

## **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT TAX INCENTIVE STUDY - RENAISSANCE ZONE TAX CREDITS AND EXEMPTIONS**

Pursuant to North Dakota Century Code Section 54-35-26, created by 2015 Senate Bill No. 2057, a variety of economic development tax incentives are to be reviewed by a Legislative Management interim committee every 6 years. The study is aimed at ensuring that economic development tax incentives are serving their intended purposes in a cost-effective and equitable manner. This memorandum has been provided to assist in the review of renaissance zone tax credits and exemptions and provides an explanation of the incentives, the perceived goals of the Legislative Assembly in creating or altering the incentives, and the data and testimony that will be required to conduct an effective analysis of the incentives.

### **EXPLANATION OF RENAISSANCE ZONE TAX CREDITS AND EXEMPTIONS**

Pursuant to Chapter 40-63, any incorporated city may apply to the Department of Commerce's Division of Community Services to designate a portion of the city as a renaissance zone. The application must specify the proposed duration of the zone, which may not exceed 15 years, include a resolution from the governing body of the city stating persons and property within the zone will be exempt from taxes pursuant to Sections 40-63-04 through 40-63-07 if the renaissance zone designation is granted, and include a development plan consisting of:

- A map of the proposed zone, which identifies geographic boundaries and blocks, a description of the properties and structures on each block, a listing of the properties and structures to be targeted for potential zone projects, and the present use and condition of those properties and structures;
- A description of the existing physical assets in the zone, particularly natural or historical assets, and a plan for incorporating or enhancing those assets;
- An outline of the goals, objectives, and proposed outcomes for the zone to be used to gauge the success of the completed zone;
- A description of the promotion, development, and management strategies that will be used to maximize investment in the zone;
- A plan for the development, promotion, and use of a renaissance fund organization or, if the city is not ready to commit to establishing a renaissance fund organization, a statement indicating the city's desire to submit a plan for approval of a renaissance fund organization at a later date; and
- Evidence of community support and commitment from residential and business interests, which beginning August 1, 2017, must include letters of support from the governing bodies of each county and school district that contain property located within the boundaries of the proposed zone.

The proposed zone must be located wholly within the boundaries of the city submitting the application, contain both residential and commercial property, and not be in excess of 34 square blocks unless the population of the city exceeds 5,000. If the population of a city exceeds 5,000, a zone may be expanded at a rate of one additional block for each additional 5,000 in population, not to exceed a maximum size of 49 blocks. All blocks within the proposed zone must be contiguous, with the exception of one area of noncontiguous blocks not to exceed three square blocks. A city may not propose or be part of more than one renaissance zone.

An approved zone may be extended or modified in various ways before its expiration date. If the approved zone is less than the maximum allowable size, a city may apply to the Division of Community Services to expand the zone. The blocks in an approved expansion are eligible for up to 15 years of zone status. A city also may apply to have blocks within a zone, which have either achieved the desired goals or not progressed, removed from the zone and replaced with alternative blocks contiguous to the original zone. Upon approval by the Division of Community Services, the initial 15-year duration of a zone also may be extended in increments of up to 5 years.

The primary incentives for property owners or purchasers of renaissance zone property are income and property tax incentives. Section 40-63-04 provides income tax exemptions to individuals who purchase or rehabilitate single-family residential property for the individual's primary residence as a zone project. The amount of the exemption is up to \$10,000 of personal income tax liability for 5 taxable years beginning with the date rehabilitation is completed or the property is occupied. An exemption is also available for a taxpayer that purchases, leases, rehabilitates, or makes leasehold improvements to residential, public utility infrastructure, or commercial property for any business or investment purpose as a zone project. The amount of the exemption is equal to the income derived from all of a taxpayer's business or investment interests within the zone, up to a maximum amount of \$500,000 per taxable year for 5 taxable years beginning with the date of purchase, lease, or completion of rehabilitation. For projects that take the form of an expansion of an existing building, the amount of the exemption is equal to the income derived from

the business or from the investment use of the building during the taxable year multiplied by a ratio equal to the square footage added by the expansion divided by the total square footage of the building after expansion. In lieu of the exemption on income derived from a taxpayer's business or investment locations, a taxpayer may elect to receive an income tax credit of \$2,000 per year for up to 5 taxable years if the cost of the new business purchase, leasehold improvement, or existing business expansion exceeded \$75,000 and the business is in a city with a population of 2,500 or fewer.

