2013 SENATE TRANSPORTATION

SB 2153

n

2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Senate Transportation Committee

Lewis and Clark Room, State Capitol

Senate Bill 2153 January 24, 2013 Recording job number 17655

Conference Committee

NOUS & Pere

Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

Relating to highway-rail grade crossing safety grants and to the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund and to provide a continuing appropriation

Minutes:

Attached testimony: 1

Chairman Oehlke

Opened hearing on bill 2153. Some information has come to light and various concerns from some folks. The prime sponsor of the bill has asked me to please hold off until he gathers more information. He may be interested in pulling this bill. If there is a hearing on it, it will probably happen next Friday. If anybody wants to leave written testimony they can. If they want to talk to any of the Senators they are free to. I will close the hearing on SB 2153

<u>Mark Dougherty</u> Membership Services Director for the Associated General Contractors of North Dakota submitted written testimony in opposition of this bill (\mathcal{Test}_{MONY})

2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Senate Transportation Committee

Lewis and Clark Room, State Capitol

Senate Bill 2153 January 25, 2013 Job number 17741

Conference Committee

Vous & Peres

Committee Clerk Signature

Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

Relating to highway-rail grade crossing safety grants and to the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund and to provide a continuing appropriation

Minutes:

Written testimony : none

Chairman Oehlke Opened the discussion on SB 2153

This bill is running into some head butting between what the bill is intended to do and what North Dakota Department of Transportation (DOT) already does. There was a meeting with Senator Lyson, sponsor of this bill, he did not know whether he needed to pull this bill or what. We met briefly with the railroad people and a couple of people from North Dakota Department of Transportation (North Dakota Department of Transportation (DOT) and they don't always agree with each other. They will try to come to some kind of agreement but the problem is this bill. As the North Dakota Department of Transportation (DOT) sees it, it takes a lot of money out of the gas tax that railroad pays and has to use on guiet rails and safe crossings; which sounds like a good idea. But North Dakota Department of Transportation (DOT) says we already do stuff with quiet crossings and safety issues and we have two and a half million a year federal money and that is going to go up per year and they put that into their budget and use it for that effort. Plus there is money that was in a previous bill just like this, from previous session that hasn't all been used yet, because a lot of the problem is that when someone wants to do a quiet rail for instance or change a crossing or put some safety things up; the application process that goes thru the railroad and everything takes a really long time that is why the money doesn't always get used up and so North Dakota Department of Transportation (DOT) says if you take money out of that which we are already planning on using some of it, and we already are putting it to good use, and you are telling us how to use it where are we going to get the money to put up the stop signs and other things in downtown Williston that are needed. They are supposed to come back to us with a better idea of an agreement. We are going to not hear anything on that next week but that bill will be put on the docket for the first week of February. We will come up with an agreement for them or we will recommend not passing the bill. We will see what happens

Senator Flakoll

Can they carry on those funds?

Senate Transportation Committee Senate Bill 2153 January 25, 2013 Page 2

Vice Chairman Armstrong

They have to continue to ask for extensions

Senator Sitte

Some people had travelled from out of state for that hearing and I am very disappointed that it wasn't held. We have put in bills that later on, before the hearing we find out that we should have done something different. I think that open process would have been good to hear both sides and then come to a conclusion rather than having quiet meetings about it Once a bill is in the hopper I don't think we should ever postponed it.

Chairman Oehlke

Really that was the prime sponsor who sounded like he might pull out the bill I am sorry about that I did offer they could leave written testimony.

Senator Flakoll

I think once the bill is scheduled it cannot be withdrawn

Chairman Oehlke We will work with that. Discussion closed.

2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Senate Transportation Committee

Lewis and Clark Room, State Capitol

Senate Bill 2153 February 7, 2013 Recording Job Number 18514 Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature Dou's C

Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

Relating to highway-rail grade crossing safety grants and to the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund and to provide a continuing appropriation.

Minutes:

Attached testimony: 6

<u>Chairman Oehlke</u> Opened the discussion on SB 2153. Testimony was taken since the previous two times the hearing had been postponed.

Senator Lyson District 1 Handed out amendment 13.0529.02002 (written testimony # 1)

<u>Max Laird</u> representing the United Transportation Union (UTU) Handed out written testimony (# 2) in support of this bill. This bill is intended to continue legislation (SB 2338) passed in 2009 and that expired in 2011. The Governor's Budget (2013-15, see SB 2012, written testimony # 3) has not proposed any future funding. The bill had established a grant program managed by the Dept. of Transportation to offer assistance to political subdivisions in improving public safety at rail crossings. He proceeded to explain the amendment handed out by Senator Lyson. Handouts: Funding proposal for SB 2153 (# 4); Funding proposal SB 2153(# 5); motor fuel taxes gallons-received 07/01/08 thru 11/30/12 (# 6)

Discussion followed on correcting some language in the amendment.

<u>Senator Axness</u> Motion to amend on page 3 subsection 5 after the period: not to exceed four million per biennium.

Senator Sitte Second

Voice vote Yes 7 No 0 Absent 0

Vice Chairman Armstrong Moves to accept amendment as amended

Senator Axness Second

Senate Transportation Committee Senate Bill 2153 February 7, 2013 Page 2

<u>Vice Chairman Armstrong</u> explained overage goes back to North Dakota Department of Transportation (DOT) "per biennium" I don't want it to be in a place where it is sitting and growing in nature.

Voice vote: Yes 7 No 0 absent 0

Vice Chairman Armstrong moves do pass as amended and re-refer to appropriations

Senator Sitte Second

Roll call vote: Yes 7 No 0 Absent 0

Carrier: Senator Axness

FISCAL NOTE Requested by Legislative Council 02/08/2013

Amendment to: SB 2153

1 A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2011-2013 Biennium		2013-2015 Biennium		2015-2017 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues						
Expenditures						
Appropriations						

1 B. County, city, school district and township fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

	2011-2013 Biennium	2013-2015 Biennium	2015-2017 Biennium
Counties			
Cities			
School Districts			
Townships			

2 A. Bill and fiscal impact summary: Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).

This bill as amended reduces Highway Tax Distribution funding to NDDOT and places that funding into the highwayrail grade crossing safety projects fund and provides a continuing appropriation.

B. Fiscal impact sections: Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.

Section 2 of the bill reduces the portion of the Highway Tax Distribution F und (HTDF) that is deposited in the State Highway Fund from 61.3% to 60.3%. It also directs the State Treasurer to deposit 1% of the Highway Tax Distribution F und into the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects F und and provides a continuing appropriation to NDDOT to expend this fund.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. **Revenues:** Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

This bill reduces the portion of the Highway Tax Distribution Fund (HTDF) that is deposited in the State Highway Fund from 61.3% to 60.3%. It also directs the State Treasurer to deposit 1% of the Highway Tax Distribution Fund into the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects Fund and provides a continuing appropriation to NDDOT to expend this fund. 1% of the HTDF is approximately \$6 million per biennium; however, the legislation caps the amount that can be deposited into the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects Fund at \$4 million per biennium. Since both the State Highway Fund and the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects Fund are special funds that will be administered by NDDOT, and the respective increase and decrease to the funds revenues are offsetting, there is no net impact to revenues identified in part 1A of this fiscal note.

t r

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

This bill provides for NDDOT to fund highway-rail grade crossing safety projects from the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects Fund. Since the funding for this fund was provided by a corresponding decrease to the funding for the State Highway Fund, the expenditures to the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects F und will be offset by a corresponding decrease in expenditures from the State Highway Fund; consequently there is no net impact to expenditures identified in part 1A of this fiscal note.

C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation is also included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.

Section 2 of this bill provides a continuing appropriation to the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects Fund, not to exceed \$4 million per biennium.

.

Name: Shannon L. Sauer Agency: NDDOT Telephone: 328-1933 Date Prepared: 02/08/2013

.

FISCAL NOTE Requested by Legislative Council 01/15/2013

Bill/Resolution No.: SB 2153

1 A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2011-2013 Biennium		2013-2015 Biennium		2015-2017 Biennium	
-	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues				\$2,500,000		\$2,500,000
Expenditures				\$6,800,000		\$6,800,000
Appropriations				\$2,500,000		\$2,500,000

1 B. County, city, school district and township fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

	2011-2013 Biennium	2013-2015 Biennium	2015-2017 Biennium
Counties		\$(1,500,000)	\$(1,500,000)
Cities		\$(800,000)	\$(800,000)
School Districts			
Townships		\$(200,000)	\$(200,000)

2 A. Bill and fiscal impact summary: Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).

This bill allows taxes collected on sales of dyed diesel fuel to railroads to be placed in the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund for qualifying projects. The taxes are currently placed in the highway tax distribution fund.

B. Fiscal impact sections: Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.

Taxes on the sale of dyed diesel fuel to railroads are estimated to be \$6.8 million for the 2013-2015 biennium. This money would be placed in the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund and administered by the NDDOT. A loss in distributions from the highway tax distribution fund would occur as follows: NDDOT 61.3% - \$4,200,000; Counties 22% - \$1,500,000; Cities 12.5% - \$800,000; Townships 2.7% - \$200,000; Transit 1.5% - \$100,000. Grants approved by this bill for a single crossing may not exceed \$75,000 and all grants within a city may not exceed a cumulative amount of \$250,000. This bill provides for a continuing appropriation.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. **Revenues:** Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

Under this bill, an estimated \$6.8 million that was previously placed in the highway tax distribution fund would now be placed in the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund. A loss in revenues to the highway tax distribution would occur and affect the following entities as follows: NDDOT 61.3% - \$4,200,000; Counties 22% - \$1,500,000; Cities 12.5% - \$800,000; Townships 2.7% - \$200,000; Transit 1.5% - \$100,000.

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

NDDOT would incur expenditures for grants under this program in an estimated amount of \$6.8 million.

C. **Appropriations:** Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation is also included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.

