

and a second of a second of the s

The micrographie images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

1 2

.....

2 10/22/03 Operator's Signature Date





The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and user filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

÷, '

\*

ICIAA 103 Date , the J Operator's Signature

the second

## 2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

### **BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2351**

Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee

**Conference** Committee

Hearing Date 02-05-03

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	XXXX		1,260
	<b>.</b>		
Committee Clerk Signatu	To Tusa Vank	exon	

Minutes: Chairman Mutch opened the hearing on SB 2351. All Senators were present.

SB 2351 relates to workers' compensation social security retirement offset.

Senator Linda Christenson introduced the bill.

**Testimony in support of SB 2351** 

Sebold Vetter, C.A.R.E association, spoke in support of the bill. He states that this bill would help injured workers stay off of well fare and not have to draw social security. He feels that when he reaches retirement age, there should be no offset of social security.

David Kemnitz, President of the ND AFL-CIO, spoke in support of the bill. He wanted to go on the record. He stated that the federal requirement is no longer in place and it is hurting the citizens and people who have placed worker's compensation claims.

Testimony in opposition of SB 2351.



Page 2

Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee Bill/Resolution Number 2351 Hearing Date 02-05-03

Breat Edison, Workers' Compensation, spoke in opposition. The effect of the bill will retroactively eliminate the social security offset of the retirement for a very narrow group of injured workers. See attached written testimony.

Senator Every: What do you mean by a "narrow" group of workers? And if it is a "narrow"

group, how can it jeopardize the stability of the fund?

Brent: There is a 4 million dollar impact per year and there are no reserves for this and it would

effect prior year's claims by creating uncertainty.

Senator Heitkamp: If it is such a small group, why is it costing 4 million dollars?

Brent: There is a mathematical computation of the 20,000 claims per year.

Senator Every: Where are the numbers and statistics?

Brent: We will make it available. There is currently about 386 million dollars in the fund.

Dale Anderson, GNDA, also spoke in opposition to SB 2351.

See attached testimony. (meter no. 4750, tape 1, side A)

The hearing was closed. No action taken.

8 . **X** The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Hodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Hotice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 10/22/03 Date Operator's Signature .....

## 2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

## **BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2351**

Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date 02-11-03

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
2	XXX		5,555
	A		
Committee Clerk Signs	uro Lina Vanker	kom	

Minutes: Chairman Mutch opened the discussion on SB 2351. All Senators were present.

SB 2351 relates to workers' compensation social security retirement offset.

The committee discussed and reviewed the purpose and impact of the bill briefly.

Senator Klein moved a DO NOT PASS. Senator Krebsbach seconded.

Roll Call Vote: 5 yes. 2 no. 0 absent.

**Carrier: Senator Mutch** 

.....

The micrographie images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for misroff make and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Metional Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

ICIDA 103 Dete allength C. Operator's Signature -00

# FISCAL NOTE

#### Requested by Legislative Council 01/28/2003

Bill/Resolution No.: SB 2351

1A. State flacal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-2003	Blennium	2003-2008	5 Biennium	2005-2007	Biennium
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues			,,,,,,,,			
Expenditures						
Appropriations						

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

	200	1-2003 Bienr	Num	2003	5-2005 Bienn	ium	200	5-2007 Bienn	ium
ſ			School			School			School
	Counties	Cities	Districts	Counties	Cities	Districts	Countles	Cities	Districts
Ĺ	Continee			Continues			COmmunes	VILLES	

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

NORTH DAKOTA WORKERS COMPENSATION 2003 LEGISLATION SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL INFORMATION

BILL DESCRIPTION: Eliminating Social Security Retirement Offset

BILL NO: SB 2351

SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL INFORMATION: North Dakota Workers Compensation, together with its actuary, Glenn Evans of Pacific Actuarial Consultants, has reviewed the legislation proposed in this bill in conformance with Section 54-03-25 of the North Dakota Century Code.

The proposed legislation would eliminate the workers compensation social security retirement offset for pre-August 1, 1995 injured workers that became eligible for social security retirement benefits between June 30, 1989 and August 1, 1995.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Reserve Level Impact: The proposed legislation, as drafted, can be expected to increase reserves by more than \$4 million on an undiscounted basis or \$2.8 million on a discounted basis. These reserve estimates don't contemplate the elimination of social security offsets for pre-August 1, 1995 injured workers that achieve retirement eligibility on or after August 1, 1995.

Rate Level Impact: The proposed legislation should not increase future loss cost levels as it applies to pre-August 1, 1995 injuries. However, we will need to consider a prospective rate surcharge to fund the reserve increase that will result from the retroactive benefit increase to prior year claims. A reasonable funding plan would need to be developed.



