

2017 HOUSE ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

HB 1367

2017 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Energy and Natural Resources Committee Coteau –A Room, State Capitol

HB 1367
2/3/2017
27876

- Subcommittee
 Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature

Kathleen Davis

Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

Relating to personal watercraft and towing an individual on water skies or similar devices and to provide a penalty

Minutes:

Chairman Porter: Called the committee to order on HB 1367.

Rep. Wiesz: Dist 14. A simple bill having to do with boats and watercraft when they're pulling a skier, or rubber tube. 2 areas in this bill, Page 3 Section 2, says a personal water craft can pull a skier or anything else, if equipped with a mirror that provides the operator an unobstructed field of vision to the rear. Currently you have to have a spotter. Imagine the passenger on the motorcycle you're driving is not hanging on to you, but facing backwards. The only thing they have to hang on is a little strap on the back of your motorcycle. They are not aware when you want to lean to turn or anything else. You have the issue the rider is not in sync with the driver of the water craft, you have someone in the back unaware of what the driver is going to do and this becomes an issue for a spotter also. While you may have a spotter, if he comes off the back, where is he going to land? Not sure this is a safe thing to do. Legislature requires a mirror. Not everyone has 5 people when they go out on the lake. The other section of this bill, applies to boats. A boat can do the same thing but requires a mirror that covers 78 sq inches and an unobstructed view from the rear.

Rep. Devlin: I don't recall you explaining the changes in Section 2 on wind surfing.

6:40

Rep. Wiesz: This wasn't my addition; it came from a previous bill in a previous session. Those over the age of 16 engaged with wind surfing or board sailing, they don't want to be required to use a life jacket. For some in this sport it was a concern. If the committee feels it needs to come out that ok.

Chairman Porter, Page 4 Lines 3-4 I think it's already out in current law, they moved it into a different subsection.

Rep. Wiesz: You are correct.

Rep. Seibel: I understand on a personal watercraft but why on a boat?

Rep. Wiesz: You're correct. It's not the issue of the inconvenience for the safety of another person in the boat, it comes back to my statement that if you have 2 people who want to enjoy the water sport unless they find someone else.

Rep. Seibel: I haven't had that problem

Rep. Heinert : Why are we not identifying the size of the mirrors on the personal watercraft?

9:20

Rep. Wiesz: The reason is you have a small craft, it wasn't really necessary. It comes with standard mirrors on the side of the personal water craft, there's 2 of them. Didn't see any need to specify the square inches. On the boats they don't normally come with mirrors. I didn't come up with the 78 sq inches, that was determined by those in the sporting industry that's more than adequate on a larger watercraft that they'd be able to see the rear. They do vary between brands, you get dual mirrors, you have the visibility necessary.

Rep. Heinert: Because I don't know anything about personal water craft, are they all manufactured with dual mirrors no matter what?

Rep. Wiesz: No, if you don't have mirrors, you wouldn't be able to do this.

Rep. Heinert: it says one

Rep. Wiesz: The crafts that have the mirrors all have dual mirrors. What it says you have to have a clear rear view. In theory you could have one mirror, the watercrafts, everyone I've seen has dual mirrors if they have them. Some sporting ones don't and in some cases they would not be able to pull a skier or whatever behind them.

Chairman Porter: You view the 2 sections of boat and watercraft separately; you're more looking at the personal watercraft side than the boat side but combined them into one?

Wiesz: I'm looking at both because I think the same things apply. Personal watercraft have the additional safety issue of why I think spotters shouldn't be required. But I think it holds true if 2 people want to enjoy the sport and can safely see the person behind them they're pulling, why shouldn't they be?

Chairman Porter: Testimony in support? Opposition? Chief Timian, on Page 1 line 20 is that language redundant to the provision on 17 through 19 and is it necessary language to have in the Century Code?

Chief Timian: Chief Game Warden, ND Game and Fish. I believe they're not necessarily redundant. 17 deals exclusively with alcohol and Line 21 is drugs or a combination of drugs.