NDDOT would require an addition to its appropriation of \$2.5 million (\$6.8 million revenue to the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund less the \$4.2 million (\$6.8 * 61.3%) already included in the NDDOT budget related to the NDDOT's share of the Highway Tax Distribution Fund and \$100,000 (\$6.8 * 1.5%) already included in the NDDOT budget related to the State Public Transportation Fund (the Public Transportation Fund's share of the Highway Tax Distribution Fund (the Public Transportation Fund's share of the Highway Tax Distribution Fund)).

Name: Patty Schock

Agency: NDDOT

Telephone: 328-1933

Date Prepared: 01/21/2013

Adopted by the Transportation Committee

February 7, 2013

2/7/13

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 2153

Page 1, line 1, after "A BILL" replace the remainder of the bill with "for an Act to create and enact a new section to chapter 24-02 of the North Dakota Century Code, relating to highway-rail grade crossing safety projects; to amend and reenact section 54-27-19 of the North Dakota Century Code, relating to the highway tax distribution fund; and to provide a continuing appropriation.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

SECTION 1. A new section to chapter 24-02 of the North Dakota Century Code is created and enacted as follows:

Highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund.

<u>The director shall administer the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects</u> <u>fund as follows:</u>

- <u>1.</u> The director shall prioritize qualified projects funded under this section on an annual basis. Program funds may be allocated for development of railroad quiet zones, installing or upgrading active or passive warning devices, constructing or upgrading crossing surfaces, relocating crossings, building grade separations, eliminating sight-distance obstructions, any other project eligible for the rail safety portions of the federal highway safety improvement program, and other costs associated with these improvements.
- 2. The department shall solicit highway-rail grade crossing safety projects from various public and private sector entities. The department may prioritize projects under this subsection concurrently with the applicable highway-rail grade crossing portions of the federally funded highway safety improvement program. The department shall administer projects under this subsection and may require reimbursement of the local match from any public or private sector applicant. An applicant under this subsection shall provide matching funds according to department requirements regarding federal aid highway projects in force at the time of the application.
- 3. For development of a railroad quiet zone, a political subdivision shall initiate a project and file an application with the department. Political subdivisions shall provide the department a copy of the notice of intent filed with the federal railroad administration regarding establishment of a proposed quiet zone and copies of any subsequent filings with or orders from the federal railroad administration relating to the notice of intent. Grants for a single crossing may not exceed seventy-five thousand dollars, and grants for all crossings within a city may not exceed a cumulative amount of two hundred twenty-five thousand dollars. Political subdivision applicants shall administer projects funded under this subsection. The director may reimburse political subdivisions for state funds expended

under this subsection. A political subdivision is not eligible for more than one quiet zone project funded under this subsection after January 1, 2009. The director shall prioritize projects under this subsection based on the date of receipt of the applicant's filed notice of intent to the federal railroad administration, unless the filing is rejected by the federal railroad administration. A political subdivision applicant shall provide matching funds according to department requirements regarding federal aid highway projects in force at the time of the application.

SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 54-27-19 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

54-27-19. Highway tax distribution fund - State treasurer to make allocation to state, counties, and cities - Continuing appropriation.

A highway tax distribution fund is created as a special fund in the state treasury into which must be deposited the moneys available by law from collections of motor vehicle registration and related fees, fuels taxes, special fuels taxes, use taxes, and special fuels excise taxes. The state treasurer shall transfer the first five million five hundred thousand dollars per biennium from the highway tax distribution fund to the state highway fund for the purpose of providing administrative assistance to other transferees. After the transfer of the first five million five hundred thousand dollars, any moneys in the highway tax distribution fund must be allocated and transferred monthly by the state treasurer, as follows:

- 1. <u>Sixty-oneSixty</u> and three-tenths percent must be transferred monthly to the state department of transportation and placed in a state highway fund.
- 2. Two and seven-tenths percent must be transferred monthly to the township highway fund.
- 3. One and five-tenths percent must be transferred monthly to the public transportation fund.
- 4. Thirty-four and five-tenths percent must be allocated to the counties of this state in proportion to the number of vehicle registrations credited to each county. Each county must be credited with the certificates of title of vehicles registered by residents of the county. The state treasurer shall compute and distribute the counties' share monthly after deducting the incorporated cities' share. All the moneys received by the counties from the highway tax distribution fund must be set aside in a separate fund called the "highway tax distribution fund" and must be appropriated and applied solely for highway purposes in accordance with section 11 of article X of the Constitution of North Dakota. The state treasurer shall compute and distribute monthly the sums allocated to the incorporated cities within each county according to the formula in this subsection on the basis of the per capita population of all of the incorporated cities situated within each county as determined by the last official regular or special federal census or the census taken in accordance with the provisions of chapter 40-02 in case of a city incorporated subsequent to the census.
 - a. For counties having no cities with a population of ten thousand or more, a statewide per capita average must be used, as determined by calculating twenty-seven percent of the amount allocated to all of the

counties under this subsection divided by the total population of all of the incorporated cities in the state. Each city must be paid an amount equal to the product of the statewide per capita and that city's population.

- b. For each county having a city with a population of ten thousand or more, the amount transferred each month into the county highway tax distribution fund must be the difference between the amount allocated to that county pursuant to this subsection and the total amount allocated and distributed to the incorporated cities in that county as computed according to the following formula:
 - (1) A statewide per capita average as determined by calculating twenty-seven percent of the amount allocated to all of the counties under this subsection divided by the total population of all of the incorporated cities in the state.
 - (2) The share distributed to each city in the county having a population of less than one thousand must be determined by multiplying the population of that city by the product of 1.50 times the statewide per capita average computed under paragraph 1.
 - (3) The share distributed to each city in the county having a population of one thousand to four thousand nine hundred ninety-nine, inclusive, must be determined by multiplying the population of that city by the product of 1.25 times the statewide per capita average computed under paragraph 1.
 - (4) The share distributed to each city in the county having a population of five thousand or more must be determined by multiplying the population of that city by the statewide per capita average for all such cities, which per capita average must be computed as follows: the total of the shares computed under paragraphs 2 and 3 for all cities in the state having a population of less than five thousand must be subtracted from the total incorporated cities' share in the state as computed under subdivision a and the balance remaining must then be divided by the total population of all cities of five thousand or more in the state.
- 5. <u>One percent must be transferred monthly to the highway-rail grade</u> <u>crossing safety projects fund and is appropriated on a continuing basis to</u> <u>the department of transportation not to exceed four million dollars per</u> <u>biennium.</u>
- 6. The moneys allocated to the incorporated cities must be distributed to them monthly by the state treasurer and must be deposited by the cities in a separate fund and may only be used in accordance with section 11 of article X of the Constitution of North Dakota and an incorporated city may use the fund for the construction, reconstruction, repair, and maintenance of public highways within or outside the city pursuant to an agreement entered into between the city and any other political subdivision as authorized by section 54-40-08."

Date:	2	17	1/13	3	
Roll Ca	all Vote	#:		1	

2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. <u>2153</u>					
Senate	Senate TRANSPORTATION				
Check here for Conference Committee					
Legislative Coun	cil Amendment Number <u>Amende Amendment</u>				
Action Taken:	Do Pass Do Not Pass Amended X Adopt	Amendment			
	Rerefer to Appropriations Reconsider				
Motion Made By	Senator arness Seconded By Senator	Sitte			

Senators	Yes	No	Senator	Yes	No
Chairman Dave Oehlke			Senator Tyler Axness		
Vice Chairman Kelly Armstrong			Senator George Sinner		
Senator Margaret Sitte					
Senator Tim Flakoll					
Senator Tom Campbell					
$\backslash ($		1/	Lo lo		
VVIC	P	N	DIC		
Total (Yes)7		N	0		
Absent					
Floor Assignment					

Date:	2/7/	13	
Roll Ca	all Vote #:	2	_

	2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. <u>2/5</u>				
Senate	TRANSPORTATION	Committee			
Check here for Conference Committee					
Action Taken:	Do Pass Do Not Pass Amended Adopt	Amendment			
,	Rerefer to Appropriations Reconsider				

Motion Made By	Senter armstrong Seconded	d By Senator arnes

Yes	No	Senator	Yes	No
		Senator Tyler Axness		
		Senator George Sinner		
			1	
	١	\sim 1		
0 0		1 x to		
CC	/ /	0/0		
		6		
	Yes	Yes No	Senator Tyler Axness	Senator Tyler Axness

Total	(Yes)		No		
Absent	\mathcal{D}	 			
Floor Ass	signment			_	

Date:	17/13_
Roll Call Vote	#:

2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. <u>2153</u>

Senate	т	RANSP	ORTAT	TION	Com	mittee
Check here	e for Conference C	ommitte	e			
Legislative Cour	cil Amendment Num	nber _				
Action Taken:	~			Amended Ado	pt Amen	Idment
Motion Made By				Reconsider	itte,	
Se	nators	Yes	No	Senator	Yes	No
Se Chairman Dave	nators e Oehlke	Yes	No	Senator Senator Tyler Axness	Yes	No
Chairman Dave		Yes ✓	No	Senator Senator Tyler Axness Senator George Sinner	Yes V	No
Chairman Dave	e Oehlke Kelly Armstrong	Yes	No	Senator Tyler Axness	Yes V	No
Chairman Dave Vice Chairman	e Oehlke Kelly Armstrong ret Sitte	Yes ✓	No	Senator Tyler Axness	Yes V	No
Chairman Dave Vice Chairman Senator Marga	e Oehlke Kelly Armstrong ret Sitte akoll	Yes V V V	No	Senator Tyler Axness	Yes V	No
Chairman Dave Vice Chairman Senator Marga Senator Tim Fla	e Oehlke Kelly Armstrong ret Sitte akoll	Yes V V V	No	Senator Tyler Axness	Yes V	
Chairman Dave Vice Chairman Senator Marga Senator Tim Fla	e Oehlke Kelly Armstrong ret Sitte akoll	Yes V V V	No	Senator Tyler Axness	Yes V	
Chairman Dave Vice Chairman Senator Marga Senator Tim Fla	e Oehlke Kelly Armstrong ret Sitte akoll	Yes y y y y y y y	No	Senator Tyler Axness		

Total	(Yes)	7			No	0		
Absent	0							
		1	1	0				

Floor Assignment Senator (increase)

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

- SB 2153: Transportation Committee (Sen. Oehlke, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS and BE REREFERRED to the Appropriations Committee (7 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2153 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.
- Page 1, line 1, after "A BILL" replace the remainder of the bill with "for an Act to create and enact a new section to chapter 24-02 of the North Dakota Century Code, relating to highway-rail grade crossing safety projects; to amend and reenact section 54-27-19 of the North Dakota Century Code, relating to the highway tax distribution fund; and to provide a continuing appropriation.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

SECTION 1. A new section to chapter 24-02 of the North Dakota Century Code is created and enacted as follows:

Highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund.

