2001 HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

HB 1009

, that

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1009

House Appropriations Committee Government Operations Division

☐ Conference Committee

Heoring Date January 9, 2001

Tupe Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
01-09-01 tape #1	0 - 6238	0-3485	
	and the manufacture process of the second second second second second second second		.,
Salvato de destinación de la la comunidad de della participa de construir y está dispensa en las que			
Committee Clerk Signatu	ire Killice	Lill	

Minutes:

The committee was called to order, and opened the hearing on HB 1009, the appropriations budget for the Agriculture Commissioner.

Roger Johnson, Agriculture Commissioner: Handed out prepared written testimony, labeled Budget Presentation of the 2001-2003 Biennium. The written testimony, pages 1 through 4, cover an introduction of the North Dakota Department of Agriculture (NDDA). The Department fosters the long-term well-being of North Dakota by promoting a healthy economic, environmental and social climate for agriculture and the rural community through leadership, advocacy, education, regulation, and other services. Agriculture is a driving force in ND's economy, employing nearly ¼ of the work force in production and ag-related businesses and accounting. Agriculture has generated more than \$3 billion in cash receipts last year. The two largest agriculture industries, wheat and cattle, have brought low and negative returns to producers in recent years. The department is working to build animal agriculture in the state

Page 2
Government Operations Division
Bill/Resolution Number HB 1009
Hearing Date January 9, 2001

opportunities, working to harmonize pesticide use, regulation, and enforcement, disposing of unusable and unsafe pesticides, using the Internet, assisting businesses and individuals in the state to apply for federal funding, working with commodity groups, educating new generations about agriculture, providing negotiation and mediation services, and working with weed boards, partners and landowners to control the spread of weeds.

The Department has four areas, Executive Services, Agricultural Mediation, Livestock Services, and Plant Industries. Page 4 of the written testimony shows an organizational chart of the department. Pages 5 and 6 of the written testimony pertain to the Executive Services area that provides administration, coordination, and support to all department program areas, including administration, accounting, agriculture in the classroom, policy and communications, computer services, marketing services, and reception services. Page 5 shows a chart of revenue sources. The Agriculture Commissioner serves on a number of boards and commissions around the state, listed on page 5. Marketing Services helps ND companies obtain federal grants. Pride of Dakota is the centerpiece of Marketing Services activities. The program assists ND companies through trade show subsidies, retail and wholesale trade show organization, trade missions, seminars, cooperative advertising and promotions, one on one counseling and business opportunities. Pride of Dakota has grown over the years. The membership has grown from 20 members to 315 members in 15 years. Marketing Services has developed an Internet mail address, providing Pride of Dakota companies an opportunity to reach out of state customers at a low cost. Agriculture in the Classroom fosters a greater awareness by school students of the importance of agriculture. Activities include training, classes, a traveling classroom and

computer activities. There is an Executive Services budget comparison for last biennium and the requested biennium on page 6.

Pages 7, 8 and 9 pertain to Agricultural Mediation. A chart on page 7 shows revenue sources. Ag Mediation offers negotiation and mediation services to resolve differences among creditors, farmers and others. Mediation is a voluntary process for farmers and private creditors, but mandatory with FSA and FCS and is requested as a matter of policy by the Bank of North Dakota on delinquent loans. Negotiators help ranchers and farmers with financial problems, loan restructuring, and loan applications. Most AMS field staff (negotiators and mediators) are temporary employees who are paid hourly wages, and receive no benefits. The number of AMS FTE's and non FTE's has decreased over the last two years. On page 8 of the written testimony there are other activities listed that the AMS was involved in. Page 9 of the written testimony shows the Mediation budget comparisons.

Livestock Services is discussed in the written testimony on pages 10, 11, and 12.

Livestock Services is comprised of Livestock Licensing Section, Dairy/Poultry Section, State

Board of Animal Health, and State Meat Inspection and Wildlife Services. The main focus of the

program is regulating ND's livestock industry. Page 10 shows the funding sources. Livestock

Licensing Section protects the livestock industry by licensing livestock dealers and auction

markets. Field investigations are routinely conducted to uncover unlicensed dealers. The dairy
section protects, encourages, promotes and enhances the marketability of ND dairy and poultry
resources by assisting the industry in complying with statutes and regulations. The section is
administered by a director, one clerical staff person, and three inspectors. The state has 12 dairy
processing plants and nine milk transfer stations, inspected four or more times annually.

Distribution facilities, farm bulk trucks and sampler/haulers are also inspected. One inspector

surveys/audits the Interstate Milk Shippers program (Grade A). This involves 38 milk producer groups, five plants, and four transfer/receiving stations. This same inspector performs USDA inspections of 12 manufacturing grad plants under a contractual agreement. The field staff also conducted 150 inspections of non-traditional livestock for the state veterinarian's office this year. Chairman Byerly: You license livestock dealers, and the PSC licenses auctionecis. Why the overlap?

Response: The Department licenses the business, the PSC licenses the people.

Chairman Byerly: How many grade A processors?

Response: 3 grade A plants are processing milk.

Roger Johnson (continuing): The State Board of Animal Health became a division of the Department in 1995. There are two veterinarians and two support staff. The board consists of seven members, appointed by the governor. The Board is charged with protecting the health of domestic animals and nontraditional livestock of the state. The State Board of Animal Health and the ND Game and Fish Department have a cooperative agreement to regulate nontraditional livestock. The 1999 legislature authorized development of a North Dakota State Meat Inspection Program. The program was approved as "equal to federal" by the US Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) on October 19, 2000. As part of the cooperative agreement between FSIS and NDDA, FSIS provides a 50% match for all inspection activity expenditures, excluding inspection of any non-amenable species, such as bison or elk. The program is administered by the director and a supervisor/compliance officer and two field inspectors. The Wildlife Services division of the US Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service works in partnership with the NDDA and ND Game and Fish Department to minimize the negative

impacts wildlife has on the lives of residents. It helps manage predator damage. On page 12 of the written testimony there is a budget comparison of the Livestock Services department.

<u>Rep. Skarphol</u>: On the issue of "equal to federal" approval of meat inspections, why would a facility do both state and federal approval. Why not use the federal inspectors moneys?

Response: The hope is that the state inspectors are more friendly and state programs will provide for more businesses to get off the ground. The state inspectors are more available and offer more advice.

Rep. Skarphol: I agree, but if the facility meets the federal standards, shouldn't the state focus be to move to the federal program.

Response: The state program is more available to the small producers, the federal program may not take on small producers, but the state does work closely with USDA and hopefully we could move in that direction.

<u>Chairman Byerly</u>: I remember the small producers saying that they were having problems getting the federal inspectors out to them.

Burton Pflieger, food inspector: The state regulations cannot be more restrictive that the federal.

Rep. Koppelman: I remember that the hope last session was for more producers to emerge, has that happened?

Response: There is some new growth and excitement among producers.

Rep. Carlisle: Is there cooperation between the elk operation and nontraditional producers?

Response: This is more the Board of Animal Health, and no the Agriculture Department activity. There does seem to be good cooperation and no conflicts have developed that he know of.

Roger Johnson (continuing): The main focus of Plant Industries Program area is crop production, comprised of the sections of pesticides, registration, plant productions, noxious weeds and apiary, covered on pages 13 through 16 of the written testimony. On page 13 there is a graph of funding sources. Pesticides is 85% federal funding. The section also administers the Minor Use pesticide grant program through the Pesticide Control Board. The committee may hear more about this in the session. The pesticide section has been active in pesticide harmonization efforts, enforces state and federal laws regarding the use of pesticides, and continues the development of initiatives mandated by the US Environmental Protection Agency. The section also administers the Project Safe Send program, that helps residents dispose of unusable and old pesticides. There is a registration section that is responsible for enforcing the provisions of the ND Insecticides, Fungicides, and Rodenticides Act (Ch 19-18), Commercial Feed Law (Ch. 19-13.1), Livestock Medicines (Ch. 19-14), and Fertilizer and Soil Conditioner Law (Ch. 19-20.1).

<u>Chairman Byerly</u>: Do the persons who drop off pesticides pay fees?

Response: No. It has been discussed before, but no because of the pesticide procedures involvement.

Roger Johnson (continuing): The plant protection section issues certificates to facilitate export of North Dakota commodities. It also certifies nurseries, and is responsible for plant pest prevention, detection, evaluation, and suppression programs. The noxious weed section coordinates and facilitates integrated noxious and new invasive weed management programs. This section works closely with county weed boards and administers several programs. There is a need for a budget increase to take care of Canada thistle.. There has been a big increase lately, and is a major concern. The chart on page 15 shows the county matching funds. This section

also includes the water bank program and the apiary section. Page 16 shows the budget comparisons for the Plant Industries division.

At page 17 of the written testimony there are items he wants to draw the committee's attention to. These are concerns of the department this session. This is the list of enhancements requested over and above the recommended governor's budget. They include requests from Pride of Dakota user fee change, Ag in the Classroom funding, Wildlife Services additional funding, more funding to control Canada thistle, and a transfer into the Minor Use Fund, that will require some separate language not yet prepared.

Rep. Glassheim: Given the decline in agriculture production and activity in the state lately, has the department been active in biotech and foreign markets, and new nontraditional products. Id the department aggressive enough?

Response: We could spend more money to move into new area. They do have opportunities in marketing and the department works hard and long. Pride of Dakota is an example.

Rep. Thoreson: On page 14 you show a jump in pesticide registration. Why?

Response: There has been a substantial increase in pesticide products registration, more for lawn/house care, not really agricultural products.

Rep. Skarphol: The registration is due every 2 years. Is this state or federal regulation?

<u>Response</u>: Yes, the registration is every 2 years, and that is state regulations.

Chairman Byerly: I notice that there is a significant shift from general funds to special funds.

Bonnie Selvig, Pride of Dakota: She appears and testifies on behalf of Pride of Dakota. Her product is moving nationally because of this program, and she feels Pride of Dakota is wonderful for persons like herself.

Karen Brandt, Pride of Dakota: She appears and testifies on behalf of Pride of Dakota. She requests that the membership dues stay in the program and not be sent to the general fund.

LeAnn Harner, Ag in the Classroom Council: She is a landowner in Oliver County, about 25 miles NW of Bismarck. I represent the Ag Coalition on the Ag in the Classroom Council. Here today to consider changing the spending authority in the line item of the budget to actual state appropriated dollars for 1 FTE. They need someone to help coordinate the programs. The State Council is composed of people from DPI, school superintendents, teachers, other groups like FFA, 4-H and commodity groups. No one on the council has the time to make Ag in the Classroom their #1 priority. She explains what Ag in the Classroom does, and the workshops it provides. She explains the trailer classroom.

Chairman Byerly: States that he has no problem with the program, but that he is concerned that there is an extension service that has a charge of doing these very similar things. He thinks that when the University budget comes along, we will have to do some discussion with them on this matter.

Response, LeAnn Harner: She can appreciate that comment, the extension has been helpful in providing them information and in doing the Ag Mag, but the overall coordination and getting the information into the schools, the extension service does not work directly into the schools, and that's where they need to be to be effective.

Karl Limvere: Pastor of Zion United Church of Christ in Medina, ND, and chair of the Rural Life Committee of North Dakota Conference of Churches. He handed out written testimony. Supports the Ag Mediation Service, and believes it is an essential key to in the network in the services for people in distressed conditions in rural America. Ag Mediation program serves as the care package they can provide to those persons distressed. It sends a

message that the State of North Dakota cares about the future of family farmers and ranchers. As Pastors of churches they feel that they can refer people to the program with confidence.

Charles McCay: He represents Farm Credit Services. He had written testimony. Supports funding for Ag Mediation services. Farm Credit Services has been working the program since its inception. In fact, federal law requires us to cooperation with the state mediation program. We think that the Ag Mediation program does what it is supposed to do and does it well in North Dakota. It is well managed and effective and realistic. On going training programs seem to keep the mediators on a more long term basis and well trained. They support funding of this program.

John Long: Has a cow/calf program and heifer development program. He had written testimony handed out. He supports Ag Mediation. He gave a personal story of what Ag Mediation did for him, and how well it worked. He believes farmers/ranchers need Ag Mediation to continue assistance to keep them in business.

<u>Chairman Byerly</u>: Did you ever go directly to the head of FSA, instead of through Ag Mediation? Sounds to me like you had problems with bureaucracy and red tape.

Response, John Long: We were so concerned about not causing any trouble, that they went directly to Ag Mediation for help.

Richard Zollinger: Represents the NDSU Extension Service, as the State Wheat Specialist. He had written testimony and handed it out. In support of the minor use fund, and the registration position held between the dept of agriculture. He explained the IR-4 program, whose goal is to register pesticides of minor crops. North Dakota grows a lot of minor crops, and because major chemical companies are not interested in minor crops, therefore IR-4 program is really an arm to help in the registration of that program. Bottom of page 3 of his handout lists

the ongoing projects of IR-4 and how they are helping to register and support registration of products on the minor crops listed. Supports the committee's further funding of the minor use fund.

Gary Knutson: Executive Director of the ND Agricultural Association. He had written testimony that he handed out. His is an organization of 400 members across the region who are dealers, manufacturers and distributors and service providers of seed, equipment, crop protection and plant food products. Support of the Plant Industries Division. We have a good relationship with the department. He noted that there has been some work on getting more crop inspectors in the state. They have worked jointly with the department in regard to safety and education. They would like to look at where there are fees collected, whether it be in the fertilizer area or the crop protection area, they would like to see those funds utilized for the direct benefit of the industry and the farming and agriculture programs.

Jerome Peerboom: Vice President of the ND Wheat Association. Here to assure the committee that the ND Wheat Control Association is in full agreement and support of the proposed budget for the control of Canada thistle. This is a big deal, and has a direct correlation to the economics of the state. The reason these extra moneys are needed is because the other weeds are there, but the acreage concerned with Canada thistle causes an increased need to cover all the noxious weeds.

Rep. Skarphol: How is the federal government going to play into this with their waterfowl production areas and things like that?

Response: There is a problem with permeable soils, but we are beginning to make some headway. Seems like its really hard working with the government.

Response, Jeff Olson: Program manager, we are working more and more closely with the government land managers, federal and state. We are also looking at and starting to implement more research in the bio-control aspect. We are also getting them to use more chemical on these lands. There are some areas where it is more restrictive in controlling the weeds, which why the increase in bio-control. Do have some new chemicals that are safe around water and woody plants. Are always looking ahead, and working more with the land managers in the weed control effort.

Fred Eagleson: He and his family own and operate Dakota Country Meets in Jamestown. He is here to support the funding for the state meat inspection. We are a federal plant, but what he envisions is that once interstate shipments of meat becomes a reality the FSIS will have the small plants transferred to state inspections. He believes it is necessary to have a strong state program and that there is no question that they have strong leadership in Dr. Grondahl, and he is satisfied that she is running a good program. He commented on why the state needs a program different from the federal program. In working with lamb producers they could not depend on the federal inspectors, that communication with the federal inspectors was frustrating, and they do not have these problems with the state inspectors, mostly with exotic animals.

Rep. Skarphol: Does the North Dakota inspector have authority to inspect exotic animals?

Response: Yes.

Burton Pfliger: President of the ND Lamb and Wool producers, and speaks on the \$1.5 million of damage caused by predation each year. Supports the budget for predation needs. He does not want a shift to Game Management, because they do not have the same focus, and asks that the program be left in the Department of Agriculture. Also requests to restore budget provisions for wildlife services from general funds. Please also consider the addition of \$50,000

Page 12 Government Operations Division Bill/Resolution Number HB 1009 Hearing Date January 9, 2001

in general fund dollars for the enhancement program to aid in the hiring of 1 additional badly needed pilot, to offset rising fuel costs, maintenance and airtime.

Wade Moszer: North Dakota Stockman's Association. Supports the Board of Animal Health, Meat Inspection, Livestock Division, Wildlife Services, Noxious Weeds, and everything else. Supports all factions of the bill.

Woody Barth: Credit Review Board, signed the registration sheet in support of the bill.

<u>Richard Schlosser</u>: North Dakota Farmers Union, signed the registration sheet in support of the bill.

The chairman closed the hearing on this bill.

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1009

House Appropriations Committee Government Operations Division

Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 17, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter#			
01-17-01 tape #1	<u>.</u>	2490-6206				
Committee Clerk Signature Kalli Hall						

Minutes:

The committee was called to order, and committee work on HB 1009, the Agriculture Commissioner's budget appropriation.

Chairman Byerly: Opened the discussion by discussing the agency budget requests, concerns about the amount of funds. They discussed the Hoeven budget changes, an additional \$200,000 from Game and Fish to the Agriculture Commissioner for Wildlife Services.

(The OMB representative explained this change to the committee. There were various comments and discussions in relation to the Game and Fish dellars and the abilities to pay. Some comments were made as to replacing general funds with special funds. They did fund the commented on numbers from the governor's budget book. They discussed the possibility of conflicts with inspections and the Insurance Commissioner's office.

Rep. Carlisle: Asked if there were any one time expenditures in this budget.'

OMB: Noted that there was a \$25,000 meat inspection one time expense, no others.

<u>Chairman Byerly</u>: Moved the discussion to the topic of Project Safe Send. He questioned whether or not this was as necessary as it once was.

Rep. Carlisle: Questioned what needs, what chemicals, who was involved, when were the chemicals collected, from whom and if there were any cost cuts.

Rep. Huetter: discussed his thoughts as to the project, and had many of the same questions that Rep. Carlisle did.

OMB: suggested that the committee look at the yellow book and various handouts that were presented to the committee during the Agriculture Commissioner's testimony. There was a document presented on the Project Safe Send.

Rep. Huetter: Still puzzled as to who the persons are dropping off the chemicals and the number of participants, and why so much chemicals were still being picked up.

Rep. Byerly: Noted that the committee should ask the Insurance Commissioner is being reimbursed for the inspections that he does. Also, concerned about the Pride of Dakota request to keep their revenue separate.

Rep. Skarphol: Noted that if there was a Commerce Department, being discussed elsewhere, maybe the Pride of Dakota would fit there better.

Rep. Skarphol: Expressed his concerns regarding the overlap of state and federal meat inspector duties. He does not believe that the state should duplicate duties at state expense that the federal inspectors do. He is in favor of getting the meat producers to the level of being federally inspected and keeping them there, rather than funding state inspections.

Rep. Carlisle: Suggested that the history on this from last session be reviewed, that maybe this was discussed before.

Page 3
Government Operations Division
Bill/Resolution Number HB 1009
Hearing Date January 17, 2001

(The committee had some discussion as to the federal/state meat inspection topic, with Rep.

Skarphol giving some personal examples).

The chairman closed the committee work on this bill.

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1009

House Appropriations Committee Government Operations Division

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 18, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
01-18-01 tape #2		0 - 825	
Management of the state of the			
Committee Clerk Signa	ture Palle	Hall	

Minutes:

The committee was called to order, and opened committee work on HB 1009, and specifically Project Safe Send.

Rep. Skarphol: Has some thoughts, concerns, as to the chemicals being turned in, and what was done with these before the project. Why so much left over chemicals, where is it being stored, and who is turning this in.

