,098		-				
Balta	\$	30,120	103.08	189.86				292.94
Rugby	\$	3,282,587	103.08	189.86	139.37	24.04		456.35
Wolford	\$	24,475	103.08	186.19	38.00	27.07		
77011010	Ψ-	24,570	103.06	100.19	30.00			327.27
RAMSEY CO.	\$	23,642,773				<u> </u>		
Brocket	\$	32,875	119.31	195.00			2 20	247.60
Churchs Ferry	\$		119.31	181.48	61.09		3.38	317.69
	·	77,722						361.88
Crary	\$	79,687	119.31	219.16	38.00	FO 00	-	376.47
Devils Lake	\$	8,870,581	110.09	219.16	116.89	52.30	-	498.44
Edmore	\$	206,018	118.59	145.53	103.80	16.33	-	384.25
Hampden	\$	61,083	119.31	168.28	76.98	-		364.57
Lawton	\$	35,369	119.31	145.53	120.45		3.38	388.67
Starkweather	\$	50,894	119.31	168.28	42.54		5.00	335.13
RANSOM CO.	\$	15,663,185						
Elliott	\$	24,771	113.69	220.44	66.13		2.98	403.24
Enderlin**	\$	1,166,629	113.69	224.29	163.66	24.35	9.49	535.48
Fort Ransom	\$	69,448	113.69	178.16	53.42	24.00	6.11	351.38
Lisbon	\$	2,338,907	113.69	220.44	116.01	17.72		467.86
3heldon	\$	82,161	113.69	217.17	36.84		9,49	377.19
illeidoli	+*	02, 101	113,09	211.11	30.04		0,40	311.18
RENVILLE CO.	\$	9,802,825						
Glenburn	\$	279,042	92.78	134.84	100.67	8.56	4.99	341.84
	\$	the state of the s	92.78	193.57	57.60		5.00	348.95
Grano		6,944		138.19	38.00		2.92	271.89
Loraine	5	19,269	92.78	138.19	170.19	36.28	2.92	436.58
Mohall	\$	657,235	89.00	152.84	82.79		4.04	339.65
Sherwood	\$	151,481	89.00			10.98		
Tolley	\$	56,089	92.78	138.19	62.40		2.92	296.29
RICHLAND CO.	\$	44,441,953						
Abercrombie	\$	242,730	127.50	242.38	40.95	4.00	5.00	419.83
Barney	\$	78,177	127.50	211.51	47.51	-	5.50	392.02
Christine					20.00	4.00	11.12	423.00
Colfax	1.20	144,469 (127.50 (242.30	30.00	4.00		
	\$	144,469 159,271	127.50 127.50	242.38 242.38	38.00 38.00	9.34	10.00	427.22
	\$	159,271	127.50	242.38			10.00 13.00	
Dwight	\$ \$	159,271 93,010	127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12	38.00	9.34		427.22
Dwight Fairmount	\$ \$ \$	159,271 93,010 339,243	127.50 127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12 178.51	38.00 48.98 118.13	9.34 5.68 7.37	13.00	427.22 423.28
Dwight Fairmount Great Bend	\$ \$ \$	159,271 93,010 339,243 88,838	127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12 178.51 228.12	38.00 48.98 118.13 41.43	9.34 5.68 7.37 17.02	13.00 5.00 9.44	427.22 423.28 436.51
Dwight Fairmount Great Bend Hankinson	\$ \$ \$ \$	159,271 93,010 339,243 88,838 882,091	127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12 178.51 228.12 211.07	38.00 48.98 118.13 41.43 85.66	9.34 5.68 7.37 17.02 11.76	13.00 5.00 9.44 13.57	427.22 423.28 436.51 423.51 449.56
Dwight Fairmount Great Bend Hankinson Lidgerwood	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	159,271 93,010 339,243 88,838 882,091 557,158	127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12 178.51 228.12 211.07 207.24	38.00 48.98 118.13 41.43 85.66 115.91	9.34 5.68 7.37 17.02 11.76 14.86	13.00 5.00 9.44 13.57 19.58	427.22 423.28 436.51 423.51 449.56 485.09
Dwight Fairmount Great Bend Hankinson Lidgerwood Mantador	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	159,271 93,010 339,243 88,838 882,091 557,158 77,928	127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12 178.51 228.12 211.07 207.24 140.64	38.00 48.98 118.13 41.43 85.66 115.91 43.95	9.34 5.68 7.37 17.02 11.76 14.86 4.49	13.00 5.00 9.44 13.57 19.58 7.66	427.22 423.28 436.51 423.51 449.56 485.09 324.24
Dwight Fairmount Great Bend Hankinson Lidgerwood Mantador Mooreton	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	159,271 93,010 339,243 88,838 882,091 557,158 77,928 248,335	127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12 178.51 228.12 211.07 207.24 140.64 228.12	38.00 48.98 118.13 41.43 85.66 115.91 43.95 38.00	9.34 5.68 7.37 17.02 11.76 14.86 4.49 9.23	13.00 5.00 9.44 13.57 19.58 7.66 5.84	427.22 423.28 436.51 423.51 449.56 485.09 324.24 408.69