The director shall administer the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund as follows:

- <u>1.</u> The director shall prioritize qualified projects funded under this section on an annual basis. Program funds may be allocated for development of railroad quiet zones, installing or upgrading active or passive warning devices, constructing or upgrading crossing surfaces, relocating crossings, building grade separations, eliminating sight-distance obstructions, any other project eligible for the rail safety portions of the federal highway safety improvement program, and other costs associated with these improvements.
- 2. The department shall solicit highway-rail grade crossing safety projects from various public and private sector entities. The department may prioritize projects under this subsection concurrently with the applicable highway-rail grade crossing portions of the federally funded highway safety improvement program. The department shall administer projects under this subsection and may require reimbursement of the local match from any public or private sector applicant. An applicant under this subsection shall provide matching funds according to department requirements regarding federal aid highway projects in force at the time of the application.
- For development of a railroad quiet zone, a political subdivision shall <u>3.</u> initiate a project and file an application with the department. Political subdivisions shall provide the department a copy of the notice of intent filed with the federal railroad administration regarding establishment of a proposed quiet zone and copies of any subsequent filings with or orders from the federal railroad administration relating to the notice of intent. Grants for a single crossing may not exceed seventy-five thousand dollars, and grants for all crossings within a city may not exceed a cumulative amount of two hundred twenty-five thousand dollars. Political subdivision applicants shall administer projects funded under this subsection. The director may reimburse political subdivisions for state funds expended under this subsection. A political subdivision is not eligible for more than one quiet zone project funded under this subsection after January 1, 2009. The director shall prioritize projects under this subsection based on the date of receipt of the applicant's filed notice of intent to the federal railroad administration, unless the filing is rejected by the federal railroad administration. A political subdivision applicant shall provide matching funds according to department

requirements regarding federal aid highway projects in force at the time of the application.

SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 54-27-19 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

54-27-19. Highway tax distribution fund - State treasurer to make allocation to state, counties, and cities - Continuing appropriation.

A highway tax distribution fund is created as a special fund in the state treasury into which must be deposited the moneys available by law from collections of motor vehicle registration and related fees, fuels taxes, special fuels taxes, use taxes, and special fuels excise taxes. The state treasurer shall transfer the first five million five hundred thousand dollars per biennium from the highway tax distribution fund to the state highway fund for the purpose of providing administrative assistance to other transferees. After the transfer of the first five million five hundred thousand dollars, any moneys in the highway tax distribution fund must be allocated and transferred monthly by the state treasurer, as follows:

- 1. <u>Sixty-oneSixty</u> and three-tenths percent must be transferred monthly to the state department of transportation and placed in a state highway fund.
- 2. Two and seven-tenths percent must be transferred monthly to the township highway fund.
- 3. One and five-tenths percent must be transferred monthly to the public transportation fund.
- 4 Thirty-four and five-tenths percent must be allocated to the counties of this state in proportion to the number of vehicle registrations credited to each county. Each county must be credited with the certificates of title of vehicles registered by residents of the county. The state treasurer shall compute and distribute the counties' share monthly after deducting the incorporated cities' share. All the moneys received by the counties from the highway tax distribution fund must be set aside in a separate fund called the "highway tax distribution fund" and must be appropriated and applied solely for highway purposes in accordance with section 11 of article X of the Constitution of North Dakota. The state treasurer shall compute and distribute monthly the sums allocated to the incorporated cities within each county according to the formula in this subsection on the basis of the per capita population of all of the incorporated cities situated within each county as determined by the last official regular or special federal census or the census taken in accordance with the provisions of chapter 40-02 in case of a city incorporated subsequent to the census.
 - a. For counties having no cities with a population of ten thousand or more, a statewide per capita average must be used, as determined by calculating twenty-seven percent of the amount allocated to all of the counties under this subsection divided by the total population of all of the incorporated cities in the state. Each city must be paid an amount equal to the product of the statewide per capita and that city's population.
 - b. For each county having a city with a population of ten thousand or more, the amount transferred each month into the county highway tax distribution fund must be the difference between the amount allocated to that county pursuant to this subsection and the total amount allocated and distributed to the incorporated cities in that county as computed according to the following formula:

- (1) A statewide per capita average as determined by calculating twenty-seven percent of the amount allocated to all of the counties under this subsection divided by the total population of all of the incorporated cities in the state.
- (2) The share distributed to each city in the county having a population of less than one thousand must be determined by multiplying the population of that city by the product of 1.50 times the statewide per capita average computed under paragraph 1.
- (3) The share distributed to each city in the county having a population of one thousand to four thousand nine hundred ninety-nine, inclusive, must be determined by multiplying the population of that city by the product of 1.25 times the statewide per capita average computed under paragraph 1.
- (4) The share distributed to each city in the county having a population of five thousand or more must be determined by multiplying the population of that city by the statewide per capita average for all such cities, which per capita average must be computed as follows: the total of the shares computed under paragraphs 2 and 3 for all cities in the state having a population of less than five thousand must be subtracted from the total incorporated cities' share in the state as computed under subdivision a and the balance remaining must then be divided by the total population of all cities of five thousand or more in the state.
- 5. <u>One percent must be transferred monthly to the highway-rail grade</u> crossing safety projects fund and is appropriated on a continuing basis to the department of transportation not to exceed four million dollars per biennium.
- 6. The moneys allocated to the incorporated cities must be distributed to them monthly by the state treasurer and must be deposited by the cities in a separate fund and may only be used in accordance with section 11 of article X of the Constitution of North Dakota and an incorporated city may use the fund for the construction, reconstruction, repair, and maintenance of public highways within or outside the city pursuant to an agreement entered into between the city and any other political subdivision as authorized by section 54-40-08."

Renumber accordingly

2013 SENATE APPROPRIATIONS

SB 2153

2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Senate Appropriations Committee

Harvest Room, State Capitol

SB 2153 02-14-2013 Job # 18965

Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature

alice Delive

Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

A BILL relating to highway-rail grade crossing safety projects; and highway tax distribution fund

Minutes:

See attached testimony

Chairman Holmberg called the committee to order on Thursday, February 14, 2013 In reference to SB 2153. All committee members were present.

Senator Lyson, District 1, introduced SB 2153 and testified in support of it. He said there are others that can explain it and are waiting to speak on it.

Senator Holmberg said that this bill will go to the Department of Transportation subcommittee of Senators Lee, Holmberg, Wanzek, and O'Connell.

Max Laird, United Transportation Union, spoke In favor of SB 2153 as amended. What they are asking for today is to create a continuing fund to support future safety projects at rail crossing. The amendment you have before you divides the language of this process into two sets: One specifically design for silent rail; and one designed for safety projects at all other railroad crossings in North Dakota. **Written testimony #1**

Senator Gary Lee: The maximum is \$250,000 per silent rail; does this money go to the political sub-divisions?

Max Laird: That is correct.

Senator Gary Lee: Does this have any benefit to the short line?

Max Laird: Yes, this would benefit any political subdivision in the state of ND that need to apply for rail crossings improvements state wide. He gave an example.

Senator Gary Lee asked if that was specific to rail crossings.

Max Laird replied that it was specifically designed for crossings.

Senate Appropriations Committee SB 2153 02-14-13 Page 2

Senator Gary Lee asked if this was an ongoing appropriation and the answer was yes.

Vice Chairman Bowman asked what obligation the rail has in safety. He said that In Rhame they had a problem and the county had to fix it.

Max Laird explained that the responsibility statutorily is the political subdivision.

Ron Huff, Brotherhood of Local Engineers, (10.58) said what this bill is designed to take the emphases from quiet rail, to the country and county crossings. If the counties decide that they need that rail fixed up, they can apply for a grant from DOT.

Senator Gary Lee: It is taking money from the state highways and transferring it to rail.

Ron Huff replied, yes, no and maybe. He explained.

Senator Gary Lee said that it is coming out of state highway portion because the state highways would be funded 1% less than currently.

Ron Huff said that whole 1% would not be going just for the railroad but also for the political subdivisions that would make applications. (16.14)

Vice Chairman Bowman asked to clarify what they are asking for. His understanding is that they want all the money back that they gave in. What is the obligation of the rail?

Ron Huff explained that the railroad pays 4 cents per gallon fuel excise tax. That goes into DOT general fund. What the rail obligation is that they have a financial obligation and it would probably be a joint effort.

Senator Gary Lee asked what the excise tax dollars were going into the fund.

Ron Huff: replied that this year they were putting in \$4.5M from excise tax into the general fund per biennium. In the next biennium it is forecasted to be \$6.8M. He explained the cap of \$4 M.

Senator Gary Lee asked if he would be opposed to putting a 4 year sunset on it.

Ron Huff replied that if that was the decision they would live with that but will be back in four years.

Senator Robinson commented that maybe the committee should consider a reduced cap.

Ron Huff explained the needs. If you are going to fund it, fund it well enough that the political subdivision can get what they need.

(23.17) Steve Salwei, Transportation Programs Director for NDDOT, testified in opposition to SB 2153. Written testimony #2

Chairman Holmberg asked if DOT doesn't like policy legislative mandates.

Senate Appropriations Committee SB 2153 02-14-13 Page 3

Steve Salwei: Correct. We would rather have the flexibility to prioritize where the money needs to go.

