2013 HOUSE JUDICIARY

HB 1340

2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

House Judiciary Committee

Prairie Room, State Capitol

HB 1340 January 28, 2013 Job 17815

☐ Conference Committee

Kvotu Hefalu	
Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/r	esolution:
Records filed with the Secretary of State; and section 35-13-02, subsection 6 of 41-09-73, and North Dakota Century Code, relating to confide Secretary of State and fees collected by the Secre	I subsection 7 of section 54-09-04 of the ntial information in the possession of the
Minutes:	Testimony 1
Chairman Koppelman: Opens.	

Rep Klemin: I introduced HB 1340 on the request of the Secretary of State's Office; Clara Jenkins is here in behalf of Al Jaegar.

Clara Jenkins, Secretary of State Office: Testimony 1.

Rep Steiner: On this amount where he wants flexibility will that be known to the public so there won't be a lot of push back?

Clara: We don't expect the cost to be different but yes we would have an explanation to how the costs were derived. I think the public will be much more satisfied with the new way.

Rep Klemin: You are just passing on what the charge is from ITD?

Clara: That is correct, the charges or the costs to develop those lists.

Chairman Koppelman: SSN issue? Do you think that has been cleared up?

Clara: I think we have it done, yes.

Chairman Koppelman: Closes.

2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

House Judiciary Committee Prairie Room, State Capitol

HB 1340 January 28, 2013

☐ Conference Committee

Job 17867

Kurstic Helanly	
Explanation or reason for introduction of bi	II/resolution:
Records filed with the Secretary of State; a section 35-13-02, subsection 6 of 41-09-73, a North Dakota Century Code, relating to confi Secretary of State and fees collected by the Secretary	and subsection 7 of section 54-09-04 of the dential information in the possession of the
Minutes:	
Chairman Koppelman: Opens.	
Rep Hogan: Moves a Do Pass.	
Rep Brabandt: Second.	
Discussion:	

Rep Boehning: The only real reservation I have with this is in section 3 where we are lifting the \$35 fee and they have no idea what the fee is going to be, a dollar cap should be put in there.

Chairman Koppelman: Good point.

Rep Klemin: Part of the issue here is ITD is billing for this and Secretary of State office, if we say it's going to be \$50 and ITD bills Secretary of State office \$60, they would have to eat the difference, we don't want that result.

Chairman Koppelman: Rep Klemin has a point previously could have been \$35 plus the cost and now we are stating language that allows them to recover the actually cost.

Rep Boehning: I have never seen this with anything else, ITD could state whatever amount they want.

Rep Klemin: This is really a reduction in the cost, under the existing law it \$35 plus the actual cost. Now under this amendment it's just going to be the actual cost.

House Judiciary Committee HB 1340 January 28, 2013 Page 2

Rep Delmore: I think the reason for the \$35 in addition has a lot to do with the work was being done by hand because computer system wasn't set to handle it.

Rep Steiner: Maybe you want to sub it so we can look at it next time and make sure it is not unreasonable.

Rep Klemin: What a minute, if we want to put a sunset on here just put it on section 3 do not put it on the rest.

Rep Delmore: If it becomes unreasonable one of will take it back, sunset clause makes sense for some things but with this we will hear from Joe public. I agree with Rep Klemin, \$35 is automatically taken off there, it is going to be less expensive now then what it was then.

Chairman Koppelman: Motion for do pass.

Yes: 10

No: 2

Absent: 2

Carried by: Rep Paur

Date: _J	~	a	8	-/	3	
Roll Call	Vo	te#	: _			

2013 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. $\#B/34_0$

House Judiciary				Com	mittee
☐ Check here for Conference C	Committe	ee			
Legislative Council Amendment Nu	mber _				
Action Taken: Do Pass	Do Not	l Pass	☐ Amended ☐ Add	opt Amen	dment
Rerefer to A	ppropria	tions	Reconsider		
Motion Made By Rep. Hog	an	Se	econded By Rep. C	na ba	n J
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Kim Koppelman			Rep. Lois Delmore		
Vice Chairman Lawrence Klemin			Rep. Ben Hanson		
Rep. Randy Boehning			Rep. Kathy Hogan		
Rep. Roger Brabandt					
Rep. Karen Karls					
Rep. William Kretschmar	//				- 1
Rep. Diane Larson	/				
Rep. Andrew Maragos					1
Rep. Gary Paur	/				
Rep. Vicky Steiner					
Rep. Nathan Toman					
		<u> </u>			
Total (Yes)		N	·		
Absent 2					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Floor Assignment Rep	. Po	us_			
If the vote is on an amendment, bri	efly indica	ate inte	nt:		