A property owner that is not participating in a renaissance zone project may be entitled to a tax incentive under Section 40-63-04 in the form of a credit against income tax liability if the owner is required to make changes in utility services or building structure due to changes made to property that is part of a zone project. The amount of the credit is equal to the total amount of the investment necessary to complete the changes and must be claimed in the taxable year in which the changes were completed. Any credit amount exceeding a taxpayer's liability may be carried forward for up to 5 taxable years. Investment in, or ownership or lease of, a parcel of property may qualify for the income tax credit only once. However, if the property is transferred during the 5 taxable years of credit eligibility, the credit transfers to a qualified user and must be prorated for the use of the property in respect to the year in which the transfer is made.

An income tax credit is available pursuant to Section 40-63-06 for investments in the historic preservation or renovation of property within a renaissance zone. The amount of the credit is equal to 25 percent of the amount invested, up to a maximum amount of \$250,000. The credit must be claimed in the year the preservation or renovation work is completed and any credit amount exceeding a taxpayer's liability may be carried forward for up to 5 taxable years.

Renaissance fund organizations and taxpayers investing in renaissance fund organizations also may qualify for income tax exemptions and credits pursuant to Section 40-63-07. A city with a designated renaissance zone may establish a renaissance fund organization to raise funds to finance zone projects. Financing may take the form of equity investments, loans, guarantees, and commitments for financing. A renaissance fund organization is exempt from income tax liability and the exemption may be passed through to any shareholder, partner, and owner if the organization is a passthrough entity. A taxpayer also may receive a credit against individual or corporate income tax liability for investments made in a renaissance fund organization. The amount of the credit is equal to 50 percent of the amount invested in the fund during the taxable year. Any credit amount exceeding a taxpayer's liability may be carried forward for up to 5 taxable years following the taxable year in which the investment was made. The aggregate amount of credits allowed for investments in renaissance fund organizations by all taxpayers may not exceed \$10.5 million over any combination of taxable years. If an investment in a renaissance fund organization, which is the basis for a credit, is redeemed by the investor within 10 years from the date it is purchased, the credit must be disallowed and any credit amount previously claimed must be repaid.

In addition to income tax benefits, property tax incentives are also available within a renaissance zone. A city may grant a partial or complete property tax exemption for up to 5 taxable years after the purchase or completion of rehabilitation of renaissance zone property. A city may grant an exemption on single-family residential property, exclusive of land, if the property was purchased or rehabilitated by an individual for the individual's primary place of residence as a zone project. A city also may grant an exemption on buildings, structures, fixtures, and improvements purchased or rehabilitated as a zone project for a business or investment purpose. The State Board of Equalization may grant a partial or complete property tax exemption for up to 5 taxable years, following the date of rehabilitation, on public utility infrastructure rehabilitated as a zone project. A parcel of property within a renaissance zone may be exempted from property tax only once. However, if ownership of the parcel transfers during the 5 taxable years of eligibility for the exemption, the exemption transfers along with the transfer of the property to a qualifying user.

A taxpayer may not be delinquent in the payment of any state or local tax liability in order to be eligible to claim a credit or exemption under Chapter 40-63. Additionally, the use of grant funds as the sole source of investment in the purchase of a building or space in a building does not qualify a taxpayer for any tax exemption or credit available under the chapter, and grant funds may not be counted in determining if the cost of rehabilitation meets or exceeds the current true and full value of the building.

If renaissance zone property is included in a tax increment financing district, the city in which the property is located shall provide the Department of Commerce an annual report identifying the property, the expected duration the property will be included in a renaissance zone and tax increment financing district, and the property and income tax benefits of the property and expected duration of those benefits. The Department of Commerce shall provide an annual report on renaissance zone property included in a tax increment financing district to the Legislative Management interim committee on taxation issues or upon the request of any other interim committee of the Legislative Management.

