2013 HOUSE INDUSTRY, BUSINESS AND LABOR

HB 1181

2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

House Industry, Business and Labor Committee

Peace Garden Room, State Capitol

HB 1181 January 30, 2013 Job #17955

Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature Je Mae 3	ach
Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/	resolution:
Domestic surplus lines insurers	
Minutes:	Attachments #1 & 2
Hearing opened.	

Pat Ward, Attorney with Zuger Kirmis & Smith: Refer to written testimony, attachment 1.

Representative M. Nelson: (2:57) You mentioned a clause that prevents them from selling, yet I don't see anything struck out.

Pat Ward: We are putting in a new section. Surplus lines companies are not allowed to write within the state they are domesticated. There are another six states that have done this.

Representative Kreun: (3:49) Please give an example of surplus lines.

Pat Ward: It means specialty coverage that is unique and does not fall within the normal lines, such as auto or small business commercial.

Chairman Keiser: It is everything else that isn't covered in a normal type of insurance policy.

Support:

John Svoboda, SVP and Regulatory Officer for the QBE North America group of companies, QBENA: (5:00) Refer to written testimony, attachment 2.

Chairman Keiser: (9:00) Please explain surplus lines.

John Svoboda: With surplus lines you have the admitted market or licensed carriers. They write most of the coverages that are needed. Every once in a while, you have unique risks that the admitted market does not cover. Examples include long-haul trucking or

House Industry, Business and Labor Committee HB 1181 January 30, 2013 Page 2

construction risks. They are products and services that the admitted insurance market does not provide. If the admitted license carriers do provide that coverage, the surplus lines market cannot provide it. You cannot use price as a guideline. If an admitted license carrier is willing to offer the product for \$100, even if the surplus lines market would be willing to offer it for \$80 you can't do that. The admitted line has guarantee fund protection which the surplus lines market does not.

Representative Ruby: (10:51) What was the reasoning behind preventing domestic insurers from providing insurance in their home state?

John Svoboda: You are either admitted and licensed or you are surplus lines. If you are domiciled in a state, that makes you an admitted licensed carrier.

Chairman Keiser: The insurance department is here. Perhaps they can add to that.

Representative Kasper: (13:00) Why did you domicile in North Dakota? Do you have a home office in North Dakota?

John Svoboda: I was not with the QBE group when they were formed. I'm comfortable saying the reason we were domiciled in North Dakota was because North Dakota at that time had the least amount of surplus lines exposure. We do not have an office here. Our primary administrative offices are out of New York and Wisconsin.

Opposition: None

Neutral: None

Chairman Keiser: Would the insurance dept. come up and tell us why we need to add this section to the code?

Ed Moody, Chief Examiner, North Dakota Insurance Dept.: (14:40) The department is neutral in the bill. We have four other insurers who are surplus lines and might take advantage of this should it pass. It directly came about as part the Dodd-Frank Bill. This would allow North Dakota to be competitive with the other states.

Chairman Keiser: (15:00) If QBE were domestic domiciled here they could not write here without this?

Ed Moody: They could write admitted lines but not surplus lines. The Dodd-Frank Bill is supposed to eliminate this problem.

Hearing closed.

Motion to do pass made by Representative Vigesaa and seconded by Representative N. Johnson.

Chairman Keiser: The surplus lines industry is really an interesting industry. When you hear the term "Lloyds of London", they are a surplus line writer. They have covered the

House Industry, Business and Labor Committee
HB 1181
January 30, 2013
Page 3
Hope Diamond, etc. In North Dakota with the oil development there is a lot of potential the other lines will not be able to cover.

A Roll Call vote was taken: Yes __14__, No __0__, Absent ___1__. (Rep. Amerman)
Chairman Keiser: We have to add an amendment

Motion to reconsider: Representative Ruby, Seconded by N. Johnson

Voice Vote. Motion passed.

Motion to amend. Representative Kreun. Seconded by Representative Boschee

Voice Vote. Motion passed.

Motion for Do Pass as amended. Representative Ruby. Seconded by Representative N. Johnson.

A Roll Call vote was taken: Yes 14, No 0, Absent 1. (Rep. Amerman)

Do Pass as amended carries.

Representative Kreun is the carrier.