(The committee had some general discussion as to the persons listed in the handout, and the amounts of the chemicals collected, and what was done before this project was established. The discussion was informal, just going back and forth, with some ideas about the history of the establishment of the project).

Rep. Skarphol: Questioned what the net result would be if the project would be discontinued. Where would people get rid of this.

Rep. Huetter: Stated that this is a questions that will need to be asked.

Page 2
Government Operations Division
Bill/Resolution Number 11B 1009
Hearing Date January 18, 2001

(More general discussion as to what is done with the chemicals after the ag department collects it, trucked out of state. Questions as to if it had value, could be recycled, etc. The committee discussed the number of persons using the program, the number of pounds being collected, and the locations/sites around the state utilizing the program. Could ask the department what was picked up, from where, and how often. The calculations were 500 tons, 1 million pounds, and about 330 pounds per person. This is a lot of stuff per person.)

The chairman closed the committee work on this bil.

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 11B 1009

House Appropriations Committee Government Operations Division

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date February 6, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
02-06-01 tape #1	0.1039		and the state of t
		and a supplementary of the common process of	ngara rayuwa
	And the same of th	CONTINUENCE WAS ASSESSED TO DO SET TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE	
Committee Clerk Signa	iture Ball	a Alger	

Minutes:

The committee was called to order, and opened committee work on HB 1009.

Rep. Skarphol: Handed out prepared amendments - 0104. He had two other amendment drafted for himself that he will bring up in full committee. He and Rep. Huether have spent considerable time with the Ag Department. On this amendment, Section 3 is an authorization to use ERP funds for harmonization of crop products standards. In order for the crop harmonization committee to have a potential source of funds, so we may utilize some funds out of the ERP fund for that purpose. That would be separate legislation, but it should be addressed in here. This fund is also used for noxious weed control, etc. They thought a specific reference to harmonization was important. Section 4 of the bill takes the sunset off the increase in registration fees for chemicals that was put in place two years ago. It completely removes the sunset and sets those fees at \$350. It anticipated that it will raise another \$200,000.

Hearing Date February 6, 2001
Rep. Huether: The 468 referred to in that line refers back to the old rates on the chemical fee on the registration. Now that we have taken the sunset off, it goes back to the right amount.

Rep. Skarphol: That is in an effort to insure that we have sufficient funds to cover what the program costs. Minor use comes out of here, Safesend comes out of here, and there are several other programs. Section 8 deals with Safesend; what we did was pulled \$100,000 of ERP money back and left it in the ERP fund, and in Section 8 we authorized the Commissioner's office to collect by an advisory committee to establish a practice of collecting fees on select chemicals, up to a maximum of \$100,000. What we have in mind here is the larger dealers who deliver RinseAid. Section 9 deals with the research of potentially recycling products. There may be good chemicals that can be utilized, like 2-4-D.

They unfunded one meat inspector, left the position there, but unfunded it. It is only a savings of ½ general funds, the other is federal funds. If they can find the money in their budget, they can have it if they need it. There is the salary adjustment, too.

Rep. Huether: There is also in the Game and Fish department a \$200,000 from the Hoeven budget, putting it back. Transfers from Game and Fish to Wildlife Services. Back on Section 3, dealing with crop harmonization, we discussed how to cap that expense, and we can do that in that bill when it comes.

Rep. Glassheim: None of the enhancements were considered in this amendment, like Ag in the Classroom, Pride of Dakota fees, etc.

Rep. Skarphol: All of the other budget changes that were proposed in the governor's budget remain. The Ag in the Classroom, and others may be addressed by my personal amendments in full committee.

Rep. Skarphol moves to adopt amendment .0104. Rep. Heuther seconded.

Page 3
Government Operations Division
Bill/Resolution Number
HB 1009
Hearing Date February 6, 2001

Vote on the motion to amend: 7 yes, 0 no. Amendment is adopted.

Rep. Skarphol: Explains that he has personal amendments drafted that would move Pride of Dakota from the Ag Department to ED&F. The second amendment is to move Ag in the Classroom to NDSU Extension.

Rep. Skarphol: Moves DO PASS AS AMENDED. Rep. Huether seconded.

Vote on Do Pass as Amended: 7 yes, 0 no. Bill passes.

Rep. Skarphol is assigned to carry this bill to the full committee.

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1009

House Appropriations Committ

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date February 7, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1		X	615 - 4771
	,		
		,	
		A 10	to an experience of the second
Committee Clerk Signatur	\mathcal{L}_{0}	Zouhen	

Minutes:

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE ACTION ON HB1009.

Rep. Skarphol: If you will look in your books you will see that there is three amendments, and two of them were prepared for me by legislative council and we will talk about them after we talk about the initial amendment. The initial amendment to this bill, and if you look at amendment .0104 and in section 3 we amended section 3 of the Century Code to allow for the use of Environmental Range Land Protection Funds for purposes of chemical harmonization.

There are other bills that are in the works that potentially could have a need for funds for chemical harmonization and we took care of amending the century code to make that an acceptable use of the EARP fund in section 3. In section 4. There appears to be a lot of changes and basically what they have done is that have removed the sunset that was applied to the chemical registration fees two years ago, and two years ago they increased the fees by \$50.00 and that would set up to where that would sense that and revert back to the previous fee level.

Page 2 House Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB1009 Hearing Date February 7, 2001

And in discussions with some of the people involved in that two years ago, it was concluded by our committee that it was an appropriate thing to do to retain that \$350 fee on chemical registrations in order to insure adequate funding in the EARP fund, all the projects they were trying to fund. Sections 8 & 9 in the amendment are new additions to the code with regard to the "Safe Send" program and what we did in Safe Send, that they had a budget request of I believe it was for \$599,369 out of the EARP fund, and we felt in looking at the chemicals that were being turned into Safe Send if there was some need to consider some changes in that program and in discussions with the Ag. Dept. What we did was leave \$100,000 of EARP funds in the fund and we authorized the Ag. Commissioner's Office to establish a fee schedule for selected chemicals. In other words what were trying to get them to do is to take a hard look at as an example the "Rinse Aid" that's returned under this program. It amounts to tens of thousands of pounds that ultimately is taken to a hazardous waste site and disposed of, and we would like them to take a hard look at notentially charging for this in an effort to get the chemical distributors to more properly utilize the program. We think that they have kind felt that they can deliver whatever they wish they can deliver and were going to deal with it. And in discussions with the advisory committee that oversees this program they have had discussions about this, but have never really been pushed to accomplish this. Section 8. Allows the Ag Commissioner's Office and the Advisory Committee to kind of raise \$100,000 through the collections process on select chemicals, Section 9, Also instructs the Ag. Commissioner's office and the Advisory Board to try to research the potential for recycling some of this. It appeared that there was perfectly good product being brought in that somebody could utilize, a political subdivision, or somebody and we just felt it was appropriate for them to put more effort into potentially to try and find more ways to recycling rather than dispose of it. If you look on the last page or at least on the bottom

Legislative Council, we also removed the funding for one (1) meat inspector in the Meat Inspection Program, and ½ of that is general fund dollars which amounts to \$80,212 and the other half is federal dollars that they just won't utilize. There not lost, they just don't have a need for them. And the last page and in summary the \$200,000 figure that's listed as less estimated income is actually a change in funding source for the wildlife services program. We increased the funding from Game and Fish

From \$200,000 to \$400,000 in the wildlife services budget, which leaves \$200,000 in general funds available. With that I would move amendment .0104. Seconded by Rep. Heuther to adopt amendment .0104 dated February 5.

Rep. Wald: Is that correct Rep.Skarphol? Response was YES. Any discussion?

Rep. Kerzman: On these registration fees, I see where you increased them by \$50.00. Are they required to have a registration fee if they sell over the Internet?

Rep. Skarphol: I would assume were not collecting a fee for those sold over the Internet, however, I would believe you would have to have a presence in the state in order to pay these fees. The legally sold chemicals would indeed have a registration fee.

Rep. Heuther: We had a lot of discussion on this Safe Send and the amount of chemical that is being returned and getting a definition on whether or not it's usable chemicals, because there is some of that which can be reused or recycled again. Some of the problems that you run into is liability, we discussed that, but potentially we have the flexibility for the Ag. Department to probably be used in the experiment stations where they could eliminate a lot of the liability hazard that we would have.

Page 4 House Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB1009 Hearing Date February 7, 2001

Rep. Aarsyold: I have a lot of problems with the suggestions that are made here with regard to the EAR fund. I was involved with the first bill regarding "Safe Send" and it was a result of negotiations and good faith dealings between the state and chemical companies across the country and we reached a compromise position whereby they provided registration fees to the State of North Dakota to carry out the Safe Send project, to remove those products from the sheds and pantries across the state that potentially had some hazardous effect if they were not dealt with, and here were adding a funding for chemical harmonization which in some cases is going to be contrary to the best interest of the chemical companies. I can't imagine that they are going to fund a state enterprise that may well have a negative financial impact on them and I think we would lose that relationship that we have had with those chemical companies carrying out this very valuable function of eliminating chemicals that are unusable or hazardous from our environment, so I have a problem with that, and secondly I have concerns about exploring a fee schedule, it certainly will discourage people from taking advantage of the program, now there is no liability, and the citizen carry's the product to central collecting sites and they are disposed of in a safe fashion and they are removed from the State of North Dakota to an incinerator where they are taken care of at a cost that is much less than if the individual customer were to try and have those products incinerated either in state or out of state. So I have a lot of problems with what is being suggested as far as the Safe Send project, I think its counter to the understanding that we have come to with the chemical companies and with the users of agricultural chemicals in the North Dakota.

Rep. Skarphol: In our discussions with the Ag. Dept. they pointed out to us that the chemical registration fees that we charge in North Dakota are substantially less than in some other states as well. We realize that there is some ground here that needs to be negotiated, but it was strongly

Page 5
House Appropriations Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB1009
Hearing Date February 7, 2001

indicated that it was not our intent to charge fees for everything that is delivered, its simply to charge fees for selected things that the advisory committee is going to try to work on getting some control over.

Rep. Aarsvold: This in my judgment not good policy and we have struck a chord with a valuable component in our Agriculture sector in our state and I think were going back on our word, granted our registration fees are modest compared to most states and I think the chemical companies appreciate that certainly, and they are getting wonderful results from the registration fees with regard to eliminating of those unusable chemicals from our environment, and I certainly encourage the committee to reject that portion of the amendment. I have no problem with the rest of it, but I certainly have some real concerns with what were doing with the EARP and Safe Send efforts.

Rep. Warner: There is a bill floating around which would remove all liability for negligence and damage caused by chemical spills as long as they were reported, we seem to be moving in a contrary direction, we seem to be moving in a way which will discourage people from putting chemicals into Safe Send and at the same time remove all liability for spills or negligence as long as we call in, so instead of sending it to Safe Send and spending money on it, they will dump it by the roadside they call in and say "OOP's". I'm reasonably sure that the bill is around and maybe someone on the committee could inform me better.

Rep. Wald: It happens to be the bill I introduced and it was heard Monday in I B &L and the bill your referring to would prohibit civil and criminal prosecution who come forward on an environmentally damaging product that could be Oil, Ag. Chemical, It could be a whole lot of things. It's right out of the Colorado code and the bill is still in I B & L, and they have not acted on it to my knowledge.

Page 6 House Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB1009 Hearing Date February 7, 2001

Rep. Timm: We have a motion to amend the bill, or adopt the amendments, any other discussion?

Rep. Delzer: When you discussed project Safe Send, are they still going out there every year and what kind of numbers do they have coming in from the farmer as compared to coming in from dealers? Did they give you any kind of information on that?

Rep. Skarphol: Yes they did give us a breakdown, and there is somewhere between 25 and 30 sites that they collect at every year, and its getting close to 200,000 fb. of product each year, and the cost breakdown on the Safe Send contract is about \$300,000 for the contract services, the actual physical collection of the product and they another \$300,000 for the disposal side of the issue, and they are talking about 91 cents a pound, and I can't get those numbers to work out based on how it seems to breakdown in the detail in the budget, but the volume of product that seems to be delivered is climbing gradually and I think at the beginning of Safe Send was to clean out the DDT an Arsenic, and I don't think it was anticipated that we would be dealing with Rinse Aid and the volume of fluid that's involved.

Rep. Delzer: I took a run at this in 1997, and I have real problem in us going out there to set up a program that we do every year when I think were making people dependent on us to do this and I don't think we should, I don't think they are doing their job as they should, and in "97" I tried to get this cut to I year of the biennium program, to start weaning people off and I had some research done and apparently it got put back in on the senate side.

Rep. Wald: It seems to me, and I'm confused here, but when this program first started, farmers and other people were cleaning out their garages and other buildings with left over stuff of DDT, Arsenic, and whatever, and you would think that this thing would be decreasing every year rather than increasing.

Page 7
House Appropriations Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB1009
Hearing Date February 7, 2001

Rep. Skarphol: It appears that the biggest volume is coming from the rinsed, they records that they collect when they get this in are real difficult at times to read as they are not real legible and they are not real consistent on how they are written out and your guessing at what it really means, but for example there are chemicals like "Curtail" that they brought in like 140 lb. and I haven't bought "Curtail" for awhile but I guess it would be up in the \$75 to \$100, a gallon range, and I can't image people hauling this in and giving it away. And that's why we tried to make the point that someone should be utilizing this, its a high dollar product. 24D the 30 gallon drum was brought in, 24D can freeze several times and if you just shake it up and get it warm, and get the salt back into solution its a perfectly useable chemical and if you have this stuff segregated to where the chemicals collected are designed for purposes of killing broad leaf weeds they could be utilized in the spoil banks in our abandoned coal mines where we have a problem with noxious weeds. I think it would be an appropriate job of the Ag. Dept. And the advisory committee to try to find a use for this product rather than just haul it to a hazardous waste site. **Rep. Aarsvold:** I hate to belabor the point but it is a big concern, at least in our area particularly, there has been reference this morning to what most people think should be a reduction in the volume of chemical, that is not the real world folks, every year we add literally hundreds of new labels, not only for Ag. Use but for lots or other purposes as well, Industrial, and household and so on, and certainly the example given by Rep. Wald was a good one that we have removed a lot of those outdated and outmoded and dangerous chemicals from the environment across the state, but we add new labels every year and the volume is increasing every year and that will not change, that's the private sector at work. The volume will not go down.

Rep. Timm: Any other discussion on the amendments?

Rep. Glassheim: Rep. Aarsvold seemed to want to get a vote on, I think section 8, procedurally how do we do that?

Rep. Timm: We adopt the amendments and then he can move that we remove section 8. From that amendment. Rep. Aarsvold indicated that section 8. Would be his primary concern. All those in favor of adopting these amendments say AYE. Voice vote. Motion carried the amendments are adopted.

Rep. Aarsvold: I move to further amend and that section 8. As proposed by the amended bill be removed. Seconded by Rep.Glassheim. I would encourage to adopt the amendment of the removal of section 8.

Rep. Timm: Let me get this straight, this section allows fees to be collected from the people bringing in the chemicals, the farmers?

Rep. Skarphol: That's correct if you read it, but I was a little concerned about how it was written but I decided it was fine, and it says "shall charge a fee for select chemicals during the biennium, and in other words the Ag. Commissioner's office and the advisory board can determine which select chemicals they want to anticipate charging a fee for. I the idea is to get some pressure on the industry to find a solution to the volume of product being delivered as Rinse Aid, and maybe it means that the industry in this state needs to get together and develop a means of getting the water out of it and still disposing of the chemical. They need to find a solution to the volume.

Rep. Aarsvold: I would also like to address in my amendment section 3 having to do with the new language to address issues harmonizing the crop protection product standards, I would like to have that responsibility removed from the EARP fund. Rep. Timm said that this would be taken up separately after the section 8. Removal amendment.

Page 9 House Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB1009 Hearing Date February 7, 2001

Rep. Timm: Any other discussion of Rep. Aarsyold motion to amend and remove section 8 out of the amendments? All those in favor of that motion say AYE. Voice Vote. Motion failed.

Rep. Aarsvold: I would further move to amend section 3 to remove the language with regard to the new language to address issues relating to the harmonization of crop protection product standards, that particular sentence and phrase. Seconded by Rep. Gulleson.

Rep. Timm: Any other discussion? All those in favor of adopting that amendment say AYE.

Voice vote. Motion failed. We have the original amendments in front of us and those have been approved. Any other proposed amendments?

Rep. Skarphol: I think if you look in your books you will find that there is a couple more amendments .0102, I had these amendments prepared for me so I could bring them to the full committee, and what amendment .0102 does is it moves the Pride of Dakota Program from the Ag. Dept. To the Department of Economic Development and Finance, it moves the funding for that program and ½ FTE, an Ag. Marketing Specialist. And the reason for proposing this amendment is that, while I think Pride of Dakota is an admirable program but it seems to have become rather stagnant where it's at and I think if it is going to be fully utilized and flourish, its needs some new direction and I think the Dept. of Economic & Finance could give it that new direction and hopefully put some new emphasis on it and really get it doing what it's supposed to be doing and then get out there and do the marketing that the North Dakota producers need. And with that I would move amendment .0102. Seconded by Rep. Wald.

Rep. Gulleson: I certainly am going to resist that move, I think that the Pride of Dakota was implemented with the intention and mission of course of adding value and alternative uses for ag. Products as well as other commodities and its been very well done, and it is certainly high profile, highly identifiable, and I think if membership has just remained stable, that's probably a

really shows good work by the Ag. Dept. Because the population in our rural areas has declined tremendously and they have been able to hold there own on that and that's not a bad sign, it could have gone the other way. I'm going to resist this amendment.

Rep. Byerly: In the Pride of Dakota they have 315 members and over half of them are not related to agriculture, they are not in any way shape or form agriculture.

Rep. Gulleson: I would counter that with the fact that ½ of that membership still does remain

Agricultural, and in the bigger scope of that argument, as goes the Agriculture sector of the economy so goes the whole economy in North Dakota, so I don't see that as being to different. **Rep. Glassheim:** I had thought this was going to come up on Friday, I didn't know we were going to get to it today, I wanted to spend more time at Pride of Dakota to see if this is a reasonable argument to move it into E D & F or not and to see what they do provide that might be lost, but I would prefer to wait two days, but If were going to move ahead, but from my sense and the testimony of the people who showed up, there is a great deal of enthusiasm among the

Rep. Aarsvold: Realizing this is becoming more political than it should be, I hesitate to respond but, 1st of all if in fact the relationship to agriculture is the criteria by which we determine the association with the Ag. Dept. Then certainly by that same standard we should put Ag. Products Utilization back into the Ag. Dept., because it is agricultural.

members, and you might lose that by incorporating it into a larger organization.

Rep. Timm: Any other discussion? We have a motion to adopt the amendment .0102. All those in favor or adopting that amendment say AYE. Voice Vote. Could not be determined. Roll Call vote on the amendment. (9) YES (11) NO (1) Absent. Motion to adopt amendment has failed.