## **PERCEIVED GOALS OF THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY IN CREATING OR ALTERING RENAISSANCE ZONE TAX CREDITS AND EXEMPTIONS**

Provisions of Chapter 40-63 were first enacted during the 1999 legislative session through the passage of House Bill No. 1492. The bill authorized the governing body of a city to apply to the Office of Intergovernmental Assistance to designate a portion of that city as a renaissance zone. The bill allowed income and property tax exemptions for single-family residential property purchased in a renaissance zone and property purchased or leased for business or investment purposes. The bill also allowed an income tax credit for investment in the historic preservation or renovation or renaissance zone property and investment in a renaissance fund corporation. Upon a review of the legislative history relating to the bill, the perceived goal of the Legislative Assembly in creating renaissance zone credits and exemptions was to provide incentives to encourage the rejuvenation of inner cities. The estimated fiscal effect of renaissance zone credits and exemptions could not be determined during the 1999 legislative session.

The first amendments to Chapter 40-63 were made during the 2001 legislative session through the passage of Senate Bill No. 2033 and House Bill Nos. 1049 and 1460. House Bill No. 1049 changed multiple references to "the office of intergovernmental assistance" to "the division of community services." Senate Bill No. 2033 authorized a city to apply to the Division of Community Services at any time during the duration of a zone to expand a previously approved renaissance zone to up to 20 square blocks. The bill provided that the use of grant funds as the sole source of investment in the purchase of a building or space in a building would not qualify a taxpayer for a renaissance zone tax exemption or credit, and grant funds could not be counted in determining if the cost of rehabilitation met or exceeded the current true and full value of a building. The bill also authorized a city to request the Division of Community Services remove a portion of an approved renaissance zone that is not progressing after 5 years and make a one-time adjustment of the boundaries to add another equal, contiguous area to the original zone. The bill allowed an income and property tax exemption for a taxpayer who rehabilitated residential or commercial property as a zone project. The bill provided that if the cost to purchase a new business, or expand an existing business, exceeded \$75,000, and the business was located in a city with a population of not more than 2,500, an individual taxpayer could elect to take an income tax exemption of up to \$2,000 of personal income tax liability in lieu of the exemption on income derived from the business. The bill removed the December 31, 2004, expiration date for the historic preservation and renovation tax credit and reduced the credit from 50 percent of the amount invested to 25 percent of the amount invested, up to a maximum of \$250,000. The bill provided that a taxpayer could not be delinquent in payment of state or local tax liability to be eligible for a tax benefit provided in Chapter 40-63.

House Bill No. 1460 (2001) provided that once the initial aggregate limit of \$2.5 million in renaissance zone tax credits had been exhausted, an additional \$1 million in credits is available for investments in renaissance fund organizations for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2000, for investments permitted under the renaissance zone law if more than 65 percent of the organization's net investments received have been invested as permitted under the renaissance zone law or the organization is established after the exhaustion of the initial limit. In addition, upon exhaustion of the initial limit, an additional \$1.5 million in credits is available for investments in renaissance zone fund organizations for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2002, for investments under the renaissance zone law if more than 65 percent of the qualifying organization's net investments received have been invested as allowed under the renaissance zone law or the organization is established after the exhaustion of the initial limit. The bill also required a renaissance fund organization to secure an annual audit of its financial records, prepared by an independent certified public accounting firm.

Additional changes were made by 2003 House Bill No. 1457 and Senate Bill No. 2259. House Bill No. 1457 authorized a city of over 5,000 population to expand a renaissance zone beyond the 20 square block maximum up to a new maximum of 35 blocks at the rate of one additional block for each additional 5,000 in population. Senate Bill No. 2259 authorized a renaissance fund organization to provide financing to businesses outside a renaissance zone. The bill increased to \$2.5 million the amount of tax credits available for investments in renaissance fund organizations and provided that a renaissance fund organization that had received investments qualifying for additional credits could not use more than 50 percent for organization investments outside a renaissance zone. The bill also provided for additional audit reporting requirements and removed provisions that restricted a renaissance fund organization from accepting new investments if the renaissance fund organization failed to invest a specified portion of previously received investments.

House Bill No. 1225 (2007) increased the maximum allowable area of a proposed renaissance zone from 20 to 23 blocks in a city with a population of 5,000 or fewer and from 35 to 38 blocks in a city with a population of greater than 5,000. The bill also allowed a single exception to the requirement that a zone consist of a continuous boundary and contiguous blocks if the excepted area did not exceed three-square blocks and the shortest distance between the boundaries of the two portions of the zone did not exceed one-half mile.