Adopted by the Industry, Business and Labor Committee

January 30, 2013

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1181

Page 2, line 7, replace the first underscored comma with "and"

Page 2, line 7, remove ", and tax"

Renumber accordingly

130/13

Date:	1-30-	20	3
Roll C	all Vote #: _	1	

2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. _//8/

House Industry, Business, and Labor Committee

Legislative Cour	ncil Amendment Num	nber					
_		_	D			al a .a.4	
Action Taken:	en: Do Pass Do Not Pass Amended Adopt Amendment						
	☐ Rerefer to Appropriations ☐ Reconsider ☐ Consent Calendar						
Motion Made By	Vigesa	6	Se	econded By	sa		
Repre	sentatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No	
Chairman Geo	-	V.		Rep. Bill Amerman	W	2	
Vice Chairman		V		Rep. Joshua Boschee	V		
Rep. Thomas E		V		Rep. Edmund Gruchalla			
Rep. Rick Beck	ker	V		Rep. Marvin Nelson			
Rep. Robert Fr	antsvog	V,					
Rep. Nancy Jo	hnson						
Rep. Jim Kasp	er	V.					
Rep. Curtiss Kı	reun						
Rep. Scott Lou	ser	1					
Rep. Dan Ruby	1	1					
Rep. Don Vige	saa	/					
Total Yes	14		N	o <u>O</u>			
Absent							
Floor Assignmen	1)	9 99,00			98 Ga	
If the vote is on	an amendment, brief	ly indica	ate inte	nt:			

Date:	1-30-	20130	20
Roll Ca	all Vote #:	2	

2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. _// 8/

House Industry, Business, and Labor Committee

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

Legislative Coun	cil Amendment Num	ber					
Action Taken:	☐ Do Pass ☐ I	☐ Do Pass ☐ Do Not Pass ☐ Amended ☐ Adopt Amendment					
	Rerefer to App	oropria	tions	Reconsider Cons	ent Cal	endar	
Motion Made By	Ruby		Se	conded By			
Repres	sentatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No	
Chairman Georg	ge Keiser			Rep. Bill Amerman			
Vice Chairman	Gary Sukut			Rep. Joshua Boschee			
Rep. Thomas B	eadle			Rep. Edmund Gruchalla			
Rep. Rick Beck	er			Rep. Marvin Nelson			
Rep. Robert Fra	antsvog						
Rep. Nancy Joh	nson						
Rep. Jim Kaspe	er						
Rep. Curtiss Kre	eun	7					
Rep. Scott Lous	ser \		\ /				
Rep. Dan Ruby			\ /				
Rep. Don Viges	aa		\				
Total Yes No							
Floor Assignmen	t						

Date:	1-30.	201	Ban
Roll C	all Vote #:	3	

2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. __//8/___

House Industry, Business,	and L	abor	<u>Committee</u>		1	
Legislative Council Amendment Num	ber _	13.	0408-0	200		
Action Taken: Do Pass D	Do Not	Pass	☐ Amended	Adop	t Amen	dment
Rerefer to App	propria	tions	Reconsider	Cons	ent Cal	endar
Motion Made By		Se	conded By Bo	sche		
Representatives	Yes	No	Representati	ves	Yes	No
Chairman George Keiser			Rep. Bill Amerman			
Vice Chairman Gary Sukut			Rep. Joshua Bosch	nee		
Rep. Thomas Beadle			Rep. Edmund Grud	challa		
Rep. Rick Becker			Rep. Marvin Nelso	n		
Rep. Robert Frantsvog						
Rep. Nancy Johnson						
Rep. Jim Kasper						
Rep. Curtiss Kreun						
Rep. Scott Louser	10	1/1				
Rep. Dan Ruby		10				
Rep. Don Vigesaa						
Total Yes		No)			
Absent				and the second second		
Floor Assignment	ly indica	ato into	.4.	.		

page Zi line 7

Date:	1-30-201	3
Roll Ca	all Vote #:	

2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO.

House Industry, Business, and Labor Committee

The same of the sa	The second secon	THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN			
Legislative Council Ame	endment Number	13	0408.0200	/	
Action Taken:	o Pass 📋 Do N	ot Pass		t Amen	dment
R	erefer to Appropri	iations	☐ Reconsider ☐ Cons	ent Cal	endar
Motion Made By Ruby Seconded By Johnson					
Representativ	ves Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman George Keis			Rep. Bill Amerman	1	D
Vice Chairman Gary S	ukut 🗸		Rep. Joshua Boschee		
Rep. Thomas Beadle	V		Rep. Edmund Gruchalla	V	
Rep. Rick Becker					
Rep. Robert Frantsvog	V /				
Rep. Nancy Johnson					
Rep. Jim Kasper	V.				
Rep. Curtiss Kreun	V				
Rep. Scott Louser					
Rep. Dan Ruby					
Rep. Don Vigesaa					
				-	
		-		-	
Total Yes 14	######################################	N	0 💍		
Floor Assignment If the vote is on an ame	Kreub ndment, briefly indi	cate inte	nt:		

