**2013 HOUSE JUDICIARY** 

HB 1116

### 2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

### **House Judiciary Committee**

Prairie Room, Štate Capitol

HB 1116 Job 17222 January 15, 2013

☐ Conference Committee

Krisket Anler				
Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/	resolution:			
Evaluation to determine a defendant's fitness to proceed.				
Minutes:	Testimony 1			

Chairman Koppelman: Opens HB 1116.

**Alex Schweitzer,** Director of Field Services for the Department of Human Services (DHS): I am here in support of HB 1116 (see testimony 1)

**Rep Delmore:** Hardships on families when there is no flexibility, we also need to be concerned with the individual getting the best evaluation. How do we explain the travel to Jamestown necessary during hardships?

**Alex Schweitzer:** If there is no security needed we could do the examination where the individual is located. We could also assist in transportation when it is necessary.

**Rep Delmore:** Is there a period of time that family could not see the individual?

Alex Schweitzer: Only if the court would so order, depending on the security risks

**Rep Hogan:** Least restrictive, appropriate setting? Unavailability, is that a systems issue?

**Alex Schweitzer:** It is somewhat of a systems issue, we only have a certain number of psychologists that are trained to do this type of evaluation for the courts.

**Chairman Koppelman:** Was this discussed in conjunction of the courts or is it a strictly human services issue?

House Judiciary Committee HB 1116 January 15, 2013 Page 2

**Alex Schweitzler:** Often the court will write an order for the Human Service Center, We will normally contact the Clerk of Court and advise we do not provide these services. It is confusing to have Human Services listed in the statute.

**Chairman Koppelman:** So the change you're making to this bill is pretty extreme, it goes from listing Human Service Center to completely excluding them, is there a middle ground. Just an overstrike and not the new language, would that solve that problem for you?

Alex Schweitzer: We could live with that.

Chairman Koppelman: Closes.

### 2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

### **House Judiciary Committee**

Prairie Room, State Capitol

HB 1116 Job 17261 January 15, 2013

☐ Conference Committee

KnistieHetgler
Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:
Evaluation to determine a defendant's fitness to proceed.
Minutes:
<b>Chairman Koppelman:</b> Re-open HB 1116. We agreed that there should be an overstrike but no new language should be added.
Rep Delmore: I have concerns about this.
Chairman Koppelman: Rep Hogan would it alleviate your concerns if we just took out the underscored language on line 14, left the overstrike and passed it that way.
Rep Hogan: I would be alright with that.

**Rep Delmore:** Should we be doing some checking with our Human Service at home, there was limited testimony on this and I would like a little more time.

Chairman Koppelman: That's fine.

Rep Paur: We listened to the Human Service Center, Alex Schweitzer.

**Rep Delmore:** Sometimes we just don't want to only hear the Governor; we want to hear form the people in the office if it's a bill that affects other people. I just want to look into to it a bit more.

**Rep Paur:** Who would be asking in the Human Service Centers?

House Judiciary Committee HB 1116 January 15, 2013 Page 2

**Chairman Koppelman:** Rep Delmore is only requesting more time to check with other people back home. Who will the bill impact?

**Rep Hogan:** The people I may want to visit with are local jails, because they are often dealing with the situation. This might be a change in practice that affects others.

Chairman Koppelman: I am not opposed to looking into these issues, since we do have time.

**Rep Hogan:** Also, how will it affect local law enforcement?

**Chairman Koppelman:** This seems drastic, to take Human Service Centers out as the go to, and think it's going to shift the foundation a little too much.

Rep Larson: Would you like us to amend this and leave it at that before we go?

**Chairman Koppelman:** We could amend those underscored words on line 14 out, so talk to the intern about the amendment, that way when we resume on HB 1116 we will have it in front of us.

Chairman Koppelman: Closes.

### 2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

### House Judiciary Committee Prairie Room, State Capitol

HB 1116 January 23, 2013 Job 17627

☐ Conference	Committee		
Kristia Hetenlin			
Explanation or reason for introduction of bill	resolution:		
Evaluation to determine a defendant's fitness to proceed.			
Minutes:			
Chairman Koppelman: Do we have the amendm	nents yet?		
Rep Hogan: I have an email on them.			
Chairman Koppelman: Hold HB 1116 until amer	ndments are clear.		

### **2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES**

### House Judiciary Committee Prairie Room, State Capitol

HB 1116 February 5, 2013

Job 18320

☐ Conference Committee
angle Drs
Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:
Evaluation to determine a defendant's fitness to proceed.
Minutes:
Chairman Koppelman summarized the bill and the amendment.
Voice Vote:
Rep Delmore moved for the amendment.
Rep Kretschmar seconded.
Voice vote carried.
Chairman read the amendment.
Rep Delmore moved for Do Pass as Amended.
Rep Hogan seconded.
Roll Call Vote:
Yes: 11
No: 3
Absent: 0
Carried by Rep Paur.
Chairman adjourned the committee.