Provisions of Chapter 40-63 also were modified by 2009 Senate Bill No. 2060 and House Bill Nos. 1324 and 1428 and Senate Bill No. 2060 expanded renaissance zone provisions to include tax incentives for the purchase, lease, or repair of public utility infrastructure and for leasehold improvements to property. The bill allowed a property owner that is not participating in a renaissance zone project to claim state income tax credits equal to the amount invested by the property owner to complete required changes in utility services or building structure due to the property's inclusion as a zone project. The bill also allowed the State Board of Equalization to grant a full or partial property tax exemption on public utility infrastructure rehabilitated as a zone project. House Bill No. 1428 provided that if a city finds that renaissance zone projects have satisfactorily completed one or more blocks within the renaissance zone, a city may request the Department of Commerce withdraw those blocks from the renaissance zone and replace them with other blocks. The bill allowed the Department of Commerce to approve a city's request to extend the duration of renaissance zone status in increments up to 5 years. The bill also increased the total amount of income tax credits allowed for investments by all taxpayers in renaissance fund organizations to \$7.5 million. The final change made in 2009, by House Bill No. 1324, removed a statutory reference to the optional long-form income tax return, which was eliminated.

The chapter was further amended in 2011 by House Bill No. 1102 and Senate Bill Nos. 2048 and 2218. House Bill No. 1102 required a taxpayer electing to receive an income tax credit in lieu of an income tax exemption for purchasing, leasing, rehabilitating, or improving renaissance zone property for a business or investment purpose to make the election on the taxpayer's return rather than the taxpayer's zone project application. Senate Bill No. 2048 required a city with a renaissance zone to file an annual report with the Department of Commerce identifying property within the zone that is also included in a tax increment financing district. The report must identify the property, provide the expected duration of inclusion of the property in the tax increment financing district and the renaissance zone, identify any property and income tax benefits of the property, and identify the expected duration of those benefits. The bill also required the Department of Commerce to deliver an annual report compiling the information to a Legislative Management interim committee on taxation issues or upon the request of any other interim committee of the Legislative Management.

Senate Bill No. 2218 (2011) allowed renaissance fund organization officers and employees to be actively involved in the enterprises in which the renaissance fund organization invests, but prohibited the renaissance fund organization from investing in any enterprise if any one renaissance fund organization officer or employee owned more than 49 percent of the ownership interest in the enterprise. The bill also prohibited a renaissance fund organization from investing in an enterprise if renaissance fund organization officers and employees collectively owned more than 49 percent of the ownership interests, either through direct ownership or through ownership of interest in a passthrough entity. The bill also allowed a renaissance fund organization to finance projects other than zone projects if the projects were located within designated renaissance zones. The bill increased the total amount of income tax credits allowed for investments in renaissance fund organizations from \$7.5 million to \$8.5 million. The bill also required a renaissance fund organization that had received investments qualifying for income tax credits to use the investments to finance projects within a renaissance zone.

House Bill No. 1166 (2013) limited the maximum amount of income a taxpayer could exempt from tax under the business or investment exemption for the purchase, lease, or rehabilitation of property within a renaissance zone to \$500,000 in a taxable year. The bill also provided that if a zone project consisted of a physical expansion of an existing building owned and used by the taxpayer for business or investment purposes, the amount of income exempt from tax was limited to an amount equal to the income derived from the business, or from the investment use of the building, during the taxable year multiplied by a ratio equal to the square footage added by the expansion divided by the total square footage of the building after expansion.

Senate Bill No. 2329 (2015) increased the maximum allowable size of a renaissance zone to not more than 34 square blocks, except in a city with a population of greater than 5,000, the zone may exceed 34 square blocks at a rate of one additional block per additional 5,000 population for a maximum size of 49 square blocks. The bill also increased the total amount of credits allowed for investments in renaissance fund organizations to \$10.5 million. Senate Bill No. 2166 (2017) requires a development plan submitted by a city to include letters of support from the governing bodies of each county and school district that contain property located within the boundaries of the proposed renaissance zone for development plans submitted after July 31, 2017.

## **DATA AND TESTIMONY REQUIRED TO CONDUCT AN EFFECTIVE ANALYSIS OF RENAISSANCE ZONE TAX CREDITS AND EXEMPTIONS**

The interim Taxation Committee identified various items of data and testimony which would need to be collected to effectively analyze renaissance zone tax credits and exemptions. The following list identifies the information the committee sought to collect and the receipt of that information throughout the course of the 2017-18 interim.

