Prepared in regard to:

FISCAL NOTE

House Bill 1				
(List bill or r	resolution and	number, if	available, or	subject)
Amendment to:				

Requested by: Legislative Council Date of receipt: 3/2 1977

(List bill or resolution and number)

In the following space note the fiscal effect in dollars of the legislative proposal. If additional space is needed, attach a supplementary sheet. (Please type)

The following are the estimated fiscal costs to the General Fund of implementing HB 1223. These estimated costs pertain to the area of the Supreme Court only.

- 1. If the Supreme Court were to receive between 1 and 20 appeals per year on orders granting the suppression of evidence -
 - Added biennial cost (not to exceed) \$200
- 2. If the Supreme Court were to receive over 21 appeals per year on orders granting suppression of evidence -

Added biennial cost (not to exceed) \$44,800

Explanation of Estimates

It has been estimated that a law clerk and clerical person would have to be added to the court staff if appeals exceed 21 per year (a 14% increase in workload).

Also no added revenue would accrue to the state as no filing fees are charged in the case of criminal appeals.

Date of preparation: 3/3/77

Signed

Typed Name William G. Bohn

Department State Court Administrator