Module ID: h_stcomrep_17_010

Carrier: Kreun

Insert LC: 13.0408.02001 Title: 03000

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1181: Industry, Business and Labor Committee (Rep. Keiser, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (14 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1181 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 2, line 7, replace the first underscored comma with "and"

Page 2, line 7, remove ", and tax"

Renumber accordingly

2013 SENATE INDUSTRY, BUSINESS AND LABOR

HB 1181

2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee

Roosevelt Park Room, State Capitol

HB 1181 March 12, 2013 Job Number 19742

☐ Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature Ea Lubelt	
Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/i	resolution:
Relating to domestic surplus lines insurers	
Minutes:	Testimony Attached

Chairman Klein: Opened the hearing.

Representative Keiser: Introduced the bill. (:10-3:00)

Patrick Ward, Attorney with Zuger Kirmis & Smith Law Firm: Written Testimony Attached (1) and letter from John Svoboda, SVP, Regulatory Officer for QBE North America (2). (3:20-6:25)

Senator Laffen: Asked why there would be laws on the books that say you can be here but can't sell here.

Patrick Ward: Said he doesn't know the history of that but apparently that is the way they originally set that up.

Senator Andrist: Commented that he had said there was an increase in demand because of the oil activities. What types of policies would they be able to sell that qualify as surplus lines?

Patrick Ward: Said it is a very good question and the letter he provided from John Svoboda addresses some of those types of things that would be provided. (7:10-7:55)

Senator Andrist: Asked if they were to assume that a good definition of surplus lines is insurance that standard policies won't cover.

Patrick Ward: Said yes that is exactly what the definition would be.

Edward Moody, Director of Insurance Companies Licensing and Examinations in the Insurance Department: Said that throughout history it was required that a company be licensed, which means as an admitted carrier you can't be writing surplus lines in that state. (9:05-10:10)

Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee HB 1181 March 12, 2013 Page 2

Chairman Klein: Closed the hearing.

Senator Andrist: Moved a do pass.

Senator Unruh: Seconded the motion.

Roll Call Vote: Yes -7 No - 0 Absent - 0

Floor Assignment: Senator Andrist

Date: 3/12/2013 Roll Call Vote # 1

2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1181

Senate _Industry, Business, and La	abor			Com	mittee
☐ Check here for Conference C	ommitte	ee			
Legislative Council Amendment Nun	nber _				
Action Taken:	Do Not	Pass	☐ Amended ☐ A	dopt Amen	dment
Rerefer to Ap	opropria	tions	Reconsider		
Motion Made By Senator Andrist		Se	econded By Senator Un	ruh	
Senators	Yes	No	Senator	Yes	No
Chairman Klein	Х		Senator Murphy	х	
Vice Chairman Laffen	X		Senator Sinner	x	
Senator Andrist	X				
Senator Sorvaag	X			7	
Senator Unruh	X				
Total (Yes) 7		N	0_0_		
Absent 0			11 E		
Floor Assignment Senator Andris	st				

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

Module ID: s_stcomrep_43_004

Carrier: Andrist

HB 1181, as engrossed: Industry, Business and Labor Committee (Sen. Klein, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (7 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed HB 1181 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2013 TESTIMONY

HB 1181

HB 1181 1-30-2013

January 30, 2013 House Industry, Business and Labor

TESTIMONY OF PATRICK WARD IN SUPPORT OF HB 1181 RELATING TO SURPLUS LINES INSURERS

Chairman Keiser and Members of the HOUSE IBL Committee. Good morning.

My name is Patrick Ward. I'm an attorney here in Bismarck with the law firm of Zuger Kirmis & Smith. I represent the Property and Casualty Insurance Association of America in support of this bill.

I did prepare this bill draft with the assistance of PCI and QBE Insurance Group. I would like to introduce to you John Svoboda, a Senior Vice President and Regulatory Officer for QBE of North America.

The reason I asked Representative Keiser to sponsor this bill was because QBE operates a North Dakota domestic surplus lines insurer. Because of a provision in existing North Dakota law, QBE is unable to write surplus lines insurance here in North Dakota. The demand for surplus lines insurance because of the oil boom and all of the construction activity resulting from the boom has been significant.

HB 1181 is designed to provide that a North Dakota domestic insurer, like QBE, would be permitted to write those necessary and important types of coverage for the unique businesses now thriving in North Dakota.

I did submit this bill draft to the Insurance Department for comments and suggestions prior to the session. We did work out this draft with the assistance and consent of the Insurance Department. One item in the bill at page 2, line 7,

has been brought to my attention since then which may need to be amended. We would like you to consider removing the word "tax" from page 2, line 7. The reason we would like to strike that word is to ensure that North Dakota domestic surplus lines writers are not subject to any additional taxes that other surplus lines writers are not subject to such as premium taxes.