Page 11 House Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB1009 Hearing Date February 7, 2001

I would move HB1009 as amended (Rep. Skarphol) Seconded by Rep. Heuther. Call the Roll on a DO PASS (14) YES (6) NO (1) Absent. Motion passes. Rep. Skarphol will carry the bill to the floor.

End of action by the committee on HB1009. (tape 1 side b at 4771)

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council 12/14/2000

Bill/Resolution No.:

HB 1009

Amondment to:

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	1999-2001 Biennium		2001-200	3 Biennium	2003-2005 Biennium		
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	
Revenues					——————————————————————————————————————	Military de la first de la participa de la compansa	
Expenditures			\$6,860		\$9,190		
Appropriations			\$6,860		\$9,190	1994 and Schiller, and the second of the State of the second	

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

ļ	199	9-2001 Bien	nium	2001-2003 Blennium		2003-2005 Biennium		nium	
	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
l									

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

This fiscal note addresses the fiscal impact of Section 2 of HB1009, relating to the Agriculture Commissioner's salary. The increase will affect expenditures and appropriations by the amounts indicated. The 2003-2005 amount is greater than the 2001-2003 amount because the salary increase is in effect for the entire biennium.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.
 - B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.
 - C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

t	The same and the s		ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	and a fine or any property of the second or an arrange of the second of
1	Name: Jeff Wei	Ispfennina	Ananau	Consensionly and Aurini III are
- 7	19411101	אַוזווווווסועסו	Agency:	Commissioner of Agriculture
ŕ				A STATE OF THE STA
ι	<u> يوسون بديد ي محمد ديد بدي پاور د ميدور بديد پروند توسيد د دو ايوست بد د دو وي سامت سود يو دو تا وي سامت سود ب</u>			

Phone Number:

328-2231

Date Prepared: 12/29/2000

Office of Management and Budget January 10, 2001

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1009

Page 2, line 2, change "\$4,640,283" to "\$4,440,283"

Page 2, line 21, change "\$468,000" to "\$668,000"

Renumber accordingly

Statement of purpose of amendment:

This is Governor Hoeven's change from Governor Schafer's budget recommendations for the Department of Agriculture. This proposed amendment replaces \$200,000 of general funds with ND Game and Fish funds for the Wildlife Services line item. The total amount from the Game & Fish fund for the Wildlife Services line item is \$400,000.

Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for House Appropriations - Government Operations

February 5, 2001

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1009

Page 1, line 2, after the semicolon insert "to provide project safe send user fees;", replace "section" with "sections", and after "4-01-21" insert ", 19-18-02.1, and 19-18-04"

Page 1, line 3, after "commissioner" insert ", uses of the environment and rangeland protection fund, and pesticide registration fees"

Page 1, line 11, replace "3,519,782" with "3,513,495"

Page 1, line 18, replace "711,964" with "631,750"

Page 1, line 24, replace "11,278,574" with "11,192,073"

Page 2, line 1, replace "6,638,291" with "6,798,184"

Page 2, line 2, replace "4,640,283" with "4,393,889"

Page 2, after line 9, insert:

"SECTION 3. AMENDMENT. Section 19-18-02.1 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

19-18-02.1. Environment and rangeland protection fund. The environment and rangeland protection fund is a special fund in the state treasury. The moneys in this fund may be used for rangeland improvement projects and to address issues relating to harmonization of crop protection product standards. These rangeland improvement projects include noxious weed control; ground water testing, analysis, protection, and improvement; analysis of food products for residues of pesticides and other materials; and analysis and disposal of unusable pesticides and pesticide containers.

SECTION 4. AMENDMENT. Section 19-18-04 of the 1999 Supplement to the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

19-18-04. (Effective through June 30, 2001) Registration - Fees.

- 4. Any person before selling or offering for sale any posticide for use within this state shall file bionnially with the commissioner an application for registration of the posticide. The application must:
 - a. Give the name and address of each manufacturer or distributor.
 - b: Give the name and brand of each product registered:
 - e. Be accompanied by a current label of each product so registered.
 - d: Be accompanied by a registration fee of three hundred fifty dollars for each product registered. At the close of each ealendar month, the commissioner shall transmit to the state-treasurer all moneys received for the registrations. The state treasurer shall credit lifty dollars for

each registered product to the general fund in the state treasury and the remainder of the registration fee for each registered product to the environment and rangeland protection fund.

- e: Be accompanied by a material safety data sheet.
- 2. The commissioner may require an applicant or registrant to provide efficacy, toxicity, residue, and any other data-necessary to determine if the pesticide will perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on the environment. If the commissioner finds that the application conforms to law, the commissioner shall issue to the applicant a certificate of registration of the product. If after public hearing before the commissioner the application is denied, the product may not be offered for sale.
- Each registration covers a two year period beginning January first and expiring December thirty first of the following year. A certificate of registration may not be issued for a term longer than two years, and is not transferable from one person to another, or from the ownership to whom issued to another ownership, or from one place to another place or location. A penalty of fifty percent of the license or registration fee must be imposed if the license or certificate of registration is not applied for on or before January thirty first following the expiration date, or within the same month the posticides are first manufactured or sold within this state. Each product must go through a two year discontinuance period in order to clear all outstanding products in the channel of trade:
- 4: This section does not apply to a pesticide sold by a retail dealer if the registration fee has been paid by the manufacturer; jobber, or any other person; as required by this section.

(Effective July 1, 2001) Registration - Fees. Any person before selling or offering for sale any pesticide for use within this state shall file biennially with the commissioner an application for registration of the pesticide. The application must:

- 1. Give the name and address of each manufacturer or distributor.
- 2. Give the name and brand of each product registered.
- Be accompanied by a current label of each product so registered.
- 4. Be accompanied by a registration fee of three hundred <u>fifty</u> dollars for each product registered. At the close of each calendar month, the commissioner shall transmit to the state treasurer all moneys received for the registrations. The state treasurer shall credit fifty dollars for each registered product to the general fund in the state treasury and the remainder of the registration fee for each registered product to the environment and rangeland protection fund.
- 5. Be accompanied by a material safety data sheet.

The commissioner may require an applicant or registrant to provide efficacy. toxicity, residue, and any other data necessary to determine if the pesticide will perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on the environment. If the commissioner finds that the application conforms to law, the commissioner shall issue to the applicant a certificate of registration of the product. If after public hearing before the commissioner the application is denied, the product may not be offered for sale.

Each registration covers a two-year period beginning January first and expiring December thirty-first of the following year. A certificate of registration may not be

issued for a term longer than two years, and is not transferable from one person to another, or from the ownership to whom issued to another ownership, or from one place to another place or location. A penalty of fifty percent of the license or registration fee must be imposed if the license or certificate of registration is not applied for on or before January thirty-first following the expiration date, or within the same month the pesticides are first manufactured or sold within this state. Each product must go through a two-year discontinuance period in order to clear all outstanding products in the channel of trade.

This section does not apply to a pesticide sold by a retail dealer if the registration fee has been paid by the manufacturer, jobber, or any other person, as required by this section."

Page 2, line 21, replace "\$468,000" with "\$668,000"

Page 2, after line 24, insert:

"SECTION 8. SAFE SEND PESTICIDE AND PESTICIDE CONTAINER COLLECTION - USER FEES. The agriculture commissioner, in consultation with the advisory board for the safe send pesticide and pesticide container disposal program, shall charge a fee for collection of select chemicals during the biennium beginning July 1, 2001, and ending June 30, 2003. The fees shall be established at a level that will generate an estimated \$100,000 for the biennium beginning July 1, 2001, and ending June 30, 2003. Collections from this fee must be deposited in the environment and rangeland protection fund.

SECTION 9. SAFE SEND PESTICIDE AND PESTICIDE CONTAINER COLLECTION - RECYCLING. The agriculture commissioner, in consultation with the advisory board for the safe send pesticide and pesticide container disposal program, shall research the potential of recycling select products collected during the biennium beginning July 1, 2001, and ending June 30, 2003."

Renumber accordingly

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT:

House Bill No. 1009 - Department of Agriculture - House Action

	EXECUTIVE BUDGET	HOUSE CHANGES	HOUSE VERSION
Salaries and wages	\$3,519,782	(\$6,287)	\$3,510,495
Operating expenses	1,361,357		1,361 357
£.quipment	30,400		30,400
Grants	161,700		161 /00
Board of Animal Health	538,614		538,614
Ag mediation	1,075.808		1.075.808
Ag in the classroom	96,000		96,000
State meat inspection	711,964	(80,214)	631 750
Waterbank program	900,000		900.000
Pride of Dakota	157,050		157,050
Wildlife services	779,694		779.694
Safe Send	599 ,369		599.369
Noxious weeds	1,346,836	the second second	1,346,836
Lotat all lunds	\$11,278,574	(\$86,501)	\$11,192.073
) ess estimated income	6,638,291	159,893	6,798,184
General fund	\$4,640.283	(\$246,394)	\$4.393.889
1.11	55 50	0 00	55 50

Dept. 602 - Department of Agriculture - Detail of House Changes

	ADJUST MARKET EQUITY SALARY INCREASE 1	UNFUND 1 FTE MEAT INSPECTOR POSITION	FUNDING SOURCE CHANGE?	TOTAL HOUSE CHANGES
Salaries and wages Operating expenses Equipment Grants Board of Animal Health Ag mediation Ag in the classroom State meat inspection Waterbank program Pride of Dakola Wildlife services Sale Send Noxious weeds	(\$6.287)	(\$80.214)		(\$6.287) (80.214)
Total all funds	(\$6,287)	(\$80.214)	\$0	(\$86,501)
Less estimated income		(40,107)	200,000	159,893
General fund	(\$6,287)	(\$40,107)	(\$200,000)	(\$246,394)
FTE	0 00	0 00	0 00	0.00

¹ This amendment reduces, from \$12,969 to \$6,682, the amount provided for a market equity salary increase for the commissioner and deputy commissioner. The amount included in the bill will provide for a monthly increase of \$166.25 for the commissioner and \$151.67 for the deputy commissioner and related fringe benefits for the last 18 months of the biennium.

A section is added authorizing funds from the environment and rangeland protection fund to be used for harmonization of crop protection product standards.

A section is added continuing the \$350 biennial pesticide product registration fee. Under current law, the fee would have reverted on July 1, 2001.

A section is added requiring the Agriculture Commissioner, in consultation with the advisory board for the Safe Send program, to establish fees for collection of select chemicals. The fees are to be established at a level sufficient to generate \$100,000 during the 2001-03 biennium, to be deposited in the environment and rangeland protection fund, to defray the costs of Project Safe Send.

A section is added requiring the Agriculture Commissioner, in consultation with the advisory board for the Safe Send program, to research the potential of recycling selected products collected under Project Sale Send.

² This is Governor Hoeven's recommended change from Governor Schafer's budget recommendation for the Department of Agriculture. This proposed amendment replaces \$200,000 of general fund moneys with game and fish funds for the wildlife services line item. The total amount from the game and fish fund for the wildlife services line item is \$400,000.

Date: 2-6-0/ Roll Call Vote #: /

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 163 1009

House Appropriations - Governme	ent Oper	rations	Division	_ Com	mittee
Subcommittee on Cover	nme	ent	Operations		
or Conference Committee			•		
Legislative Council Amendment Nun	ıber	18	3809.0104		
Action Taken to a	dep	to	amendment.		
			conded Rep Hus	Hhe	<i>አ</i> ·
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Rep. Rex R. Byerly - Chairman			Rep. Eliot Glassheim		
Rep. Ron Carlisle - Vice Chairman	<u> </u>		Rep. Robert Huether		
Rep. Kim Koppelman		 		-	
Rep. Bob Skarphol		<u> </u>			
Rep. Blair Thoreson					
		 		 	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
				-	
		ر د ۱۰ بازیر د است کامید به		_	
		 -4 gails		-	
				-	
Fotal (Yes)	7	No	o de la companya de l		
Absent	Marin A complete Master de Louise				
Floor Assignment	No was 45 o Madringo Assista () so a	Security of the Colony of the			
f the vote is on an amendment, briefly	/ indica	te inten	t :		
amends					

Date: 2-6-01
Roll Call Vote #: 7

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. +13 1009

House Appropriations - Governme	ent Oper	rations	Division	_ Committee
Subcommittee on Cover	nme	ent	Operations	
or Conference Committee			,	
Legislative Council Amendment Nun Action Taken	ıber _		18009.0104	
Action Taken P	155	AS	AMENDED	
Motion Made By Ro-Slave	hal	Se B)B	conded Rep. Hue	Uhn.
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes No
Rep. Rex R. Byerly - Chairman			Rep. Eliot Glassheim	
Rep. Ron Carlisle - Vice Chairman			Rep. Robert Huether	
Rep. Kim Koppelman				
Rep. Bob Skarphol			hanka samunga kanyo manago wata, manago a manago kanada kana panna a	
Rep. Blair Thoreson				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		بحددها وبي المستواندانية		
			وه المقاد فيستنان الأسنى وبالمرافق من بالمنافق المام المنافق المناف والمنافق المرافق المرافق المرافق والمرافق و	
			and the state of t	
		•		
lotal (Yes)	-	- No		
Absent			8	
Hoor Assignment Ro	SK	220	hol.	
f the vote is on an amendment, briefly	/ indica	₩ te inten	! :	



Date: 02-07-01
Roll Call Vote #: 1

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1009

Representatives Wald - Vice Chairman Rep - Boehm Rep - Byerly Rep - Carlisle Rep - Carlisle Rep - Glassheim Rep - Glassheim Rep - Gulleson Rep - Huether Rep - Kempenich	Conference Co		nher		.01	رده	
Representatives Yes No Representatives Yes No Timm - Chairman	_		•	٩٣٣	DMENT	3	By sk
Timm - Chairman Wald - Vice Chairman Rep - Aarsvold Rep - Boehm Rep - Boehm Rep - Byerly Rep - Carliste Rep - Skarphol Rep - Carliste Rep - Carliste Rep - Svedjan Rep - Carliste Rep - Carliste Rep - Carliste Rep - Svedjan Rep - Carliste Rep - Carliste Rep - Svedjan Rep - Carliste Rep - Carliste Rep - Svedjan Rep - Carliste Rep - Carliste Rep - Carliste Rep - Svedjan Rep - Carliste Rep - Carliste Rep - Carliste Rep - Carliste Rep - Svedjan Rep - Carliste Rep - Carliste Rep - Carliste Rep - Carliste Rep - Svedjan Rep - Carliste Rep -	lotion Made By	SKARAHOL				······································	
Wald - Vice Chairman Rep - Aarsvold Rep - Boehm Rep - Boehm Rep - Byerly Rep - Martinson Rep - Carlisle Rep - Carlisle Rep - Delzer Rep - Classheim Rep - Glassheim Rep - Gulleson Rep - Huether Rep - Kempenich Rep - Kerzman	Represei	ntatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Rep - Aarsvold Rep - Boehm Rep - Boehm Rep - Byerly Rep - Monson Rep - Carlisle Rep - Carlisle Rep - Delzer Rep - Carlisle Rep - Glassheim Rep - Glassheim Rep - Gulleson Rep - Huether Rep - Kempenich Rep - Kerzman							
Rep - Aarsvold Rep - Boehm Rep - Boehm Rep - Byerly Rep - Monson Rep - Carlisle Rep - Carlisle Rep - Delzer Rep - Skarphol Rep - Glassheim Rep - Gulleson Rep - Gulleson Rep - Huether Rep - Kempenich Rep - Kerzman Rep - Kerzman	Wald - Vice Chair	<u>man</u>					
Rep - Boehm Rep - Byerly Rep - Monson Rep - Carlisle Rep - Delzer Rep - Skarphol Rep - Glassheim Rep - Glassheim Rep - Gulleson Rep - Huether Rep - Kempenich Rep - Kerzman Rep - Kerzman	Ren - Aarsvold			. -	Ren - Konnelman		
Rep - Byerly Rep - Carlisle Rep - Carlisle Rep - Delzer Rep - Skarphol Rep - Svedjan Rep - Glassheim Rep - Gulleson Rep - Huether Rep - Huether Rep - Kempenich Rep - Kerzman Rep - Kerzman		1	سا				<u>ان</u>
Rep - Delzer Rep - Glassheim Rep - Glassheim Rep - Gulleson Rep - Warner Rep - Huether Rep - Wentz Rep - Kempenich Rep - Kerzman							-
Rep - Glassheim Rep - Thoreson Rep - Warner Rep - Huether Rep - Wentz Rep - Kempenich Rep - Kerzman	top - Carliste		سا		Rep - Skarphol	سا	
Rep - Gulleson Rep - Warner Rep - Huether Rep - Wentz Rep - Kempenich Rep - Kerzman	lep - Delzer			س	\$		
lep - Huether Rep - Wentz Lep - Kempenich Lep - Kerzman Le						مسا	
kep - Kempenich Lep - Kerzman							
Rep - Kerzman				<u> </u>	Rep - Wentz		
70). 72010111111							
Cep - Krimske							
	Rep - Gulleson Rep - Huether Rep - Kempenich Rep - Kerzman Rep - Kliniske			レ	Rep - Warner		11
otal (Yes) No No	bsent	1					-

MOTION TO ADOPT AMENDMENT FAILED.

Date: 02-07-01
Roll Call Vote #: 2

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HBIOG9

House APPROI	PRIATIONS				Com	mittee
Subcommittee	on					
or						
Conference Co	ommittee					
Legislative Counci	l Amendment Nu	ımber				*****
Action Taken	DO PA	55 F	25	AMENDED	A beautiful and a second secon	
Motion Made By	SKARPHO	ب	Se By	HOETHE	12	
Represe		Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Timm - Chairman		<u> </u>				
Wald - Vice Chair	rman		<u></u>			

Rep - Aarsvold				Rep - Koppelman		
Rep - Bochm	erinan alainting gyngarin alaintinki arranda ara-ah arabitaga ar		مرا	Rep - Martinson		
Rep - Byerly				Rep - Monson	میا ا	
Rep - Carlisle		سا		Rep - Skarphol	ما	
Rep - Delzer			1	Rep - Svedjan		
Rep - Glassheim			سا	Rep - Thoreson		
Rep - Gulleson		سا		Rep - Warner		
Rep - Huether		سا		Rep - Wentz	سا ا	
Rep - Kempenich		سا				
Rep - Kerzman			مسا			
Rep - Kliniske						
'otal (Yes)	14		No	6		No reducible in the same section.
Absent	1		o Thomas and a state of the sta	handigen har kalalangan padhiyah kadi sa kamada dia tamapasan ka <u>ngga</u> madasahaha.	والمعارضة للإستان المستعدد والمستعدد والمستعد والمستعدد والمستعد والمستعدد و	
loor Assignment	41-4-4	skar	PHO		and the state of t	
the vote is on an a	mendment, briefl	v indicat	le intent	:		

461

Aarsvoid: Bellew, Reiter, Berg, Boucher, Brandenburg, Brekke, Carlisie; Cleary, Dekirey, Dekmore, Devlin, Discut, Dosch, Broyd, Edver, Ekstrom; Famfeld, Froekch, Frosch, Grosz, Grumbo, Guilleson, Gunter, Hanson, Hawken, Herbel; Huerher, Hunskon, Caraen, Herbel; Hushen, Herbel; Johnson, D., Johnson, N., Kasper, Kelsch, R.; Kelsh, S.; Korzman, Knoschury; Klein, M.; Khinske, Kopang, Koppoliman, Kreischman, Kreben; Lemieux, Mahoney; Managos, Narbinson, Mener, Meller, Mueller, Nelson; Nicholas; Niemeer, Nottestad; Onstad, Pietsch, Politer, Price, Ruby; Sandvig; Schmidt; Solberg: fhoreson, B.; Thoreson, L.; Tieman, Weiler, Weisz; Wentz, Wikenheiser, Winnch; Speaker Bernstern EAS

Boehm: Brusegaard: Byerly, Carlson: Clark; Detzer, Galvin; Glasshem; Grande; Haas; Kerser, Kempendt; Klein, F.; Klemm; Lloyd, Monson; Remer; Remerlekt; Skarphol; Svedian; Thorpe: Timm; Wald; Warner; Wrangham S S

ABSENT AND NOT VOTING: Severson

Engrossed HB 1378 passed and the title was agreed in.