Timian: The difference between A and B is the first one would be what's called a presumption. If you are at the level, further in 20.1-13-1, goes through the procedures of alcohol and operating under the influence. Generally, 0.10 is considered a presumptive clause. If you are that there's no question you are operating under the influence. B is just saying you're under the influence which is a higher standard. Now we would have to prove you were operating under the influence that was not safe.

Chairman Porter: So a person driving at 0.5 recklessly operating a motorcraft and in addition, if you felt you had enough evidence, also operating under the influence of liquor.

Timian: yes

Chairman Porter: As we look at Page 2, provisions of operation, on #9, I'm not admitting to guilt because of Line 18-19-20, but under Line 9, Page 2, if a 15-year-old is riding as a passenger, then no one under the age of 12 can be operating a personal watercraft?

Timian, Line 9 #3, an individual under 12 cannot operate any craft over 10 HP unless accompanied by an individual 18 or over. That's current law.

Chairman Porter: Statistically, how many boating accidents do we have a year, personal watercraft accidents a year, relative numbers in regards to the individual being towed?

Timian. I don't have those with me, but I can provide them. As far as the person being towed, the safety record there is pretty good. We can find no place where we've had a fatality also minimal number of

Chairman Porter: Get us our accident rates for personal water craft, towing, and compared to MN. Can you get us our accident rates for personal watercraft and boating and the accident injury rate for the towing? Also a comparison to MN where this law is kind of on the books.

Timian We can get you those numbers. There's also a density of use rates. On a small lake, there may be only one. Closer to home where you live, there could be a very congested area and a much higher level. How this would affect the current safety record I can't tell you, well see in 5 years.

20:40

Chairman Porter: Would you see a good compromise from the safety standpoint of getting in to the description where this could be used to say a river it's not allowed, that it's still the old law that, a lake, it would be allowed because you have a bigger more controlled and less congested body of water. But basically a tiered system.

Timian: From a practical standpoint I can see some enforcement issues. Not every river is congested or congested equally along the stretch. Bismarck Mandan there's a 5 mile stretch that most days is very congested. If you go farther south or north, congestion gets less. Lakes are not all equal. Could you make a law like that? I think from a practical standpoint it would be somewhat difficult to enforce and then which lakes, what level constitutes congestion?

Chairman Porter: Get us the number of citations for no spotter so we have a number. I understand the density standpoint. In the realm of personal watercraft, it's always been frustrating, unknown of what the job of the person behind the driver is while pulling a device. If I take some 6 years old and sit in front of me on the wave runner and pull, I'm legal yet they're doing no spotting. If I take 15-16, sit behind me and they're facing forward they're of no value as a spotter. If I turn them around facing away from me, their value as a spotter, but they're typically not with me after the first couple of turns, because they can't handle the centrifugal force and hand on. From a practicality standpoint, on a personal watercraft, are we really safer, or if we just have a body there that serves no purpose.

Timian: I can only tell you from a statistical standpoint, we have a fairly good safety record for towing skiers, whether on personal water craft, doing away with that would change this, I can't tell you. Page 2 Section 7, continued on through Page 3, the legislature added that to the bill, until then all watercraft were treated equally, then the changed to personal watercraft.

Chairman Porter Questions? Close the hearing.

2017 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Energy and Natural Resources Committee Coteau –A Room, State Capitol

HB 1367
2/9/2017
28112

- Subcommittee
 Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature

Kathleen Davis

Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

Relating to personal watercraft and towing an individual on water skies or similar devices and to provide a penalty

Minutes:

Chairman Porter: Called the committee to order on HB 1367. Page 2 Line 9 that's the area that states who has to be in the boat or on the personal watercraft in order for an individual under 12 years to operate it. I think that's a little restrictive. I don't know the right number, 16 seems ok. Everybody that's over 12 has completed a watercraft safety course in order to be out on the water. To me that's when they're the safest. My kids know more about what the green light and red light mean on the front of the boat than I do. I know that Rep. Heinert in his day job has the legal authority over the Missouri River, and contracts his officers to ND Game and Fish to do that patrol.

Other part is on Page 3 and make the word mirror plural, mirrors. So it's on personal watercraft so there's 2 mirrors so they can see out of both sides if making a turn.

Below on Page 3, this is where it conflicts back and forth inside of the law saying 16 here but 18 on the other part. It's our responsibility to make the law clear for people who want to break it.