I would urge a DO PASS with that adjustment of HB 1181. I would like to try to answer any questions you may have, but I think you would be better off directing them to Mr. Svoboda.

P:\PWARD\Legislative 2013\Testimony on SB 1181.doc

HB 1181 #2 1-30-13

North Dakota HOUSE BILL 1181

a Bill to create and enact a section relating to surplus lines insurers

My name is John Svoboda and I am the SVP, Regulatory Officer for the QBE North America ("QBENA") group of companies. The QBENA group of companies are owned by QBE Insurance Group Limited ("QBE"). QBE Insurance Group Limited is Australia's largest international general insurance and reinsurance group and one of the top 20 insurers and reinsurers worldwide, as measured by net earned premium, with operations in all key insurance markets. QBE is publicly traded on the Australian Stock Exchange ("ASX") with a Group head office based in Sydney. It has operations in 52 countries, with over 16,000 employees worldwide. QBE is comprised primarily of insurance companies, insurance holding companies and some agencies. All of QBE's products and services are insurance or insurance-related. Insurance is the only thing we do.

The QBENA group of companies includes 19 insurance companies domiciled in 6 different states and several wholly-owned agencies. The QBENA companies write in excess of \$6 billion per year and offer a wide range of property and casualty products, primarily in the property, auto and agricultural lines of business. NAU Country, a QBE company, is the 3rd largest writer of MPCI/ crop hail insurance in the US and writes over 23% of the crop business in North Dakota, having written \$234 million in 2012.

QBE Specialty Insurance Company ("QBESIC"), a North Dakota domiciled insurer formed in 2002, is QBENA's only surplus lines writer. QBESIC is an eligible surplus lines insurer in all states and DC, with the exception of North Dakota. In 2012, QBESIC wrote a total of \$1.021 billion in surplus lines premium, with the following volume in the states contiguous to North Dakota:

Montana \$108 k

Wyoming \$54 k

South Dakota \$138 k

Minnesota \$1,433 k

Total surplus lines volume written in North Dakota for the year 2011 was in excess of \$33 million.

As a domiciled insurer in the state of North Dakota, QBESIC is currently unable to write on a surplus lines basis in North Dakota and therefore supports the adoption of HB 1181. HB 1181 would allow a North Dakota domestic insurer, meeting certain financial and other criteria, to write on a surplus lines basis. This would expand the number of insurers able to write on a surplus lines basis in North Dakota and would also allow North Dakota surplus lines insured's to be protected by a North Dakota domiciled insurer, if they so choose. To date, six other states have seen the benefits of this and have passed similar legislation, with legislation pending in New York.

QBESIC is interested in obtaining a surplus lines license in North Dakota as we have some business opportunities that would dictate a need for surplus lines. In the past, we have lost national accounts with property exposures in North Dakota because the insured's did not want to have multiple policies/insurers.

Also, with the development of the shale oil industry, there has been a significant influx of population into this state. While we do not anticipate writing the drillers themselves, there is a wide variety of service industries that are developing around this industry to support its growth.

Hotels are being built and restaurants being added to feed the people as well as other residential projects. We have some Program Administrator clients who serve these industries and surplus lines eligibility would enable them to move quickly into this market. We have one in particular that does light construction and another new business prospect who does site and land improvement work.

We are also growing our non-Cat property book with some of our large surplus lines partners.

They have had to turn down risks in North Dakota as we currently do not have the ability/eligibility to meet their needs. Having surplus lines capabilities here would support our growth plans in the upper Midwest, which is out of the hurricane and earthquake zones.

Passage of HB 1181 would also expand the business prospects of our wholly-owned agencies.



TESTIMONY OF PATRICK WARD IN SUPPORT OF ENGROSSED HB 1181 RELATING TO SURPLUS LINES INSURERS

Chairman Klein and Members of the Senate IBL Committee. Good morning.

My name is Patrick Ward. I'm an attorney here in Bismarck with the law firm of Zuger Kirmis & Smith. I represent the Property and Casualty Insurance Association of America in support of this bill.

I did prepare this bill draft with the assistance of PCI and QBE Insurance Group. I would like to provide you with a letter from John Svoboda, a Senior Vice President and Regulatory Officer for QBE of North America.

The reason I asked Representative Keiser and Senators Andrist and Klein to sponsor this bill was because QBE operates a North Dakota domestic surplus lines insurer. Because of a provision in existing North Dakota law, QBE is unable to write surplus lines insurance here in North Dakota. The demand for surplus lines insurance because of the oil boom and all of the construction activity resulting from the boom has been significant.