MOTTON

PEP. GRANDE MOVED that the vote by which HB 1228 passed be reconsidered and the motion to reconsider be laid on the table, which motion failed.

SECOND READING OF HOUSE BILL

HB 1413: A BILL for an Act to create and enact a new subsection to section 57-38-30 3 of the North Dakota Century Code, relating to credits allowed on the shcr-torm income lax return; to amend and reenact subsection 4 of section 57-38.5-01; and sections 57-38.5-02, and 57-38.5-05 of the North Dakota Century Code, relating to the seed capital investment tax credit; and to provide an effective date

ROLL CALL

The question being on the final passage of the amended bill, which has been read, and has committee recommendation of DO PASS, the roll was called and there were 94 YEAS, 3 NAYS, 0 EXCLUSED, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING.

YEAS: Aarsoldt Bellew: Beller, Berg: Boehm; Boucher, Brandenburg: Brekke: Brusegaard; Carkson: Clark: Cleary: Dekrey: Delmore: Devlin; Disnut: Dosoti. Eckre. Ekstrom, Faurlield: Froelich. Froseth; Galvin: Glassheim; Grande; Grosz: Grumbo, Gelleson; Gunter: Haas: Hanson: Hawken: Herbel; Huether; Hunskor: Lensen, Johnson: D., Johnson, N., Kasper: Keiser, Keisch, R.; Keish, S.; Kempenich: Kerzman; Kingsbury: Klein, F.; Klein, M.; Klemm; Klinske: Koppang: Koppelman: Kreischmar; Kroeber. Lemeux: Lloyd: Wahonrey: Wartangos: Martinson: Merel: Monson; Muteller: Nelson: Nicholas: Nemeer: Notteslad: Onstad: Petsch: Potter: Price: Remert: Remerted: Pute: Sandvig: Schmdt: Skarphol: Solberg: Swidtan: Thoreson, B.; Thoreson, L.; Thorpe: Tiennan; Timm; Wald: Warner; Weiler: Weisz: Wentz; Wikenherser; Winnch; Wrangham; Speaker Bernstein

NAYS: Byerty, Delzer, Drovdal

ABSENT AND NOT VC (TNG: Severson

Engrossed HB 14:3 passed and the title was agreed to

MR. PRESIDENT: The House has concurred in the Senate amendments and subsequently assect. HB 1092 and HB 1180. MESSAGE TO THE SENATE FROM THE HOUSE (MARK L. JOHNSON, CHIEF CLERK)

MESSAGE TO THE HOUSE FROM THE SENATE (WILLIAM R. HORTON, SECRETARY)
MR. SPEAKER: The President has adocurred as a new conference committee to act with a tike committee from the House on

HCR3003: Sens, Dever, Wardner, T. Mathym

MR. SPEAKER: The Senate has passed and your favorable consideration is requested on SB 2115, SB 2251, SB 2302, SB 2307, SB 2326, SB 2328, SB 2340, SB 2366, SB 2310, SB 2311, SB 2366, SB 2412, SB 2440, SB 2413, SCR 4017, SCR 4021. MESSAGE TO THE HOUSE FROM THE SENATE (WILLIAM R. HORTON, SECRETARY)

MESSAGE TO THE SENATE FROM THE HOUSE (MARK L. JOHNSON, CHIEF CLERK)

MR. PRESIDENT: The House has bassed, the emergency clause carned, and your tavorable consideration is requested on. HB 1089, HB 1216, HB 1452.

MR. PRESIDENT: The House has passed and your favorable consideration is requested on: HB 1170, HB 1181, HB 1193, HB 1237, HB 1244, HB 1251, HB 1276, HB 1264, HB 1304, HB 1341, HB 1346, HB 1405, HB 1448, HB 1457, HB 1475, HCR 3001, HCR 3015, HCR 3017, MESSAGE TO THE SENATE FROM THE HOUSE (MARK L. JOHNSON, CHIEF CLERK)

HB 1008: Appropriations Committee (Rep. Timm, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (19 YEAS, 2 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1008 was placed on the Skth order on the calendar

Page 1, line 11, replace 74,755,1987 with 74,634,173

Page 1, line 12, replace "1,182,662" with "1,185,662"

Page 1. line 15, replace 79,732,463* with 79,514,436

Page 1, line 17, replace 5,842,274" with 15,724,433

Page 1, line 18, replace 3,690,189* with 3,890,005*

Renumber accordingly

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT:

House Bill No. 1608 - Public Service Commission - House Action

	300000000000000000000000000000000000000	Š	
	2000年	STORES OF	# XX 8 4
Andrew State and Section	\$1.5kg &	\$17 .7. \$.	11.37
San St. 15. material and	3.		,
The state of the s	. (at. 54)		4
4	7. 2.		100
And professions services	S. C. S. C.		3
Activate and	\$1.75.45	1.24.A	ALF TO FINE
ومدوا متوبيهم أمين مخلفه	100 St. 1	4	1982
إعدادة فالكون	M- 30+ 33	7.5	名 第 2
4,4	ਰ ਹ	7	R

Dept. 403 - Public Service Commission - Detail of House Changes

	ACUTOST WARRIET FOURTY SALCAN MOVEASE	100 mg/s	SCHEPTE TO CHOSHING GOVERNMENT CON	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	5 / 5 / 4 2 / 5 / 4 1 / 5 / 4 1 / 5 / 4	ब्रुक्ट हुन् इ.क्टू
Appendix and majorities of the control of the contr		£ 55	and that	§ 4	3.	5 E
The second of the second	Š	\$ 6	2.7.2. 3	ê å	, ,	**************************************
بعدد مجراسيجيسي المدعمية	Đ,	£.		ž,		,
early distinct			i	¥		2.4.2
	\$:	,	•		

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

The property season and Control States on a state of property or control states and an expectation of a control of a state of the property of the

HB 1009: Appropriations Committee (Rep. Timm, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS
AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (14 VEAS 6 144 VEAS 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING)—HB 1009 was praced on the Syth order on the Calendar

- Page 1. line 2, after the semicolon insert "to provide project safe send user fees;", replace "section" with "sections", and after "4-01-21" insert ", 19-18-02.1, and 19-18-04".
- Page 1, line 3, after "commissioner" insert ", uses of the environment and rangeland protection fund, and pesticide registration fees".
- Page 1, line 11, replace "3,519,782" with "3,513,495"
- Page 1, line 18, replace 7711,9647 with 1631,750.
- Page 1, line 24, replace 111,278,574" with 11,192,073
- Page 2. line 1, replace 76.638.2917 with 76,793 1,847
- Page 2, line 2, replace "4,640,283" w.th "4,393,689"
- Page 2, after line 9, insert.

"SECTION 3. AMENDMENT. Section 19-16-02.1 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

19-18-02.1. Environment and rangeland protection fund. The environment and rangeland protection fund is a special fund in the state treasury. The moneys in this fund may be used for rangeland improvement projects and 10 address issues relating to harmonization of crop protection product standards. These The rangeland improvement projects may include noxious weed control; ground water testing, analysis protection, and improvement: analysis or food products for residues of pesticides and other materials; and analysis and disposal of unusable pesticides and pesticide containers.

SECTION 4. AMENDMENT. Section 19-18-04 of the 1999 Supplement to the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

19-18-04. (Effective through June 30, 2001) Registration Fees.

- Any person betare selling or offering for sale any pesticate for use within
 this state shall file bicmially with the commissioner an application for
 registation of the pesticate. The application must:
- Give the name and eddress of each manufacturer or distributor.
- Give the name and brand of each product registered.
- Be accompanied by a current label of each product so registered.
- As accompanced by a registration lee of three hundred fitty delians for each product registered. At the close of cach calcular month, the contraction to the cach calcular moneys received to the contraction calculations. The state treasurer ari moneys received to the contraction creat fifty delians for each registered product to the general-und in the state treasury and the registered product to the general-und in the state treasury and the registered product to the comment and product for each registered product to the comment and product to the comment a
- e. Be accompanico by a malanal safety data shad
- E-fire contrassionate that terpure at apprecial of equilibrium by provide ethicacy foresty tespone are any other data recessary to determine if the beginner of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the contrast of the apprecial of the appear appears of the apprecial of the apprecial of the appears of
- 6. Each regaination covers a two year period beginning dathodry that and copinality of the latter to year.

 Registration may not be related to a tree total that two years and sixty that the tree total to a tree total that the year.

 Registration may not be related to a motive of the tree to years to a motive to a motive to a motive to a motive the precent of a distinct to what is a deviced to what is a distinct to the precent of a motive the final control to the first the flow to make the flow that the flow to the flow that the flow the

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 2001



imposed of the intense of extinistic of textilizing theirs of all abbreating the services.

Belove danagn finity first following the exposition bath of within the same month the peskedes are first waterinations? Of sold within this side.

Broduct must go intensit at two year discontinuation for each of other in each groduction the characteristics.

4. Hrs section decs hat abby to a Resident soud by a hide-dear the registration fee has been been fee had by the Mandrature 1986. In an and the parton of the fee had by the section for the fee had been and the fee had b

(Effective July 1, 2001) Registration - F.ess. An. Defect seling offering for sale any destrace for use within this state shall be demost, with the commissioner an application for registration of the destrace. The application for registration of the destrace.

- Give the name and address of each manufacturer or distractor
- Give the name and triand of each product registered
- Be accompanied by a current label of each product so registered
- 4. Be accompanied by a registration tell of three fundreding do assive said product registered. At the close of each calendar more, the commission shall transmit to the state freasurer is moneys. First-registrations. The state freasurer shall credit in, do lars in yaure registrations. The state freasurer in the state freasurer and the registration feel or each registered or the registration feel or each registered or countries.
- Be accompanie o is maistais sales directions

The commissioner Tay, require an applicant or registrant to provide efficial, toxicity, residue, and any other data necessary to determine in the personale will perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on the environment. In the commissioner finds that the application contoins to law the commissioner stall is succeed to registration of the product in latter public relaining periore the commissioner the application is denied, the product may not be othered for sale

Each registration covers a two-year period beginning ulanuary 1/51 and expring December mitry-first of the following year. A certificate of registration may not be issued for a term longer than two years, and is not transferable from one person to another, or from the ownership to whole. Ssued to another ownership, or from one blace to another place or location. A penalty of fifty percent of the license or registration terms be imposed if the license or certificate of registration are another post of the license or certificate of registration is another property for the license or certificate of registration are another pesticoes are first manufactured or soid within this state. Each product must go through a fixed-

This section does not apply to a positione sold by a retuincessor registration feelings been paid by the manufacturer lobber or any other pathons required by this section?

Page 2, line 21, replace 15468,5301 4.m. Sebs 3300

Page 2, after line 24, insert

'SECTION 8. SAFE SEND PESTICIDE AND PESTICIDE CONTAMER COLLECTION - USER FEES. The agriculture commissioner in construction with the safe send desticate and pesticate commissioner discussion to service and pesticate and pesticate and pesticate and pesticate and pesticate and personal disciplinary 1, 2001, and ending since 50, 2003. The fees must be established at every mentioned generate an estimated \$10,000 for the personal disciplinary and rangeland protection time.

SECTION 9. SAFE SEND PESTICIDE AND PESTICIDE CONTAMER COLLECTION - RECYCLING. The synchrite sorth sychemic contaments acrossly bosed for the Safe Send pesticide and pesticide configure. O socks 10 for shall research the potential of recycling select products as eated as might exercise beginning such 1,200° and ending such 2008.

Ē

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT:

House Bill No. 1009 - Department of Agriculture - House Action

VERSON.	25.512.53 1.35.35 30.450	15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	2008 2008 2008 2008 2008	1388 1888	\$11,152,673	191 00 0	\$4.39J,669	**
POLSE	(\$6.26.7)		45.214	ì	186 X.	in liet	(\$246,354)	80
EXECUTIVE BUDGET	18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19		2.1 2.00 306. 2.4.7.4.1	जुला है। जुला है। जुला है। जुला है।	\$11.276.574	5 Miles	\$4.640,283	त्र ग्र
	Salames and wages Otherating expenses industrient	Touch or Annual Health As mechanion As in the Cassingian	state mest inspection Vientifiers program Prote of Ownsta	Audule services Sale Senc Youtus weeds	YELL ALTHOUGH	andown polymers with a	Combrat fund	FF 77

Dept. 602 - Department of Agriculture - Detail of House Changes

	ADJUST MARANEY EQUITY SALARY INCREASE	CNFUND 1 FTE MEAT INSPECTOR POSITION	SOURCE SOURCE CHANGE?	101A TOUSE CHANGES	
> Haves and edges Craming expenses E Justinett	 8 8			1,92,751	
Card or America Health Asymptotical Asymptotics program					
Take their inspection trafections program to the or framesia		40.54		(\$1) THE	
20 V 10 V					
ADM THE SAL	યું મુ	1 7 3	. J	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	
י ויש באלילושלפט היכטיתב	1) (4	200 200	्रक्त देता. इ.स. इ.स.	
General Land	्स. अ	701 mg	1200 0001	(\$246,394)	
. ie	20.0	3	(H)	000	

First amendment reduces from \$12,500 to \$6 zer. the amount privated for a manet equity states, noticease for the commissioner and outsits outsides of the first and the first basis private for a state of \$16.00 to the commissioner and \$151.00 to the count sporter of related frings benefits for the basis of the count of the commissioner and related frings benefits for the colors of the country. The discussion relates it recommended change from Governal Society budget recommendated by the colors of the c

A section is added authorizing funds from the environment and rangeland protection fund to be used for

narmonization of crop protection product standards.

A section is added continuing the \$350 biennial pesticide product registration fee. Under current taw, the lee would have reverted on July 1, 2001.

Section is added requiring the Agriculture Commissioner, in consultation with the advisory board for the Safe Send program, to establish lees for collection of select chemicals. The fees are to be established at a fevel sufficient to generate \$100.000 during the 2001-03 bennium, to be deposited in the environment angelland protection fund, in costs of Project Safe Send and advisory board for the Section is added requiring the Agriculture Commissioner, in consultation with the advisory board for the Safe Send program, to research the potential of recycling selected products collected under Project Safe

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1022: Appropriations Committee (Rep. Timm, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FGLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (20 YEAS, 1 NAY 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1022 was placed on the Sixth order on the

of the North Dakota Century Code, relating to copyright and trademark, to amend and reemact section 54:34:4:04 of the North Dakota Century Code, relating to the motion Page 1, line 2, after "department" insert 1 to create and enact a new section to chapter 54.34.4 picture advisory board, to provide legislative intent, and to declare an emergency?

25th DAY

Page 1, line 10, replace 3,220,437 with 3,250,437

Page 1, remove line 12

Page 1, line 13, replace 655,6637 with 7: 405,6637

Page 1, line 14, replace 4,960,778 with 5,710,778

Page 1, line 16, replace 4,597,778" wm 15,347,778

Page 1, after line 16, insert:

"SECTION 2. LEGISLATIVE INTENT. It is the intent of the tith-severim legislative assembly that for the purpose of preparing a budget request to the 2003-05 bennum, the base budget request for the department of tourism not include the \$750,000 appropriation provided by the fifty-several legislative assembly, for abblional marketing relating to the Lewis and Clark Dicentermal

SECTION 3. AMENDMENT. Section St. St. 4-Ct. of the 1939 Supplement to the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as tollows

advising the office and to provide technical expertise to offer prospective tim companies seeking locations and advice. The board stall serve without compensation, except reimpursement for actual and necessary expenses at the same rate as allowed other state officers to be paid from tunds available to the office within the units of egistative 54-34,4-04. North Dakota motion picture development office - Advisory provide technical tourism department shall appoint staff necessary to fulfill the functions and dubes of the office and smailmay appoint an advisory board of no more than ten members to assect in The North Dakota motion picture development office is a part of the tourism department. The office shall promote North Dakota as a location for shooting television shows, cocumentaries, and commercials, and shall expense to persons desiring to use the state as a faming location appropriations

SECTION AS THE WASH TO CHEEK WASH OF THE NOTE DAVIS OF CHEEK Code is created and enacted as follows: Copyright, and its demain. The director of the following opparanes may obtain copyright of trademain protection for any may be used to protect on the positive issted in section 54-34-4-30. The precide may license and charge a fee for photographs and charge a fee for photographs.

SECTION 5. EMERGENCY. Section 4 of this Act is declared to the an emergency measure


Renumber accordingly

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT:

House Bull No. 1022 - Department of Tourism - House Action

	, 400g	777	4 3 3 1 1 1
Appendix of the control of the contr	보습니다. 기업 기업 기업	3 3 3 9	372 38 38 4
Company of Cash Dispricement		A	
See at long.	# **	34.57.	f / / .
Applies page page equi	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\		27.8
SUCT MARKET	1.7.7.3	35.45	* * *
ш 1: 14	3	7	ኝ :

Dept. 740 - Department of Tourism - Detail of House Changes



2001 SENATE APPROPRIATIONS

HB 1009

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 11B 1009

Senate Appropriations Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date February 15, 2001

Tape Num	ber	Side A	Side B	Meter #
	2	X		13.2-46.7
		/ \	1/)	
Committee Cle	rk Signaturé	Neller	Thenene,	ke

Minutes:

Senator Solberg opened the hearing on IIB 1009, Department of Agriculture.

Roger Johnson, Commissioner, Department of Agriculture, testified on the bill (testimony/overview attached) on services and budget issues. The bill passed the House Appropriation with amendments and I am asking the committee to add those deletions back into the bill. This will be discussed with the Subcommittee.

<u>Senator Solberg</u>: SB 2216 is in Appropriations; the fiscal impact appropriation in that bill is in your budget?

Roger Johnson: Yes.

Bonnie Selvig, POD Member, Fargo, ND, stating she is a small business owner. She started marketing barbecue sauce with her company since 1998 and that is when she joined Pride of Dakota (POD) and is now looking at exporting her product. With POD it is easier to market and sell products with an online web site and seminars to sell a safe quality product.

Alan Juliuson, POD member, Hope, ND, testified (testimony attached), in favor of the bill.