Senator Robinson: Isn't it true that we are hauling more and more hazardous materials on the rail. Although statistics are low, there is that potential for major problems.

Steve Salwei said that may be a true statement but there are also more hazardous materials on the roadway. Traffic has increased and much of that traffic is trucks.

Charles Wike, Brotherhood of locomotive, testified in support of SB 2153 for safety reasons.

Chairman Holmberg turned it over to the subcommittee and adjourned.

2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Senate Appropriations Committee

Harvest Room, State Capitol

SB 2153 Subcommittee 02-19-2013 Job # 19170

Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature

alece Deber

Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

A Subcommittee hearing for the DOT establishing rail repair and funding

Minutes:

Chairman G. Lee called the subcommittee hearing to order at 10:30 am on Tuesday, February 19, 2013 in regards to SB 2153. Members present are **Senator Holmberg**, **Senator Wanzek, Senator Erbele,** and **Senator O'Connell.** Brady Larson from Legislative Council and Laney Herauf from OMB were also present.

Chairman Lee 2153 relates to establishing rail repair. The funding source is a 1% continuing appropriation from the state portion of the highway tax distribution fund. Cap at \$4M a biennium. He recalled from the testimony that the governor's budget did not provide additional funding for these safety crossing grants. It had been coming out of the money for the quiet zone. They cited a lot of safety concerns in the need to do the work and there wasn't sufficient funding. There was opposing testimony. The DOT has programs to upgrade railroad crossing signals funded at about \$1.5M a year. Both are federal dollars and, based on input from political subdivisions in where they see the need, the DOT works with them. It doesn't include any quiet zones. It takes away the \$4M of flexible funding the DOT might need, and puts the railroad crossing issue at the highest priority.

Senator Wanzek Taking into account those things just stated and the loss of the \$4M of flexible revenue to the DOT and the fact that the bill has highlighted the issues and the need and there are fed dollars to address the issue, **Sen. Wanzek moved a Do Not Pass**.

Second by Senator Holmberg.

There was no further discussion.

Roll call vote - motion carried.

2013 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Senate Appropriations Committee

Harvest Room, State Capitol

	SB 2153 02-20-2013 No Audio available
	Conference Committee
Committee Clerk Signature	alue O sher

Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

A BILL relating to highway-rail grade crossing safety projects & highway tax distribution (Do Not Pass).

Minutes:

You may make reference to "attached testimony."

Chairman Holmberg called the committee to order on Wednesday, February 20, 2013. All committee members were present.

Brady Larson - Legislative Council Joe Morrissette-OMB

Senator Gary Lee stated the recommendations from the subcommittee was a Do Not Pass. There is federal money in the DOT budget for rail crossings and repairs, it doesn't cover quiet zones.

Senator Gary Lee moved a DO NOT PASS. 2nd by Senator Wanzek.

Chairman Holmberg: Call the roll on a DO NOT PASS on SB 2153.

A Roll Call vote was taken. Yea: 10; Nay: 3; Absent: 0.

Senator Gary Lee will carry the bill.

The hearing was closed on SB 2153.

FISCAL NOTE Requested by Legislative Council 02/08/2013

Amendment to: SB 2153

1 A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2011-2013 Biennium		2013-2015	Biennium	2015-2017 Biennium		
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	
Revenues							
Expenditures							
Appropriations							

1 B. County, city, school district and township fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

	2011-2013 Biennium	2013-2015 Biennium	2015-2017 Biennium
Counties			
Cities			
School Districts			
Townships			

2 A. Bill and fiscal impact summary: Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).

This bill as amended reduces Highway Tax Distribution funding to NDDOT and places that funding into the highwayrail grade crossing safety projects fund and provides a continuing appropriation.

B. Fiscal impact sections: Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.

Section 2 of the bill reduces the portion of the Highway Tax Distribution Fund (HTDF) that is deposited in the State Highway Fund from 61.3% to 60.3%. It also directs the State Treasurer to deposit 1% of the Highway Tax Distribution Fund into the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects Fund and provides a continuing appropriation to NDDOT to expend this fund.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. **Revenues:** Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

This bill reduces the portion of the Highway Tax Distribution Fund (HTDF) that is deposited in the State Highway Fund from 61.3% to 60.3%. It also directs the State Treasurer to deposit 1% of the Highway Tax Distribution Fund into the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects Fund and provides a continuing appropriation to NDDOT to expend this fund. 1% of the HTDF is approximately \$6 million per biennium; however, the legislation caps the amount that can be deposited into the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects Fund at \$4 million per biennium. Since both the State Highway Fund and the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects Fund are special funds that will be administered by NDDOT, and the respective increase and decrease to the funds revenues are offsetting, there is no net impact to revenues identified in part 1A of this fiscal note.

t ;

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

This bill provides for NDDOT to fund highway-rail grade crossing safety projects from the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects Fund. Since the funding for this fund was provided by a corresponding decrease to the funding for the State Highway Fund, the expenditures to the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects Fund will be offset by a corresponding decrease in expenditures from the State Highway Fund; consequently there is no net impact to expenditures identified in part 1A of this fiscal note.

C. **Appropriations:** Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation is also included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.

Section 2 of this bill provides a continuing appropriation to the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Safety Projects Fund, not to exceed \$4 million per biennium.

.

Name: Shannon L. Sauer Agency: NDDOT Telephone: 328-1933 Date Prepared: 02/08/2013



.

FISCAL NOTE Requested by Legislative Council 01/15/2013

Bill/Resolution No.: SB 2153

1 A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2011-2013 Biennium		2013-2015	Biennium	2015-2017 Biennium		
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	OtherFunds	
Revenues				\$2,500,000		\$2,500,000	
Expenditures				\$6,800,000		\$6,800,000	
Appropriations				\$2,500,000		\$2,500,000	

1 B. County, city, school district and township fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

	2011-2013 Biennium	2013-2015 Biennium	2015-2017 Biennium
Counties		\$(1,500,000)	\$(1,500,000)
Cities		\$(800,000)	\$(800,000)
School Districts			
Townships		\$(200,000)	\$(200,000)

2 A. Bill and fiscal impact summary: Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).

This bill allows taxes collected on sales of dyed diesel fuel to railroads to be placed in the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund for qualifying projects. The taxes are currently placed in the highway tax distribution fund.

B. Fiscal impact sections: Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.

Taxes on the sale of dyed diesel fuel to railroads are estimated to be \$6.8 million for the 2013-2015 biennium. This money would be placed in the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund and administered by the NDDOT. A loss in distributions from the highway tax distribution fund would occur as follows: NDDOT 61.3% - \$4,200,000; Counties 22% - \$1,500,000; Cities 12.5% - \$800,000; Townships 2.7% - \$200,000; Transit 1.5% - \$100,000. Grants approved by this bill for a single crossing may not exceed \$75,000 and all grants within a city may not exceed a cumulative amount of \$250,000. This bill provides for a continuing appropriation.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

Under this bill, an estimated \$6.8 million that was previously placed in the highway tax distribution fund would now be placed in the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund. A loss in revenues to the highway tax distribution would occur and affect the following entities as follows: NDDOT 61.3% - \$4,200,000; Counties 22% - \$1,500,000; Cities 12.5% - \$800,000; Townships 2.7% - \$200,000; Transit 1.5% - \$100,000.

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

NDDOT would incur expenditures for grants under this program in an estimated amount of \$6.8 million.

C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation is also included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.

ŗ.

NDDOT would require an addition to its appropriation of \$2.5 million (\$6.8 million revenue to the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund less the \$4.2 million (\$6.8 * 61.3%) already included in the NDDOT budget related to the NDDOT's share of the Highway Tax Distribution Fund and \$100,000 (\$6.8 * 1.5%) already included in the NDDOT budget related to the State Public Transportation Fund (the Public Transportation Fund's share of the Highway Tax Distribution Fund)).

Name: Patty Schock

Agency: NDDOT

Telephone: 328-1933

Date Prepared: 01/21/2013

.



			Date:	2-1	9-
			Roll Call Vot	/	
2013 SE			NG COMMITTEE VOTES		
BILL/RES		I NO	2153		
Senate Appropriations			(Sub	Com	mittee
Check here for Conference C	Committe	e			1
Legislative Council Amendment Nu	mher	\square	a NOT D.	ray	/
			p iv i p (000	
Action Taken					
Motion Made By		Se	econded By		
Senators	Yes	No	Senator	Yes	No
Chariman Ray Holmberg	V		Senator Tim Mathern	- /	
Co-Vice Chairman Bill Bowman			Senator David O'Connell	V	
Co-Vice Chair Tony Grindberg			Senator Larry Robinson		
Senator Ralph Kilzer			Senator John Warner		
Senator Karen Krebsbach	-				
Senator Robert Erbele	/	/			
Senator Terry Wanzek	V	/			
Senator Ron Carlisle	/				
Senator Gary Lee	V				
				_	
				_	
				_	
					
L			1		
Total (Yes)		N	2		
10tal (185)		IN	0		
Absent					
Floor Assignment					
If the vote is on an amendment, brid	efly indica	ate inte	nt:		

				2-	
			Roll Call Vo	te #	1
2013 SE			ING COMMITTEE VOTES		
BILL/RES	OLUTIO	N NO	2153		
Senate Appropriations				Com	mittee
Check here for Conference C	Commit	ee			
Legislative Council Amendment Nu	mber		(
Action Taken			DNP		
Motion Made By	e	Se	econded Bya	njek	
Senators	Yes	No	Senator	Yes	No
Chariman Ray Holmberg	-		Senator Tim Mathern	-	V
Co-Vice Chairman Bill Bowman	2		Senator David O'Connell		-
Co-Vice Chair Tony Grindberg	V	1	Senator Larry Robinson	1	2
Senator Ralph Kilzer	V	-	Senator John Warner	V	
Senator Karen Krebsbach	2	-			
Senator Robert Erbele	1	1			
Senator Terry Wanzek	~				
Senator Ron Carlisle		1			
Senator Gary Lee	V				
Total (Yes)		No	3		
Absent					
Floor Assignment		$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	fee		



REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2153, as engrossed: Appropriations Committee (Sen. Holmberg, Chairman) recommends DO NOT PASS (10 YEAS, 3 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed SB 2153 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar. 2013 TESTIMONY

SB 2153

Testmony/

Testimony SB 2153 Senate Transportation Committee January 24, 2013

Mr. Chairman and members of the Senate Transportation Committee, my name is Mark Dougherty. I am the Membership Services Director for the Associated General Contractors of North Dakota. I'm here in opposition to SB 2153. This legislature has, over the past several legislative sessions, crafted the Highway Tax Distribution Fund to meet the needs of all political subdivisions by providing an equitable split of the special fuels and other fuel taxes collect in North Dakota. We applaud the legislature for the past work on the Highway Tax Distribution Fund and want you to know we are always willing to help make improvements to that process when needed.