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

Module ID: h_stcomrep_15_016

Carrier: Paur

HB 1340: Judiciary Committee (Rep. K. Koppelman, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (10 YEAS, 2 NAYS, 2 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1340 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

2013 SENATE JUDICIARY

HB 1340

2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Senate Judiciary Committee Fort Lincoln Room, State Capitol

HB1340 3/20/2013 Job #20225

Conference	Committee
Committee Clerk Signature	
Minutes:	Attached testimony
Relating to records filed with the Secretary of Sta	ate
Senator David Hogue - Chairman	
Al Jaeger - Secretary of State - See written tessare being made by section. Senator Nelson as Senator Hogue points out if it isn't inadvertent it i liability if someone intentionally discloses confide No other testimony.	ks for a definition of inadvertently missed. s intentional so this bill would still authorize

Close the hearing on HB1340

2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Senate Judiciary CommitteeFort Lincoln Room, State Capitol

HB1340 3/27/2013 Job #20613

☐ Conference Committee				
Committee Clerk Signature	Dan			
Minutes:	Vote			
Senator David Hogue - Chairman				
Senator Armstrong moves a do pass Senator Grabinger seconded				

Discussion

Committee discusses this is about information that was disclosed and should have been redacted. This is an inadvertent disclosure.

Vote - 7 yes, 0 no Motion passes Senator Sitte will carry

Date:	3/2	27/13	,
Roll Call	Vote #:		

2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. ___/340_

Senate JUDICIARY				Com	mittee
Check here for Conference	e Committe	ee			
Legislative Council Amendment	Number				
Action Taken: Do Pass	☐ Do No	t Pass	☐ Amended ☐ Add	pt Amer	ndmen
Rerefer to	o Appropria	tions	Reconsider	•	
Motion Made By 3. Aur	wteeng	Se	econded By S. Grabe	ingu	-
Senators	Yeş	No	Senator	Yes	No
Chariman David Hogue	,X,		Senator Carolyn Nelson	X	
Vice Chairman Margaret Sitte	X		Senator John Grabinger	<u> </u>	
Senator Stanley Lyson	X_		·	/	
Senator Spencer Berry			1		
Senator Kelly Armstrong	<u> </u>		1		
	'		1		
					
					
Total (Yes)	7	N	。		
Absent	<u>Q</u>				
Floor Assignment	Sitt	e_			
If the vote is on an amendment, I	briefly indica	ite inte	nt:		

Com Standing Committee Report March 27, 2013 1:30pm

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

Module ID: s_stcomrep_54_005

Carrier: Sitte

s_stcomrep_54_005

HB 1340: Judiciary Committee (Sen. Hogue, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (7 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1340 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2013 TESTIMONY

HB 1340





January 28, 2013

PHONE (701) 328-2900 FAX (701) 328-2992

E-MAIL sos@nd.gov

TO: Rep. Koppelman, Chairman, and Members of the House Judiciary Committee

FR: Clara Jenkins on behalf of Al Jaeger, Secretary of State

RE: HB 1340 - Confidential Information in Possession of Secretary of State and Fees Collected

I appreciate and thank Senator Klemin for introducing HB 1340 at the request of the Secretary of State.

Section 1, page 2, lines 1 through 4: This deletion removes the social security number (SSN) from the repairmen's lien provided for in N.D.C.C. § 35-13-02. This section was inadvertently missed when the 2011 Legislative Assembly unanimously passed SB 2249. The removal of the SSN on the repairmen's lien will minimize the possibility of identity theft and to standardize the programming for all liens filed in the Secretary of State's Central Indexing System (CIS). The only liens that retain the SSN are agriculture liens filed as Central Notice Filings (CNF), which is required by the Federal Food Security Act. While it is required for the filing, the SSN can only be used for search purposes and is not revealed on the monthly CD that is provided to subscribers.

