(Return in triplicate) FISCAL NOTE
111/Resolution No.: House Bill No. 1180 Amendment to:
Requested by: Legislative Council Date of Receipt:
Please estimate the fiscal impact of the above measure for:
X State general or special funds Counties Cities
In the following space note the fiscal effect in dollars of this measure:
Narrative:  Sections 1, 5, 6 and 7, relating to the removal of the State Treasurer as custodian of Land Board funds, would have no direct fiscal impact, but should improve the efficiency of the Board's investment process with the possibility of increased income.
Sections 2 and 3, relating to the authority of the Land Board to renegotiate mortgages in the Farm Loan Pool, should have the effect of preserving the trust fund monies invested in these mortgages and increasing the return to the funds. However, the exact fiscal effect cannot be estimated.
Section 4 of the bill, relating to a continuing appropriation for investment and related fees from the funds managed by the Land Board will have a fiscal impact if the Board decides to employ firms providing investment services. However, no estimate of the amount of the costs can be made at this time. Also, any costs incurred should be more than offset by improved investment performance.
State Fiscal Effect:
1987-881988-89Biennium TotalSpecialGeneralSpecialSpecialGeneralFundsFundFundFundsFund
County and City Fiscal Effect:  1987-88 1988-89 Biennium Total
Counties Cities Counties Cities Counties Cities  If additional space is needed, attach a supplemental sheet.

Date Prepared: <u>January 7, 1987</u>

Department State Land Department