1. The number of claimants and the fiscal impact of the incentive:
  - a. Information ([Appendix A](#)) provided by the Department of Commerce on December 14, 2017, listed project-specific claimant and benefit data for properties located in a renaissance zone and a tax increment financing district.
  - b. Information ([Appendix B](#)) provided by the Tax Department on December 14, 2017, indicated the number of individual income tax returns on which the credit was claimed and the total amount claimed is as follows:
    - (1) In tax year 2006, a total of \$644,606 was claimed over 126 returns;
    - (2) In tax year 2007, a total of \$861,202 was claimed over 153 returns;
    - (3) In tax year 2008, a total of \$1,142,211 was claimed over 153 returns;
    - (4) In tax year 2009, a total of \$1,107,863 was claimed over 153 returns;
    - (5) In tax year 2010, a total of \$1,723,920 was claimed over 149 returns;
    - (6) In tax year 2011, a total of \$2,342,776 was claimed over 222 returns;
    - (7) In tax year 2012, a total of \$1,252,363 was claimed over 160 returns;
    - (8) In tax year 2013, a total of \$727,257 was claimed over 127 returns;
    - (9) In tax year 2014, a total of \$762,501 was claimed over 111 returns;
    - (10) In tax year 2015, a total of \$784,791 was claimed over 98 returns; and
    - (11) In tax year 2016, a total of \$653,002 was claimed over 85 returns.
  - c. The number of corporate income tax returns on which the credit was claimed and the total amount claimed is as follows:
    - (1) In tax year 2006, the amount claimed cannot be disclosed due to confidentiality restrictions because the credit was claimed on fewer than 5 returns;
    - (2) In tax years 2007 and 2008, the credit was not claimed on any corporate income tax returns;
    - (3) In tax years 2009 through 2015, the amount claimed cannot be disclosed due to confidentiality restrictions because the credit was claimed on fewer than 5 returns; and
    - (4) In tax year 2016, the credit was not claimed on any corporate income tax returns.
  - d. The number of financial institution tax returns on which the credit was claimed and the total amount claimed is as follows:
    - (1) In tax year 2006, the credit was not claimed on any financial institution tax returns;
    - (2) In tax year 2007, the amount claimed cannot be disclosed due to confidentiality restrictions because the credit was claimed on fewer than 5 returns;
    - (3) In tax year 2008, a total of \$941,477 was claimed over 6 returns; and
    - (4) In tax years 2009 through 2012, the amount claimed cannot be disclosed due to confidentiality restrictions because the credit was claimed on fewer than 5 returns.
  - e. The number of individual income tax returns on which the deduction was claimed and the total estimated amount of those deductions are as follows:
    - (1) In tax year 2006, a total of \$234,482 was claimed over 165 returns;
    - (2) In tax year 2007, a total of \$586,900 was claimed over 251 returns;
    - (3) In tax year 2008, a total of \$749,544 was claimed over 267 returns;
    - (4) In tax year 2009, a total of \$691,869 was claimed over 256 returns;
    - (5) In tax year 2010, a total of \$658,652 was claimed over 287 returns;
    - (6) In tax year 2011, a total of \$665,624 was claimed over 296 returns;
    - (7) In tax year 2012, a total of \$1,098,659 was claimed over 302 returns;
    - (8) In tax year 2013, a total of \$869,355 was claimed over 294 returns;