The amendment on the back page is talking about the boats and I don't have a problem with that unless anyone else does.

Rep. Heinert: I agree with you the ages should be the same, and 16 age is appropriate. On the personal watercraft, page 3 C, I suggested mirrors, I'm hoping they interpret one on each side, and not two, one on top of the other. I don't know if we need to identify one on each side or the size.

Chairman Porter: Maybe instead of adding the s but change it to say watercraft is equipped with mirror on each side that provides the operator an unobstructed vision of the rear. The size takes care of itself with an unobstructed field of vision to the rear.

Rep. Heinert: I would make a motion for Page 3 Line 6 to insert mirror on each side.

Rep. Keiser: second

Chairman Porter: We have a motion from Rep. Heinert, second from Rep. Keiser, on Page 3 Line 6 after the word mirror, we insert the language, "on each side". Discussion? Seeing none all in favor say Aye, opposed. Voice vote, motion carries.

Rep. Bosch: I move that on page 2, Line 11, we change the age from 18 to 16 years of age, and on Line 15, from 18 to 16 as well.

Rep. Seibel: second

Chairman Porter: We have a motion from Rep. Bosch to change page 2, Line 11, we change the age from 18 to 16 years of age, and on Page 2 Line 15, from 18 to 16. Discussion?

Rep. Keiser: I'm going to oppose the amendment, I would have gone the other way, 18/18. I've had 4 – 16 year olds. They're very successful in life, but when they were 16 out with their friends, I hate to say they were related on occasion.

Chairman Porter: Discussion? Clerk will call the roll on the amendment on Page 2, Line 11, and Line 15, to change 18 to 16.

Yes 4 No 7 Absent 3 Motion fails. Any other amendments? We have the bill as amended in front of us.

Rep. Lefor: I move a Do Pass as Amended

Rep. Heinert: second

Chairman Porter: I have a motion for a Do Pass as Amended from Rep. Lefor, second from Rep. Heinert. Discussion?

Rep. Keiser: I want to justify my vote. I'm going to oppose this bill also. For many years we had a wonderful watercraft with mirrors on both sides. When I was driving or anyone else was driving, a significant part of our concentration and view was in front of us, the lake, other boaters, skier 200 yards in front of you, watch them. Just like in my car I have an unobstructed view in my rear view mirror but I'm driving and generally not looking in that unless there's a justification for it. I think there will be an outcome if we take away the spotters. My kids complained as much as anybody else's if we didn't have that 3rd person. But we do require them to have vests on in the boat, we require all sorts of things for safety. I really believe this is a safety issue.

Rep. Marschall: Being on the water's very important to me. I'm also a diving instructor, I teach scuba diving. Water safety is paramount to me. Having a spotter and that extra split second to see what's going on and being able to notify the driver of any potential problems, in any case, that person keeping an eye on that individual and have that split second extra

could be the difference of life or death. I always error on the side of caution and I would not vote in favor of getting rid of the spotter.

Chairman Porter: Info from ND Game and Fish.

- 2016 there was 1 injury report that 4 people were injured when the tube they were on hit a tree stump above the water line
- 2015 no reported injuries
- 2014 one injury, a knee boarder fell off and was injured by the prop in the water, so that had to be a boat incident
- 2013 two injuries report, a person flew off the tube and injured their back, a boat pulling 4 tubes, a person fell off and was run over by the boat getting cut by the prop.
- 2012 one injury, the boat towing the skier collided with another boat. The skier was not injured.
- 2011 one injury, person fell off the tube and broke their neck
- 2010 nothing
- 2009 nothing
- 2008 two reports, 2 people pulled by tubes, hit a wave and threw the tubes in the air and they struck each other, 1 ended up with a concussion. They had a spotter on the boat. A wake boarder hit a wave, flew off and was struck in the head by the wake board so that had nothing to do with a boat.

One thing I would tell you, being an experienced wave runner or watercraft operator, your spotter does absolutely does no good on a wave runner because they sit facing forward and can't see behind you anyway. So the driver is the one responsible for making sure it's safe. With 2 mirrors, one on each side, I think that it's perfectly safe to have and would actually be safer. If you truly set yourself up for a spotter on a wave runner, they're sitting backwards to you, and are unable to hold on because of the centrifugal force. I don't see where we have a big danger issue, a safety issue. I think with proper mirrors and equipment it can safely be completed just like it has been in the past.