However, making the changes proposed in SB 2153 seems to be duplicative and unnecessary. These types of projects can be done now with dollars political subdivision receive from the Highway Tax Distribution Fund without requiring the NDDOT to create and manage a new grant program for a very narrowly focused piece of the overall transportation needs in the State at this time. Placing funds from the Highway Tax Distribution Fund and putting it into a special fund seems to reduce flexibility of all political subdivisions in their decision making process to best serve their particular community's needs.

I appreciate the opportunity to testify today in opposition to SB 2153 and request the committee's consideration of a "Do Not Pass" recommendation. If there are any questions, I will attempt to answer them if I'm able.

Testimony I page 1

13.0529.02002 Title. Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for Senator Lyson February 4, 2013

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 2153

Page 1, line 1, after "A BILL" replace the remainder of the bill with "for an Act to create and enact a new section to chapter 24-02 of the North Dakota Century Code, relating to highway-rail grade crossing safety projects; to amend and reenact section 54-27-19 of the North Dakota Century Code, relating to the highway tax distribution fund; and to provide a continuing appropriation.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

SECTION 1. A new section to chapter 24-02 of the North Dakota Century Code is created and enacted as follows:

Highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund.

The director shall administer the highway-rail grade crossing safety projects fund as follows:

- 1. The director shall prioritize qualified projects funded under this section on an annual basis. Program funds may be allocated for development of railroad quiet zones, installing or upgrading of active or passive warning devices, constructing or upgrading crossing surfaces, relocating crossings, building of grade separations, eliminating of sight-distance obstructions, any other project eligible for the rail safety portions of the federal highway safety improvement program, and other costs associated with these improvements.
- 2. The department shall solicit highway-rail grade crossing safety projects from various public and private sector entities. The department may prioritize projects under this subsection concurrently with the applicable highway-rail grade crossing portions of the federally funded highway safety improvement program. The department shall administer projects under this subsection and may require reimbursement of the local match from any public or private sector applicant. An applicant under this subsection shall provide matching funds according to department requirements regarding federal aid highway projects in force at the time of the application.
- 3. For development of a railroad quiet zone, a political subdivision must initiate a project and file an application with the department. Political subdivisions shall provide the department a copy of the notice of intent filed with the federal railroad administration regarding establishment of a proposed quiet zone and copies of any subsequent filings with or orders from the federal railroad administration relating to the notice of intent. Grants for a single crossing may not exceed seventy-five thousand dollars, and grants for all crossings within a city may not exceed a cumulative amount of two hundred twenty-five thousand dollars. Political subdivision applicants shall administer projects funded under this subsection. The director may reimburse political subdivisions for state funds expended

Testimony 1 page 2

under this subsection. A political subdivision is not eligible for more than one quiet zone project funded under this subsection after January 1, 2009. The director shall prioritize projects under this subsection based on the date of receipt of the applicant's filed notice of intent to the federal railroad administration, unless the filing is rejected by the federal railroad administration. A political subdivision applicant must provide matching funds according to department requirements regarding federal aid highway projects in force at the time of the application.

SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 54-27-19 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

54-27-19. Highway tax distribution fund - State treasurer to make allocation to state, counties, and cities - Continuing appropriation.

A highway tax distribution fund is created as a special fund in the state treasury into which must be deposited the moneys available by law from collections of motor vehicle registration and related fees, fuels taxes, special fuels taxes, use taxes, and special fuels excise taxes. The state treasurer shall transfer the first five million five hundred thousand dollars per biennium from the highway tax distribution fund to the state highway fund for the purpose of providing administrative assistance to other transferees. After the transfer of the first five million five hundred thousand dollars, any moneys in the highway tax distribution fund must be allocated and transferred monthly by the state treasurer, as follows:

- 1. <u>Sixty-oneSixty</u> and three-tenths percent must be transferred monthly to the state department of transportation and placed in a state highway fund.
- 2. Two and seven-tenths percent must be transferred monthly to the township highway fund.
- 3. One and five-tenths percent must be transferred monthly to the public transportation fund.
- 4. Thirty-four and five-tenths percent must be allocated to the counties of this state in proportion to the number of vehicle registrations credited to each county. Each county must be credited with the certificates of title of vehicles registered by residents of the county. The state treasurer shall compute and distribute the counties' share monthly after deducting the incorporated cities' share. All the moneys received by the counties from the highway tax distribution fund must be set aside in a separate fund called the "highway tax distribution fund" and must be appropriated and applied solely for highway purposes in accordance with section 11 of article X of the Constitution of North Dakota. The state treasurer shall compute and distribute monthly the sums allocated to the incorporated cities within each county according to the formula in this subsection on the basis of the per capita population of all of the incorporated cities situated within each county as determined by the last official regular or special federal census or the census taken in accordance with the provisions of chapter 40-02 in case of a city incorporated subsequent to the census.
 - a. For counties having no cities with a population of ten thousand or more, a statewide per capita average must be used, as determined by calculating twenty-seven percent of the amount allocated to all of the
Testimony / page 3

counties under this subsection divided by the total population of all of the incorporated cities in the state. Each city must be paid an amount equal to the product of the statewide per capita and that city's population.

- b. For each county having a city with a population of ten thousand or more, the amount transferred each month into the county highway tax distribution fund must be the difference between the amount allocated to that county pursuant to this subsection and the total amount allocated and distributed to the incorporated cities in that county as computed according to the following formula:
 - (1) A statewide per capita average as determined by calculating twenty-seven percent of the amount allocated to all of the counties under this subsection divided by the total population of all of the incorporated cities in the state.
 - (2) The share distributed to each city in the county having a population of less than one thousand must be determined by multiplying the population of that city by the product of 1.50 times the statewide per capita average computed under paragraph 1.
 - (3) The share distributed to each city in the county having a population of one thousand to four thousand nine hundred ninety-nine, inclusive, must be determined by multiplying the population of that city by the product of 1.25 times the statewide per capita average computed under paragraph 1.
 - (4) The share distributed to each city in the county having a population of five thousand or more must be determined by multiplying the population of that city by the statewide per capita average for all such cities, which per capita average must be computed as follows: the total of the shares computed under paragraphs 2 and 3 for all cities in the state having a population of less than five thousand must be subtracted from the total incorporated cities' share in the state as computed under subdivision a and the balance remaining must then be divided by the total population of all cities of five thousand or more in the state.
- 5. <u>One percent must be transferred monthly to the highway-rail grade</u> crossing safety projects fund and is appropriated on a continuing basis to the department of transportation.
- 6. The moneys allocated to the incorporated cities must be distributed to them monthly by the state treasurer and must be deposited by the cities in a separate fund and may only be used in accordance with section 11 of article X of the Constitution of North Dakota and an incorporated city may use the fund for the construction, reconstruction, repair, and maintenance of public highways within or outside the city pursuant to an agreement entered into between the city and any other political subdivision as authorized by section 54-40-08."

Renumber accordingly

Testimony < page /

JIM CHASE State Legislative Director



1910 N. 11^{IH} ST., STE. 17 BISMARCK, ND 58501 (701) 223-0061 utu4nd@gmail.com

Transportation Division North Dakota State Legislative Board

Testimony of Max Laird Before the Senate Transportation Committee In Support of SB 2153

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, my name is Max Laird. I represent the United Transportation Union. The UTU is the largest rail labor union in North America. Membership includes conductors, engineers, switchmen, trainmen, and yardmasters.

Senate Bill 2153 is intended to continue legislation (SB 2338) that was passed in 2009 establishing a grant program managed by the Dept. of Transportation to offer assistance to political subdivisions in improving public safety at rail crossings. The previous legislation expired in 2011. The Governor's Budget (2013-15, see SB 2012) has not proposed any future funding for "Highway-rail grade crossing safety grants".

As a result of input from the NDDOT on Section 1 we are in support of an amendment that has been presented to you by the prime sponsor Sen. Lyson.

Section 1:

We have drafted language that divides the grant application process into two distinct parts. One is directed to grants for silent rail projects. The other section is to define the application process available to all political subdivisions for the improved safety at crossings other than for silent rail. Additional flexibility is written into the second part to insure that added safety is accomplished based on local needs.

Test money 2 page 2

Section 2: (Our proposal...not reflecting the NDDOT position)

We are proposing to shift 1% of the NDDOT portion of the Highway Tax Distribution Fund to this grant program up to \$4 million per biennium (see attached). <u>There may need to be an additional line added to reflect</u> <u>the \$4 million cap that we are proposing. The language reflecting</u> <u>the cap was omitted in the Legislative Council draft of the</u> <u>amendment.</u>

We are asking that the equivalent value of the special rail fuel excise tax be made available for this grant program and remain in statute as a continuing appropriation. Our proposal is based on a concept of railroad taxes in, public safety projects out. It is important to note that this excise tax generates in excess of the \$4 million cap that we are proposing.

Presently, the dollars collected from these all excise taxes are deposited in the Highway Tax Distribution Fund. This fund presently dedicates dollars from this fund to many projects that do not include direct rail safety funding.

