(Return in triplicate) <u>FISC</u>	CAL NOTE				
Bill/Resolution No.:	Amendment to: Senate Bill No. 2038				
Requested by: Legislative Council Date of Receipt: 2/20/87					
Please estimate the fiscal impact	of the above measure for:				
X State general or special funds	Counties Cities				
In the following space note the fi measure:	iscal effect in dollars of this				
Narrative:					
"The state agency shall pay the full county, and federal funds" would mean	ill No. 2038 which would delete the words cost of indirect services with state, n that the Department of Human Services al \$266,076 in state funds shown in the				
See supplemental sheet for computations as shown in original SB2038 totalling	ons used in determining indirect expenditures g \$266,076 in state funds.				
Chata Biggal Baraga					
State Fiscal Effect:	1985-87				
Special Funds	General Special General Fund Funds Fund				
1987-88 198 Special General Special Funds Fund Funds	38-89 General Fund 1987-89 Biennium Total Special General Funds Funds Fund				
County and City Fiscal Effect:					
1985-86 198 Counties Cities Counties	Biennium Total Cities Counties Cities				
If additional space is needed, attach a supplemental sheet.	Typed Name MIKE SCHWINDT				
Date Prepared: 2/20/87	Department HUMAN SERVICES				

SB2038 NARRATIVE SUPPLEMENT

	Percent of Total Expenditures	Percent of Expenses Which are Indirect	Proportion of Total Expenditures Which are Indirect
Homemaker Services Home Health Aide Services	6.7% 8.4	0%*	0
Respite Care Case Management Chore Services	6.2 8.5	38.7** 20	2.40
Personal Care Adult Foster Care	.2 62.4	20 18	.04 11.23
Total	7.6 100.0%	0	. 0
10041	100.0%		15.37%

^{*}Indirect reallocated from homemaker/home health aide services to other federal programs through cost allocation procedures.

With passage of SB2038, the state would no longer receive federal financial participation on 15.37% of its expenditures for Medicaid Waiver for elderly and disabled, the indirect portion. This reduction in expenditures subject to federal financial participation would not affect state share of expenditures not subject to federal financial participation which would continue to be paid through state general fund dollars but only federal share of such expenditures which now would have to be paid from state general fund dollars rather than federal dollars.

	Portion of Total Expenditures Which are Indirect		Federal Share of Medicaid Waiver for Elderly and Disabled		Federal Dollars Lost, Additional General Fund Dollars Required
Fiscal Year 1988 Fiscal Year 1989	15.37% 15.37%	of of	\$769,183 961,954	=	\$118,224 147,852
Total for Biennium	15.37%	of	\$1,731,137	=	\$266,076

Recipient liability impact disregarded as in recent months recipient liability was less than 2% of total expenditures (carried through 15.37% of 2% which equates to .3% of total expenditures) would need to be adjusted.

^{**}Of the hourly rate of \$8.16 for Level I respite care, \$3.16 or 38.7% is indirect.