HB 1181 is designed to provide that a North Dakota domestic insurer, like QBE, would be permitted to write those necessary and important types of coverage for the unique businesses now thriving in North Dakota.

I did submit this bill draft to the Insurance Department for comments and suggestions prior to the session. We did work out this draft with the assistance and consent of the Insurance Department. One item in the bill at page 2, line 7,

1

was brought to my attention which needed to be amended. That is why the bill you see is Engrossed. The reason we made that change was to ensure that North Dakota domestic surplus lines writers are not subject to any additional taxes that other surplus lines writers are not subject to.

I would urge a DO PASS of Engrossed HB 1181. I will to try to answer any questions you may have.

P:\PWARD\Legislative 2013\Testimony on SB 1181.doc





ORF North America

Wall Street Plaza 88 Pine Street New York, NY 10005 Phone: 212.422.1212 www.qbeamericas.com

March 12, 2013

To: To: Chairman Klein and members of the Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee:

Re: HB No. 1181

My name is John Svoboda and I am the SVP, Regulatory Officer for the QBE North America ("QBENA") group of companies. The QBENA group of companies are owned by QBE Insurance Group Limited ("QBE"). QBE Insurance Group Limited is Australia's largest international general insurance and reinsurance group and one of the top 20 insurers and reinsurers worldwide, as measured by net earned premium, with operations in all key insurance markets. QBE is publicly traded on the Australian Stock Exchange ("ASX") with a Group head office based in Sydney. It has operations in 52 countries, with over 16,000 employees worldwide. QBE is comprised primarily of insurance companies, insurance holding companies and some agencies. All of QBE's products and services are insurance or insurance-related. Insurance is the only thing we do.

The QBENA group of companies includes 19 insurance companies domiciled in 6 different states and several wholly-owned agencies. The QBENA companies write in excess of \$ 6 billion per year and offer a wide range of property and casualty products, primarily in the property, auto and agricultural lines of business. NAU Country, a QBE company, is the 3rd largest writer of MPCI/crop hail insurance in the US and writes over 23% of the crop business in North Dakota, having written \$ 234 million in 2012.

QBE Specialty Insurance Company ("QBESIC"), a North Dakota domiciled insurer formed in 2002, is QBENA's only surplus lines writer. QBESIC is an eligible surplus lines insurer in all states and DC, with the exception of North Dakota. In 2012, QBESIC wrote a total of \$ 1.021 billion in surplus lines premium, with the following volume in the states contiguous to North Dakota:

Montana \$ 108 k Wyoming \$ 54 k South Dakota \$ 138 k Minnesota \$ 1,433 k

Total surplus lines volume written in North Dakota for the year 2011 was in excess of \$ 33 million.

As a domiciled insurer in the state of North Dakota, QBESIC is currently unable to write on a surplus lines basis in North Dakota and therefore supports the adoption of HB No. 1181. HB No. 1181 would allow a North Dakota domestic insurer, meeting certain financial and other criteria, to write on a surplus lines basis. This would expand the number of insurers able to write on a surplus lines basis in North Dakota and would also allow North Dakota surplus lines insured's to be protected by a North Dakota domiciled insurer, if they so choose. To date, six other states have seen the benefits of this and have passed similar legislation, with legislation pending in New York. QBESIC is interested in obtaining a surplus lines license in North Dakota as we have some

business opportunities that would dictate a need for surplus lines. In the past, we have lost national accounts with property exposures in North Dakota because the insured's did not want to have multiple policies/insurers.

Also, with the development of the shale oil industry, there has been a significant influx of population into this state. While we do not anticipate writing the drillers themselves, there is a wide variety of service industries that are developing around this industry to support its growth. Hotels are being built and restaurants being added to feed the people as well as other residential projects. We have some Program Administrator clients who serve these industries and surplus lines eligibility would enable them to move quickly into this market. We have one in particular that does light construction and another new business prospect who does site and land improvement work. We are also growing our non-Cat property book with some of our large surplus lines partners. They have had to turn down risks in North Dakota as we currently do not have the ability/eligibility to meet their needs. Having surplus lines capabilities here would support our growth plans in the upper Midwest, which is out of the hurricane and earthquake zones. Passage of HB No. 1181 would also expand the business prospects of our wholly-owned agencies.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

John Svoboda

SVP, Regulatory Officer

Legal

QBE North America

Direct: 212.805.9851 Mobile: 917.407.4686

Email: john.svoboda@us.qbe.com

Web: www.qbena.com