Dwight Fairmount Great Bend Hankinson Lidgerwood Mantador Mooreton Wahpeton	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	159,271 93,010 339,243 88,838 882,091 557,158 77,928 248,335 9,783,323	127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12 178.51 228.12 211.07 207.24 140.64 228.12 228.12	38.00 48.98 118.13 41.43 85.66 115.91 43.95 38.00 122.03	9.34 5.68 7.37 17.02 11.76 14.86 4.49 9.23 37.64	13.00 5.00 9.44 13.57 19.58 7.66 5.84 0.79	427.22 423.28 436.51 423.51 449.56 485.09 324.24 408.69 510.08
Dwight Fairmount Great Bend Hankinson Lidgerwood Mantador Mooreton Wahpeton Walcott	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	159,271 93,010 339,243 88,838 882,091 557,158 77,928 248,335 9,783,323 170,794	127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12 178.51 228.12 211.07 207.24 140.64 228.12 228.12 205.60	38.00 48.98 118.13 41.43 85.66 115.91 43.95 38.00 122.03 40.96	9.34 5.68 7.37 17.02 11.76 14.86 4.49 9.23 37.64 4.00	13.00 5.00 9.44 13.57 19.58 7.66 5.84 0.79 10.00	427.22 423.28 436.51 423.51 449.56 485.09 324.24 408.69 510.08 388.06
Dwight Fairmount Great Bend Hankinson Lidgerwood Mantador Mooreton Wahpeton	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	159,271 93,010 339,243 88,838 882,091 557,158 77,928 248,335 9,783,323	127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12 178.51 228.12 211.07 207.24 140.64 228.12 228.12	38.00 48.98 118.13 41.43 85.66 115.91 43.95 38.00 122.03	9.34 5.68 7.37 17.02 11.76 14.86 4.49 9.23 37.64	13.00 5.00 9.44 13.57 19.58 7.66 5.84 0.79	427.22 423.28 436.51 423.51 449.56 485.09 324.24 408.69 510.08
Dwight Fairmount Great Bend Hankinson Lidgerwood Mantador Mooreton Wahpeton Walcott Wyndmere	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	159,271 93,010 339,243 88,838 882,091 557,158 77,928 248,335 9,783,323 170,794	127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12 178.51 228.12 211.07 207.24 140.64 228.12 228.12 205.60	38.00 48.98 118.13 41.43 85.66 115.91 43.95 38.00 122.03 40.96	9.34 5.68 7.37 17.02 11.76 14.86 4.49 9.23 37.64 4.00	13.00 5.00 9.44 13.57 19.58 7.66 5.84 0.79 10.00	427.22 423.28 436.51 423.51 449.56 485.09 324.24 408.69 510.08 388.06 414.11
Dwight Fairmount Great Bend Hankinson Lidgerwood Mantador Mooreton Wahpeton Walcott Wyndmere	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	159,271 93,010 339,243 88,838 882,091 557,158 77,928 248,335 9,783,323 170,794 548,370	127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12 178.51 228.12 211.07 207.24 140.64 228.12 228.12 205.60	38.00 48.98 118.13 41.43 85.66 115.91 43.95 38.00 122.03 40.96	9.34 5.68 7.37 17.02 11.76 14.86 4.49 9.23 37.64 4.00	13.00 5.00 9.44 13.57 19.58 7.66 5.84 0.79 10.00	427.22 423.28 436.51 423.51 449.56 485.09 324.24 408.69 510.08 388.06
Dwight Fairmount Great Bend Hankinson Lidgerwood Mantador Mooreton Wahpeton Walcott Wyndmere ROLETTE CO. Dunseith	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	159,271 93,010 339,243 88,838 882,091 557,158 77,928 248,335 9,783,323 170,794 548,370 9,196,168	127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12 178.51 228.12 211.07 207.24 140.64 228.12 228.12 205.60 211.51	38.00 48.98 118.13 41.43 85.66 115.91 43.95 38.00 122.03 40.96 61.91	9.34 5.68 7.37 17.02 11.76 14.86 4.49 9.23 37.64 4.00 6.62	13.00 5.00 9.44 13.57 19.58 7.66 5.84 0.79 10.00 6.57	427.22 423.28 436.51 423.51 449.56 485.09 324.24 408.69 510.08 388.06 414.11
Dwight Fairmount Great Bend Hankinson Lidgerwood Mantador Mooreton Wahpeton Walcott Wyndmere ROLETTE CO.	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	159,271 93,010 339,243 88,838 882,091 557,158 77,928 248,335 9,783,323 170,794 548,370 9,196,168 326,528	127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50 127.50	242.38 228.12 178.51 228.12 211.07 207.24 140.64 228.12 228.12 205.60 211.51	38.00 48.98 118.13 41.43 85.66 115.91 43.95 38.00 122.03 40.96 61.91	9.34 5.68 7.37 17.02 11.76 14.86 4.49 9.23 37.64 4.00 6.62	13.00 5.00 9.44 13.57 19.58 7.66 5.84 0.79 10.00 6.57	427.22 423.28 436.51 423.51 449.56 485.09 324.24 408.69 510.08 388.06 414.11