Clerk will call the roll on a Do Pass as Amended to HB 1367.

Yes 10 No 2 Absent 2 Motion carries. Rep. Heinert is carrier.

2/9/17 JF

17.0914.01001
Title.02000

Adopted by the Energy and Natural Resources
Committee

February 9, 2017

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1367

Page 3, line 6, after "mirror" insert "on each side"

Renumber accordingly

Date: 2-9-17

Roll Call Vote #: 1

2017 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE
ROLL CALL VOTES
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1367

House Energy & Natural Resources Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: 17.0914, 01001 02000

Recommendation

- Adopt Amendment *Pg 3 Line 6 insert mirror on each side*
- Do Pass Do Not Pass Without Committee Recommendation
- As Amended Rerefer to Appropriations
- Place on Consent Calendar

Other Actions Reconsider _____

Motion Made By Rep Heinert Seconded By Rep Keiser

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Porter			Rep. Lefor		
Vice Chairman Damschen			Rep. Marschall		
Rep. Anderson			Rep. Roers Jones		
Rep. Bosch			Rep. Ruby		
Rep. Devlin			Rep. Seibel		
Rep. Heinert					
Rep. Keiser			Rep. Mitskog		
			Rep. Mock		

voice vote carries

Total (Yes) _____ No _____

Absent _____

Floor Assignment _____

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

Date: 2-9-17

Roll Call Vote #: 2

2017 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE
ROLL CALL VOTES
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1367

House Energy & Natural Resources Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: _____

Recommendation

- Adopt Amendment
- Do Pass Do Not Pass Without Committee Recommendation
- As Amended Rerefer to Appropriations
- Place on Consent Calendar
- Other Actions Reconsider _____

Motion Made By Rep Bosch Seconded By Rep Seibel

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Porter	✓		Rep. Lefor		✓
Vice Chairman Damschen	AB		Rep. Marschall		✓
Rep. Anderson	AB		Rep. Roers Jones	✓	
Rep. Bosch		✓	Rep. Ruby	✓	
Rep. Devlin		✓	Rep. Seibel		✓
Rep. Heinert	✓				
Rep. Keiser		✓	Rep. Mitskog		✓
			Rep. Mock	AB	

Total (Yes) 4 No 7

Absent 3

Floor Assignment _____

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:
Pg 2 Line 11 + Line 15 change age from 18 to 16

Date: 2-9-17

Roll Call Vote #: 3

2017 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE
ROLL CALL VOTES
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1367

House Energy & Natural Resources Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: 17.0914.01001

Recommendation

- Adopt Amendment
 - Do Pass Do Not Pass Without Committee Recommendation
 - As Amended Rerefer to Appropriations
 - Place on Consent Calendar
- Other Actions Reconsider _____

Motion Made By Rep. Lefor Seconded By Rep. Heinert

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Porter	✓		Rep. Lefor	✓	
Vice Chairman Damschen	✓		Rep. Marschall		✓
Rep. Anderson	AB		Rep. Roers Jones	✓	
Rep. Bosch	✓		Rep. Ruby	✓	
Rep. Devlin	✓		Rep. Seibel	✓	
Rep. Heinert	✓				
Rep. Keiser		✓	Rep. Mitskog	✓	
			Rep. Mock	AB	

Total (Yes) 10 No 2

Absent 2

Floor Assignment Rep. Heinert

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent: Damschen arrived 10:52

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1367: Energy and Natural Resources Committee (Rep. Porter, Chairman)
recommends **AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS** and when so amended, recommends
DO PASS (10 YEAS, 2 NAYS, 2 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1367 was placed
on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 3, line 6, after "mirror" insert "on each side"

Renumber accordingly

2017 SENATE ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

HB 1367

2017 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Energy and Natural Resources Committee Fort Lincoln Room, State Capitol

HB1367
3/3/2017
Job#28679

- Subcommittee
 Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature

Pam Dever

Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution: Relating to personal watercraft and towing an individual on water skies or similar devices; to provide a penalty.

