PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1002

That the Senate recede from its amendments as printed on pages 1189-1192 of the House Journal and pages 1059-1061 of the Senate Journal and that Engrossed House Bill No. 1002 be amended as follows:

Page 1, line 1, after the semicolon insert "to provide for a legislative council study;"

Page 1, line 21, replace "8,334,038" with "8,937,038"

Page 2, line 2, replace "32,469,271" with "33,072,271"

Page 2, line 4, replace "31,689,328" with "32,292,328"

Page 2, line 13, replace "3,129,346" with "1,000,000"

Page 2, line 14, replace "3,129,346" with "1,000,000"

Page 2, line 15, replace "42,166,922" with "40,640,576"

Page 2, line 17, replace "43,216,865" with "41,690,519"

Page 2, after line 27, insert:

"SECTION 4. LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STUDY OF UNIFIED COURT

SYSTEM. The legislative council shall consider studying, during the 1999-2000 interim, the impacts of court unification on the judicial system and on the effective provision of judicial services to state residents.

SECTION 5. ALLOCATION FOR INDIGENT DEFENSE FUNDS. The supreme court shall develop criteria for the equitable allocation of indigent defense funds contained in the operating expenses line item for district courts. The criteria must be based on number of cases, complexity of cases, the level of efficiency in handling cases, and the historical funding levels in different districts. The supreme court may use any other factors deemed appropriate by the supreme court. The historical funding levels may be used as one factor of many and may not be given consideration in excess of any other factor. The supreme court shall use these criteria in the allocation of indigent defense funds appropriated under this Act.

SECTION 6. CLERK OF COURT UNIFICATION. Notwithstanding the provisions of 1999 House Bill No. 1275, the supreme court shall implement the clerk of court unification effective April 1, 2001."

- Page 3, line 9, replace "seventy-nine" with "seventy-seven", replace "one" with "three", and replace "seventy-one" with "forty"
- Page 3, line 10, replace "eighty" with "seventy-eight", remove the overstrike over "eight", and remove "seven"
- Page 3, line 11, replace "fifty-four" with "eighty-seven"

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT:

DEPARTMENT 182 - DISTRICT COURTS

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE - This amendment makes the following changes:

	EXECUTIVE BUDGET	HOUSE VERSION	CONFERENCE COMMITTEE CHANGES	CONFERENCE COMMITTEE VERSION	SENATE VERSION	CONFERENCE COMPARISON TO SENATE VERSION
Salaries and wages Operating expenses Equipment Judges retirement Alternative dispute resolution UND - Central legal research	\$23,500,870 9,178,319 788,600 851,889 60,000	\$22,526,069 8,334,038 674,603 814,561 40,000	\$603,000	\$22,526,069 8,937,038 674,603 814,561 40,000	\$22,526,069 8,937,038 674,603 814,561 40,000	
Total all funds	\$34,379,678	\$32,469,271	\$603,000	\$33,072,271	\$33,072,271	\$0
Less special funds	<u>774,155</u>	779,943		779,943	779,943	
General fund	\$33,605,523	\$31,689,328	\$603,000	\$32,292,328	\$32,292,328	\$0
FTE	191.00	189.50	0.00	189.50	189.50	0.00

Detail of Conference Committee changes to the House version includes:

	RESTORE A PORTION OF THE INDIGENT DEFENSE FUNDING	RESTORE FUNDING FOR INTEGRATED CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	TOTAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE CHANGES
Salaries and wages Operating expenses Equipment Judges retirement Alternative dispute resolution UND - Central legal research	\$135,000	\$468,000	\$603,000
Total all funds	\$135,000	\$468,000	\$603,000
Less special funds			
General fund	\$135,000	\$468,000	\$603,000
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00

Conference Committee changes narrative:

This amendment also corrects the salaries of district court judges, which are as follows under the 2/2 compensation plan:

	PRESIDING JUDGE	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
Current	\$77,619	\$75,824	
July 1, 1999	\$79,171	\$77,340	
July 1, 2000	\$80,755	\$78,887	

The amendment also adds the following new sections:

Section 4 - Requires a Legislative Council study of the unified court system.

Section 5 - Provides guidelines for the allocation of district court indigent defense funds.

DEPARTMENT 184 - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE - This amendment makes the following changes:

	EXECUTIVE BUDGET	HOUSE VERSION	CONFERENCE COMMITTEE CHANGES	CONFERENCE COMMITTEE VERSION	SENATE VERSION	CONFERENCE COMPARISON TO SENATE VERSION
Clerk of district courts	\$3,035,898	\$3,129,346	(\$2,129,346)	\$1,000,000	\$399,601	\$600,399
Total all funds	\$3,035,898	\$3,129,346	(\$2,129,346)	\$1,000,000	\$399,601	\$600,399
Less special funds						
General fund	\$3,035,898	\$3,129,346	(\$2,129,346)	\$1,000,000	\$399,601	\$600,399
FTE	129.00	129.00	(16.00)	113.00	113.00	0.00

Detail of Conference Committee changes to the House version includes:

	REDUCE FUNDING	TOTAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE CHANGES
Clerk of district courts	(\$2,129,346)	(\$2,129,346)
Total all funds	(\$2,129,346)	(\$2,129,346)
Less special funds		
General fund	(\$2,129,346)	(\$2,129,346)
FTE	(16.00)	(16.00)

Conference Committee changes narrative:

The amendment reduces clerk of court funding by \$2,129,346, to \$1,000,000 of general fund moneys, due to delaying the implementation date from January 1, 2001, to April 1, 2001.

The amendment also adds a section providing for an April 1, 2001, implementation date for the clerk of court unification.