

**2017 HOUSE JUDICIARY**

**HCR 3002**

# 2017 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Judiciary Committee  
Prairie Room, State Capitol

HCR 3002  
1/16/2017  
26920

- Subcommittee  
 Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature



## Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

Legislative Management to consider studying a transition of the supervision of city, county, and regional correctional facilities from the Dept. of Correction & Rehabilitation to the Attorney General.

## Minutes:

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** Opened the hearing on HCR 3002.

**Rep. Pat Heinert:** Presenting the bill that is representing 33 jails and moving the management control direction standards and methods of operation under a board very similar to our law enforcement board which is through the Attorney General's office. Our reasoning is to take our correctional system in ND from a detention system to a correctional system in counties by means of making it more professional. This would be creating a license for correctional officers like we do for peace officers which would then create ethical standards; more stringent professional standards; more stringent training standards. Would also complete background investigations to include cytological besides the criminal checks. I hope the study will show it will provide the 33 counties that are involved in local detention centers with pay better position when it comes to liable risk.

**Representative Satrom:** I can see how this raises the bar. How is this going to impact the prisoners?

**Rep. P. Heinert:** That is what we are attempting to do with local jails. The local jails have no mandate whatsoever in rehabilitation. It is individualized to each jail. There are only a small handful of local jails that are providing any type of services toward rehabilitation. I am hoping we move toward that with these 33 local jails on the local level; not just the state level.

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** If you want to move more toward corrections and rehabilitation at the county jail level; then we be moving it from DOCR to the Attorney General's office. Can you further explain that and why that would be a necessary move to accomplish that?

**Rep. P. Heinert:** I think we need to move from the Dept. of Corrections to a standalone board is we need to create a board that is made up of local officials. County and local jails are run different than the state jail system' s are.

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** So if you have this board oversees that at the Attorney General's office; would it be wise to have coordination with DOCR and the county jails to help with those programs?

**Rep. P Heinert:** That is why we went with a study resolution rather than introducing a bill.

**Representative Jones:** How is this being received by the DOCR?

**Rep. P. Heinert:** I emailed them and sent a copy to them. I have not heard anything back from them.

**Leann Berston, Director of ND DOCR:** Jail inspections use to be in the Attorney General's office before the DOCR was created in 1989. I would ask you to consider broadening the study to added how jail inspections would be more robust. Discussed certain instances that had happened (6:55-14:00).

**Representative Paur:** You think we should beef up the standards.

**Leann Bertson:** Yes I think not just the jail standards; but sometimes I think probably some of the teeth in the statutory language that we currently have.

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** Rep. Heinert talked about the main thing for the bill being to make county jails more of a correctional institution versus just a detention institution. You are talking about all the abuse in county jails that you have encountered and how you want to end that.

**Leanne Bertson:** This is nothing preventing them from doing that now under DOCR. The regulatory authority has nothing to do with what they want to do with rehabilitation so I think those are two separate issues.

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** Those jails that are larger and have more resources and more capacity in those places; I know there are some really good things going on there. How do things currently work with DOCR and secondly a jailer comes to you and says we don't want to be just a lock up; we want to be able to do something for those folks. How would you respond?

**Leann Bertson:** That is being done now. We are training some jail staff on addressing this.

**Representative Roers Jones:** The proposal that is being put forward to move these facilities out from under the DOCR and move them over to the Attorney General's office; do you see a benefit to that or do you see that being a problem?

**Leann Bertson:** Right now when we go out and there is an event we like the way it is now. There is also a criminal component to some of the actions that have gone on in a jail. The

Bureau of Criminal Investigation is right there beside us. They are under the Attorney General's office so right now you have that checks and balances in place. There is nothing the prohibits jails from going forward with any type of licensing that they want to do. That is separate on regulatory oversight over the jails.

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** Rep. Heinert indicated a board to do this. Thoughts on that?

**Leann Bertson:** Jail inspections are pretty intense. I am not sure how you would staff a board to have that expertise at this point. I do care about people in jails but it does cause hard feelings with people who are in charge in these jails.

Opposition: None

Neutral: None

Hearing closed.