<u>LeAnn Harner</u>, Ag in the classroom Council and Ag Magazine Volunteer, stated Farm and Ranch prints 15,000 magazines for schools; Integrated curriculum, encourages teachers living AG in the classrooms and AG site trailer for mobile classroom. Spend with authorized dollars now; actual dollars coordinator helps with volunteers.

<u>Fred Eagleson</u>, Meat Inspection advisory board member, supports meat inspection program in the Ag Dopartment; gives merit to Dr. Grondahl.

Senator Robinson: Do you process bison in your facility?

<u>Fred Eagleson</u>: Yes, we process very actively.

Wade Moser, ND Stockmen's Association, supporting the five programs in the bill.

Brian Kramer, ND Farm Bureau, testified supporting Safe Send Project. Has two concerns with the House amendments, mainly user fees there will be less participation in the program, disposing products will go up. And secondly, support wildlife program, needs to keep viable to producers.

Mark Sitz, ND Farmers Union, testified (testimony attached), in favor of the bill.

<u>Senator Grindberg</u>: With China, is ND strategy to sell commodities and products to their country, is anything going on with this effort.

Roger Johnson: Marketing program with Commerce Department and Wheat Commission with clear strategy products.

<u>Senator Grindberg</u>: Is there willingness to work with state and various entities to promote ND products?

Roger Johnson: Speaking for agency, I would like a role working as a partnership. I am working with USDA and working with others makes sense.

Page 3 Senate Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number IIB 1009 Hearing Date February 15, 2001

Senator Thane: Is there a big national corporations in Chicago and partners marketing ND commodities and are they important players?

Mark Sitz: I'm not sure and have no facts with their interest in China.

Senator Tomae: Do you still publish Export Guide?

Mark Sitz: Yes we do.

Bonnie Selvig: The department has exporting directory and is categorized; commodities are checked each year.

Senator Lindaas: Pride of Dakota, fee amount?

Bonnie Selvig Fees \$24,300 per year; minimum \$50, maximum \$250, depends on number of employees, it goes to the general fund.

With no opposition to the bill, the hearing was closed. Tape #, Side A, meter 46.7.

4-02-01 Full Committee Action (Tape #3, Side A, Meter # 0.0 - 3.3)

Senator Nething reopened the hearing on HB1009 - Ag Commissioner

Senator Bowman, Subcommittee Chair reviewed the bill, the Subcommittee's findings and presented an amendment 418009.0201 as a recommendation to the full Committee.

Discussion on the amendment; Senator Bowman moved the amendments, Senator Solberg seconded. Discussion; call for the vote: voice vote: amendments adopted.

Discussion on the bill. Senator Bowman moved a DO PASS AS AMENDED; seconded by Senator Tomac, seconded the motion. Discussion; call for the vote: Roll Call Vote: 14 yes; 0 no; 0 absent and not voting.

Senator Tomac accepted the floor assignment.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1009

Page 1, line 14, replace "1,361.357" with "1.338.864"

Page 2, line 2, replace "11,192,073" with "11.169.580"

Page 2, line 4, replace "4,393,889" with "4,371,396"

Page 2, line 23, remove the overstrike over "(Effective-through June-30;", after "2001" insert "2003", and remove the overstrike over ") Registration – Fees:"

Page 2, remove the overstrike over lines 24 through 31

Page 3, remove the overstrike over lines 1 through 25

Page 3, line 26, remove the overstrike over "(Effective July 1;", after "2001" insert "2003", and remove the overstrike over the closing parenthesis

Page 4, line 1, remove "fifty"

Page 5, line 12, remove "during the biennium beginning July 1, 2001, and ending June 30, 2003"

Page 5, remove line 13

Page 5, line 14, remove "biennium beginning July 1, 2001, and ending June 30, 2003."

Renumber accordingly

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT:

House Bill No. 1009 - Department of Agriculture - Senate Action

	EXECUTIVE	HOUSE	SENATE	SENATE
	BUDGET	VERSION	CHANGES	VERSION
Salanes and wages	\$3,519,782	\$ 3.513 495		\$3 513 495
Operating expenses	1,361,357	1 361 357	(\$22,493)	1 338 864
L quipment	30,400	30,400		30,400
Grants	161,700	161 700		151 700
Board of Animal Health	538,614	538.614		538 614
Ag mediation	1,075,808	1.075 808		1,075 858
Ag in the classroom	96,000	96.000		36 .660
state meat inspection	711,964	631,750		631 750
Waterbank program	900,000	300,000		900 000
Pride of Dakola	157,050	157,050		157,050
Wildlife services	779,694	779,694		779 694
Safe Send	599,369	599,369		599 369
Noxious weeds	1,346,836	1,346,836		1,346,836
rotal atl funds	\$11,278.574	\$11,192,073	(\$22 493)	\$11.169. 560
Less estimated income	<u>6,638,291</u>	6,798,184		15,798,194
Cieneral fund	\$4,640,283	\$4,393,889	(\$22.493)	\$4 371 396

	PEDOL OPERATION	TOTAL SERVED CHARLES
Satures and Augen Operatory expresses Equipment Grants Board of Aumar Health Agen the classroom State meat inspection Waterbank program Piede of Calvota Wildlife services Safe Send Novous weeds	1 5 cz 490)	3\$66.4±9
Total all funds	(\$22,493)	(\$22,493)
Less estimated income		
General fund	(\$22,493)	(\$22,493)
FIL	0 00	0.00

111

The amendment extends the sunset clause on the \$350 pesticide product registration fee until June 30, 2003. The product registration fee will revert to \$300 for each product registered after June 30, 2003.

The amendment removes the requirement for the Department of Agriculture to generate \$100,000 revenues from collections of pesticides under Project Safe Send. It does maintain the requirement of establishing a fee schedule for the collection of select chemicals.

Adjustment to reflect increases in travel authorized in the executive recommendation. Reduces the \$72,493 increase in travel authorized in the executive recommendation.
 \$50,000.

		Date: 4-2-61	
		Roll Call Vote #:	****
	2001 SENATE STANDING O BILL/RE	COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES SOLUTION NO. 21/3 12.59	
ate	Appropriations		Committee
Sub	committee on		
Ç	Г		
Co	rference Committee		

Legislative Council Amendment N	umber _	/	8009.0201		
Action Taken	7.20 (Par	(mendine		
Motion Made By	nu		Seconded By	mas	
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Dave Nething, Chairman					
Ken Solberg, Vice-Chairman					
Randy A. Schobinger					
Elroy N. Lindaas					
Harvey Tallackson					
Larry J. Robinson	V				
Steven W. Tomac	V				
Joel C. Heitkamp					
Tony Grindberg					
Russell T. Thane					
Ed Kringstad					
Ray Holmberg					
Bill Bowman	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>				
John M. Andrist					

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

Senate Appropriations

Total

Absent

Yes

Floor Assignment

Module No: SR-58-7552

Carrier: Tomac

Insert LC: 18009.0201 Title: .0300

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1009, as engrossed: Appropriations Committee (Sen. Nething, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (14 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed HB 1009 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 14, replace "1,361,357" with "1,338,864"

Page 2, line 2, replace "11,192,073" with "11,169,580"

Page 2, line 4, replace "4,393,889" with "4,371,396"

Page 2, line 23, remove the overstrike over "(Effective-through June 30;", after "2001" insert "2003", and remove the overstrike over ") Registration - Fees;"

Page 2, remove the overstrike over lines 24 through 31

Page 3, remove the overstrike over lines 1 through 25

Page 3, line 26, remove the overstrike over "(Effective July 1,", after "2001" insert "2003", and remove the overstrike over the closing parenthesis

Page 4, line 1, remove "fifty"

Page 5, line 12, remove "during the biennium beginning July 1, 2001, and ending June 30, 2003"

Page 5, remove line 13

Page 5, line 14, remove "biennium beginning July 1, 2001, and ending June 30, 2003."

Renumber accordingly

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT:

House Bill No. 1009 - Department of Agriculture - Senate Action

	EXECUTIVE BUDGET	HOUSE VERSION	SENATE CHANGES	SENATE VERSION
Salarios and wages	\$3,519,782	\$3,513,495	(\$22,493)	\$3.513.495
Operating expenses	1,361,357	1,361,357		1.338.864
Equipment	30,400	30,400		30.400
Grants	161,700	161,700		161,700
Board of Animal Health	538,614	538,614		538,614
Ag mediation	1,075,808	1,075,808		1.075,808
Ag in the classroom	96,000	96,000		96,000
State meal inspection	711,964	631,750		631,750
Waterbank program	900,000	900,000		900,000
Pride of Dakota	157,050	157,050		157,050
Wildlife services Safe Send Noxious weeds Total all funds	779,694 599,369 1,346,836 \$11,278,574	779,694 599,369 1,346,836 \$11,192,073	(\$23,402)	779,694 599,369 1,346,836
Less estimated income	6,638,291	6,798,184	(\$22,493)	\$11.169,580 6,798,184
General lund	\$4,640.283	\$4.393,889	(\$ 22.493)	\$4.371,396
FTE	55.50	55.50	0.00	55.50

Dept. 602 - Department of Agriculture - Detail of Senate Changes

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) April 3, 2001 10:58 a.m.

Module No: SR-58-7552 Carrier: Tomac

Insert LC: 18009.0201 Title: .0300

	REDUCE OPERATING 1	TOTAL SENATE CHANGES
Salarios and wagos Operating expenses L quipment Grants Board of Ammal Health Ag mediation Ag in the classroom State meat inspection Waterbank program Pride of Daketa Wildlife services Sate Send Noxious woods	(\$ 22,493)	(\$22.495)
Total all funds	(\$22,493)	(\$22,493)
Less astimated income		
General fund	(\$22,493)	(\$22,493)
F1{	0.00	0.00

¹ Adjustment to reflect increases in travel authorized in the executive recommendation. Reduces the \$72,490 increase in travel for administration to \$50 non

The amendment extends the sunset clause on the \$350 pesticide product registration fee until June 30, 2003. The product registration fee will revert to \$300 for each product registered after June 30, 2003. The amendment removes the requirement for the Department of Agriculture to generate \$100,000 revenues from collections of pesticides under Project Safe Send. It does maintain the requirement of establishing a fee schedule for the collection of select chemicals.

2001 HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

1009

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 11B1009

House Appropriations Committee Education and Environment Division

Conference Committee

Hearing Date April 10, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
l of l	Starting at 4190	X	50
	and the second s		

Committee Clerk Signa	iture () i che	2 Jakon	<u> </u>

Minutes:

Representatives Skarpol, Thorson, Huether, Senators Solberg, Tomac, and Bowman.

Rep Skarpol opens the meeting and asks for guidance on last portion of the engrossed on fees.

Senator Bowman, and Senator Tomac explain. Rep Skarpol discusses what was the House intentions. There is a misunderstanding with the House and Senate as to what the House sent to the Senate. Discussion follows about fees and where the 100,000 went or came from. Senator Solberg, (4975) notes section 8 sent from the House, and nothing said about the reduction. Rep Skarphol apologizes if it didn't get properly reflected. The committee feels they are all wanting the same thing it is just getting the language correct. Senator Tomac is not so sure there should be a cut, and explains. Discussion on considering 24,000 dollars to market Pride of Dakota, which was requested from Director of Marketing.(5860) Rep Skarpol adjourns the meeting.

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1009

House Appropriations Committee Education and Environment Division

Conference Committee

Hearing Date April 12, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter#
1 of 1	Starting at 263		2,585
chan de the description of the state of the			
	CONTROL STREET CONTROL CONTROL OF		
Committee Clerk Sign	ature ()	ego Dehous	

Minutes:

Representatives Skarpol, Thorson, Huether, Senators Solberg, Tomac, Bowman.

Rep Skarphol presents amendment 18009.0202 for the committee to consider. Legislative Council goes through the amendment with the committee. Discussion by the committee on the intentions. The committee feels they are all going in the same direction, it is a matter of getting the language correct. Rep Skarphol introduces, and explains amendment 18009.0204. (1550) These are what would be amendments to HB1328, a chemical harmonization bill. Discussion by the committee on a majority and minority put on the board. We will have Legislative Council work on the amendment. Meeting is adjourned.

2001 HOUSE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1009

House Appropriations Committee Government Operations Division

X

Conference Committee

Hearing Date April 16, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
04-16-01 tape #1	0 - 1867	0 - 1275	
		.	
Committee Clerk Signat	ture Halli	Hall	

Minutes:

The conference committee was called to order. Roll call was taken.

Rep. Skarphol: Lets deal with the rinsate issue first. He handed out amendment 18009.0206. Thinks the real essence of this amendment appears on page 5 of the bill. That's the language we had discussed previously and agreed to.

<u>Sen. Solberg</u>: That pretty well covers the rinsate situation. And takes care of the added cost we were concerned about.

Sen. Tomac: It satisfies what has been discussed. Trying to follow the real of the amendment.

Rep. Skarphol: They are the same otherwise, as the Senate sent them out.

Sen. Solberg: Moves to adopt the amendment .0206. Seconded by Rep. Thoreson.

Vote on Motion: 6 yes, 0 no, 0 absent and not voting. Motion passes.

Page 2
Government Operations Division
Bill/Resolution Number HB 1009
Hearing Date April 16, 2001

Rep. Skarphol: The other issue we had discussion over was the conditional amendment that dealt with HB 1328, and the composition of the chemical harmonization board. Hands out amendment 19009.0205 (not 19009.0207). States that in his conversations with leadership they were not interested in adding members to this board; they thought it would be short lived. Hopefully we can get this harmonization problem resolved. That's the hopes of leadership. This amendment basically allows designees from the ag commissioner and the chairmen of the two ag committees. It does not add any additional members. (To Sen. Thane, to bring him up to speed because he was sitting in today for Sen. Bowman, there have been several amendments proposed, some of which didn't allow for a designee. It was thought appropriate to do that, to have a designee).

Sen, Tomac: Hopes that the amendment would be resisted. One of the problems with the harmonization committee last session was the fact that we waited until the conference committee, something didn't get done, etc. If this is going to be fixed, it needs to fixed up. Upset that the House didn't try to fix this up when they had that bill. Nothing is germane to these two bills, except that the fact that the commissioner of agriculture sits on the board. He resists the amendment.

Rep. Skarphol: From his perspective, he doesn't see that this is a major change to the board, except that they would allow designees.

Sen. Tomac: There is a major change in these amendments, on page 2, subsection 5, there is a very major change. They deleted the commodity groups that are established in the act. It is a significant change.

Page 3
Government Operations Division
Bill/Resolution Number HB 1009
Hearing Date April 16, 2001

(Sen. Tomac explains his understanding of the change. There was discussion relating to the overstrike of "established under this title". The committee directed questions to Don of LC, and he looked for some explanations.)

Rep. Skarphol: Explained that "under this title" is Title 4 of the Century Code,

Agriculture. There is a list of 40 or so groups listed in the Title. The change that that makes

does have some significance, like Sen. Tomac says. The change was requested after the bill was

passed out of the Senate by Sen. Wanzek. It is a new issue and is a change from what was passed

out of the Senate and what passed the House. This is verified by John Bjornson, who drafted the

amendmen! Based on that, I would like to discuss this with others before we proceed.

Sen. Solberg: Agrees that another meeting is probably necessary.

The chairman closed the meeting on this bill.

Later in the day, April 16, 2001

Rep. Skarphol: If we include the overstrike discussed earlier today, only commodity groups are eligible to participate. Commodity groups by law have a difficult time lobbying, and therefore it would do away with any time of really that the board could accomplish.

Sen. Solberg: He also understands that without the overstrike some of those would be eliminated. Some groups not named in that chapter would be eliminated and not eligible to participate.

Rep. Skarphol: This was a compromise the leadership was hoping to establish and have happen. Explains what they had hoped it to be. He thinks that once harmonization is

accomplished it should not have to be an ongoing thing. Once you get the Canadian and American system parallel so you don't have to have separate registration standards.

Sen. Solberg: Thinks they are close to settling this. Was quite surprised by the overstrike, but does understand why it is there. They do have a safeguard in place with the sunset clause. It will be revisited in two years.

Sen Tomae: Still does not see the germaneness between this amendment and the ag commissioner's budget bill. The amendments proposed will actually change the version of the bill passed by the Senate, passed by the very people that now want to change it again. Still opposes the amendment.

Rep. Skarphol: After our discussions in conference committee this morning. I had a conversation with Sen. Wanzek. He says that this was an oversight that he didn't take care of this in the bill when it was in the Senate. It got to the House and it was sent out of the House before he got it addressed over there. He said it was an "oops".

Sen. Tomac: Begs to differ. He was in the Senate, and saw what happened. He voted for this and supported it in his committee. Then when it got to the floor he didn't get it changed, and what happened that the leadership told him that if it comes back they would kill the bill. That doesn't change the germaneness issue. It's still not germane to this bill.

Rep. Skarphol: Gave his perspective that he thinks it is germane.

Sen. Tomac: Thinks its pretty poor precedent to take something this ungermane to this bill and attach it to it.

Rep. B. Thoreson: Moves to adopt amendment 18009.0205. Seconded by Sen. Solberg. Vote on Motion, 4 yes, 2 no, 0 absent and not voting. Motion passes.

Sen. Tomac: There was the issue on the table regarding the Pride of Dakota user fees being reappropriated or continued for marketing.

Sen. Bowman: I had brought this up because I was asked to do so by the department.

That was one of my first requests that this be part of the discussion

Rep. Skarphol: You can make whatever motions you want. The House had some reservations about that. But it can be discussed.

Rep. Bowman: If the House rejected it, and had a vote on it, but it was my understanding that there was no vote, that it was just an oversight.

Rep. Skarphol: There was not a vote in the full House.

Sen. Tomac: Asks Jeff in the audience what the amount was.

<u>Jeff ?</u>: \$24,000 per year.

Sen. Tomac: So it's a \$48,000 continuing appropriation of other funds. If the other is germane, this is certainly germane.

Sen, Solberg: would be interested in giving them \$24,000 which was the amount he was told, but not really excited about \$48,000.

(Some general discussion on this issue. Jeff from OMB explains).

Sen, Bowman: Moves to adopt the amendment of \$48,000. Seconded by Sen. Tomac.

Vote on Motion, 3 yes, 3 no, 0 absent and not voting. Motion fails due to the fact that there were not 2 votes in favor by both Houses.

Sen. Solberg: Moves that the Senate recede from their amendment and that they further amend. Seconded by Rep. Thoreson.

Vote on Motion, 4 yes, 2 no, 0 absent and not voting. Motion passes.

Rep. Skarphol will carry the report to the floor.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1009

That the Senate recede from its amendments as printed on pages 1291 and 1292 of the House Journal and pages 1129 and 1130 of the Senate Journal and that Engrossed House Bill No. 1009 be amended as follows:

Page 5, line 12, replace "select chemicals" with "rinsate"

Page 5, replace line 13 with "The agriculture commissioner shall establish the fee at a level that will generate \$100,000 for the"

Page 5, line 14, after the period insert "If the agriculture commissioner determines that fee collections will be less than \$100,000, the agriculture commissioner shall reduce the volume of rinsate collected during the 2001-03 biennium as compared to the volume collected during the 1999-2001 biennium by the same percentage that the fee collections are estimated to be less than \$100,000."