Page 6

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.



				2 Taxable Valu	lations			
City	Tax	rable Valuation	State/County	School	City	Park '	Other*	Total Levies
St. John	\$	127,270	129.10	191.07	108.94	4.00		433.11
SOEUT SO		10.001.010						
RGENT CO.	\$	13,661,842	144, 45		<u> </u>			
Cayuga	\$	38,016	109.06	178.30	82.95	6.26	11.13	
Cogswell	\$	54,984	109.06	178.30	136.78	- ,	2.91	427.05
Forman	\$	521,298	109.06	178.30	122.82	20.33	5.00	435.51
Gwinner	\$	1,094,110	108.56	211.64	123.01	32.81	5.83	481.85
Havana	\$	62,845	109.06	178.30	81.89	- 1	4.97	374.22
Milnor	\$	591,161	108.79	178.09	127.19	22.50	5.00	441.57
Rutland	\$	119,555	109.06	178.30	101.29	4.69	11.13	404.47
SHERIDAN CO.	\$	6,084,815						
Goodrich	\$_	106,535	93.71	194.78	77.67	9.35	-	375.51
Martin	\$	66,880	93.71	191.87	62.39	-	-	347.97
McClusky	\$	218,182	93.71	182.09	109.08	47.23	5.00	437.11
SIOUX CO.	\$	2,010,135		····				
Fort Yates	\$	49,787	147.56	180.53	67.30		-	395.39
Selfridge	\$	48,435	147.56	124.05	90.68			362.29
Solen	\$	24,794	147.58	175.50	94.50	-	11.93	429.49
	_	271107	177,00	170.00	34.00		11,00	720.70
SLOPE CO.	\$	5,185,236						
Amidon	\$	17,251	58.69	73.46	-	-	5.00	137.15
Marmarth	\$	49,622	58.69	65.81	45.44	6.22	9.97	1 86.1 3
STARK CO.	\$	35,027,085						
Belfield	\$	596,785	109.37	172.96	104.79	27.15	1.00	415.27
Dickinson	\$	20,934,786	92.42	198.99	120,40	30.91	12.45	455.17
ladstone	\$	111,278	109.45	198.99	98.43		11.00	417.87
Richardton	\$	455,077	95.13	199.88	110.20	14.30	5.76	425.27
South Heart	\$	230,647	109.37	148.56	96.05	10.86	5.55	370.39
Taylor	\$	98,418	99.89	199.88	72.21	5.78	6.00	383.76
STEELE CO.	\$	10,129,833						
Finley	\$	582,366	107.80	200.00	131.46	42.86	5.00	487.12
Норе	\$	195,808	107.80	190.13	115.19	22.45	7.14	442.71
Luverne	\$_	45,404	108.80	190.13	67.54	-	7.14	373.61
Sharon	\$	71,077	108.80	200.00	146.27	-		455.07
STUTSMAN CO.	\$	46, 183, 597						
Buchanan	\$	49,678	108.94	173.17	89.32	•	3.66	375.09
Cieveland	\$	102,021	108.94	184.54	40.42	-	5.00	338.90
Courtenay	\$	52,557	108.94	136.18	140.26		2.09	387.47
Jamestown	\$	19,831,026	103.94	226.40	141.38	46.41	-	518.13
Kensai	\$	132,557	107.32	164.86	55.85	5.32	4.47	337.82
Medina	\$	226,169	107.32	184.54	102.03	10.08	8.93	412.90
Montpeller	\$	39,007	108.94	210.28	85.70	-	-	404.92
Pingree	\$	30,871	108.94	173.17	120.25	- 1	3.66	406.02
Spiritwood Lake	\$	190,092	108.94	136.18	36.82	-	3.66	285.60
Streeter	\$	106,307	107.32	132.66	176,90	13.98	3.32	434.18
Woodworth	\$	76,868	108.94	164.41	121.08	-	-	394.43
TOWNER CO.	\$	11,042,639						
Bisbee	\$	78,726	99.73	162.67	184.03	-	5.06	451.49
Cando	\$	1,273,091	98.73	168.48	104.21	36.02	,,	407,44
	\$	31,227	99.73	162.67	105.94	-	5.13	3.47
Edeland 1	-						5.80	361.03
	\$	8,727 1	95.86 1	209,37 1	-	- 1	0.00 1	001.00
Egeland Hansboro Maza	\$	6,727 olved, 6/2002	95.86	259.37	-		- 0.00	