# 2017 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

**Judiciary Committee**  
Prairie Room, State Capitol

HCR 3002  
1/18/2017  
27086

- Subcommittee  
 Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature



## Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

Legislative Management to consider studying a transition of the supervision of city, county, and regional correctional facilities from the Dept. of Correction & Rehabilitation to the Attorney General.

## Minutes:

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** I did speak with the Attorney General about this. He indicated he was not behind the bill and nobody has asked him about it. This is a study resolution so we do not know if it will happen. If you agree if it is a good idea, we could amend the resolution. Director Birch recommended it should pass. If we were to look at line 17; delete the word general delete the after: after the word and add other potential means to improve the rehabilitative function of our city, county and regional correctional facilities; I think it would do what she was asking.

## Motion made to move that amendment by Rep. Satrom Seconded by Rep. Johnston

**Representative Klemin:** Leann Birch was going to submit an amendment. Apparently she hasn't.

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** She said she thinks that the study should be more comprehensive. She talked about wanting jail inspections more frequent and robust.

**Representative Vetter:** I think the amendment should be what the study is about. If we are going to put the money into a study, we do improve this it doesn't really do much.

**Representative Klemin:** I agree with Rep. Vetter. We should be looking at the conduct and bad conduct in jails. Now we have 108 new jail standards that they put in effect January 2017. This whole thing about creating a new board; we have so many boards already so maybe that isn't necessarily the way to go about doing things. Especially if the Attorney General wasn't even aware they were going to give him the power to create a board to do something.

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** If the legislature decides to study this we will do what we have to do. Ms. Birch mentioned she was not going to testify at all and then she did so forcefully. There is some dissatisfaction with some of the sheriff's the way they run the jails with DOCR on the handling things.

**Representative Hanson:** If we amend this resolution to say other potential means to improve their rehabilitative function for the local facilities; does that include inspections and standards?

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** Both the sponsor and Ms. Birch indicated that jails should be rehabilitated institutions no just warehouses for holding prisoners.

**Representative Hanson:** Should we tie this to the human aspect.

**Representative Klemin:** I don't think transferring it to DOCR and setting up another board; I don't think we should study that at all.

**Representative Vetter:** The amendment is what it is all about. Can we just change it?

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** A hog house amendment does happen. Even if we change the language we should not do that. Explained how hog house works.

**Representative Klemin:** We have a provision in the ND Constitution that no bill can be amended to change the original content. It has to be related to what the original bill is about.

**Voice vote carried.**

**Motion made to further amend the bill by Representative Paur to delete and on line 5 and then deleting lines 6-12. Seconded by Rep. Vetter.**

Discussion:

**Representative Maragos:** (mike not on)

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** The Attorney General indicated to me their office did not request this. If the legislature thinks this is a good idea we will come in and participate in the study. I am going to vote against that study because there is some concern. I think to delete it completely defeats the intent of the bill. If there is an issue, there a study can do away with that.

**Representative Hanson:** Lines 15,16 & 17; they do reference studying the transition.

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** You are right. Maybe I won't vote against the motion then.

**Representative Klemin:** It would be really easy to turn this into a study of about the supervision of the DOCR. The rest of it would be a HCR to request legislative management to consider studying the supervision of city, county and regional correctional facilities by the DOCR.



**Chairman K. Koppelman:** If you leave by the DOCR in the language then the language of study would limit it to looking over their shoulder and say what are you doing.

**Voice vote carried.**

Discussion:

**Representative Vetter:** They had just installed new standards for the prisons. Now they have 108 new jail standards. Maybe we should include jail standards?

**Representative Satrom:** Is that an automatic thing? Do we need to add jail standards?

**Representative Hanson:** Maybe it would encompass the cult aspects brought up in the jails.

**Motion made to amend by Representative Klemin to beginning on line 1 after it would be a concurrent resolution requesting legislative management to consider staying the operation management and supervision of city, county and regional correctional facilities: Seconded by Representative Vetter**

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** Here is how it would read. A concurrent resolution requesting the legislative management to consider studying the operation, management and supervision of city, county and regional correctional facilities.