Renumber accordingly

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT:

House Bill No. 1009 - Department of Agriculture - Conference Committee Action

This amendment requires the Department of Agriculture to either collect \$100,000 from fees relating to the collection of rinsate or reduce the volume of rinsate collected by the percentage that the fee collections are less than the \$100,000.

April 12, 2001

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1009

That the Senate recede from its amendments as printed on pages 1291 and 1292 of the House Journal and pages 1129 and 1130 of the Senate Journal and that Engrossed House Bill No. 1009 be amended as follows:

- Page 1, line 3, after "Code" insert "and to amend and reenact the new section to chapter 4-35 of the North Dakota Century Code as created by section 3 of House Bill No. 1328, as approved by the fifty-seventh legislative assembly"
- Page 1, line 4, after the first comma insert "the membership of the crop protection product harmonization and registration board,"

Page 2, after line 11, insert:

"SECTION 3. AMENDMENT. The new section to chapter 4-35 of the North Dakota Century Code as created by section 3 of House Bill No. 1328, as approved by the fifty-seventh legislative assembly, is amended and reenacted as follows:

Crop protection product harmonization and registration board - Duties - Grants.

- 1. The crop protection product harmonization and registration board consists of.
 - a. the The governor or the governor's designee;
 - b. the The agriculture commissioner, or the commissioner's designee;
 - c. the The chairman of the house agriculture committee, or the chairman's designee;
 - d. the The chairman of the senate agriculture committee, one erop protection product manufacturing industry representative appointed by the chairman of the legislative council, and two consumers or the chairman's designee;
 - e. A crop protection product dealer in the state appointed by the governor from a list of three nominees submitted by the North Dakota agricultural association;
 - A consumer of crop protection products appointed by the governor from a list of three nominees submitted by the North Dakota grain growers association;
 - g. A consumer of crop protection products appointed by the governor from a list of three nominees submitted by the North Dakota oilseed council;
 - h. A representative of the crop protection product manufacturing industry appointed by the chairman of the legislative council; and

- i. The director of the North Dakota state university agricultural experiment station.
- 2. The representative of the crop protection product manufacturing industry and the director of the agricultural experiment station shall serve as nonvoting members. The governor or the governor's designee shall serve as chairman of the board.
- 3. The board shall:
 - a. Identify and prioritize crop protection product labeling needs;
 - b. Explore the extent of authority given to this state under the federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act [7 U.S.C. 136a]:
 - c. Identify the data necessary to enable registration of a use to occur in a timely manner;
 - d. Determine what research, if any, is necessary to fulfill data requirements for activities listed in this section;
 - e. Request the agriculture commissioner to pursue specific research funding options from public and private sources;
 - f. Request the North Dakota state university agricultural experiment station to pursue specific research to coordinate registration efforts; and
 - g. Pursue any opportunities to make more crop protection product options available to agricultural producers in this state through any means the board determines advisable.
- 2. 4. The board may contract with a consultant to conduct studies or provide research or information regarding crop protection product registration and labeling needs.
- 3. The board may administer a grant program through which agriculture commodity groups established under this title may apply for funds to be used by the groups to address issues related to the registration of crop protection products. To be eligible for receipt of a grant, an applicant must submit an application to the board which requests a specific amount of funds, specifies the exact purposes for which the grant would be used, and provides a detailed timetable for the use of the grant funds. The hoard may impose any additional conditions it determines appropriate for grant recipients, including requiring periodic reports and furnishing of matching funds. The board may terminate funding of a previously approved grant at any time if the board is dissatisfied with the performance of the grant recipient.
- 4: 6. The board may use not more than fifteen percent of the funds under its supervision for administrative purposes, including the cost of contracting for administrative services and reimbursement of board member expenses. The members of the board who are members of the legislative assembly are entitled to compensation from the legislative council for attendance at board meetings at the rate provided for members of the legislative assembly for attendance at interim committee meetings and are entitled to reimbursement for expenses incurred in attending the meetings in the amounts provided by law for other state officers.
- 5. 7. The board may adopt rules to implement this section."

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1009

That the Senate recede from its amendments as printed on pages 1291 and 1292 of the House Journal and pages 1129 and 1130 of the Senate Journal and that Engrossed House Bill No. 1009 be amended as follows:

Page 1, line 14, replace "1,361,357" with "1,338,864"

Page 2, line 2, replace "11,192,073" with "11,169,580"

Page 2, line 4, replace "4,393,889" with "4,371,396"

Page 2, line 23, remove the overstrike over "(Effective through June 30;", after "2001" insert "2003", and remove the overstrike over ") Registration — Fees."

Page 2, remove the overstrike over lines 24 through 31

Page 3, remove the overstrike over lines 1 through 25

Page 3, line 26, remove the overstrike over "(Effective July 1;", after "2001" insert "2003", and remove the overstrike over the closing parenthesis

Page 4, line 1, remove "fifty"

Page 5, line 12, replace "select chemicals" with "rinsate"

Page 5, line 13, replace "an estimated \$100,000" with "enough revenues to cover the cost of disposal associated with the rinsate collected"

Renumber accordingly

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT:

House Bill No. 1009 - Department of Agriculture - Conference Committee Action

	EXECUTIVE BUDGET	HOUSE VERSION	CONFERENCE COMMITTEE CHANGES	CONFERENCE COMMITTEE VERSION
Salanes and wages	\$3,519,782	\$3,513,495		\$3,513,495
Operating expenses	1,361,357	1.361.357	(\$22,493)	1 338 864
Equipment	30.400	30.400		30,400
Grants	161.700	161,700		161,700
Board of Animal Health	538.614	538,614		538,614
Ag mediation	1,075.808	1.075.808		1.075,808
Ag in the classroom	96,000	96.000		96.000
State meat inspection	711,964	631,750		631,750
Waterbank program	900.000	900,000		900 000
Pikle of Dakota	157,050	157 050		157 050
Wildlife services	779.694	779,694		779,694
Safe Sund	599.369	599.369		499,369
Noxious weeds	<u>1,346,836</u>	1,346,836		1,346,836
Total all funds	\$11,278,574	\$11 192 073	(\$22,493)	\$11.169.580

Less estimated income	6,638,291	6,798,184		5,798,184
General fund	\$4.640.283	\$4,393,889	(\$22,493)	\$4 371 396
FTE	55 50	55 50	0.00	55 50

TOTAL

Dept. 602 - Department of Agriculture - Detail of Conference Committee Changes

	REDUCE OPERATING 1	TOTAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE CHANGES
Salaries and wages Operating expenses Equipment Grants Board of Animal Health Ag mediction Ag in the classroom State meat inspection Waterbank program Pride of Dakota Wildlife services Sale Cland Noxious weeds	(\$22.493)	(\$22.493)
Total all funds	(\$22,493)	(\$22,493)
Less estimated income		
General fund	(\$22,493)	(\$22,493)
FTE	0 00	0.00

Adjustment to reflect increases in travel authorized in the executive recommendation. Reduces the \$72,493 increase in travel for administration to \$50,000.

The amendment extends the sunset clause on the \$350 pesticide product registration fee until June 30. 2003. The product registration fee will revert to \$300 for each product registered after June 30, 2003. The Conference Committee did not change the Senate language.

The Conference Committee changed the Senate requirement for fees for collection of select chemicals to fees for the collection of rinsate sufficient to cover the cost of disposal associated with the rinsate collected.

Date: /- /6-0/ Roll Call Vote # 0/

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 443/007

House Appropriations				Committe	
Subcommittee on	·				
or X Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment	Number		18009,0206		
_		-/	amendment		
Action Taken <u>to</u> Motion Made By <u>San</u>	Sal.hi	K Se	econded By	10140	11
() Representatives	Yes	No	SENATORS	Yes	N
Kep Skarphil			Senetar Salber		
Ren Thorewood B		<u> </u>	Sentational.		-
O Marie C					
Kep. Hurther			Denator Bijapa		
, and the same of			Thank		
		<u></u>			
				-	
otal (Yes)	(0	No	<u></u>		
		$\overline{}$ \mathcal{A}			
hsent		4'		· ************************************	
oor Assignment					
_					

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

deals w/ remate

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1009

That the Senate recede from its amendments as printed on pages 1291 and 1292 of the House Journal and pages 1129 and 1130 of the Senate Journal and that Engrossed House Bill No. 1009 be amended as follows:

- Page 1, line 3, after "Code" insert "and to amend and reenact the new section to chapter 4-35 of the North Dakota Century Code as created by section 3 of House Bill No. 1328, as approved by the fifty-seventh legislative assembly"
- Page 1, line 4, after the first comma insert "the membership of the crop protection product harmonization and registration board,"

Page 2, after line 11, insert:

"SECTION 3. AMENDMENT. The new section to chapter 4-35 of the North Dakota Century Code as created by section 3 of House Bill No. 1328, as approved by the fifty-seventh legislative assembly, is amended and reenacted as follows:

Crop protection product harmonization and registration board - Duties - Grants.

- 1. The crop protection product harmonization and registration board consists of:
 - a. the The governor or the governor's designee;
 - b. the The agriculture commissioner; or the commissioner's designee;
 - c. the The chairman of the house agriculture committee, or the chairman's designee;
 - d. the The chairman of the senate agriculture committee, one erop protection product manufacturing industry representative appointed by the chairman of the legislative council, and two consumers or the chairman's designee;
 - e. A member of the house or senate agriculture committee who is not a member of the faction in which the committee chairman is a member, appointed by the legislative council chairman;
 - f. A crop protection product dealer in the state appointed by the governor from a list of three nominees submitted by the North Dakota agricultural association;
 - g. A consumer of crop protection products appointed by the governor from a list of three nominees submitted by the North Dakota grain growers association:
 - h. A consumer of crop protection products appointed by the governor from a list of three nominees submitted by the North Dakota oilseed council;

- A representative of the crop protection product manufacturing industry appointed by the chairman of the legislative council; and
- j. The director of the North Dakota state university agricultural experiment station.
- 2. The representative of the crop protection product manufacturing industry and the director of the agricultural experiment station shall serve as nonvoting members. The governor or the governor's designee shall serve as chairman of the board.
- 3. The board shall:
 - a. Identify and prioritize crop protection product labeling needs:
 - b. Explore the extent of authority given to this state under the federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act [7 U.S.C. 136a]:
 - c. Identify the data necessary to enable registration of a use to occur in a timely manner;
 - d. Determine what research, if any, is necessary to fulfill data requirements for activities listed in this section;
 - e. Request the agriculture commissioner to pursue specific research funding options from public and private sources;
 - f. Request the North Dakota state university agricultural experiment station to pursue specific research to coordinate registration efforts; and
 - g. Pursue any opportunities to make more crop protection product options available to agricultural producers in this state through any means the board determines advisable.
- 2. 4. The board may contract with a consultant to conduct studies or provide research or information regarding crop protection product registration and labeling needs.
- 3. The board may administer a grant program through which agriculture commodity groups established under this title may apply for funds to be used by the groups to address issues related to the registration of crop protection products. To be eligible for receipt of a grant, an applicant must submit an application to the board which requests a specific amount of funds, specifies the exact purposes for which the grant would be used, and provides a detailed timetable for the use of the grant funds. The board may impose any additional conditions it determines appropriate for grant recipients, including requiring periodic reports and furnishing of matching funds. The board may terminate funding of a previously approved grant at any time if the board is dissatisfied with the performance of the grant recipient.
- 4. 6. The board may use not more than fifteen percent of the funds under its supervision for administrative purposes, including the cost of contracting for administrative services and reimbursement of board member expenses. The members of the board who are members of the legislative assembly are entitled to compensation from the legislative council for attendance at board meetings at the rate provided for members of the legislative assembly for attendance at interim committee meetings and are entitled to

reimbursement for expenses incurred in attending the meetings in the amounts provided by law for other state officers.

5. 7. The board may adopt rules to implement this section."

Renumber accordingly

Date: 4-16-01 Roll Call Vote # Z

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1/6 1009

House + C	2000	160	470NS	Con	ımitte
Subcommittee on	/				
or					
X Conference Committee				•	
Legislative Council Amendment 1			18009 ,0705		
Action Taken	dopt	Qi	neudment		**
Motion Made By Rep The	reson	Se	econded By Sin San	2 Ken	cy -
Representatives	Yes	No	SENATORS	Yes	No
Rep Skriphal	V		Senator Solley		
Rea Thoreson		(Semeter Tonne	,	
2					
Bep. Huether		レ	Denetor Comme		-
				-	
					رسيود مواهور.
and the state of t					******
					t -t
		<i>i</i>			
al (Yes)	4	No	2		
		 	Audula der vermit dels finds der general dels per de		
sent	ر المجهودين ومستنيسين وفود وفوات الماليين والا	4)	and the second s		مستمر جويد ، المتعادمين
or Assignment	more and the control of the control	··			***
ne vote is on an amendment, bries					
ie ance is all cal autonamour anto	ing minipulo				

Date: 4-16 01
Roll Call Vote # 3

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HAS 1000

House	gney	2210	ations	Con	ımitt
Subcommittee on	ι, ν				
or	-				
X Conference Committee				•	
Legislative Council Amendment N	umber				
Action Taken	ack	nt	amendaning	/	
Action Taken 10 Motion Made By Sen &	1 4000	1) 4. C.	ended to the Total	~	
Motion Made By Ziest & X	7-6(177)	<u>CI</u> (Se	conded By XLOTI, 728	riaco	
Representatives	Yes	No	SENATORS	Yes	No
Pop Strangeline.			Low Solliery		1
A 1 1					
Rip Homeson			Lec Tomane	1	
Res Hucklur			Sec Bownas		
V					
					~~~~~
					.
	للحصيل				===
tal (Yes)	3	No	3		
		- //			,
sent	مستحصية مديسة ومستحديه والمراه	4))
or Assignment				10	
ne vote is on an amendment, briefl	v indicate	intent:	,	//	

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1009

That the Senate recede from its amendments as printed on pages 1291 and 1292 of the House Journal and pages 1129 and 1130 of the Senate Journal and that Engrossed House Bill No. 1009 be amended as follows:

Page 1, line 3, after "Code" insert "and to amend and reenact the new section to chapter 4-35 of the North Dakota Century Code as created by section 3 of House Bill No. 1328, as approved by the fifty-seventh legislative assembly"

Page 1, line 4, after the first comma insert "the membership of the crop protection product harmonization and registration board,"

Page 1, line 14, replace "1,361,357" with "1,338,864"

Page 2, line 2, replace "11,192,073" with "11,169,580"

Page 2, line 4, replace "4,393,889" with "4,371,396"

Page 2, after line 11, insert:

"SECTION 3. AMENDMENT. The new section to chapter 4-35 of the North Dakota Century Code as created by section 3 of House Bill No. 1328, as approved by the fifty-seventh legislative assembly, is amended and reenacted as follows:

Crop protection product harmonization and registration board - Duties - Grants.

- 1. The crcp protection product harmonization and registration board consists of:
 - a. the The governor or the governor's designee;
 - b. the The agriculture commissioner, or the commissioner's designee;
 - c. the The chairman of the house agriculture committee; or the chairman's designee;
 - d. the The chairman of the senate agriculture committee; one crop protection product manufacturing industry representative appointed by the chairman of the legislative council; and two consumers or the chairman's designee;
 - e. A member of the house or senate agriculture committee who is not a member of the faction in which the committee chairman is a member, appointed by the legislative council chairman;
 - f. A crop protection product dealer in the state appointed by the governor from a list of three nominees submitted by the North Dakota agricultural association;

- <u>A consumer</u> of crop protection products appointed by the governor from a list of three nominees submitted by the North Dakota grain growers association;
- h. A consumer of crop protection products appointed by the governor from a list of three nominees submitted by the North Dakota oilseed council;
- A representative of the crop protection product manufacturing industry appointed by the chairman of the legislative council; and
- j. The director of the North Dakota state university agricultural experiment station.
- 2. The representative of the crop protection product manufacturing industry and the director of the agricultural experiment station shall serve as nonvoting members. The governor or the governor's designee shall serve as chairman of the board.
- 3. The board shall:
 - a. Identify and prioritize crop protection product labeling needs;
 - b. Explore the extent of authority given to this state under the federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act [7 U.S.C. 136a];
 - c. Identify the data necessary to enable registration of a use to occur in a timely manner;
 - d. Determine what research, if any, is necessary to fulfill data requirements for activities listed in this section;
 - e. Request the agriculture commissioner to pursue specific research funding options from public and private sources;
 - f. Request the North Dakota state university agricultural experiment station to pursue specific research to coordinate registration efforts; and
 - g. Pursue any opportunities to make more crop protection product options available to agricultural producers in this state through any means the board determines advisable.
- 在 4. The board may contract with a consultant to conduct studies or provide research or information regarding crop protection product registration and labeling needs.
- The board may administer a grant program through which agriculture commodity groups established under-this-title may apply for funds to be used by the groups to address issues related to the registration of crop protection products. To be eligible for receipt of a grant, an applicant must submit an application to the board which requests a specific amount of funds, specifies the exact purposes for which the grant would be used, and provides a detailed timetable for the use of the grant funds. The board may impose any additional conditions it determines appropriate for grant recipients, including requiring periodic reports and furnishing of matching funds. The board may terminate funding of a previously approved grant at any time if the board is dissatisfied with the performance of the grant recipient.