- (9) In tax year 2014, a total of \$731,768 was claimed over 253 returns;
  - (10) In tax year 2015, a total of \$541,303 was claimed over 222 returns; and
  - (11) In tax year 2016, a total of \$454,137 was claimed over 200 returns.
- f. The number of corporate income tax returns on which the deduction was claimed and the total estimated amount of those deductions is as follows:
- (1) In tax year 2006, a total of \$329,181 was claimed over 13 returns;
  - (2) In tax year 2007, a total of \$181,263 was claimed over 15 returns;
  - (3) In tax year 2008, a total of \$88,912 was claimed over 17 returns;
  - (4) In tax year 2009, a total of \$327,276 was claimed over 23 returns;
  - (5) In tax year 2010, a total of \$184,894 was claimed over 20 returns;
  - (6) In tax year 2011, a total of \$967,866 was claimed over 17 returns;
  - (7) In tax year 2012, a total of \$1,241,062 was claimed over 14 returns;
  - (8) In tax year 2013, a total of \$1,017,939 was claimed over 11 returns;
  - (9) In tax year 2014, a total of \$145,183 was claimed over 12 returns;
  - (10) In tax year 2015, a total of \$151,257 was claimed over 7 returns; and
  - (11) In tax year 2016, a total of \$216,185 was claimed over 8 returns.
- g. The number of financial institution tax returns on which the deduction was claimed and the total estimated amount of those deductions is as follows:
- (1) In tax year 2006, a total of \$131,614 was claimed over 5 returns;
  - (2) In tax year 2007, a total of \$513,453 was claimed over 6 returns;
  - (3) In tax year 2008, a total of \$832,928 was claimed over 8 returns;
  - (4) In tax year 2009, a total of \$710,729 was claimed over 9 returns;
  - (5) In tax year 2010, a total of \$1,365,333 was claimed over 8 returns;
  - (6) In tax year 2011, a total of \$1,301,097 was claimed over 8 returns; and
  - (7) In tax year 2012, a total of \$977,167 was claimed over 8 returns.
- h. An evaluation ([Appendix C](#)) provided by the Bank of North Dakota on February 14, 2018, using software from Regional Economic Models, Inc., indicated the program generated \$47 million in annual gross domestic product during its peak in 2016. The direct cost to the state of providing renaissance zone tax benefits was \$6.9 million for the 2013 through 2016 period. After offsetting additional revenues, the renaissance zone program cost the state \$1.9 million for the 2013 through 2016 period. If the program was discontinued on January 1, 2018, the continued costs to the state for the 2017 through 2022 period would be \$2.4 million, resulting in a total net loss to the state of \$4.3 million for the 2013 through 2022 period.
2. Employment opportunities, business growth, or diversity in the state's economy resulting from the availability of the incentive:
- a. Information ([Appendix D](#)) regarding job growth and the creation and expansion of businesses was provided to the committee on December 14, 2017, by the Department of Commerce.
  - b. An evaluation ([Appendix C](#)) provided by the Bank of North Dakota on February 14, 2018, indicated the renaissance zone program generated over 600 jobs during its peak in 2016. The industries that received the greatest benefit from the program were banking, retail, food service, agricultural support, and professional services.
  - c. Information ([Appendix E](#)) regarding the number of jobs created or retained as a result of renaissance zone agreements was provided to the committee on July 13, 2018, by the Department of Commerce.
3. Negative impacts created as a result of the incentive:
- a. An evaluation ([Appendix C](#)) provided by the Bank of North Dakota on February 14, 2018, indicated that if the renaissance zone program was discontinued on January 1, 2018, the total net loss to the state of

providing renaissance zone incentives for the 2013 through 2022 period would be \$4.3 million after offsetting program costs with revenues generated by the program.

- b. Information ([Appendix F](#)) provided by a representative of the Community Development Department of the City of Bismarck on May 31, 2018, indicated, using the 2018 exempted market value and the 2017 mill levy, the estimated amount of property tax not being collected from renaissance zone properties in the city in 2018 was \$307,596.
4. Benefits that flow to out-of-state concerns resulting from the incentive:

The committee continues to assess whether out-of-state benefits have resulted from the use of renaissance zone incentives.
  5. Testimony from interested parties:
    - a. The following parties provided testimony in support of renaissance zone credits and exemptions:
      - (1) Representatives of the Economic Development Association of North Dakota ([Appendices G](#) and [H](#)).
      - (2) Mr. James Gilmour, Director of Strategic Planning and Research, City of Fargo ([Appendices I](#), [J](#), and [K](#)).
      - (3) Mr. Daniel Nairn, Planner, Community Development Department, City of Bismarck ([Appendix L](#)).
      - (4) Mr. Blake Crosby, Executive Director, North Dakota League of Cities.
      - (5) Mr. Ken Vein, Vice President, Grand Forks City Council ([Appendix M](#)).
      - (6) Mr. Todd Feland, City Administrator, City of Grand Forks.
      - (7) Mr. Tom Berry, City Manager, City of Minot.
      - (8) Ms. KayCee Lindsey, Executive Director, Divide County Job Development Authority ([Appendix N](#)).
      - (9) Ms. Carol Peterson, Economic Development Coordinator, City of Milnor ([Appendix O](#)).
    - b. The committee has yet to receive testimony from parties opposed to renaissance zone credits and exemptions.

ATTACH:15