**Voice Vote Carried.**

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** I am also looking at the resolved area. It would say that the Legislative Management consider studying conditions; standards and operation of correctional facilities; other potential means to improve the rehabilitative function of city, county and regional correctional facilities and a possible transfer of supervision of city, county and regional correctional facilities from the DOCR to the Attorney General.

**Motion made by Rep. Satrom; Seconded by Representative Maragos:**

Discussion:

**Voice Vote Carried.**

**Motion made by Rep. Klemin to put another whereas city, county and regional correctional facilities are operated and managed by local authority's. Seconded by Representative Paur.**

**Voice vote carried.**

**Do Pass as amended Motion Made by Representative Maragos: Seconded by Rep. Magrum.**

Discussion:

**Representative Simons:** I am going to vote no because I don't see having more regulations.

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** Discussed how interim studies and how they work.

**Representative Satrom:** There are issues so unless we look at this it will not change.

**Representative Magrum:** When will we have a fiscal note?

**Chairman K. Koppelman:** if this is picked up then it would be assigned to an interim committee. It is not an additional cost.

**Representative Vetter:** With all these amendments it has changed my mind.

**Roll Call Vote: 13 Yes 1 No 1 Absent Carrier: Rep. Magrum**



January 18, 2017

1/18/17 DA

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 3002

Page 1, line 1, remove "a transition"

Page 1, line 2, remove the first "of"

Page 1, line 2, after the first "the" insert "operation, management, conditions, standards, and"

Page 1, line 2, after "facilities" insert "and other potential means to improve the rehabilitative function of city, county, and regional correctional facilities and a possible transition of the supervision of city, county, and regional correctional facilities"

Page 1, replace lines 6 through 12 with:

**"WHEREAS**, city, county, and regional correctional facilities are operated and managed by local authorities;"

Page 1, line 15, replace "a" with "the operation, management, conditions, standards, and supervision of city, county, and regional correctional facilities and other potential means to improve the rehabilitative function of city, county, and regional correctional facilities and a possible"

Renumber accordingly

Date: 1-18-17  
Roll Call Vote: 1

2017 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE  
ROLL CALL VOTES  
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 3002

House Judiciary Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: Line 17 after AG

Recommendation:  Adopt Amendment  
 Do Pass     Do Not Pass     Without Committee Recommendation  
 As Amended     Rerefer to Appropriations  
 Place on Consent Calendar  
Other Actions:  Reconsider     \_\_\_\_\_

Motion Made By Rep Satrom Seconded By Rep. Johnston

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman K. Koppelman			Rep. Hanson		
Vice Chairman Karls			Rep. Nelson		
Rep. Blum					
Rep. Johnston					
Rep. Jones					
Rep. Klemin					
Rep. Magrum					
Rep. Maragos					
Rep. Paur					
Rep. Roers-Jones					
Rep. Satrom					
Rep. Simons					
Rep. Vetter					

Total (Yes) \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Absent \_\_\_\_\_

Floor Assignment \_\_\_\_\_

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:  
Voice Vote carried

Date: 1-18-17  
Roll Call Vote: 2

2017 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE  
ROLL CALL VOTES  
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 3002

House Judiciary Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: line 6-12 (delete) 15, 16+17  
*word and on line 5,*

- Recommendation:  Adopt Amendment
- Do Pass     Do Not Pass     Without Committee Recommendation
- As Amended     Rerefer to Appropriations
- Place on Consent Calendar
- Other Actions:  Reconsider     \_\_\_\_\_

Motion Made By Rep. Paur    Seconded By Rep. Vetter

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman K. Koppelman			Rep. Hanson		
Vice Chairman Karls			Rep. Nelson		
Rep. Blum					
Rep. Johnston					
Rep. Jones					
Rep. Klemin					
Rep. Magrum					
Rep. Maragos					
Rep. Paur					
Rep. Roers-Jones					
Rep. Satrom					
Rep. Simons					
Rep. Vetter					

Total (Yes) \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Absent \_\_\_\_\_

Floor Assignment : \_\_\_\_\_

*Vote carried*

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:



**2017 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE  
 ROLL CALL VOTES  
 BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 3002**

House Judiciary Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: line 1 after studying the operation