The need for this grant program is emphasized in that the Dept. of Transportation presently has more requests for safety projects than there is money available. In addition, the interest in quiet rail has increased in the past 4 years. The \$2.5 million that is available from federal funding will only complete approximately 7 projects per year.

The number of incidents at rail crossings in ND is increasing, that is likely concurrent with the increased traffic (both rail and vehicular) in the entire state. From a safety perspective it has been clearly indicated that in the past both silent rail (including in Fargo) and additional safety measures have successfully reduced the number of rail crossing incidents across North Dakota.

It is only appropriate we all consider improved public safety as our economy booms in ND and that this, albeit small contribution, will return substantial positive results.

Once again let me emphasize that we are simply asking that the excise taxes railroads pay be the basis of your consideration for this proposal to improve public safety....rail money in....rail money out....for public safety!

We would appreciate your support of this legislation with a "do pass" recommendation.

Testimonly 3 page 1

13.8162.01000

Sixty-third Legislative Assembly of North Dakota

SENATE BILL NO. 2012

Introduced by

Appropriations Committee

(At the request of the Governor)

- 1 A BILL for an Act to provide an appropriation for defraying the expenses of the department of
- 2 transportation; to provide appropriations to the state treasurer for transportation funding
- 3 distributions; to provide for transfers; to provide exemptions; and to declare an emergency.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

5 SECTION 1. APPROPRIATION. The funds provided in this section, or so much of the funds 6 as may be necessary, are appropriated out of any moneys in the general fund in the state 7 treasury, not otherwise appropriated, and from special funds derived from federal funds and 8 other income, to the department of transportation for the purpose of defraying the expenses of 9 the department of transportation, for the biennium beginning July 1, 2013, and ending June 30, 10 2015, as follows:

-1	1	

			Adjustitients of	
12		Base Level	Enhancements	Appropriation
13	Salaries and wages	\$165,966,396	\$32,856,230	\$198,822,626
14	Operating expenses	204,090,250	252,731,465	456,821,715
15	Capital assets	709,079,831	1,101,431,376	1,810,511,207
16	County and township road	0	142,000,000	142,000,000
17	reconstruction program			
18	Grants	67,767,407	<u>29,780,623</u>	97,548,030
19	Total all funds	\$1,146,903,884	\$1,558,799,694	\$2,705,703,578
20	Less estimated income	1,146,903,884	1,548,799,694	2,695,703,578
21	Total general fund	\$0	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000
22	Full-time equivalent positions	1,063.50	16.00	1,079.50

23 SECTION 2. ONE-TIME FUNDING - EFFECT ON BASE BUDGET - REPORT TO

24 SIXTY-FOURTH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY. The following amounts reflect the one-time

13.8162.01000

Adjustments or

Testimong 3 page 2

Sixty-third Legislative Assembly

1 funding items approved by the sixty-second legislative assembly for the 2011-13 biennium and

2 the 2013-15 one-time funding items included in the appropriation in section 1 of this Act:

3	One-Time Funding Description	<u>2011-13</u>	<u>2013-15</u>
4	Federal stimulus funds	\$24,119,575	\$0
5	Devils Lake area highway project	5,850,000	0
6	Extraordinary state highway maintenance	228,600,000	0
7	County and township road reconstruction	142,000,000	142,000,000
8	Emergency relief program borrowing authority	200,000,000	0
9	Road grade raising grants	6,000,000	0
10	Highway-rail grade crossing safety grants	1,431,000	0
11	Enhanced state highway investments	0	1,161,600,000
12	Federal TIGER III grant match	<u>0</u>	10,000,000
13	Total all funds	\$608,000,575	\$1,313,600,000
14	Total special funds	602,150,575	1,303,600,000
15	Total general fund	\$5,850,000	\$10,000,000

The 2013-15 one-time funding amounts are not part of the entity's base budget for the 2015-17 biennium. The department of transportation shall report to the appropriations committees of the sixty-fourth legislative assembly on the use of the one-time funding for the biennium beginning July 1, 2013, and ending June 30, 2015.

SECTION 3. LINE ITEM TRANSFERS. The director of the department of transportation may transfer between the salaries and wages, operating, capital assets, and grants line items in section 1 of this Act when it is cost-effective for construction and maintenance of highways. The department of transportation shall notify the office of management and budget of any transfers made pursuant to this section.

25

SECTION 4. COUNTY AND TOWNSHIP ROAD RECONSTRUCTION PROGRAM -

- 26 CARRYOVER AUTHORITY.
- The sum of \$142,000,000, or so much of the sum as may be necessary, included in
 the county and township road reconstruction program line item in section 1 of this Act,
 must be used to rehabilitate or reconstruct county and township paved and unpaved
 roads needed to support oil and gas production and distribution in North Dakota.



Testimony 5

Funding Proposal for SB 2153

The Highway Tax Distribution Fund will accumulate approximately \$600m in the Biennium 2013-15

 The present Distribution formula is:

 We Propose for 2013-15:

 61.3 % NDDOT
 60.3% NDDOT

 21.5% Counties
 21.5% Counties

 13.0% Cities
 13.0% Cities

 2.7% Townships
 2.7% Townships

 1.5% Public transportation
 1.5% Public Transportation

 1% Highway-rail grade crossing Safety Projects Fund up to \$4million.

Testimong 6 page 1



10-Jan-2013 Page 1

Cory Fong, Commissioner

Motor Fuel Taxes Gallons - Received 7/1/2008 through 6/30/2009

Gallons Reported Sold & Used - Ga		Gallons
Motor Vehicle Fuel	Gallons	Motor Vehicle Fuel
Motor Vehicle Fuel Taxable Gallons		Agricultural
Gasoline	151,595,367	Industrial
Gasohol (w/ E-85)	212,160,809	Government (State and Local)
Total Taxable Gallons	363,756,176	US Government
NonTaxable Gallons		Native American Individual
Retail Shrinkage Allowed (w/ E-85)	968,445	Native American Tribal
Tax Exempt Sales US Gov - Native, (w/ E-85)	1,141,273	Non-Licensed Reseller
Total NonTaxable Gallons	2,109,718	Emergency Medical Services
Fotal Motor Vehicle Fuel	365,865,894	Motor Fuel Gallons Refunded
Special Fuels (Other than LP)		Special Fuels (including LP) US Government
Per Gallon Special Fuel	211,410,769	Native American Individual
Excise Taxable Gallons \$.04/gal	191,976,066	Native American Tribal
Excise Taxable Gallons \$.04/gal - Railroad	62,238,977	Non-Licensed Reseller
Heating Fuel Gallons	14,915,764	Emergency Medical Services
Total Taxable Gallons Special Fuels	480,541,576	Refrigeration
		Special Fuel Gallons Refunded
.iquefied Petroleum Faxable Gallons		Aviation Fuel
Per Gallon Liquefied Petroleum	835,704	Aviation Gasoline Jet Fuel
Excise Taxable Gallons @ 2%	44,846,030	Non-Licensed Reseller
Heating Fuel Gallons	74,678,706	Aviation Gasoline - EMS
Fotal Taxable Gallons Liquefied Petroleum	120,360,440	Jet Fuel - EMS
		Aviation Fuel Gallons Refunded
Special Fuels & Liquefied Petroleum ax Exempt Gallons - US Gov. Native American		Total Refunded Gallons
Tax Exempt Off Road Fuel	1,849,154	Tribal Gallon
Tax Exempt Road Fuel	852,241	Standing Dock Sigur Tribo
Tax Exempt Liquefied Petroleum	6,308,477	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe Gasoline / Gasohol
Total Tax Exempt Gallons	9,009,872	Diesel Fuel
Total Special Fuels & Liquefied Petroleum	609,911,888	Total Standing Rock Gallons
Aviation Fuel Taxable Gallons		Spirit Lake Sioux Tribe Gasoline / Gasohol
Aviation Gasoline	2,058,733	Diesel Fuel
Jet Fuel	13,837,250	Total Spirit Lake Gallons
Total Taxable Gallons	15,895,983	Three Affiliated Tribes
NonTaxable Gallons		Gasoline / Gasohol
Sales to US Government	8,058,233	Diesel Fuel
Total NonTaxable Gallons	8,058,233	Total Three Aff Tribes Gallons
Total Aviation Fuel	23,954,216	Turtle Mountain Tribes
Total State Gallons (Sold or Used)	999,731,998	Gasoline / Gasohol Diesel Fuel Total Turtle Mtn Tribes Gallons

Gallons Refunded	
	Gallons
Motor Vehicle Fuel Agricultural	5,896,872
Industrial	246,594
Government (State and Local)	338,645
US Government	547,990
Native American Individual	84,281
Native American Tribal	127,314
Non-Licensed Reseller	162,967
Emergency Medical Services	3,436
Motor Fuel Gallons Refunded	7,408,099
Special Fuels (including LP) US Government	1,154,444
Native American Individual	7,863
Native American Tribal	17,692
Non-Licensed Reseller	0
Emergency Medical Services	116,489
Refrigeration	0
Special Fuel Gallons Refunded	1,296,488
Aviation Fuel	
Aviation Gasoline	0
Jet Fuel	519,542
Non-Licensed Reseller	178,186
Aviation Gasoline - EMS Jet Fuel - EMS	0
Aviation Fuel Gallons Refunded	697,728
Total Refunded Gallons	9,402,315
Tribal Gallons (Sold / Used)	
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	
Gasoline / Gasohol	1,317,198
Diesel Fuel	140,822
Total Standing Rock Gallons	1,458,020
Spirit Lake Sioux Tribe	
Gasoline / Gasohol	1,251,679
Diesel Fuel	66,657
Total Spirit Lake Gallons	1,318,336
Three Affiliated Tribes	
Gasoline / Gasohol	2,516,347
Diesel Fuel	1,455,101
Total Three Aff Tribes Gallons	3,971,448
Turtle Mountain Tribes	
Gasoline / Gasohol	0
Diesel Fuel	0
Total Turtle Mtn Tribes Gallons	0
Total Tribal Gallons	6,747,804

