(Result In Stiplicace) FISCAL N	<u>JIE</u>
Bill/Resolution No.: SB 2264	Amendment to:
Requested by: Legislative Council	Date of Receipt: 1/5/89
Please estimate the fiscal impact of X State general or special funds In the following space note the fisca measure:	Counties Cities
Narrative:	
See Attached. Projected to	tal is \$2,860,050.

State Fiscal Effect:

1989-90		1990-91		Biennium Total	
General <u>Fund</u>	Special Funds	General Fund	Special Funds	General Fund	Special Funds
402,965	956,880	398,284	981,022	801,249	1,937,902

County and City Fiscal Effect:

1989-90		1990-91		Biennium Total	
Counties	Cities	Counties	Cities	Counties	Cities
60,805		60,094		120,899	

Ι	f	addi	ti	onal	space	is	needed,
a	tt	ach	a	suppl	ementa	1 8	sheet.

Signed Mike Schwinds

Typed Name <u>Mike Schwindt</u>

Date Prepared: 2/1/89 Department Human Services

NORTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

NORTH DAKOTA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

STATE CAPITOL
BISMARCK, NORTH DAKOTA 58505



John A. Graham, Executive Director

January 31, 1989

George A. Sinner, Governor

The Honorable Jerome G. Kelsh, Chairman Human Services and Veterans Affairs Committee The Senate State Capitol Bismarck, ND 58505

Re: Senate Bill 2264

Dear Chairman Kelsh:

Enclosed is a corrected fiscal impact statement concerning Senate Bill 2264. The information available to the Department indicates that the overall cost of excluding a contract of insurance with a cash value of \$3,000 or less to be \$859,050. The estimated cost of excluding spouses' prepayments or deposits on preneed funeral contracts or contracts of insurance with a cash value of \$3,000 or less is estimated to be \$2,001,000. The manner of calculation of these amounts is set forth in the narrative concerning the fiscal impact of Senate Bill 2264.

These numbers are dramatically different than those furnished in the initial fiscal note to this bill. Since advising your committee during the January 20 hearing that the initial fiscal note was incorrect, I have come to learn just how incorrect it was.

The preparation of the initial fiscal note was based on a mistaken understanding that preneed funeral service arrangements made by spouses ineligible for Medical Assistance benefits were excluded under current law. This accounts for \$2,001,000 of the difference in the two fiscal notes.

In addition, the initial fiscal note was based on a review of recent Medical Assistance applications which were denied for the reason that the applicant owned resources, in excess of program limits, in the form of cash value of insurance policies where the cash value exceeded \$3,000. This fiscal note reflected an evaluation of ordinary life insurance policies, and not the sort of life insurance product which the proponents of Senate Bill 2264 are selling. The

OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE AFDC	(701) 224-2332 224-2332	GOVERNOR'S COUNCIL ON HUMAN RESOURCES	(701) 224-2970	OFFICE OF FIELD SERVICES & PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT Aging	(701) 224-2318 224-2577
Child Support	224-3582	OFFICE OF MANAGERIAL		Alcohol and Drug	224-2769
Food Stamps	224-2328	SUPPORT	(701) 224-2538	Children and Family	224-2316
Fuel Assistance	224-2065	Legal Services	224-2311	Crippled Children	224-2436
Medical Assistance	224-2321	Management Services	224-2330	Developmental Disabilities	224-2768
		Personnel	224-2339	Mental Health	224-2766
EXECUTIVE OFFICE	(701 224-2538			Volunteer Services	224-4777

OFFICE OF VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION

(701) 224-2907 Voice 224-2699 (TDD) GENERAL INFORMATION
TOLL FREE

(701) 224-2310 1-800-472-2622 The Honorable Jerome Kelsh January 31, 1989 Page 2

fiscal note also assumed that no one would purchase a "burial policy" in response to the passage of this bill. That assumption is contrary to experience concerning preneed funeral service contracts. The current fiscal note is based on the assumption that 11.5% of eligibles would use resources to purchase burial insurance prior to becoming eligible for Medicaid. This accounts for \$562,746 of the increase in the fiscal note.

If there are any questions about these materials, please call. I apologize for the delay in response.

Sincerely,

Blaine L. Nordwall

Assistant Attorney General

Chief Legal Counsel

kab

1989-1991 BIENNIUM FISCAL IMPACT OF SENATE BILL 2264

Recipients		Number Who Have
	Number of Eligibles	Prepaid Burial Accounts
October 1988 November 1988	34,648 35,316	4,097 4,048
December 1988 Total For October 1988 -	36,010	4,010
December 1988	105,974	12,155
$\frac{12,155}{105,974}$	11.5% of medicaid elig: = have prepaid burial acc	

We would assume that if Senate Bill 2264 is passed, 11.5% of the persons applying and approved for medicaid would use their resources to purchase insurance prior to coming on medicaid.

Persons applying for medicaid and approved in fiscal year 1988 -

8,299 \times 2 years 16,598 Estimated number of persons applying for medicaid and approved in 1989-1991 biennium

 $16,598 \times .115$ (percent who would use resources to purchase insurance prior to coming on medicaid) = 1,909 - estimated number of persons who would purchase insurance prior to coming on medicaid in 1989-1991 biennium.

1,909 x \$450 (estimated additional cost over \$3,000 needed to purchase \$3,000 cash value of insurance) = \$859,050 - estimated fiscal impact of Senate Bill 2264 for recipients of medicaid.