- Recommendation:  Adopt Amendment  
 Do Pass     Do Not Pass     Without Committee Recommendation  
 As Amended     Rerefer to Appropriations  
 Place on Consent Calendar  
 Other Actions:  Reconsider     \_\_\_\_\_

Motion Made By Rep. Klemin Seconded By Rep. Vetter

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman K. Koppelman			Rep. Hanson		
Vice Chairman Karls			Rep. Nelson		
Rep. Blum					
Rep. Johnston					
Rep. Jones					
Rep. Klemin					
Rep. Magrum					
Rep. Maragos					
Rep. Paur					
Rep. Roers-Jones					
Rep. Satrom					
Rep. Simons					
Rep. Vetter					

Total (Yes) \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Absent \_\_\_\_\_

Floor Assignment \_\_\_\_\_

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

*Vote carried*

Date: 1-18-17  
 Roll Call Vote: 7

2017 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE  
 ROLL CALL VOTES  
 BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 3002

House Judiciary Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: see below

- Recommendation:  Adopt Amendment  
 Do Pass     Do Not Pass     Without Committee Recommendation  
 As Amended     Rerefer to Appropriations  
 Place on Consent Calendar  
 Other Actions:  Reconsider     \_\_\_\_\_

Motion Made By Rep. Satrom Seconded By Rep. Maragos

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman K. Koppelman			Rep. Hanson		
Vice Chairman Karls			Rep. Nelson		
Rep. Blum					
Rep. Johnston					
Rep. Jones					
Rep. Klemin					
Rep. Magrum					
Rep. Maragos					
Rep. Paur					
Rep. Roers-Jones					
Rep. Satrom					
Rep. Simons					
Rep. Vetter					

Total (Yes) \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
 Absent \_\_\_\_\_  
 Floor Assignment : \_\_\_\_\_

*Vote carried*

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:  
*Legislative Management consider studying conditions standards and operation of correctional facilities, other potential means to improve the rehabilitative function of city, county + regional correctional facilities and a possible transfer of supervision of city, county + regional correctional facilities from the DOCR to the attorney General*

Date: 1-18-17  
 Roll Call Vote: 5

**2017 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE  
 ROLL CALL VOTES  
 BILL/RESOLUTION NO.**

House Judiciary Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: A  
Whether City, Counties & Regional  
are operated by local authorized

- Recommendation:
- Adopt Amendment
  - Do Pass     Do Not Pass     Without Committee Recommendation
  - As Amended     Rerefer to Appropriations
  - Place on Consent Calendar
- Other Actions:     Reconsider     \_\_\_\_\_

Motion Made By Rep. Klemin Seconded By Rep. Paur

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman K. Koppelman			Rep. Hanson		
Vice Chairman Karls			Rep. Nelson		
Rep. Blum					
Rep. Johnston					
Rep. Jones					
Rep. Klemin					
Rep. Magrum					
Rep. Maragos					
Rep. Paur					
Rep. Roers-Jones					
Rep. Satrom					
Rep. Simons					
Rep. Vetter					

Total (Yes) \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Absent \_\_\_\_\_

Floor Assignment : \_\_\_\_\_

*Voiced  
 Vote  
 carried*

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:



Date: 1-18-17  
 Roll Call Vote: 6

**2017 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE  
 ROLL CALL VOTES  
 BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 9062**

House Judiciary Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: 17.3032.01001

Recommendation:  Adopt Amendment  
 Do Pass  Do Not Pass  Without Committee Recommendation  
 As Amended  Rerefer to Appropriations  
 Place on Consent Calendar

Other Actions:  Reconsider  \_\_\_\_\_

Motion Made By Rep. Maragos Seconded By Rep. Magrum

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman K. Koppelman	✓		Rep. Hanson	✓	
Vice Chairman Karls	✓		Rep. Nelson	✓	
Rep. Blum	✓				
Rep. Johnston	✓				
Rep. Jones	✓				
Rep. Klemin	✓				
Rep. Magrum	✓				
Rep. Maragos	✓				
Rep. Paur	✓				
Rep. Roers-Jones	✓				
Rep. Satrom	✓				
Rep. Simons		✓			
Rep. Vetter	✓				