Section 2, page, 2, lines 13 through 18: This addition will limit the liability for the offices of County Recorders and the Secretary of State when a filer places a SSN or federal tax identification (FIN) number in an improper field on a form prescribed by the Secretary of State or "buries" the number in pages of a nonstandard CIS form or other records filed by the Secretary of State.

This is particularly critical now as the Secretary of State is developing new software that will enable online filing of CIS documents and many other business filings with the office. While the redaction tools of the new software will automatically redact the SSN/FIN from fields on the forms prescribed for these numbers, it cannot automatically redact those numbers if an "online" filer places the numbers elsewhere on the forms. While the County Recorders and the Secretary of State will continue to redact manually the SSN/FIN numbers when providing copies of records to the public, it is extremely challenging when non-standard or non-prescribed forms are used by the filers. This change in law would relieve the filing offices from civil or criminal penalties if numbers are entered incorrectly by the filer and inadvertently missed in the redaction process.

Section 3, page 2, lines 26 and 27: This change will allow the Secretary of State to set the amount charged for data lists based on the actual cost rather than have it set in state law. Now, the ITD rate for generating the lists is based on CPU time used on the AS/400, which is being phased out. The charges to generate the lists under the new software will not be known until the new software has been fully developed. Therefore, in order to recover the cost of list production, the Secretary of State requests the flexibility to establish the rates based on the actual cost charged by ITD, which could be more or less, than the \$35 currently set in state law.

Section 4, page 3, lines 1 through 9: The explanation is the same as it was for the same change in section 2.

Your favorable consideration is requested.



PHONE (701) 328-2900

FAX (701) 328-2992 E-MAIL sos@nd.gov





March 20, 2013

TO: Senator Hogue, Chairman, and Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee

FR: Al Jaeger, Secretary of State

RE: HB 1340 - Confidential Information in Possession of Secretary of State and Fees Collected

Section 1, page 2, lines 1 through 4: This deletion removes the social security number (SSN) from the repairmen's lien provided for in N.D.C.C. § 35-13-02. This section was inadvertently missed when the 2011 Legislative Assembly unanimously passed SB 2249. The removal of the SSN on the repairmen's lien will minimize the possibility of identity theft and to standardize the programming for all liens filed in the Secretary of State's Central Indexing System (CIS). The only liens that retain the SSN are agriculture liens filed as Central Notice Filings (CNF), which is required by the Federal Food Security Act. While it is required for the filing, the SSN can only be used for search purposes and is not revealed on the monthly CD that is provided to subscribers.

<u>Section 2, page, 2, lines 13 through 18</u>: This addition will limit the liability for the offices of County Recorders and the Secretary of State when a filer places a SSN or federal tax identification (FIN) number in an improper field on a form prescribed by the Secretary of State or "buries" the number in pages of a nonstandard CIS form or other records filed by the Secretary of State.

This is particularly critical now as the Secretary of State is developing new software that will enable online filing of CIS documents and many other business filings with the office. While the redaction tools of the new software will automatically redact the SSN/FIN from fields on the forms prescribed for these numbers, it cannot automatically redact those numbers if an "online" filer places the numbers elsewhere on the forms. While the County Recorders and the Secretary of State will continue to redact manually the SSN/FIN numbers when providing copies of records to the public, it is extremely challenging when non-standard or non-prescribed forms are used by the filers. This change in law would relieve the filing offices from civil or criminal penalties if numbers are entered incorrectly by the filer and inadvertently missed in the redaction process.

<u>Section 3, page 2, lines 26 and 27</u>: This change will allow the Secretary of State to set the amount charged for data lists based on the actual cost rather than have it set in state law. Now, the ITD rate for generating the lists is based on CPU time used on the AS/400, which is being phased out. The charges to generate the lists under the new software will not be known until the new software has been fully developed. Therefore, in order to recover the cost of list production, the Secretary of State requests the flexibility to establish the rates based on the actual cost charged by ITD, which could be more or less, than the \$35 currently set in state law.

<u>Section 4, page 3, lines 1 through 9</u>: The explanation is the same as it was for the same change in section 2.

Your favorable consideration is requested.