R&S/01/31/89-cj 1/2264-3

FOR RECIPIENTS OF MEDICAID FOR FOR 1989-1991 BIENNIUM

	Persons	Cost Per Person	Total Cost	Federal	State	County
08-89 09-89 10-89 11-89 12-89 01-90 02-90 03-90 04-90 05-90 06-90 07-90	79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79	450 450 450 450 450 450 450 450 450 450	35,550 35,550 35,550 35,550 35,550 35,550 35,550 35,550 35,550 35,550 35,550	23,651 23,651 24,003 24,003 24,003 24,003 24,003 24,003 24,003 24,003 24,003 24,003	10,339 10,339 10,033 10,033 10,033 10,033 10,033 10,033 10,033 10,033 10,033	1,560 1,560 1,514 1,514 1,514 1,514 1,514 1,514 1,514 1,514 1,514
First		430	427,050	287,636	121,135	18,279
riist	lear		427,030	207,030	121,133	10,279
08-90 09-90 10-90 11-90 12-90 01-91 02-91 03-91 04-91 05-91 06-91 07-91	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	450 450 450 450 450 450 450 450 450 450	36,000 36,000 36,000 36,000 36,000 36,000 36,000 36,000 36,000 36,000 432,000	24,307 24,307 24,581 24,581 24,581 24,581 24,581 24,581 24,581 24,581 24,581 24,581 24,581 24,4581	10,160 10,160 9,922 9,922 9,922 9,922 9,922 9,922 9,922 9,922 9,922 9,922	1,533 1,497 1,497 1,497 1,497 1,497 1,497 1,497 1,497 1,497 1,497 1,497 1,497
Bienni	al Total		859,050	582,060	240,675	36,315

R&S-01/31/89-cj bills/2264-3

INELIGIBLE SPOUSES

	Number of Ineligible Spouses	Approvals
October 1988	43	862 835
November 1988 December 1988	69 42	720
Total For October		7
December 1988	154	2,417

 $\frac{154}{2,417}$ = 6.4% of medicaid approvals have ineligible spouse

We would assume that if Senate Bill 2264 is passed, 6.4% of the persons applying for medicaid and approved would have ineligible spouses.

R&S/01/31/89-cj 1/2264-4

	Estimated Number of Aged Eligibles (21% of Total Eligibles)	Number Who Have Prepaid Burial Accounts	
October 1988	7,276	4,097	
November 1988	7,416	4,048	
December 1988	7,562	4,010	
Total For October 1988 -			
December 1988	22,254	12,155	

 $\frac{12,155}{22,254}$ = 54.6% of aged eligibles who have prepaid burial accounts

Persons applying for medicaid and approved in fiscal year 1988 -

8,299

 $\frac{x}{2}$ years 16,598 Estimated number of persons applying for medicaid and approved in 1989-1991 biennium

16,598 x .064 (percent who have ineligible spouses) = 1,062

1,062 x .546 (percent who would use resources to purchase insurance prior to coming on medicaid) = 580 estimated number of persons who would have ineligible spouse who would use resources to purchase insurance prior to coming on medicaid in 1989-1991 biennium.

 $580 \times \$3,450$ (cost needed to purchase \\$3,000 cash value of insurance = \$2,001,000 - estimated fiscal impact of Senate Bill 2264 for ineligible spouse of recipients of medicaid.

ESTIMATED FISCAL IMPACT OF SENATE BILL 2264 FOR INELIGIBLE SPOUSES OF RECIPIENTS OF MEDICAID FOR 1989-1991 BIENNIUM

	Ineligible Spouses	Cost Per Person	Total Cost	Federal	State	County
08-89	24	3,450	82,800	55,087	24,080	3,633
09-89	24	3,450	82,800	55,087	24,080	3,633
10-89	24	3,450	82,800	55,907	23,367	3,526
11-89	24	3,450	82,800	55,907	23,367	3,526
12-89	24	3,450	82,800	55,907	23,367	3,526
01-90	24	3,450	82,800	55,907	23,367	3,526
02-90	24	3,450	82,800	55,907	23,367	3,526
03-90	24	3,450	82,800	55,907	23,367	3,526
04-90	24	3,450	82,800	55,907	23,367	3,526
05-90	24	3,450	82,800	55,907	23,367	3,526
06-90	24	3,450	82,800	55,907	23,367	3,526
07-90	24	3,450	82,800	55,907	23,367	3,526
First	Year		993,600	669,244	281,830	42,526
08-90	24	3,450	82,800	55,907	23,367	3,526
09-90	24	3,450	82,800	55,907	23,367	3,526
10-90	24	3,450	82,800	56,536	22,821	3,443
11-90	24	3,450	82,800	56,536	22,821	3,443
12-90	24	3,450	82,800	56,536	22,821	3,443
01-91	24	3,450	82,800	56,536	22,821	3,443
02-91	24	3,450	82,800	56,536	22,821	3,443
03-91	24	3,450	82,800	56,536	22,821	3,443
04-91	25	3,450	86,250	58,892	23,771	3,587
05-91	25	3,450	86,250	58,892	23,771	3,587
06-91	25	3,450	86,250	58,892	23,771	3,587
07-91	25	3,450	86,250	58,892	23,771	3,587
Second	l Year		1,007,400	686,598	278,744	42,058
Bienni	al Total		2,001,000	1,355,842	560,574	84,584

R&S-01/31/89-cj bills/2264-4