Page 7

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

			200	2 Taxable Valu	uations			
City	Tax	rable Valuation	State/County	School !	City	Park	Other*	Total Levies
Rock Lake	\$	7,981	95.86	168.77	88.10		5.80	358.53
irles**	\$	2,909	95.86	167.20	65.42	7.53	5.00	
			Ì		1			
TRAILL CO.	1 \$	24,140,654				:		
Buxton	<u> </u>	422,281	111.25	160.59	41.30	15.00	4.27	332.41
Clifford	\$	56,598	111.25	211.00	61.53	3.01	5.00	391.79
Galesburg	\$	194,157	111.25	211.00	60.07 i	5.49	3.37	391.18
Grandin**	\$	11,005	111.25	234.33	46.55	3.91	5.00	401.04
Hatton Hillsboro	\$	831,962 1,747,722	111.25 111.02	207.22 187.54	116.28 64 31	12.74 16.86	5,00	452.49 379.73
Mayville	1 \$	1,808,597	111.02	221.00	101.46	37.11		470.82
Portland	\$	806,022	111.25	221.00	63.70	21.32	4.81	422.08
Reynolds**	\$	265,889	111.25	160.59	50.52	5.00	5.00	332.36
	 ` -							
WALSH CO.	\$	30,356,418						
Adams	\$	149,087	123.30	179.73	155.45	6.77	6.49	471.74
Ardoch	\$	40,128	123.30	191.20	83.94	-	5.73	404.17
Conway	\$	6,380	123.30	174.24	2.79	-	4.90	305.23
Edinburg	\$	231,274	123.30	222.71	88.78	12.11	5.10	452.00
Fairdale	\$	70,842	123.30	145.53	51.20	4.23	2.37	326.63
Fordville	\$	205,955	123.30	174.24	66.74	6.13	4.90	375.31
Forest River	\$	105,719	123.30	191.20	74.25	9.03		397.78
Grafton	\$	5,103,510	123.30	269.20	119.55	32.26	F 00	544.31
Hoople	\$	286,859	123.30	203.59	60.05	6.81	5.28	399.03
Lankin	\$	131,886	123.30 123.30	185.38 181.90	71.59 99.69	7.93 16.36	5.73	388.20 426.98
Minto Park River	\$	569,113 1,484,922	123.30	241.74	86.15	21.25	5.73	472.44
Pisek	\$	51,410	123.30	241.74	52.57	5.21	4.02	426.84
· FISEK	+*-	01,410	120.00	271,17			4.02	120.01
WARD CO.	\$	97,996,070						
Berthold	\$	501,160	78.54	156.80	40.69	2.91	3.09	282.03
Burlington	\$	1,113,394	79.47	211.98	73.20	-	•	364.65
Carpio	\$	191,657	78.54	211.98	38.42	2.44	4.69	336.07
Des Lacs	\$	169,041	78.54	211.98	18.21	2.37	5.36	316.46
Donnybrook	\$	49,279	78.54	184.27	74.39	5.83	- 1	343.03
Douglas	\$	24,511	79.47	165.00	114.25	-	4.70	363.42
Kenmare	\$	1,131,679	78.54	184.27	133.50	15.80		412.11
Makoti	\$	128,162	78.54	183.36	40.44	5.60	7.87	315.1
Minot	\$	62,587,181	75.23	229.12	130.63	29.81	7.87	464.79 327.56
Ryder	\$	72,060 283,408	78 54 79.47	183.36 185.91	53.02 48.49	4.11	5.00	318.87
Sawyer Surrey	\$	1,033,154	78.54	196.26	56.92	11.19	5.72	348.63
Guiley	ΙΨ	,,000,104	70.04	100.20			5.,2	
WELLS CO.	\$	17,239,837					 j	
Bowdon	\$	78,426	108.18	141.89	108.52	9.77	- 1	368.36
Cathay	\$	12,526	108.18	180.37	153.97	-	2.65	450.17
Fessenden	\$	521,653	108.18	141.89	98.62	24.55	3.63	376.87
Hamberg	\$	20,743	108.18	141.89	94,30	-	3.63	348.00
Harvey	\$	1,936,874	108.18	191.87	107.58	32.67	. !	440,30
Hurdsfield	\$	44,648	108.18	175.43	113.63	9.70	-	406.94
Sykeston	\$	61,211	108.18	180.37	89.85	5.72	2.18	386.30
14.000	 	25.014.125						
WILLIAMS CO.	\$	35,044,122	110.76	149.79	108.40	<u></u>	19.59	390.54
Alamo	\$	36,735	112.76 112.76	194.53	71.25		17.54	396.08
Epping		54,815 139,719	112.76	169.38	133.69	41.72	12.68	470.23
Grenora	\$	437,032	112.76	194.53	99.01	28.61	16.49	451.40
Ray Springbrook	\$	20,882	112.76	194.53		20.01	15.14	322.43
	\$	877,893	112.76	184.48	138.94	57.76	2.72	496.66
Tioga	- 3	8/7,893	1 12.70 !	104.45	130.94 1	31,70	4.14	780,00