- 4. 6. The board may use not more than fifteen percent of the funds under its supervision for administrative purposes, including the cost of contracting for administrative services and reimbursement of board member expenses. The members of the board who are members of the legislative assembly are entitled to compensation from the legislative council for attendance at board meetings at the rate provided for members of the legislative assembly for attendance at interim committee meetings and are entitled to reimbursement for expenses incurred in attending the meetings in the amounts provided by law for other state officers.
- 5. 7. The board may adopt rules to implement this section."
- Page 2, line 23, remove the overstrike over "(Effective through June 30;", after "2001" insert "2003", and remove the overstrike over ") Registration Fees:
- Page 2, remove the overstrike over lines 24 through 31
- Page 3, remove the overstrike over lines 1 through 25
- Page 3, line 26, remove the overstrike over "(Effective July 1,", after "2001" insert "2003", and remove the overstrike over the closing parenthesis

Page 4, line 1, remove "fifty"

Page 5, line 12, replace "select chemicals" with "rinsate"

Page 5, line 13, replace "an estimated \$100,000" with "enough revenues to cover the cost of disposal associated with the rinsate collected"

Renumber accordingly

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT:

House Bill No. 1009 - Department of Agriculture - Conference Committee Action

	EXECUTIVE BUDGET	HOUSE VERSION	CONFERENCE COMMITTEE CHANGES	CONFERENCE COMMITTEE VERSION
Salaries and wages Operating experises Equipment Grants Board of Animal Health Ag mediation Ag in the classroom State meat inspection Waterbank program Pride of Dakota Wildlife services Sale Sand Notious weeds	\$3,519,782 1,361,357 30,400 161,700 538,614 1,075,808 86,000 711,964 900,000 157,050 779,694 599,369 1,346,836	\$3,513,495 1,361,357 30,400 161,700 538,614 1,075,808 96,000 631,750 900,000 157,050 779,694 599,369 1,345,836	(\$22,493)	\$3,513,495 1,338,864 30,400 161,700 538,614 1,075,808 96,000 631,750 900,000 157,050 779,694 599,369
MUXIDUA MEBOS	1,340,030	1,343,630	the strain of the strain of	1,349,639
Total all funds	\$11,278,574	\$11,192,073	(\$22,493)	\$11,169,580
Less estimated income	6,638,291	6,798,184	and the second second second	6,798,184
Gunaral fund	\$4,640,283	\$4,393,889	(\$22,493)	\$4,371,396
FTE	55 50	55 50	0 00	\$5 50

Dept. 602 - Department of Agriculture - Detail of Conference Committee Changes

YATAL

	REDUCE OPERATING !	CONFERENCE COMMITTEE CHANGES
Salaries and wages Operating expenses Equipment Orants Board of Animal Health Ag mediation Ag in the classroom State meal inspection Waterbank program Pride of Dakota Wildlife services Sale Send	(\$22.493)	(\$22 493)
Noxious weeds		-
Total all funds	(\$22,493)	(\$22,493)
Less estimated income		
General lund	(\$22,493)	(\$22,493)
FTE	0.00	0.00

¹ Adjustment to reflect increases in travel authorized in the executive recommendation. Reduces the \$72,493 increase in travel for administration to \$50,000.

The amendment extends the sunset clause on the \$350 pesticide product registration fee until June 30, 2003. The product registration fee will revert to \$300 for each product registered after June 30, 2003. The Conference Committee did not change the Senate language.

The Conference Committee changed the Senate requirement for fees for collection of select chemicals to fees for the collection of rinsate sufficient to cover the cost of disposal associated with the rinsate collected.

The Conference Committee added a section to amend a section created in House Bill No. 1328 relating to the membership of the Crop Protection Product Harmonization and Registration Board.

Date: 4-/6-0/ Roll Call Vote #

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HIS 1009

House	mationa	Committee
Subcommittee on	V	
X Conference Committee	Number 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180	30 120X
Legislative Council Amendment	Number /80	17/11/2 = 3
Action Taken 5-en	lecéder; further	amend
Motion Made By Ser St	Seconded By Rop	Horeson
// Representatives	Yes No SENATOR	The same of the sa
Rep Skarphal	Senatore	Tolley V
Les Therenor	V Senetar To	mee
1)44		
Bep. Heather	Denetor Po	umin
براء كوستونانية المستون والمستون		
otal (Yes)	4 No. 2	
bsent	\oslash	
loor Assignment Rop.	Skarphol.	
the vote is on an amendment, brid	efly indicate intent:	

REPORT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE (420) April 17, 2001 12:26 p.m.

Module No: HR-68-8732

Insert LC: 18009.0208

REPORT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

HB 1009, as engrossed: Your conference committee (Sens. Solberg, Tomac, Bowman and Reps. Skarphol, B. Thoreson, Huether) recommends that the SENATE RECEDE from the Senate amendments on HJ pages 1291-1292, adopt further amendments as follows, and place HB 1009 on the Seventh order:

That the Senate recede from its amendments as printed on pages 1291 and 1292 of the House Journal and pages 1129 and 1130 of the Senate Journal and that Engrossed House Bill No. 1009 be amended as follows:

- Page 1, line 3, after "Code" insert "and to amend and reenact the new section to chapter 4-35 of the North Dakota Century Code as created by section 3 of House Bill No. 1328, as approved by the fifty-seventh legislative assembly"
- Page 1, line 4, after the first comma insert "the membership of the crop protection product harmonization and registration board."
- Page 1, line 14, replace "1,361,357" with "1.338,864"
- Page 2, line 2, replace "11,192,073" with "11.169.580"
- Page 2, line 4, replace "4,393,889" with "4.371,396"
- Page 2, after line 11, insert:

"SECTION 3. AMENDMENT. The new section to chapter 4-35 of the North Dakota Century Code as created by section 3 of House Bill No. 1328, as approved by the fifty-seventh legislative assembly, is amended and reenacted as follows:

Crop protection product harmonization and registration board - Duties - Grants.

- 1. The crop protection product harmonization and registration board consists of:
 - a. the The governor or the governor's designee;
 - b. the The agriculture commissioner or the commissioner's designee;
 - c. the The chairman of the house agriculture committee, or the chairman's designee;
 - d. the The chairman of the senate agriculture committee, one crop protection product manufacturing industry representative appointed by the chairman of the legislative council, and two consumers or the chairman's designee;
 - A member of the house or senate agriculture committee who is not a member of the faction in which the committee chairman is a member, appointed by the legislative council chairman;
 - f. A crop protection product dealer in the state appointed by the governor from a list of three nominees submitted by the North Dakota agricultural association;

Module No: HR-68-8732

Insert LC: 18009.0208

 g. A consumer of crop protection products appointed by the governor from a list of three nominees submitted by the North Dakota grain growers association;

- h. A consumer of crop protection products appointed by the governor from a list of three nominees submitted by the North Dakota oilseed council;
- i. A representative of the crop protection product manufacturing industry appointed by the chairman of the legislative council; and
- j. The director of the North Dakota state university agricultural experiment station.
- 2. The representative of the crop protection product manufacturing industry and the director of the agricultural experiment station shall serve as nonvoting members. The governor or the governor's designee shall serve as chairman of the board.
- 3. The board shall:
 - a. Identify and prioritize crop protection product labeling needs:
 - b. Explore the extent of authority given to this state under the federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act [7 U.S.C. 136a]:
 - c. Identify the data necessary to enable registration of a use to occur in a timely manner;
 - d. Determine what research, if any, is necessary to fulfill data requirements for activities listed in this section;
 - e. Request the agriculture commissioner to pursue specific research funding options from public and private sources;
 - f. Request the North Dakota state university agricultural experiment station to pursue specific research to coordinate registration efforts: and
 - g. Pursue any opportunities to make more crop protection product options available to agricultural producers in this state through any means the board determines advisable.
- 2. 4. The board may contract with a consultant to conduct studies or provide research or information regarding crop protection product registration and labeling needs.
- The board may administer a grant program through which agriculture commodity groups established under this title may apply for funds to be used by the groups to address issues related to the registration of crop protection products. To be eligible for receipt of a grant, an applicant must submit an application to the board which requests a specific amount of funds, specifies the exact purposes for which the grant would be used, and provides a detailed timetable for the use of the grant funds. The board may impose any additional conditions it determines appropriate for grant recipients, including requiring periodic reports and furnishing of matching funds. The board may terminate funding of a previously approved grant at

Module No: HR-68-8732

Insert LC: 18009.0208

any time if the board is dissatisfied with the performance of the grant recipient.

- 4. 6. The board may use not more than fifteen percent of the funds under its supervision for administrative purposes, including the cost of contracting for administrative services and reimbursement of board member expenses. The members of the board who are members of the legislative assembly are entitled to compensation from the legislative council for attendance at board meetings at the rate provided for members of the legislative assembly for attendance at interim committee meetings and are entitled to reimbursement for expenses incurred in attending the meetings in the amounts provided by law for other state officers.
- 5. 7. The board may adopt rules to implement this section."
- Page 2, line 23, remove the overstrike over "(Effective through June 30;", after "2001" insert "2003", and remove the overstrike over ") Registration Fees."
- Page 2, remove the overstrike over lines 24 through 31
- Page 3, remove the overstrike over lines 1 through 25
- Page 4, line 1, remove "fifty"
- Page 5, line 12, replace "select chemicals" with "rinsate"
- Page 5, line 13, replace "an estimated \$100,000" with "enough revenues to cover the cost of disposal associated with the rinsate collected"

Renumber accordingly

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT:

House Bill No. 1009 - Department of Agriculture - Conference Committee Action

	EXECUTIVE BUDGET	HOUSE VERSION	CONFERENCE COMMITTEE CHANGES	GONFERENCE COMMITTEE VERSION
Salanes and wages	\$3,519,782	\$3,513,495		\$3,513,495
Operating expenses	1.361.357	1,361,357	(\$22.493)	1,338.864
Equipment	30.400	30 400		30.400
Grants	161,700	161.700		161,700
Board of Animal Health	538,614	578,614		538.614
Ag mediation	1.075.808	1.075.808		1.075.808
Ag in the classroom	96,000	96,000		96.000
State meat inspection	711.964	631.750		631.750
Waterbank program	900,000	900.000		900.000
Pride of Dakota	157.050	157,050		157.050
Wildlife services	779,694	779,694		779.694
Safe Send	599,369	599,369		599,369
Noxious weads	1,346,836	1,346,836		1,346,836
Total all lunds	\$11,278,574	\$11,192,073	(\$22,493)	\$11,169,580
Less estimated income	6,638,291	6,798,184	* ***	6,798,184
General fund	\$4,640,283	\$4,393,889	(\$22,493)	\$4,371.396
FTE	55 50	55 50	0.00	55.50

REPORT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE (420) April 17, 2001 12:26 p.m.

Module No: HR-68-8732

Insert LC: 18009.0208

Dept. 602 - Department of Agriculture - Detail of Conference Committee Changes

	REDUCE OPERATING 1	TOTAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE CHANGES
Salaries and wages Operating expenses Equipment Grants Board of Ammal Health Ag medialion Ag in the classroom State meat inspection Waterbank program Pride of Dakota Wildfile services Safe Send	(\$72,493)	(\$22 493)
Total all funds	(\$22,493)	(\$22,493)
Less estimated income		
General fund	(\$22,493)	(\$22,493)
FTE	0.00	о ее

¹ Adjustment to reflect increases in fravel authorized in the executive recommendation. Reduces the \$72,493 increase in travel for administration to \$50,000.

The amendment extends the sunset clause on the \$350 pesticide product registration fee until June 30. 2003. The product registration fee will revert to \$300 for each product registered after June 30, 2003. The Conference Committee did not change the Senate language.

The Conference Committee changed the Senate requirement for fees for collection of select chemicals to fees for the collection of rinsate sufficient to cover the cost of disposal associated with the rinsate collected.

The Conference Committee added a section to amend a section created in House Bill No. 1328 relating to the membership of the Crop Protection Product Harmonization and Registration Board.

Engrossed HB 1009 was placed on the Seventh order of business on the calendar.

2001 TESTIMONY

HB 1009

Department 602 - Agriculture Department Touse Bill No. 1009

2001-03 Executive Budget	FTE Positions 55 50	General Fund \$4,640,283	Other Funds \$6,638,291	Total \$11.278,574
1999-2004 Legislative Appropriations	55.50	4.479.271	4,596,441	9 979 712
Increase (Decrease)	0.00	\$161.012	\$2,041,850	\$2,202,862

The 1999-2001 appropriation amounts include \$27,134, \$17,909 of which is from the general fund, for the agency's share of the \$5.4 collion funding pool appropriated to the Office of Management and Budget (OMR) for special market equity adjustments for classified employees. The 1999-2001 appropriation amounts do not include \$462,825 of additional spending authority resulting from Emergency Commission action during the 1999-2001 hiermium.

Major Items Affecting Agriculture Department Budget

	major nema Arteuring Agriculture be	partiment busget		
1	Provides funding for safary equity adjustments effective January 1, 2002 based on the Central Personnel Division's safary market study. The proposed increase is \$166.26 per month for the commissioner and \$151.67 per month for the deputy commissioner. The total increase required for the biennium, including benefits, is \$6.682 or \$6,287 less than the executive recommendation.	General Fund \$12.969	Other Funds	Total \$12 969
2	Replaces general fund moneys with special funds from the environment and rangeland protection fund for the noxious weed program. The following schedule presents the total funding recommended for agriculture programs from the ERP fund:	·\$60 · (d);	\$80,000	\$0
>	Noxious weeds \$1,404.602 Pesticide 314.620 Pesticide disposal (Safe Send) 596.842 Compensation package 25,751 Total \$2,341,815			
3	Replaces general fund moneys with special funds from the anhydrous ammonia storage inspection fund for the Pesticide Anhydrous Division.	(\$ 25 000)	\$25.000	30
4	Replaces general fund moneys with special funds from the game and fish fund for wildlife services (\$200,000) and Board of Animal Health (\$23,000). The following schedule presents the total funding recommended for the game and fish fund:	(\$25/2 (1961)	\$223,000	\$()
	Wildlife services \$200,000 Waterbank program 200,000 Board of Animal Health 68,000			
	Total \$468.000			
5.	Increases funding for the waterbank program from the game and fish fund.		\$200,000	\$200,000
6.	Restores funding for the meat inspection program which was removed in the hold-even budget and increases the funding by \$257,964 from the 1999-2001 level (including salaries and benefits package).	\$.540 (-87)	\$371.882	\$711,064
7	Adds landing from the environment and rangeland protection fund to the noxious weed line item for control of Canada thistle.		\$170,000	\$170,(900)

Major Legislation Affecting the Agriculture Department

Section 2 of Flourie Bill No. 1009 includes the statutory changes nucessary to increase the Agriculture commissioners is may as follows:

Abboal salary authorized by the 1909 Legislative Assembly

July 1, 1999 \$58,262 July 1, 2000 \$59,428 Junuary 1, 2001 \$64,569

Proposed annual salary recommended in the 2001-03 executive budget

July 1, 2001 \$66,509 January 1, 2002 \$68,504 July 1, 2002 \$69,874

Bouse Bill No. 1009 includes estimated income to the Agriculture Department from the following lands

Environment and rangeland protection fund \$2,341.815
Anhydrous ammonia storage inspection fund \$139,775
Game and fish fund \$468,000

House Bill No. 1052 provides a sales and use tax exemption for used farm machinery, farm machinery repair parts, and used irrigation equipment used exclusively for agriculture purposes.

Senate Bill No. 2109 provides for continuing education requirements and annual license requirement and fee for meat processing and slaughtering establishments.

Senate Bill No. 2110 continues the agriculture posticide and posticide container disposal program (Safe Send) through July 31, 2003.

epartment 602 - Agriculture Department Suse Bill No. 1009

2001-03 Schafer Executive Budget	FTE Positions 55.60	General Fund \$4,640,283	Other Funds \$6,638,291	Total \$11,278,574
1999-2001 Legislative Appropriations	55.50	4,479,271	4,596,441	9,075,7121
Increase (Decrease)	0.00	\$161,012	\$2,041,850	\$2,202,862
2001-03 Hoeven Executive Budget	55.50	\$4,440,283	\$6,838,291	\$11,278,574
Hoeven Increase (Decrease) to Schafer	0.00	(\$200,000)	\$200,000	\$0

The 1999-2001 appropriation amounts include \$27,134, \$17,909 of which is from the general fund, for the agency's share of the \$5.4 million funding pool appropriated to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for special market equity adjustments for classified employees. The 1999-2001 appropriation amounts do not include \$462,825 of additional spending authority resulting from Emergency Commission action during the 1999-2001 biennium.

Major Schafer Recommendations Affecting Agriculture Department Budget

	Major Schafer Recommend	dations Affecting Agr	loulture Departmer	nt Budget	
1	Provides funding for salary equity adjustments effect based on the Central Personnel Division's salary methodological increase is \$166.26 per month for the cor \$151.67 per month for the deputy commissioner. To required for the blennium, including benefits, is \$6,6 than the executive recommendation.	arket study. The nmissioner and The total increase	General Fund \$12,069	Other Funds	Total \$12,969
	Replaces general fund moneys with special funds fr and rangeland protection fund for the noxious weed following schedule presents the total funding recom agriculture programs from the ERP fund:	program. The mended for	(\$80,000)	\$80,000	‡0
	Noxious weeds Pesticide Pesticide disposal (Safe Send) Compensation package	\$1,404,U02 314,620 596,842 25,751			
	Total	\$2,341,815			
3.	Replaces general fund moneys with special funds fr ammonia storage inspection fund for the Pesticide A		(\$25,000)	\$25,000	\$0
4.	Replaces general fund moneys with special funds fright fund for wildlife services (\$200,000) and Board (\$23,000). The following schedule presents the total recommended for the game and fish fund:	of Animal Health	(\$223,000)	\$223,000	\$0
	Wildlife services Waterbank program Board of Animal Health	\$200,000 200,000 68,000			
	Total	\$468,000			
5.	Increases funding for the waterbank program from the fund.	ne game and fish		\$200,000	\$200,000
6.	Restores funding for the meat inspection program with hold-even budget and increases the funding by \$1999-2001 level (including salaries and benefits pac	\$257,964 from the	\$340,082	\$371,882	\$711,964
7.	Adds funding from the environment and rangeland p noxious weed line item for control of Canada thistle.	rotection fund to the		\$170,000	\$170,000

Major Hoeven Recommendations Affecting Agriculture Department 2001-03 Budget Compared to the Bill as Introduced (Schafer Budget)

General Fund

Other Funds

Total

1. Replaces general fund moneys with special funds from the game and fish fund for wildlife services. The total amount from the game and fish fund for wildlife services is \$400,000.

(\$200,000) \$200,000

\$0

Major Legislation Affecting the Agriculture Department

Section 2 of House Bill No. 1009 includes the statutory changes necessary to increase the Agriculture Commissioner's salary as follows:

Annual salary authorized by the 1999 Legislative Assembly:

 July 1, 1999
 \$58,262

 July 1, 2000
 \$59,428

 January 1, 2001
 \$64,569

Proposed annual salary recommended in the 2001-03 executive budget:

 July 1, 2001
 \$66,509

 January 1, 2002
 \$68,504

 July 1, 2002
 \$69,874

House Bill No. 1009 includes estimated income to the Agriculture Department from the following funds:

Environment and rangeland protection fund \$2,341,815
Anhydrous ammonia storage inspection fund \$139,775
Game and fish fund \$468,000

House Bill No. 1052 provides a sales and use tax exemption for used farm machinery, farm machinery repair parts, and used irrigation equipment used exclusively for agriculture purposes.

Senate Bill No. 2109 provides for continuing education requirements and annual license requirement and fee for meat processing and slaughtering establishments.

hate Bill No. 2110 continues the agriculture pesticide and pesticide container disposal program (Safe Send) through July 31, 2003.

Department 602 - Agriculture Department House Bill No. 1009

2001-03 Schafer Executive Budget	FTE Positions 55.50	General Fund \$4,640,283	Other Funds \$6,638,291	Fotal \$11 278 574
1999-2001 Legislative Appropriations	55.50	4,479,271	4,596,441	9,075,7121
Increase (Decrease)	0.00	\$161 012	\$2,041,850	\$2,202,862
2001-03 Hoeven Executive Budget	55.50	\$4,440,283	\$6,838,291	\$11,278,574
Hoeven Increase (Decrease) to Schafer	0.00	(\$200,000)	\$200,000	\$0

The 1999-2001 appropriation amounts include \$27,134, \$17,909 of which is from the general fund, for the agency's share of the \$5.4 million funding pool appropriated to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for special market equity adjustments for classified employees. The 1999-2001 appropriation amounts do not include \$462,825 of additional spending authority resulting from Emergency Commission action during the 1999-2001 blennium.