13.8096.01002 Title.02000

### Adopted by the Judiciary Committee

7/L 2/5/13

February 5, 2013

### PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1116

Page 1, line 14, remove ", but not a human service center"

Page 1, line 15, after the period insert "A human service center may not be considered a suitable facility and may not be considered suitable personnel under this section unless the court is aware that an inquiry has been made prior to the court ordering the evaluation to ensure that appropriate resources exist at the human service center being ordered to conduct the evaluation."

Renumber accordingly

Date:	2-5-	3	
Roll C	all Vote #:	1	

## 2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. # 8///6

House Judiciary				Com	mittee
☐ Check here for Conference C	Committe	ee			
Legislative Council Amendment Nur	mber _			100	
Action Taken: Do Pass	Do Not	Pass	Amended	pt Amen	dmen
Rerefer to A	opropria	tions	Reconsider		
Motion Made By Rep D	elmo	re Se	econded By Rep. K	rets	<u>ch m</u>
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Kim Koppelman			Rep. Lois Delmore		
Vice Chairman Lawrence Klemin			Rep. Ben Hanson		
Rep. Randy Boehning			Rep. Kathy Hogan		
Rep. Roger Brabandt					
Rep. Karen Karls					
Rep. William Kretschmar					
Rep. Diane Larson					
Rep. Andrew Maragos					
Rep. Gary Paur					
Rep. Vicky Steiner					
Rep. Nathan Toman					
Total (Yes)		N	o		
Absent					
Floor Assignment					
If the vote is on an amendment, brief	efly indica	ate inte	nt:		

Voice Vote - Carried

Date:	2-5-	-/3	
Roll Ca	all Vote #:	T	

### 2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. H B 1116

House Judiciary				_ Com	mittee
☐ Check here for Conference C	ommitte	ee			
Legislative Council Amendment Nun	nber _				
Action Taken: Do Pass	Do Not	Pass	Amended Adop	ot Amen	dment
Rerefer to Ap	propria	tions	Reconsider		
Motion Made By Rep. De	lma	e Se	econded By Rep. F	4090	in
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Kim Koppelman			Rep. Lois Delmore	/	
Vice Chairman Lawrence Klemin	/		Rep. Ben Hanson	/	
Rep. Randy Boehning	/		Rep. Kathy Hogan		
Rep. Roger Brabandt	/				
Rep. Karen Karls	/				
Rep. William Kretschmar	/				
Rep. Diane Larson					
Rep. Andrew Maragos	/				
Rep. Gary Paur					
Rep. Vicky Steiner	/				
Rep. Nathan Toman		/			
Total (Yes) //		N	• _ 3		
Absent					
Floor Assignment Rep.	Po	ius			
If the vote is on an amendment, brief	fly indica	ate inte	nt:		

Module ID: h\_stcomrep\_22\_003 Carrier: Paur Insert LC: 13.8096.01002 Title: 02000

### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1116: Judiciary Committee (Rep. K. Koppelman, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (11 YEAS, 3 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1116 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

- Page 1, line 14, remove ", but not a human service center"
- Page 1, line 15, after the period insert "A human service center may not be considered a suitable facility and may not be considered suitable personnel under this section unless the court is aware that an inquiry has been made prior to the court ordering the evaluation to ensure that appropriate resources exist at the human service center being ordered to conduct the evaluation."

Renumber accordingly

**2013 SENATE JUDICIARY** 

HB 1116

### 2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Senate Judiciary Committee Fort Lincoln Room, State Capitol

> HB1116 3/18/2013 Job #20052

	Conference Committee		
Committee Clerk Signature	Dan		
Minutes:	Attached testimony and vote		

Relating to an evaluation to determine a defendant's fitness to proceed

Senator David Hogue - Chairman

Alex C. Schweitzer - Director of Field Services for the Department of Human Services - See written testimony. (1) Senator Grabinger asks Mr. Schweitzer why some of these services cannot be handled by Human Services rather than transferring these people to the states hospital. Mr. Schweitzer explains that the examinations require years of experience and training and that experience is at the State Hospital. Senator Sitte asks him if it makes more sense to have four regions of the State that would have a trained individual that could do this. Mr. Schweitzer replies he would not recommend it because of the people they are dealing with. The State Hospital has the security and safety to deal with the individual they are evaluating but also any vulnerable patients that may be in the general area. He said often times these individuals they are dealing with have committed capital crimes and are dangerous. Senator Lyson said he would like to see some money put into this because of the amount of manpower it takes to transport these people and it costs the counties that are far from the State Hospital. Mr. Schweitzer explains how they added another evaluator because of the expansion of services. Senator Armstrong asks if they could travel to the outlying areas. Mr. Schweitzer replies they could and in special cases they would, if they were in a jail setting.

Opposition - none Neutral - none

Close the hearing on 1116

#### Discussion

Senator Nelson asks if they ever use planes to transport. Senator Lyson explains how the security is on the individuals being transported. Senator Hogue says this is going to disproportionately burden the counties that are farthest from Jamestown. Senator Grabinger thinks flying the expert to the jails would work best. Senator Armstrong explains how it actually works in law enforcement. His concern is bogging down the court system and says this is very time consuming. He said this deals with fitness to proceed. The committee calls on Mr. Schweitzer to come up again for questions. He states there is no question that they are seeing a lot more referrals from the west with what is going on there.

