(Return in triplicate) FISCAL NOTE

Date Prepared: December 12, 1986

		_				
_	Bill/Resolu	ution No.: Se	enate Bill No	. 2063 Amend	ment to:	
	Requested by: Legislative Council Date of Receipt:					
	Please estimate the fiscal impact of the above measure for:					
	X State general or special funds Counties Cities					
	In the following space note the fiscal effect in dollars of this measure:					
	<pre>Narrative:</pre>					
	This bill essentially changes the gaming tax computation from 5% of the adjusted gross proceeds to 1% of the gross proceeds, and creates a state gaming enforcement fund.					
	As indicated below, the general fund decreases by a biennial total of \$1,882,892 which is the amount of gaming tax that would traditionally be received as revenue. The bill's tax computation would result in a biennial total of \$2,139,649 being received as revenue for the state gaming enforcement fund. Please note, these amounts represent 60% of the total actual gaming tax received since 40% of the actual gaming tax received is paid back to the counties and cities for gaming enforcement purposes.					
	As indicated existing gami	below, a one-t ing computer pr	ime expense of Sograms to accome	52,500 would be odate the provis	incurred to modi ions of this bil	fy the 1.
	State Fiscal Effect:					
	1987-88		1988-89		Biennium Total	
	Special Funds	General Fund	Special Funds	General Fund	Special Funds	General Fund
Rev.	\$1,043,731	(\$918,484)	\$1,095,918	(\$964,408)	\$2,139,649	(\$1,882,892)
Exp.	(\$ 2,500)			,	(\$ 2,500)	(+ -)))
	County and	City Figgs	Effort.			
		City Fiscal	Effect:			
	1987-88		1988-89		Biennium Total	
Rev.	Counties \$6,513	<u>Cities</u> \$76,986	Counties \$6,839	<u>Cities</u> \$80,835	Counties \$13,352	<u>Cities</u> \$157,821
		aal space is applemental s	sheet.	Signed <u>Ch</u> a		
				Typed Name(Charles W. Kelle	<u>^</u>

Department Attorney General's Office