FISCAL NOTE

Bill/Resolution No.: H						
	B 1235			Amendment to		
Requested by Legislative Co	ouncil			Date of Request:	1-15-97	
Please estimate the fiscal and cities.	l impact (in dollar	amounts) of th	e above measure f	or state general and sp	pecial fund, co	unti
Narrative: Narrative is on	the reverse side					
2. State fiscal effect in dollar	ar amounts:				•	
	1995-97		1997-99		1999-2001	
	Biennium		Biennium		Biennium	
	General Specia	1	General Speci	ial Ge	eneral Specia	1
	Fund Fund		Fund Fun		Fund Fund	
Transaction of the same of	_	and mercasea	productivity. In	erefore the impact w	ili de neutral.	
ixpenditures: 3. What, if any, is the effect					ui be neutrai.	
	t of this measure o				dii be neutrai.	•
3. What, if any, is the effect	t of this measure o	on the appropria			ui de neutrai.	•
3. What, if any, is the effect a. For the rest of the 19	t of this measure of this measure of this measure of the second of the s	on the appropria			ui de neutrai.	•
3. What, if any, is the effecta. For the rest of the 19b. For the 1997-99 bien	t of this measure of this measure of this measure of the season of the s	on the appropria None None None			in be neutral.	•
 3. What, if any, is the effect a. For the rest of the 19 b. For the 1997-99 bien c. For the 1999-2001 bit 	t of this measure of this measure of this measure of the season of the s	on the appropria None None None ounts:		ey or department:		•
 3. What, if any, is the effect a. For the rest of the 19 b. For the 1997-99 bien c. For the 1999-2001 bit 4. County and City Fiscal effect 	t of this measure of this measure of this measure of the season of the s	None None None None ounts:	ation of your agend	ey or department:		
 3. What, if any, is the effect a. For the rest of the 19 b. For the 1997-99 bien c. For the 1999-2001 bit 4. County and City Fiscal et 1995-97 	t of this measure of 95-97 biennium: nium: fennium:	on the appropria None None None ounts:	ation of your agend	ey or department:	l 1	
 3. What, if any, is the effect a. For the rest of the 19 b. For the 1997-99 bien c. For the 1999-2001 bit 4. County and City Fiscal et 1995-97 Biennium 	t of this measure of 95-97 biennium: nium: fennium:	None None None None ounts: 1997-99 Biennium	ation of your agend	by or department: 1999-2001 Biennium	l 1	
 3. What, if any, is the effects a. For the rest of the 19 b. For the 1997-99 biens c. For the 1999-2001 bits 4. County and City Fiscal expensions 1995-97 Biennium Counties Cities School Internations None 	t of this measure of 95-97 biennium: nium: fennium: ffect in dollar amo	None None None None Sounts: 1997-99 Biennium nties Cities Scl	ntion of your agence	1999-2001 Biennium Counties Cities Sch	l 1	
 3. What, if any, is the effects a. For the rest of the 19 b. For the 1997-99 biens c. For the 1999-2001 bits 4. County and City Fiscal enterprises 1995-97 Biennium Counties Cities School II None If additional space is needed.	t of this measure of 95-97 biennium: nium: fennium: ffect in dollar amo	None None None None Sounts: 1997-99 Biennium nties Cities Scl	ntion of your agend	1999-2001 Biennium Counties Cities Sch None	l 1	
 3. What, if any, is the effects a. For the rest of the 19 b. For the 1997-99 biens c. For the 1999-2001 bits 4. County and City Fiscal expensions 1995-97 Biennium Counties Cities School Internations None 	t of this measure of 95-97 biennium: nium: fennium: ffect in dollar amo	None None None None Sounts: 1997-99 Biennium nties Cities Scl	ntion of your agence	1999-2001 Biennium Counties Cities Sch None	l hool Districts	

Fiscal Note Narrative for HB 1235

During the 1995-1997 biennium, it's projected that the Secretary of State's office will collect approximately \$90,000 to \$100,000 in revenue for conducting searches of it's corporate records. The cost to a customer for a typical search is \$5. That is the base search fee as set in state law. The projected revenue of \$100,000 represents approximately 4% of the revenue generated by the corporate division. It represents approximately 2.2% of the total estimated revenue of \$4,500,000 generated by the entire office.

While the revenue is significant, it is believed that the cost of generating it (at \$5 a search) is actually equal to or more than the revenue generated. For example, each search is estimated to take approximately 7 to 10 minutes to complete. A credit card transaction will also average 7 to 10 minutes. A clerk's salary (with benefits) works out to approximately 20 cents per minute. Therefore, the salary expense for a ten minute call would be \$2.00. Other costs include an invoice, establishing a work order number, needing an envelope and postage, opening the mail, receipting the check, depositing the check, the state's processing of the check, computer storage to maintain the work order until the record is audited (one to two years), and especially the loss of public goodwill. Of course, each step along the way also takes time.

Because of the open records law, the public does not understand why very basic corporate related information is not available for free. Therefore, it's not uncommon for the Secretary of State's staff to spend most of their time on the telephone explaining why the customer has to pay the \$5 up front in order to obtain certain information. Most of the time, the information is available in seconds on a computer screen and could easily be given to a customer in a matter of moments. That would result in faster service and a happier customer.

With the increased time gained, it's believed that the Secretary of State's staff could provide better service to other customers who are in the need of more time and attention. For those search requests that are more time extensive, the bill still authorizes the Secretary of State to charge a fee. The increase in efficiency will also increase productivity for the processing of other documents in the office. As a result of the additional time gained, there should be less of a need for overtime, etc. during peak filing times.

Therefore, the Secretary of State believes the impact of the bill will be neutral.