Minutes:

Chairwoman Unruh: Let's open HB 1367.

Representative Robin Weisz, Dist. 14: I am here in support of HB1367. This bill is very simple with two sections. One, effecting personal water craft; one effecting boats. It allows individuals not to use a spotter is they have dual mirrors on each side and have unobstructed field of vision. The section in the boats, does the same thing and says the mirror has to have 78 square inches in size. Current law says you have to use a spotter. Sometimes a spotter can be much more dangerous on a water craft than without a spotter. (3.36)

Chairwoman Unruh: Any questions? Any more in support? Any agency here?

Robert Timian, ND Game and Fish, Chief Game Warden: (6.00) Here to provide information and answer questions. I am on the public safety issue in this bill. It is a policy issue so whatever you decide, we will enforce. In 2016, there were 66,649 registered watercrafts in ND. 9,000 of those were personal watercraft-jet skis. We issued 58 citations in that year for not having a spotter on board. Half of those were personal watercraft. The compliance rate is pretty good. Since 2008, we have only had 8 injury accidents reported to us that involved towing a skier. No fatalities. Some years we have had no injuries reported to us. These were all boat related. That is the safety record which is good. A question for you may be what happens on lake that have heavy traffic. Will this bill cause a boater to be looking in two different directions instead of looking at skier? Any questions.

Chairwoman Unruh: Any questions?

Sen. Oban: I have a question about the inclusion of 16-year-old or older wearing a life preserver. That has not been mentioned in this discussion at all.

Robert: It is in existing law; just moved.

Chairwoman Unruh: Any further questions? Hearing is closed.

Vice Chair Kreun: I move a Do Pass

Sen. Roers: I second.

Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee

HB 1367

3-3-2017

Page 2

Chairwoman Unruh: Any discussion? Call the roll. YES 7 NO 0 -0-absent
HB 1367 Passed Sen. Armstrong will carry the bill. Adjourned (11.99)


2017 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Energy and Natural Resources Committee Fort Lincoln Room, State Capitol

HB1367
3/9/2017
Job #28962

- Subcommittee
 Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature



Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

Minutes:

Attch#1=Sen Unruh

Chairwoman Unruh: We need to reconsider HB 1367. We passed it out the other day and people brought forward some concerns later. Hence I have an amendment before you.

Sen. Armstrong: I move we reconsider HB 1367.

Sen. Cook: I second.

Chairwoman Unruh: Any discussion? Call the roll. YES 6 NO 0 -1-absent

Reconsideration passed.

Chairwoman Unruh: I can explain this amendment. (see Attch#1)

Sen. Cook: I move 17.0914.02001

Senate Armstrong: I second.

Chairwoman Unruh: Any discussion?

Sen. Armstrong: I like the amendment. Needs to be the same penalty.

Sen. Oban: The penalty now, under subsection 7, is higher than subsection 3. IS that right?

Sen. Armstrong: Under the code, under Game and Fish, is a class B misdemeanor unless they say something else. That's why they are different.

Chairwoman Unruh: All in favor say, Yea, opposed, Na. Passed with all Yeas

Chairwoman Unruh: We have HB 1367 ad amended before us.

Sen. Cook: I move a Do Pass as amended.

Sen. Schaible: I second.

Chairwoman Unruh: Any discussion? Call the roll: YES 7 NO 0 -0-ab

Sen. Armstrong will carry the bill.

Adjourned

March 7, 2017

CJ
3/9-2017
1 of 1

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1367

Page 1, line 3, remove "and"

Page 1, line 3, after "penalty" insert "; and to declare an emergency"

Page 3, after line 19, insert:

"8. An individual who violates subdivision c of subsection 7 is guilty of a class 2 noncriminal offense."

Page 4, after line 22, insert:

"**SECTION 3. EMERGENCY.** This Act is declared to be an emergency measure."