Total (Yes) 13 No 1

Absent 1

Floor Assignment: Rep. Magrum

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

**REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE**

**HCR 3002: Judiciary Committee (Rep. K. Koppelman, Chairman)** recommends **AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS** and when so amended, recommends **DO PASS** (13 YEAS, 1 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HCR 3002 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 1, remove "a transition"

Page 1, line 2, remove the first "of"

Page 1, line 2, after the first "the" insert "operation, management, conditions, standards, and"

Page 1, line 2, after "facilities" insert "and other potential means to improve the rehabilitative function of city, county, and regional correctional facilities and a possible transition of the supervision of city, county, and regional correctional facilities"

Page 1, replace lines 6 through 12 with:

**"WHEREAS**, city, county, and regional correctional facilities are operated and managed by local authorities;"

Page 1, line 15, replace "a" with "the operation, management, conditions, standards, and supervision of city, county, and regional correctional facilities and other potential means to improve the rehabilitative function of city, county, and regional correctional facilities and a possible"

Renumber accordingly

**2017 SENATE JUDICIARY**

**HCR 3002**



# 2017 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Judiciary Committee  
Fort Lincoln Room, State Capitol

HCR 3002  
3/6/2017  
28716

- Subcommittee  
 Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature

*pam for Will Foster*

## Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

A concurrent resolution requesting the Legislative Management to consider studying the operation, management, conditions, standards, and supervision of city, county, and regional correctional facilities and other potential means to improve the rehabilitative function of city, county, and regional correctional facilities and a possible transition of the supervision of city, county, and regional correctional facilities from the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to the Attorney General.

## Minutes:

**Chairman Armstrong** called the committee to order on HCR 3002. All committee members were present.

**Rep Pat D. Heinert, District 32, Bismarck, ND:** I am here in support of 3002. We have 33 local jails in ND. We operate independently as a county entity. Most sheriff as the jail administrator. We operate under the rules established by the Dept. of Corrections. We are looking at moving local jails out of the control of DOCR and put us under the control of the Attorney General's Office. It comes back to licensing of detention officers.

**Chairman Armstrong:** How much of the county jail population is associated with pretrial detention right now. The cost.

**Representative Heinert:** It does vary in Burleigh and Morton county are in a unique situation because of our bed space and population size. We have very few sentenced inmates at this time. I expect that to change in 3 months from now when we open our new facility.

**Senator Nelson:** What does the Attorney General think about this plan?

**Representative Heinert:** I met with him before introducing this bill to discuss introducing this as a study and/or stand up policy. We agreed to introduce it as a study because there are somethings to look. We need a logical bill for it to work for everybody.

**Senator Osland:** What about the counties, and have you visited with those people about this issue?

**Representative Heinert:** Yes, we visited with them, and I can tell you the greater majority is in support of this.

**Chairman Armstrong:** Would you oppose to this study getting broader? I have some ideas.

**Representative Heinert:** In the House, we talked about adding behavioral modifications and those kinds of things for local jails. In my mind I think that would be beneficial. You have to understand the history of jail in ND. There's a change in philosophy that needs more.

**Senator Luick:** You're looking at budgeting for all of this as well to transfer to the AG office and out of counties hands. That would be established in the study?

**Representative Heinert:** No. That would still be a county issue.; the budget issue. What would happen in the AG office is similar to how we run law enforcement right now. There is a board established by the AG that oversees everything.

**Senator Luick:** How can one agency control it and the other one provide funding for it? Wouldn't there be a mismatch of ideas?

**Representative Heinert:** My thought would be we run it similar how we run the North Dakota peace officer board right now. 11 members who establish policy and procedure for local law enforcement. They establish training and minimum standards. (8.40)

**Senator Nelson:** Is the facility in Rugby still there and would that be included?"

**Representative Heinert:** Yes, and yes it would be. All 33 local jails.

**Chairman Armstrong:** There's no private in Rugby anymore. There was treatment there but I don't think there ever was private. My question is as we move forward, does this create a triangle between the Attorney General, DOCR and Counties? Or is it to remove the DOCR from the equation? At some point in time we are going to have to determine if we are going to use county space for felonies or are we going to have to build on to other areas. In 1041 we have good time counting which we will take on. Why would we think cutting the DOCT out is right way to go?