Testimony & pasez



10-Jan-2013

Page 1

7,674,651

Cory Fong, Commissioner

Motor Fuel Taxes Gallons - Received 7/1/2009 through 6/30/2010

Gallons Reported Sold & Used - Ga	
	Gallons
Motor Vehicle Fuel	Ganois
Taxable Gallons	
Gasoline	160,328,008
Gasohol (w/ E-85)	215,816,462
Total Taxable Gallons	376,144,470
NonTaxable Gallons	
Retall Shrinkage Allowed (w/ E-85)	988,190
Tax Exempt Sales US Gov - Native, (w/ E-85)	1,127,245
Total NonTaxable Gallons	2,115,435
Total Motor Vehicle Fuel	378,259,905
Special Fuels (Other than LP) Taxable Gallons	
Per Gallon Special Fuel	225,635,952
Excise Taxable Gallons \$.04/gal	208,194,073
Excise Taxable Gallons \$.04/gal - Railroad	52,215,820
Heating Fuel Gallons	9,489,759
Total Taxable Gallons Special Fuels	495,535,604
Liquefied Petroleum Taxable Gallons	1
Per Gallon Liquefied Petroleum	777,985
Excise Taxable Gallons @ 2%	49,914,821
Heating Fuel Gallons	58,501,262
Total Taxable Gallons Liquefied Petroleum	109,194,068
Special Fuels & Liquefied Petroleum Tax Exempt Gallons - US Gov. Native American	ana 1995 - Tana ar a tao ang
Tax Exempt Off Road Fuel	1,704,867
Tax Exempt Road Fuel	809,624
Tax Exempt Liquefied Petroleum	5,365,670
Total Tax Exempt Gallons	7,880,161
Total Special Fuels & Liquefied Petroleum	612,609,833
Avlation Fuel Taxable Gallons	4
Avlation Gasoline	1,867,720
Jet Fuel	14,958,464
Total Taxable Gallons	16,826,184
NoriTaxable Gallons	
Sales to US Government	21,167,039
Total NonTaxable Gallons	21,167,039
Total Aviation Fuel	37,993,223
Total State Gallons (Sold or Used)	1,028,862,961
Grand Total Gallons	1,036,537,612

Gallons Refunded	
	Gallons
Motor Vehicle Fuel Agricultural	5,383,317
Industrial	107,471
Government (State and Local)	430,266
US Government	823,783
Native American Individual	87,303
Native American Tribal	172,419
Non-Licensed Reseller	18,998
Emergency Medical Services	7,749
Motor Fuel Gallons Refunded	7,031,306
Special Fuels (including LP) US Government	652,506
Native American Individual	5,995
Native American Tribal	37,211
Non-Licensed Reseller	0
Emergency Medical Services	111,701
Refrigeration	33,221
Special Fuel Gallons Refunded	840,634
Aviation Fuel Aviation Gasoline Jet Fuel Non-Licensed Reseller	0 0 168,274
Aviation Gasoline - EMS	0
Jet Fuel - EMS Aviation Fuel Gallons Refunded	0 168,274
Total Refunded Gallons	8,040,214
Tribal Gallons (Sold / Used)	
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe Gasoline / Gasohol	1 451 104
Diesel Fuel	1,451,104
Total Standing Rock Gallons	155,608
	1,606,712
Spirit Lake Sioux Tribe Gasoline / Gasoliol Diesel Fuel	1,274,468 85,590
Total Spirit Lake Gallons	1,360,058
Three Affiliated Tribes	
Gasoline / Gasohol Diesel Fuel	2,722,741 1,985,141
Total Three Aff Tribes Gallons	4,707,882
Turtle Mountain Tribes Gasoline / Gasoho)	0
Diesel Fuel	0
Total Turtle Mtn Tribes Gallons	0

Total Tribal Gallons

restimony 6 page 3



10-Jan-2013 Page 1

Cory Fong, Commissioner

Motor Fuel Taxes Gallons - Received 7/1/2010 through 6/30/2011

Gallons Reported Sold & Used - G	allons Assessed	Gallons Refunded	
Motor Vehicle Fuel	Gallons	Motor Vehicle Fuel	Gallons
Taxable Gallons		Agricultural	4,340,184
Gasoline	169,802,228	Industrial	150,788
Gasohol (w/ E-85)	223,979,671	Government (State and Local)	96,169
Total Taxable Gallons	393,781,899	US Government	549,745
NonTaxable Gallons		Native American Individual	58,338
Retail Shrinkage Allowed (w/ E-85)	969,029	Native American Tribal	200,233
Tax Exempt Sales US Gov - Native, (w/ E-85)	1,080,072	Non-Licensed Reseller	18,459
Total NonTaxable Gallons	2,049,101	Emergency Medical Services	7,544
Total Motor Vehicle Fuel	395,831,000	Motor Fuel Gallons Refunded	5,421,460
Special Fuels (Other than LP) Taxable Gallons		Special Fuels (including LP) US Government	559,653
Per Gallon Special Fuel	279,804,024	Native American Individual	4,408
Excise Taxable Gallons \$.04/gal	286,000,085	Native American Tribal	33,839
Excise Taxable Gallons \$.04/gal - Railroad	61,711,129	Non-Licensed Reseller	1,843
Heating Fuel Gallons	9,050,212	Emergency Medical Services	142,215
Total Taxable Gallons Special Fuels	636,565,450	Refrigeration	68,862
		Special Fuel Gallons Refunded	810,820
Liquefied Petroleum Taxable Gallons	7	Aviation Fuel Aviation Gasoline	
Per Gallon Liquefied Petroleum	664,127	Jet Fuel	
Excise Taxable Gallons @ 2%	36,344,712	Non-Licensed Reseller	243,10
Heating Fuel Gallons	63,361,787	Aviation Gasoline - EMS	
Total Taxable Gallons Liquefied Petroleum	100,370,626	Jet Fuel - EMS Aviation Fuel Gallons Refunded	55 243,65
Special Fuels & Liquefied Petroleum Tax Exempt Gallons - US Gov. Native American		Total Refunded Gallons	6,475,933
Tax Exempt Off Road Fuel	1,898,824	Tribal Gallons (Sold /	Used)
Tax Exempt Road Fuel	987,708		-
Tax Exempt Liquefied Petroleum	5,446,791	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	
Total Tax Exempt Gallons	8,333,323	Gasoline / Gasohol	1,459,6
Total Special Fuels & Liquefied Petroleum	745,269,399	Diesel Fuel Total Standing Rock Gallons	166,5 1,626,1
Aviation Fuel		Spirit Lake Sioux Tribe	
Taxable Gallons		Gasoline / Gasohol	1,035,2
Aviation Gasoline	1,879,534	Diesel Fuel	106,1
Jet Fuel	17,801,453	Total Spirit Lake Gallons	1,141,4
Total Taxable Gallons	19,680,987	Three Affiliated Tribes	
NonTaxable Gallons		Gasoline / Gasohol	3,116,6
Sales to US Government	15,467,750	Diesel Fuel	2,971,1
Total NonTaxable Gallons	15,467,750	Total Three Aff Tribes Gallons	6,087,7
Total Aviation Fuel	35,148,737	Turtle Mountain Tribes	
Total State Callens (Cald an Used)	1 176 949 494	Gasoline / Gasohol	2,068,8
Total State Gallons (Sold or Used)	1,176,249,136	Diesel Fuel	109,7
Canad Tatal Caller	1 107 000 00 -	Total Turtle Mtn Tribes Gallons	2,178,5
Grand Total Gallons	1,187,283,094	Total Tribal Gallons	11,033,95

restiming 6 Juge 4



10-Jan-2013 Page 1

Cory Fong, Commissioner

Motor Fuel Taxes Gallons - Received 7/1/2011 through 6/30/2012

Gallons Reported Sold & Used - Gallons Assessed		Gallons Refunded	
	Gallons		Gallons
Notor Vehicle Fuel Faxable Gallons		Motor Vehicle Fuel	2,697,785
Gasoline	100 667 217	Agricultural Industrial	232,533
	188,667,217		113,03
Gasohol (w/ E-85) Total Taxable Gallons	237,809,713	Government (State and Local)	644,89
	426,476,930	US Government	
IonTaxable Gallons	4 04 4 570	Native American Individual	7,30
Retail Shrinkage Allowed (w/ E-85)	1,014,579	Native American Tribal	
Tax Exempt Sales US Gov - Native, (w/ E-85)	1,018,370	Non-Licensed Reseller	25,15
Total NonTaxable Gallons	2,032,949	Emergency Medical Services	21,46
Total Motor Vehicle Fuel	428,509,879	Motor Fuel Gallons Refunded	3,742,58
Special Fuels (Other than LP) Faxable Gallons		Special Fuels (including LP) US Government	422,39
Per Gallon Special Fuel	376,274,613	Native American Individual	54
Excise Taxable Gallons \$.04/gal	365,629,498	Native American Tribal	
Excise Taxable Gallons \$.04/gal - Railroad	55,896,851	Non-Licensed Reseller	7,6
Heating Fuel Gallons	6,372,417	Emergency Medical Services	132,84
Total Taxable Gallons Special Fuels	804,173,379	Refrigeration	84,70
		Special Fuel Gallons Refunded	648,1
Liquefied Petroleum Taxable Gallons		Aviation Fuel	Harrison Bargelland Arrowski ar sandara a shika dan sandara
Per Gallon Liquefied Petroleum	757,771	Aviation Gasoline Jet Fuel	1,134,7
Excise Taxable Gallons @ 2%	42,744,529	Non-Licensed Reseller	1,154,7
Heating Fuel Gallons	54,352,817	Aviation Gasoline - EMS	
Total Taxable Gallons Liquefied Petroleum	97,855,117	Jet Fuel - EMS	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	57,055,117	Aviation Fuel Gallons Refunded	1,298,7
Special Fuels & Liquefied Petroleum Tax Exempt Gallons - US Gov. Native American		Total Refunded Gallons	5,689,45
Tax Exempt Off Road Fuel	1,726,885	Tribal Gallons (Sold ,	(Used)
Tax Exempt Road Fuel	1,451,782		***************************************
Tax Exempt Liquefied Petroleum	4,309,079	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe Gasoline / Gasohol	1,428,
Total Tax Exempt Gallons	7,487,746	Diesel Fuel	
Total Special Fuels & Liquefied Petroleum	909,516,242	Total Standing Rock Gallons	164, 1,593,
Aviation Fuel		Spirit Lake Sioux Tribe	
Taxable Gallons		Gasoline / Gasohol	1,100
Aviation Gasoline	2,065,741	Diesel Fuel	121
Jet Fuel	21,548,780	Total Spirit Lake Gallons	1,221
Total Taxable Gallons	23,614,521	Three Affiliated Tribes	
NonTaxable Gallons		Gasoline / Gasohol	3,746,
Sales to US Government	15,306,880	Diesel Fuel	5,284
Total NonTaxable Gallons	15,306,880	Total Three Aff Tribes Gallons	9,030,
Total Aviation Fuel	38,921,401	Turtle Mountain Tribes	
		Gasoline / Gasohol	2,972
Total State Gallons (Sold or Used)	1,376,947,522	Diesel Fuel	163,
		Total Turtle Mtn Tribes Gallons	3,136,
Grand Total Gallons	1,391,929,142	Total Tribal Gallons	14,981,6