Renumber accordingly

Date: 3-3-17
 Roll Call Vote #: /

**2017 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE
 ROLL CALL VOTES
 BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1367**

Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: _____

- Recommendation: Adopt Amendment
 Do Pass Do Not Pass Without Committee Recommendation
 As Amended Rerefer to Appropriations
 Place on Consent Calendar
 Other Actions: Reconsider _____

Motion Made By Sen. Kreun Seconded By Sen. Roers

Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Chair Jessica Ulruch	/		Sen. Erin Oban	/	
Vice Ch. Curt Kreun	/				
Sen. Kelly Armstrong	/				
Sen. Dwight Cook	/				
Sen. Jim Roers	/				
Sen. Don Schaible	/				

Total (Yes) 7 No 0

Absent -0-

Floor Assignment Sen. Armstrong

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

3/9/17
Date:

Roll Call Vote #:

2017 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE
ROLL CALL VOTES
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1367

Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: _____

- Recommendation:
- Adopt Amendment
 - Do Pass Do Not Pass Without Committee Recommendation
 - As Amended Rerefer to Appropriations
 - Place on Consent Calendar
- Other Actions: Reconsider _____

Motion Made By Sen Armstrong Seconded By Sen Cook

Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Chair Jessica Unruh	<u>/</u>		Sen. Erin Oban	<u>/</u>	
Vice Chair Curt Kreun					
Sen. Kelly Armstrong	<u>/</u>				
Sen. Dwight Cook	<u>/</u>				
Sen. Jim Roers	<u>/</u>				
Sen. Don Schaible	<u>/</u>				

Total (Yes) 6 No 0

Absent -1-

Floor Assignment _____

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

3/9/17

Date:
Roll Call Vote #:

2

2017 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE
ROLL CALL VOTES *HB 1367*
BILL/RESOLUTION NO.

Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: 17.0914.02001

- Recommendation: Adopt Amendment
 Do Pass Do Not Pass Without Committee Recommendation
 As Amended Refer to Appropriations
 Place on Consent Calendar
Other Actions: Reconsider _____

Motion Made By Sen Cook Seconded By Sen Armstrong

Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Chairman Jessica Unruh			Sen. Erin Oban		
Vice Chair Curt Kreun					
Sen. Kelly Armstrong					
Sen. Dwight Cook					
Sen. Jim Roers					
Sen. Don Schaible					
<i>W. J. G. etc</i>					

Total (Yes) _____ No _____

Absent _____

Floor Assignment _____

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:
all year's passed

3-8-17

Date:
Roll Call Vote #: 3

2017 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE
ROLL CALL VOTES
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1367

Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: 17.0914.0200

Recommendation: Adopt Amendment
 Do Pass Do Not Pass Without Committee Recommendation
 As Amended Rerefer to Appropriations
 Place on Consent Calendar
Other Actions: Reconsider

Motion Made By Sen Cook Seconded By Sen Schaible

Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Chairman Jessica Unruh	/		Sen. Erin Oban	/	
Vice Chair Curt Kreun	/				
Sen. Kelly Armstrong	/				
Sen. Dwight Cook	/				
Sen. Jim Roers	/				
Sen. Don Schaible	/				

Total (Yes) 2 No 0

Absent 0

Floor Assignment Sen Armstrong

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1367, as engrossed: Energy and Natural Resources Committee (Sen. Unruh, Chairman) recommends **AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS** and when so amended, recommends **DO PASS** (7 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed HB 1367 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 3, remove "and"

Page 1, line 3, after "penalty" insert "; and to declare an emergency"

Page 3, after line 19, insert:

"8. An individual who violates subdivision c of subsection 7 is guilty of a class 2 noncriminal offense."

Page 4, after line 22, insert:

"**SECTION 3. EMERGENCY.** This Act is declared to be an emergency measure."

Renumber accordingly

2017 TESTIMONY

HB 1367

17.0914.02001
Title.

Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for
Senator Unruh

March 7, 2017

HB 1367
3-9-17
AH #1
pg 1

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1367

Page 1, line 3, remove "and"

Page 1, line 3, after "penalty" insert "; and to declare an emergency"

Page 3, after line 19, insert:

"8. An individual who violates subdivision c of subsection 7 is guilty of a class 2 noncriminal offense"

Page 4, after line 22, insert:

"SECTION 3. EMERGENCY. This Act is declared to be an emergency measure."

Renumber accordingly