**Representative Heinert:** That's kind of why I met with the AG, and we decided to do the study. We weren't sure what is the best way.

**Senator Nelson:** (12.15) Could you define the transition of supervision. How inclusive is that? Are these people still employees of county? Under PERS. What is it.

**Representative Heinert:** The county will still be responsible for the training and responsibility of the person. The counties will hire people that can fulfill the detention officer jobs.

**Senator Osland:** What kind of sampling of people do you expect to serve on this committee? Who is going to do the study?



**Senator Larson:** The legislative management.

**Senator Larson:** Any more testimony in favor?

**Leann Burck, Director of ND Dept. of Corrections and Rehabilitation:** I did testify in support of this in the House. The language was changed to broaden it to beef up the study of operation and management conditions and standards. I think they really need to be enhanced. Since 1989, DOCR has had the supervisory and regulatory authority. The AG, prior, had the authority over the jails, and it didn't work. It was a good old boy system and there was no expertise in the AG office to know what the standards in a jail should look like. There is always a push pull between county and state because of the funding. We should look at making ND a unified system. We are around 85% pretrial detention. Needs to be real work at the local level, so these people are not sitting in jail pretrial. (23.11)

**Senator Luick:** Leann, who does the determination of pretrial detainment? Is it the judge in that district?

**Leann:** I right now we don't have pretrial services in the whole state. So basically, it's the judge who determines who gets a money bond and how high it's going to be. I think right now even if there's not a full scope of pre trail services, you can do a pretrial risk assessment. That risk assessment takes about 10-15 minutes and that I think will be a good first step to make sure we aren't putting people in a high end jail bed.

**Senator Larson:** Any questions. Any more testimony in favor. Any opposed? Any agency testimony? We close the hearing on HCR 3002.

No motions were made.




# 2017 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

**Judiciary Committee**  
Fort Lincoln Room, State Capitol

HCR 3002 Committee Work  
3/13/2017  
29082

- Subcommittee  
 Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature 

## Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

A concurrent resolution requesting the Legislative Management to consider studying the operation, management, conditions, standards, and supervision of city, county, and regional correctional facilities and other potential means to improve the rehabilitative function of city, county, and regional correctional facilities and a possible transition of the supervision of city, county, and regional correctional facilities from the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to the Attorney General.

**Minutes:** **No written testimony** 

**Chairman Armstrong** began the discussion on HCR 3002. All committee members were present.

The committee briefly went over the bill.

**Senator Luick** motioned for a Do Pass. **Senator Larson** seconded.

Discussion followed:

**Senator Nelson:** I don't remember a whole lot about this but I do remember here that there were 33 local jails and besides Representative Heinert, we didn't have any other jail representatives here. Normally, you would think if there's a huge problem they would be here to support the bill, but they weren't.

A Roll Call Vote was taken. Yea: 6 Nay: 0 Absent: 0.  
The motion carried.

**Senator Luick** carried the bill.

**Chairman Armstrong** ended the discussion on HCR 3002.

**2017 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE  
 ROLL CALL VOTES  
 BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HCR 3002**

Senate Judiciary Committee

Subcommittee

Amendment LC# or Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Recommendation:  Adopt Amendment  
 Do Pass     Do Not Pass     Without Committee Recommendation  
 As Amended     Rerefer to Appropriations  
 Place on Consent Calendar  
 Other Actions:  Reconsider     \_\_\_\_\_

Motion Made By Senator Luick Seconded By Senator Larson

Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Chairman Armstrong	X		Senator Nelson	X	
Vice-Chair Larson	X				
Senator Luick	X				
Senator Myrdal	X				
Senator Osland	X				

Total (Yes) 6 No 0

Absent 0

Floor Assignment Senator Luick

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

**REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE**

**HCR 3002, as engrossed: Judiciary Committee (Sen. Armstrong, Chairman)**  
recommends **DO PASS** (6 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING).  
Engrossed HCR 3002 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.