lestimony 6 page 3



State of North Dakota OFFICE OF STATE TAX COMMISSIONER

Cory Fong, Commissioner

Motor Fuel Taxes Gallons - Received 7/1/2012 through 11/30/2012

Gallons Reported Sold & Used - Gallons Assessed		Gallons Refunded	
	Galions		Gallons
Notor Vehicle Fuel Faxable Gallons		Motor Vehicle Fuel Agricultural	374,819
Gasoline	86,322,745	Industrial	1,373
Gasohol (w/ E-85)	109,302,267	Government (State and Local)	127,671
Total Taxable Gallons	195,625,011	US Government	371,173
IonTaxable Gallons	155,625,011	Native American Individual	0
Retail Shrinkage Allowed (w/ E-85)	459,859	Native American Tribal	0
Tax Exempt Sales US Gov - Native, (w/ E-85)	415,037	Non-Licensed Reseller	2,222
Total NonTaxable Gallons	874,896	Emergency Medical Services	13,163
Fotal Motor Vehicle Fuel	196,499,908	Motor Fuel Gallons Refunded	890,421
Special Fuels (Other than LP)		Special Fuels (including LP)	
Faxable Gallons		US Government	51,997
Per Gallon Special Fuel	173,476,141	Native American Individual	0
Excise Taxable Gallons \$.04/gal	163,161,293	Native American Tribal	0
Excise Taxable Gallons \$.04/gal - Railroad	26,407,463	Non-Licensed Reseller	0
Heating Fuel Gallons	1,015,307	Emergency Medical Services	40,185
Total Taxable Gallons Special Fuels	364,060,204	Refrigeration	27,292
		Special Fuel Gallons Refunded	119,474
Liquefied Petroleum		Aviation Fuel	0
Per Gallon Liquefied Petroleum	277,638	Aviation Gasoline Jet Fuel	0
Excise Taxable Gallons @ 2%	16,866,947	Non-Licensed Reseller	64,958
Heating Fuel Gallons	17,386,981	Aviation Gasoline - EMS	0
Total Taxable Gallons Liquefied Petroleum	34,531,566	Jet Fuel - EMS	0
Special Fuels & Liquefied Petroleum Tax Exempt Gallons - US Gov. Native American		Aviation Fuel Gallons Refunded 	64,958 1,074,853
Tax Exempt Off Road Fuel	664,516	Tribal Gallons (Sold /	lised)
Tax Exempt Road Fuel	284,991		
Tax Exempt Liquefied Petroleum	895,792	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	
Total Tax Exempt Gallons	1,845,299	Gasoline / Gasohol	635,780
Total Special Fuels & Liquefied Petroleum	400,437,069	Diesel Fuel	106,293
	400,437,009	Total Standing Rock Gallons	742,079
Aviation Fuel Taxable Gallons		Spirit Lake Sioux Tribe	
Aviation Gasoline	1,047,188	Gasoline / Gasohol Diesel Fuel	474,40 55,34
Jet Fuel	9,500,725	Total Spirit Lake Gallons	529,74
Total Taxable Gallons	10,547,913		
NonTaxable Gallons		Three Affiliated Tribes Gasoline / Gasohol	1,732;75
Sales to US Government	5,119,797	Diesel Fuel	2,494,92
Total NonTaxable Gallons	5,119,797	Total Three Aff Tribes Gallons	4,227,67
Total Aviation Fuel	15,667,710	Turtle Mountain Tribes	
		Gasoline / Gasohol	1,217,91
Total State Gallons (Sold or Used)	612,604,687	Diesel Fuel	58,02
		Total Turtle Mtn Tribes Gallons	1,275,94
Grand Total Gallons	619,380,127	Total Tribal Gallons	6,775,440



JIM CHASE State Legislative Director



1910 N. 11" ST., STE. 17 BISMARCK. ND 58501 (701) 223-0061 utu4nd@gmail.com

Transportation Division North Dakota State Legislative Board

Testimony of Max Laird Before the Senate Appropriations Committee In Support of SB 2153

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, my name is Max Laird. I represent the United Transportation Union. The UTU is the largest rail labor union in North America. Membership includes conductors, engineers, switchmen, trainmen, and yardmasters.

Senate Bill 2153 is intended to continue legislation (SB 2338) that was passed in 2009 establishing a grant program managed by the Dept. of Transportation to offer assistance to political subdivisions in improving public safety at rail crossings. The previous legislation expired in 2011. The Governor's Budget (2013-15, see SB 2012) has not proposed any future funding for "Highway-rail grade crossing safety grants".

As a result of input from the NDDOT on Section 1 we are in support of an amendment that has been presented to you by the prime sponsor Sen. Lyson.

Section 1:

We have drafted language that divides the grant application process into two distinct parts. One is directed to grants for silent rail projects. The other section is to define the application process available to all political subdivisions for the improved safety at crossings other than for silent rail. Additional flexibility is written into the second part to insure that added safety is accomplished based on local needs.

2-14-13

Section 2: (Our proposal...not reflecting the NDDOT position)

We are proposing to shift 1% of the NDDOT portion of the Highway Tax Distribution Fund to this grant program up to \$4 million per biennium (see attached).

We are asking that the equivalent value of the special rail fuel excise tax be made available for this grant program and remain in statute as a continuing appropriation. Our proposal is based on a concept of railroad taxes in, public safety projects out. It is important to note that this excise tax generates in excess of the \$4 million cap that we are proposing.

Presently, the dollars collected from these all excise taxes are deposited in the Highway Tax Distribution Fund. This fund presently dedicates dollars from this fund to many projects that do not include direct rail safety funding.

The need for this grant program is emphasized in that the Dept. of Transportation presently has more requests for safety projects than there is money available. In addition, the interest in quiet rail has increased in the past 4 years. The \$2.5 million that is available from federal funding will only complete approximately 7 projects per year.

The number of incidents at rail crossings in ND is increasing, that is likely concurrent with the increased traffic (both rail and vehicular) in the entire state. From a safety perspective it has been clearly indicated that in the past both silent rail (including in Fargo) and additional safety measures have successfully reduced the number of rail crossing incidents across North Dakota.

It is only appropriate we all consider improved public safety as our economy booms in ND and that this, albeit small contribution, will return substantial positive results.

Once again let me emphasize that we are simply asking that the excise taxes railroads pay be the basis of your consideration for this proposal to improve public safety....rail money in....rail money out....for public safety!

We would appreciate your support of this legislation with a "do pass" recommendation.

Funding Proposal for SB 2153

The Highway Tax Distribution Fund will accumulate approximately \$600m in the Biennium 2013-15

The present Distribution formula is:

61.3 % NDDOT

21.5% Counties

13.0% Cities

2.7% Townships

1.5% Public transportation

We Propose for 2013-15:

60.3% NDDOT

21.5% Counties

13.0% Cities

2.7% Townships

1.5% Public Transportation

. 2

1% Highway-rail grade crossing Safety Projects Fund up to \$4million.

* * * * * . . .

 \mathcal{L}_{i}

4



SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE February 14, 2013 9:00 a.m. Harvest Room

North Dakota Department of Transportation Steve Salwei, Transportation Programs Director

SB2153

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, I'm Steve Salwei and I serve as the Transportation Programs Director for the North Dakota Department of Transportation (DOT). I'm here today to oppose SB2153.

The Department fully understands the need for safe railroad crossings across the state. The DOT currently has a program in place to upgrade railroad crossings to concrete crossings for a smoother and safer crossing. We fund this program at approximately \$1 million per year. The DOT also has a program in place to install and/or replace railroad crossing signals. We fund this program at approximately \$1.5 million per year. Both of these programs are funded with federal dollars through the Federal Highway Administration at a 90 percent federal – 10 percent local match. The projects for these two programs are selected based on many factors including input from our local partners which include: cities, counties, townships, school districts, transit, tribal authorities, and railroads. These two programs, however, do not fund quiet zone projects.

If SB2153 passes, it will result in a loss of \$4 million of flexible revenue to the DOT over the next biennium. What this bill essentially does is tell the DOT that railroad crossings are the highest priority -- higher than any other improvement in the state because the funds will be dedicated solely for that purpose.

Over the last three years, there were 423 traffic fatalities in the state. Of those, only four involved railroad crossings on roadways. This is less than one percent. Over the last three years, there were 54,255 fatality, injury, and property damage crashes in the state. Of those, only 169 involved railroad crossings on roadways. This is equates to 3/10 of a percent.

We believe that rather than mandating an expenditure of funds for railroad safety and taking funds from other priorities, the Department should be allowed to establish the priorities using crash data.

Mr. Chairman, I would be happy to answer any questions at this time. Thank you.