Page 8

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operatorie Signature

10/3/03





	City	Tax	able Valuation	State/County	School	City	Park	Other* '	Total Levies
+	Wildrose	\$	76,112	112.76	149.79	89.88	15.77	16.17	384.37
<u>-</u>	Williston	\$	13,788,315	112.76	218.72	120.57	44.17	2.37	528.59
	State Averages	1		99.63	180.27	79.46	10.54	5.30	375.16

- * Other may include the following districts: fire, ambulance, airport, water management, county park, county library, soil conservation, weed control, vector control, Garrison Diversion conservancy, recreation, special assessment, and hospital.
- ** Enderlin is in Cass and Ransom Counties.
 Grandin is in Cass and Traill Counties.
 Lehr is in Logan and McIntosh Counties.
 Reynolds is in Grand Forks and Traill Counties.
 Sarles is in Cavalier and Towner Counties.
 Tower City is in Barnes and Cass Counties.
 Wilton is in Burleigh and McLean Counties.

These cities dissolved in 2002:
Rawson in McKenzie County
Maza in Towner County
Hove Mobile Park in Cavalier County

TO CALCULATE WHAT ONE MILL RAISES IN REVENUE FOR A CITY,
DIVIDE THE CITY'S TAXABLE VALUATION BY 1,000.

The information in this publication was received from county auditors. We thank all county auditors for taking the time to compile and submit the date necessary to publish this bulletin.

Page 9



ute the

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

10/3/03

City General Fund Mill Rates - 2002

City	General Fund
	Mill Rate
Alexander	53.96
Ashley	60.04
Bismarck	70.77
Bowman	60.58
Carrington	66.67
Center	60.36
Columbus	67.24
Crosby	61.33
Drayton	44.97
Ellendale	58.46
Fargo	55.21
Flaxton	66.06
Fullerton	67.69
Hannaford	61.49
Hettinger	63.76
Lehr	44.26
Lignite	48.18
Lisbon	62.01
Maddock	51.13
Milnor	67.38
Mohall	70.76
Oakes	63.42
Parshall	71.07
Pembina	53.12
Richardton	70.22
Rolla	50.41
Stanley	59.56
Steele	66.18
Turtle Lake	45.98
Underwood	54.56
Venturia	47.03
Walhalla	45.71
Watford City	55.51
West Fargo	56.34
Williston	59.83
Wishek	67.37

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of is liness. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

cloud