(Return in triplicate) <u>FISCAL NOTE</u>	
Bill/Resolution No.:	Amendment to: HB 1575
Requested by Legislative Council	Date of Request: 2-20-91
Please estimate the fiscal impact	of the above measure for:
X State general or special fun	ds X Counties X Cities
In the following space note the fiscal effect in dollars of this measure:	
Narrative:	
Section 1 would increase the Highway Distribution Fund by $+\$2,200,000$ to $+\$2,400,000$ during the 1991-93 biennium.	
Section 3 would increase the Highway Distribution Fund $+\$1,200,000$ during the 1991-93 biennium.	
Section 5 would decrease the Highway Distribution Fund $-\$3,650,000$ during the 1991-93 biennium.	
However, this bill states that should section 3 and 5 of HB 1575 First Engrossment be declared unconstitutional, then sections 2 and 4 become law. This would reduce revenues in the Highway Distribution Fund by $-\$3,300,000$ to $-\$3,850,000$ for the 1991-93 biennium. However, section 1 appears to stay in effect even with a finding of unconstitutionality, continuing the $+\$2,200,000$ to $+\$2,400,000$ stated above. NOTE: Section 6 of HB 1575 First Engrossment continues to reference subsection 3 of NDCC 57-43.1-02 which has been found unconstitutional. The reference to this subsection should be deleted	
State Fiscal Effect:	
General Special General Fund Funds Fund	Special General Special Funds Fund Funds
County and City Fiscal Effect:	
	32-93 Biennium Total
Counties Cities Counties	
If additional space is needed, attach a supplemental sheet.	Signed Mame Kathryn L. Strombeck
Date Prepared: 2-21-91	Department TAX
Date Prepared:	Phone Number 224-2770