•

State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please;
A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

#### see Narrative

調査部にあため

「朝朝の

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

#### see Narrative

C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	John Halvorson	Agency:	NDWC	
Phone Number:	328-3760	Date Prepared:	02/04/2003	

のないない The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 10/22/03 90 VUDate Operator's Signature .......

enate		SOLUT	ION NO. 235		mitt <b>ee</b>
Check here for Conference Cor	nmittee				
gislative Council Amendment Nu	mber		<i>i.</i>		
ction Taken DO NOT		<			
			Kingl-1	1	<del> </del>
otion Made By Klein		Sec	onded By Krebst	ach	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Sen. Jerry Klein, Vice Chairman	X				
Sen.Karen Krebsbach					
en. Dave Nething en. Joel Heitkamp					
ien.Mike Every		<del>  Q  </del>			
en. Duane Espegard					
en. Duane Mutch, Chairman	X				
	+	ł-			
		<b>├</b> ─── <b>}</b>			
	+	<b></b>			
				╺───	
	11				

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

1

 $f^{\dagger}$ 

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and user filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

.

.

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) February 11, 2003 4:47 p.m. Module No: SR-26-2342 Carrier: Mutch Insert LC: . Title: .

erest of the Sector March M



NEW CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF

## **REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE**

**SB 2351: Industry, Bueiness and Labor Committee (Sen. Mutch, Chairman)** recommends **DO NOT PASS** (5 YEAS, 2 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2351 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.





ः ) सर्ग A Maria 國際自己的關係 . The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the descent balance filmed. 15990 document being filmed. Hallength 10/22/03 Dete Operator's Signature

Upposed



STATEMENT BY DALE O. ANDERSON, PRESIDENT, GNDA, REGARDING SB 2351, THE NORTH DAKOTA SENATE BUSINESS INDUSTRY AND LABOR COMMITTEE, FEBRUARY 5, 2003.

Chairman Mutch and members of the Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee. I am Dale O. Anderson, President, GNDA, North Dakota State Chamber of Commerce. Thank you for this opportunity to provide testimony in opposition of SB 2351 regarding workers' compensation social security retirement offset.

The Greater North Dakota Association is the voice for business and principal advocate for positive change for North Dakota. The organization's membership is an economic and geographic cross section of North Dakota's private sector, including statewide associations and local chambers of commerce, development organizations and convention and visitors associations and public sector organizations. GNDA is governed by a Board of Directors elected by our membership. The GNDA Board Chairman is Dr. Jay Leitch, Dean, College of Business Administration, NDSU, Fargo.

The North Dakota business community has been an active partner with the North Dakota Legislature in making sound improvements to the North Dakota Workers' Compensation during the past decade. As a result, in 2002, North Dakota employers paid the lowest workers' compensation premium rates in the nation, according to new survey by the Oregon Department of Consumer & Business Services. Nationally, premium rates ranged from North Dakota's low of \$1.24 per \$100 of payroll to a high of \$5.23 per \$100 of payroll in California.

According to North Dakota Workers' Compensation, North Dakota employer premium rates have declined for 8 straight years. An emphasis on safety and "return-to-work" programs, which began in the mid-1990's, has helped to reduce the number of wage-loss claims, thereby reducing premiums. This is an example of what can be done when business and government work together.

Box 2639 • 2000 Schafer St. • Bismarck, ND 58502 • (701) 222-0929 • Fax: (701) 222-1611 • 1-800-382-1405 • gnda@gnda.com • web site: www.gnda.com

#### North Dakota's State Chamber of Commerce

6

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Signature

Any business person can attest to the difficulties created for employers by the current insurance market. We are pleased that the North Dakota Workers' Compensation has been able to reduce premium rates and increase benefits. As we meet with business groups across the state, North Dakota Workers' Compensation Bureau receives high grades for constituency service.

We are concerned about the economic impact of SB 2351. We believe it is a roll back of the 1995-97 reforms dealing with social security retirement offset.

Thank you Chairman Mutch and members of the Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee for this opportunity to discuss the business community's position on SB 2351. We urge a do not pass on SB 2351. I welcome your questions.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

3

. .....

10122103 **Operator's Signature** 

Date

**Destiex** 

μí i

2003 Senate Bill No. 2351 Testimony before the Senate Industry, Business, And Labor Committee Presented by: Brent J. Edison Executive Director & CEO North Dakota Workers Compensation February 5, 2003

Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee:

My name is Brent Edison and I am the Executive Director and CEO of North Dakota Workers Compensation. On behalf of the NDWC Board of Directors, I am here to testify in opposition to 2003 Senate Bill No. 2351. The effect of this bill is to retroactively eliminate the social security retirement offset for a very narrow group of injured workers. The NDWC Board is opposed to this bill.