Senate Judiciary Committee HB1116 3/18/2013 Page 2

Senator Lyson explains that some of the people they are dealing with are veterans so they are transporting many other places. His gives an example of how violent some of these people are. Senator Hogue asks Mr. Schweitzer if he has any data on sending the evaluator out instead of the individual going to the State Hospital. Mr. Schweitzer gives cost examples.

Senator Armstrong moves a do pass Senator Lyson seconded

Vote - 7 yes, 0 no Motion passes Senator Sitte will carry

Date:	3/18	1/13
Roll Cal	Vote #: _	1

### 2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. \_\_///\_

Senate JUDICIARY				Com	mittee
☐ Check here for Conference (	Committe	ee			
Legislative Council Amendment Nu	mber _				
Action Taken: Do Pass	Do Not	Pass	Amended Ado	pt Amen	dmen
Rerefer to A	ppropria	tions	Reconsider		
Motion Made By	teong	Se	econded By S. Sy	Son	
Senators	Yes	No	Senator	Yes	No
Chariman David Hogue	X		Senator Carolyn Nelson	X	
Vice Chairman Margaret Sitte	X		Senator John Grabinger	X	
Senator Stanley Lyson	X			/	
Senator Spencer Berry	X				
Senator Kelly Armstrong	/X				
	/				
	-				
Total (Yes)		N	o		
Absent					
Floor Assignment	Sitt	e			
If the vote is on an amendment, brie	efly indica	ite inte	nt:		

### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

Module ID: s\_stcomrep\_48\_019

**Carrier: Sitte** 

HB 1116, as engrossed: Judiciary Committee (Sen. Hogue, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (7 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed HB 1116 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

**2013 TESTIMONY** 

HB 1116



# Testimony House Bill 1116 - Department of Human Services House Judiciary Representative Koppelman, Chairman January 15, 2013

Chairman Koppelman, members of the House Judiciary Committee, I am Alex C. Schweitzer, Director of Field Services for the Department of Human Services (DHS). I am here today to testify in support of House Bill 1116.

House Bill 1116 would remove DHS regional human service centers (HSCs) from the statute as providers of court-ordered fitness to proceed examinations.

Currently, a court may order the detention of a defendant for the purpose of an examination by a psychiatrist or a license clinical psychologist. This detention must be in the least restrictive appropriate setting, including the State Hospital at Jamestown, the Developmental Center at Grafton, or other suitable facility for a reasonable period of time, not to exceed 30 days, in order to allow for the fitness to proceed examination.

The DHS is asking for the removal of the regional HSCs from the statute, as the current practice is for these examinations to be completed at the State Hospital or the Developmental Center. The State Hospital with four licensed clinical psychologists and the Developmental Center with one licensed clinical psychologist have the most expertise, resources and time to perform these examinations. In addition, the institutions have the greater capacity to address the concerns of safety and security that are often required for these individuals.

This same expertise, resources, time, and security do not currently exist at the regional HSCs.

Thank you. I would be happy to answer any questions.

13.8096.01001 Title. Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for Representative K. Koppelman February 4, 2013

#### PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1116

Page 1, line 14, remove ", but not a human service center"

Page 1, line 15, after the period insert "A human service center may not be considered a suitable facility and may not be considered suitable personnel under this section unless the court is aware than an inquiry has been made prior to the court ordering the evaluation to ensure that appropriate resources exist at the human service center being ordered to conduct the evaluation."

Renumber accordingly

# Testimony Engrossed House Bill 1116 – Department of Human Services Senate Judiciary Senator Hogue, Chairman March 18, 2013

Senator Hogue, members of the Senate Judiciary Committee, I am Alex C. Schweitzer, Director of Field Services for the Department of Human Services (Department). I am here today to testify in support of Engrossed House Bill 1116, which was introduced at the request of the Department.

Engrossed House Bill 1116 would remove the Department's regional human service centers (HSCs) from the statute as required providers of court-ordered fitness to proceed examinations.

Currently, a court may order the detention of a defendant for the purpose of an examination by a psychiatrist or a license clinical psychologist. This detention must be in the least restrictive appropriate setting, including the State Hospital at Jamestown, the Developmental Center at Grafton, or other suitable facility for a reasonable period of time, not to exceed 30 days, to allow for the fitness-to-proceed examination to be conducted.

The Department is asking for the removal of the regional HSCs from the statute, as the current practice is for these examinations to be completed at the State Hospital or the Developmental Center. The State Hospital, with four licensed clinical psychologists, and the Developmental Center with one licensed clinical psychologist, has the most expertise, resources, and time to perform these examinations. In addition, the institutions have the greater

capacity to address the concerns of safety and security that are often required for these individuals.

HSCs currently do not have the resources needed to complete these examinations.

House Bill 1116 was amended by the House of Representatives to permit an evaluation at the regional HSCs, as long as an inquiry has been made to the regional HSC to ensure they have appropriate resources to complete the evaluation.

Thank you. I would be happy to answer any questions.