Before I address the Board's objections to this bill, I would like to briefly review the background, in terms of legislation, to coordinate overlapping workers' compensation and social security benefits. In 1979, the Legislature enacted an offset provision, requiring NDWC to offset workers' compensation benefits against social security disability benefits. See, Section 65-05-09.1, N.D.C.C. In 1989, the Legislature added a second offset provision, requiring NDWC to offset social security retirement benefits in a similar fashion, although the amount of the retirement benefits offset was reduced from 50 percent to 40 percent. See, Section 65-05-09.2, N.D.C.C.

In 1995, the Legislature extended the coordination of benefits concept by enacting a statutory presumption that a disabled person eligible for social security retirement benefits is considered retired and no longer eligible for workers compensation disability benefits. *See, Section 65-05-09.3, N.D.C.C.* In 1997, following an interim study that explored ways to lessen the impact of the 1995 changes on long-term disability benefits were discontinued under the 1995 retirement presumption. The additional benefit is computed as a percentage of the former disability benefit, based on the length of time the worker

1

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

.....

10122103 Date perator's Signatur

San Sala and Anton Sharing a company sharing well a kundhar and a manager and a sala a sala a sala a sala sala

received disability payments. The exception would be for those injured workers who are catastrophically injured, in which case, the additional benefit payable would be 100 percent of the disability benefit for the rest of their lives. See, Section 65-04-09.4, N.D.C.C.

The public policy reason behind the offset provisions and the 1995 retirement presumption is the coordination of overlapping benefits: the worker is experiencing only one wage loss, be it from disability or having reached retirement, and benefits should be coordinated so there is only one wage loss benefit. Injured workers remain eligible for other benefits, including medical benefits, permanent partial impairment benefits, and the additional benefit created in 1997, as those benefits are not coordinated.

The main problem with this bill is it's attempt to prohibit offset based on receipt of social security retirement benefits on a *retroactive* basis. When the 1995 retirement changes were interpreted in the courts, the North Dakota Supreme Court concluded it would be unconstitutional to apply the changes to workers injured before August 1, 1995. The court reasoned that to do so would violate the valid obligations and vested rights of workers injured before the effective date of the statute. *See, <u>Gregory v. N.D. Workers</u> <u>Compensation Bureau</u>, 1998 ND 94, 578 N.W.2d 101 and <u>Ash v. Traynor</u>, 1998 ND 112, 579 N.W.2d 180. The lesson learned from the court cases involving the 1995 retirement changes is that benefits should neither be decreased nor increased on an impermissible retroactive basis, as this bill seeks to do.* 

In addition to the legal problems associated with retroactive changes, there are substantial problems from an insurance standpoint. Premiums and reserves are set based on an anticipated stream of benefits. Retroactive changes, for which no premiums were collected or reserves established, can threaten the stability of the fund, as the fiscal note for this bill illustrates. According to NDWC's actuary, this bill would require an increase in reserves of more than \$4 million dollars on an undiscounted basis or \$2.8 million dollars on a discounted basis. In order to meet this unanticipated financial obligation, NDWC would need to consider a prospective rate surcharge to fund

2 出版 The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. Date Operator's Signature

the reserve increase that would result from the bill's retroactive benefit increase to prior years' claims.

Another major problem with this bill is it confers a special benefit on a very narrow group of injured workers. The narrow group consists of those employees who either became entitled to and received social security retirement benefits after June 30, 1989 and before August 1, 1995, or had their social security disability benefits converted to security retirement benefits after June 30, 1989 but before August 1, 1995. This would give that narrow group of injured workers a substantially increased benefit over that of other workers injured during the same time periods, perhaps raising Equal Protection concerns. In addition, it could create an even greater disparity between workers with pre and post-1995 dates of injury. Also, as the bill is drafted, many workers whose disability has yet to be converted to social security retirement would continue to have the social security retirement offset apply.

Finally, it is simply impossible to reconcile this bill with the intent expressed by the Legislature in adopting coordination of benefits legislation in the form of offsets in 1979 and 1989, and retirement law changes in 1995 and 1997.

For all the reasons I have mentioned, NDWC urges the committee to vote <u>do not pass</u> on Senate Bill No. 2351. Thank you for your consideration. If you have any further questions, I will be glad to answer them at this time.

3 elected in the manufactory per excitation in the state of t The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed. 10122103 Date Operator's Signature .....