Major Schafer Recommendations Affecting Agriculture Department Budget

		or o		
1	Provides funding for salary equity adjustments effective January 1, 2002 based on the Central Personnel Division's salary market study. The proposed increase is \$166.26 per month for the commissioner and \$151.67 per month for the deputy commissioner. The total increase required for the blennium, including benefits, is \$6,682 or \$6,287 less than the executive recommendation. (The House reduced this amount by \$6,287 for the market equity adjustment.)	General Fund \$12,969	Other Funds	Total \$12,969
2	Replaces general fund moneys with special funds from the environment and rangeland protection fund for the noxious weed program. The following schedule presents the total funding recommended for agriculture programs from the ERP fund:	(\$80,000)	\$80,000	\$0
	Noxious weeds \$1,404,602 Pesticide 314,620 Pesticide disposal (Safe Send) 596,842 Compensation package 25,751			
	Total \$2,341,815	•		
3.	Replaces general fund moneys with special funds from the anhydrous ammonia storage inspection fund for the Pesticide Anhydrous Division.	(\$25,000)	\$25,000	\$0
4.	Replaces general fund moneys with special funds from the game and fish fund for wildlife services (\$200,000) and Board of Animal Health (\$23,000). The following schedule presents the total funding recommended for the game and fish fund:	(\$223,000)	\$223,000	\$0
	Wildlife services \$200,000 Waterbank program 200,000 Board of Animal Health 68,000			
	Total \$468,000			
5.	Increases funding for the waterbank program from the game and fish fund.		\$200,000	\$200,000
6.	Restores funding for the meat Inspection program which was removed in the hold-even budget and increases the funding by \$257,964 from the 1999-2001 level (including salaries and benefits package). (The House removed funding of \$80,214 for one meat Inspector position.)	\$340,082	\$371,882	\$711,964
7.	Adds funding from the environment and rangeland protection fund to the noxious weed line item for control of Canada thistle.		\$ 170, 000	\$170,000

Major Hoeven Recommendations Affecting Agriculture Department 2003-03 Budget Compared to the Bill as Introduced (Schafer Budget)

1 Replaces general fund moneys with special funds from the gard care; fish fund for wildlife services. The total amount from the game and fish fund for wildlife services is \$400,000. (The House authorized the replacement of \$200,000 of general fund moneys with game and fish funds.)

General Fund Other Funds Total



Major Legislation Affecting the Agriculture Department

Section 2 of House Bill No. 1009 includes the statutory changes necessary to increase the Agni ulture Commissioner's salary as follows:

Annual salary authorized by the 1999 Legislative Assembly:

 July 1, 1999
 \$58,262

 July 1, 2000
 \$59,428

 January 1, 2001
 \$64,669

Proposed annual salary recommended in the 2001-03 executive budget:

July 1, 2001 \$66,509 January 1, 2002 \$68,604 July 1, 2002 \$69,874

House Bill No. 1009 includes estimated income to the Agriculture Department from the following funds:

Environment and rangeland protection fund \$2,341,815
Anhydrous ammonia storage inspection fund \$139,775
Game and fish fund \$468,000

House Bill No. 1052 provides a sales and use tax exemption for used farm machinery, farm machinery repair parts, and used irrigation equipment used exclusively for agriculture purposes. (This bill has passed the House.)

House Bill No. 1286 provides a continuing appropriation of certain inspection fee revenues to the Agriculture Department agricultural product inspections.

House Bill No. 1349 provides a general fund appropriation of \$150,000 to the Agriculture Commissioner for expanding and developing agriculture in the classroom criteria.

House Bill No. 1445 provides a continuing appropriation to the Agriculture Commissioner of moneys in the chemical and rebate equity fund to give rebates to persons who apply pesticides.

Senate Bill No. 2109 provides for continuing education requirements and annual license requirement and fee for meat processing and slaughtering establishments.

Senate Bill No. 2110 continues the agriculture pesticide and pesticide container disposal program (Safe Send) through July 31, 2003. (This bill has passed the Senate.)

Senate Bill No. 2216 eliminates the sunset provisions for the agriculture mediation service program.

Summary of Legislative Changes Resulting From First House Action

See Statement of Purpose of Amendment (attached).

TATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT

House Bill No. 1009 - Funding Summery

	L recutive Budget	House Changes	House Version
Department Of Agriculture	,		
Salaries and wages	\$3.519.782	36.287	\$1.513.495
Operating expenses	1.361.352		1 361,357
Equipment	30 400		30,400
Grants	161,700		161,700
Board of grimal health	538.614		538,614
Ag mediation	1.075.808		1.075.808
Ag in the classicom	96,000		96,000
State meat inspection	711,964	(80.214)	631,750
Waterbank program	900,000		900,000
Pride of Dakou	157,050		157,950
Wildlife services	779,694		779,694
Safe send	599,369		499,369
Noxious weeds	1,346.836		1,346.836
Total all funds	\$11,278,574	(\$86,50))	\$11,192,073
Less estimated income	6,638.291	159,893	6.798,184
General fund	\$4,640,283	(\$246,394)	\$4,393,889
FTE	55 50	0.00	55 50
Bill total			
Total all funds	\$11,278,574	(\$86,501)	\$11,192,073
Less estimated income	6,638,291	159,893	6,798,184
General fund	\$4,640,283	(\$246,394)	\$4,393,889
FTE	55 50	0 00	55.50

House Bill No. 1009 - Department Of Agriculture - House Action

	Executive Budget	House Changes	House Version
Salaries and wages	\$3,519,782	(\$6,287)	\$3,513,495
Operating expenses	1,361,357	1	1,361,357
Equipment	30,400		30,400
Orants	161,700		161,700
Board of animal health	538,614	ĺ	538,614
Ag mediation	1,075,808	ļ	1,075,808
Ag in the classroom	96,000		96,000
State meat inspection	711,964	(80,214)	631,750
Waterbank program	900,000	,	900,000
Pride of Dakota	157,050	•	157,050
Wildlife services	779,694		779,694
Safe send	599,369	Ì	599,369
Noxious weeds	1,346,836		1,346,836
Total all funds	\$11,278,574	(\$86,501)	\$11,192,073
Less estimated income	6,638,291	159,893	6,798,184
General fund	\$4,640,283	(\$246,394)	\$4,393,889
FTE	55.50	0 00	55.50

Department No. 602 Department Of Agriculture - Detail of House Changes

	Adjust Market Equity Salaty Increase!	Unfund 1411 Mest Inspector Position	Lunding Solar ce Change ¹	Lotal II was Changes
Salaries and makes	(\$6.287)			Sec. 28
Operating expenses				1
) quipment				
Grants				}
Bourd of animal health				İ
Ag mediation				
Ag in the classioom				
State meat inspection		(86,214)		(80.2147)
Waterbank program				1
Pride of Dakota				1
Wildlife services				1
Safe send				!
Novious weeds	, is provided in the distribution form as (x,y) and then $(x,y)\in \mathbb{R}$ with all	and the second s	A RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY.	
Total all funds	(\$6,287)	(\$80.214)	\$0	(\$86,501)
Less estimated income	0	(40,107)	200,000	159 893 '
General fund	(\$6,287)	(\$40,107)	(\$200,000)	(\$246,394)
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

A section is added authorizing funds from the environment and rangeland protection fund to be used for harmonization of crop protection product standards.

A section is added continuing the \$350 biennial pesticide product registration fee. Under current law, the fee would have reverted to \$300 on July 31, 2001.

A section is added requiring the Agriculture Commissioner, in consultation with the advisory board for the Safe Send program, to establish fees for collection of select chemicals. The fees are to be established at a level sufficient to generate \$100,000 during the 2001-03 biennium, to be deposited in the environment and rangeland protection fund, to defray the costs of Project Safe Send.

A section is added requiring the Agriculture Commissioner, in consultation with the advisory board for the Safe Send program, to research the potential of recycling selected products collected under Project Safe Send.

This amendment reduces, from \$12,969 to \$6,682, the amount provided for a market equity salary increase for the commissioner and deputy commissioner. The amount included in the bill will provide for a monthly increase of \$166.25 for the commissioner and \$151.67 for the deputy commissioner and related fringe benefits for the last 18 months of the biennium.

² This is Governor Hoeven's recommended change from Governor Schafer's budget recommendation for the Department of Agriculture. This proposed amendment replaces \$200,000 of general fund moneys with North Dakota game and fish funds for the wildlife services line item. The total amount from the game and fish fund for the wildlife services line item is \$400.000.

1 1 1



NORTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

ROCHEJOSTAVOS, COMMISSIONAR

BUDGET PRESENTATION

FOR THE

2001-2003 BIENNIUM

January 9, 2001

NORTH DAKOTA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS
THE HON. MIKE TIMM, CHAIRMAN
GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE
THE HON, REX R. BYERLY, CHAIRMAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Mission Statement	1
Introduction	2
Organizational Chart	4
Executive Services	5
Agricultural Mediation	7
Livestock Services	10
PLANT INDUSTRIES	13
Enhancements to the Governor's Recommended Budget	17
Supplemental Information	18

The Department's Vision ...

To provide North Dakota agriculture with the services and leadership necessary to make North Dakota the trusted provider of the highest quality food in the world with prosperous family farms, thriving rural communities and world class stewardship of resources.

The Department's Mission ...

The North Dakota Department of Agriculture fosters the long-term well-being of North Dakota by promoting a healthy economic, environmental and social climate for agriculture and the rural community through leadership, advocacy, education, regulation and other services. To carry out its mandate, the Department of Agriculture is committed to the following responsibilities:

- Serving as an advocate for family farmers and for the rure! community.
- Providing services that ensure safe, high-quality and marketable agricultural products.
- Developing and expanding markets for agricultural products.
- Reducing the risk of financial loss to agricultural producers and to buyers and sellers of agricultural commodities.
- Ensuring compliance with laws administered by the North Dakota Department of Agriculture through understandable regulations, information, education and even-handed enforcement.
- Ensuring human safety and protecting the environment through proper use of pesticides.
- Providing services to reduce agricultural losses from noxious weeds, animal depredation, insects and diseases.
- Ensuring the quality and availability of pesticides, fertilizers, veterinary medicines and animal feeds through testing and registration.
- Protecting and improving the health, welfare, quality and marketability of livestock and other domestic animals.
- Gathering and disseminating information concerning agriculture to the general public.
- Providing fair and timely dispute resolution services to agricultural producers, creditors and others.

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture is a driving force in North Dakota's economy, employing nearly one-quarter of our work force in both production agriculture and ag-related businesses and accounting for a significant portion of our state's economic base. Agriculture generated more than \$3 billion in cash receipts last year.

North Dakota is home to some of the most productive agricultural land on earth, and we are known around the world as the producers of high quality foodstuffs, produced by hard working, high quality people. We lead the nation in the production of durum and spring wheat, barley, sunflowers, pinto beans, dry edible beans, flaxseed and canola. Unfortunately, production without adequate prices does not create profitable opportunities in agriculture.

Our two largest agricultural industries – wheat and cattle – have brought low and negative returns to producers in recent years. The average net return per acre of wheat has been negative for three years in a row.

The sustained period of low prices across most agricultural commodities has been in addition to a rash of natural disasters that have plagued North Dakota farmers in the past several years. Congress approved economic disaster assistance each of the past three years to address disasters and bolster farm income. North Dakota farmers and ranchers will have received \$1 billion in disaster assistance for the 1998, 1999, and 2000 crop years.

The North Dakota Department of Agriculture's (NDDA) vision for the future is "to provide North Dakota agriculture with the services and leadership necessary to make North Dakota the trusted provider of the highest quality food in the world with prosperous family farms, thriving rural communities and world-class stewardship of resources."

We're working to build animal agriculture in the state through new dairy initiatives, the state meat inspection program, and new, alternative marketing opportunities.

We're working to harmonize pesticide use, regulations, and enforcement across international borders.

We're disposing of unusable and unsafe pesticides - more than 500 tons since 1992.

We're using the Internet and World Wide Web to help Pride of Dakota

ROGER JOHNSON COMMISSIONER companies market North Dakota products directly to customers around the world through www.shopnd.com.

We're assisting businesses and individuals in our state in applying for federal funding through such programs as the Market Access Program (MAP) and the Federal-State Marketing Improvement Program (FSMIP).

We're working in cooperation with commodity groups to better the agricultural economy of our state.

We're educating a new generation about agriculture and food from family farms through the Ag in the Classroom Program.

We're providing negotiation and mediation services to farmers and ranchers with financial problems and assisting with loan restructuring and applications.

We're working with local weed boards, partners, and landowners to control the spread of noxious weeds – millions of flea beetles were coffected and distributed last year to control leafy spurge throughout the state.

The four program areas of the North Dakota Department of Agriculture – Executive Services, Agricultural Mediation, Livestock Services, and Plant Industries – are committed to providing assistance and services to agricultural producers.

Agriculture Commissioner Roger Johnson

Deputy Commissioner .'off Weispfenning

Assistant to the Commissioner Joanne Beckman

Executive Services Ken Junkert

Accounting

Lynnette Baumiller Jet Collins

Marketing Services Shannen Bornsen Sara Wagner-Budeau Bobbi Fortier Talmadge

Policy & Communications Patrice Eblen Ted Quantud

Data Coordinator Roberta Tjaden

Administrative Secretary Bonnie Sundby

Plant Industries Jeff Olson

Plant Protection

David Nelson Phillip Mason

Pesticide

Jerry Thompson Jim Gray Dave Harsche **Brad Meckle** Joel Owen Pat O'Neil Julie Tronson Garry Wagner Dan Sleiten Doug Johnston

Noxious Weeds

John Leppert Ken Eraas

Apiary/Safe Send/Waterbank

Judy Carlson

Administrative Assistants

Elaine Sayler Cindy Wooldridge

Livestock Services Wayne Carlson

Dairy/Poultry

Director (vacant)

Iris Stenerson John Ringsrud Tracey Walth Orville Payne Jason Wirtz Gary Molstad

State Veterinarian

Dr. Larry Schuler Dr. Susan Keller

Meat Inspection

Dr. Andrea Grondahl Jordan Woodbury Corey Schornack David Slack

Wildlife Services

Philip Mastrangelo Kirby Morgenstern* Russell Schmidt* Joseph Carpenter* Michael Duncan* Jerald Shoemaker* Scott Evens* Gregory Simonson* Joseph Grimson* Mark Zoun* Joe Lyons* Gary Larson*

Administrative Secretary

Tammy Celley

Office Assistant **Becky Gietzen**

Agricultural Mediation Jeff Knudson

Coordinator Tom Silbernagel

Negotiators

Tony Wixo Patsy Otto Jim Bredwick

Administrative Assistant

Betty Nelson

Non-FTE Negotiators and Mediators

Joe Dekker Paul Overby Clarence Farber Kevin Rime Herman Haas Ken Kadrmas Don Kuhn

Kenneth Rogers Larry Smith Ronald Willardsen

James Mathiason

^{*} Federal employees pald with state funds.

EXECUTIVE SERVICES

Executive Services provides administration, coordination, and support to all department program areas. The following services are provided:

- Administration Accounting Agriculture in the Classroom
- Policy & Communications
 Computer Services
- Marketing Services
 Reception Services

Agriculture Commissioner

In addition to overseeing the programs and activities of the Department of Agriculture, the Agriculture Commissioner serves on numerous boards and commissions, including:

- N.D. Industrial Commission North Dakota Water Commission
- Board of Tax Equalization Ag Products Utilization Commission
- N.D. Dairy Promotion Commission N.D. Barley Council
- N.D. Seed Commission N.D. Pesticide Control Board
- N.D. Edible Bean Council Interstate Compact on Pest Control
- N.D. Oilseed Council N.D. Soil Conservation Committee
- N.D. Agriculture in the Classroom Council N.D. Potato Council
- N.D. Seed Arbitration Board
 N.D. Disaster Emergency Board
- USDA Food and Agriculture Council
- State Board of Agricultural Research and Education

Marketing Services

Increasing sales of North Dakota agricultural commodities and valueadded agricultural products in international, domestic, and local markets through education, promotion, and market enhancement is the principal task of Marketing Services.


Marketing Services helps North Dakota companies obtain federal grants. The Department is a member of the Mid-America International Agri-Trade Council (MIATCO). Through MIATCO, North Dakota food and ag businesses can apply for reimbursement of export promotion expenses. Also, USDA's Federal-State Marketing Improvement Program allocates funds through the North Dakota Department of Agriculture.

During this biennium North Dakota companies have received more than \$60,000 in FSMIP grants and more than \$199,720 through MIATCO.

Pride of Dakota is the centerpiece of Marketing Services activities. Former Commissioner of Agriculture Kent Jones created the program in 1985 to

Ken Junkert Program Manager

2001-2003 Governor's Budget Funding Sources




☐ General \$1,711,229

Federal \$61,700

□ Special \$96,000

provide North Dakota companies with a recognizable state "brand," as well as the opportu-



nity to grow through joint marketing efforts by member companies.

The program assists North Dakota companies through trade show subsidies, retail and wholesale trade show organization, trade missions, seminars, cooperative advertising and promotions, one-on-one counseling and business opportunities.

Pride of Dakota has grown increasingly strong over the years. The membership has increased from 20 members in 1985 to more than 315 current members.

Marketing Services has developed an Internet mall – www.shopnd.com – providing Pride of Dakota companies an opportunity to reach out-of-state customers at a very low cost. This project is being funded in part by USDA.

Agriculture in the Classroom

Agriculture in the Classroom fosters a greater awareness by elementary and secondary school students of the importance of agriculture through development of educational materials and training of teachers. Activities include in-service training, for-credit classes, a traveling classroom, and computer activities.

The line item for this program authorizes the Department of Agriculture to raise the budgeted amount to conduct program activities. The state provides only spending authority for this program.


Executive Services Budget Comparisons			
	1999-2001	2001-2003	
Salaries Operating Equipment Pride of Dakota	\$1,172,736 236,368 8,700 151,516 96,000	\$1,287,998 257,481 8,700 157,050 96,000	
Ag in the Classroom <u>Grants</u>	61,700 \$1,727,020	61,700 \$1,868,929	
FTEs	13	13	

AGRICULTURAL MEDIATION

The North Dakota Agricultural Mediation Service (AMS) offers negotiation and mediation services to resolve differences among creditors, farmers and others.

Mediation is a voluntary process for farmers and private creditors, but it is mandatory with FSA and FCS and is requested as a matter of policy by the Bank of North Dakota on delinquent loans. Mediators are trained as impartial third parties who serve as intermediaries between farmers and others to resolve disputes outside court. Mediation is less costly and faster than formal appeals and litigation. It produces greater levels of satisfaction for the participants and allows the parties to deal with the entire problem. Mediation works. In farm credit cases that are otherwise headed to foreclosure, agreements are reached most of the time.

AMS CLIENTS




Negotiators help farmers and ranchers with financial problems, loan restructuring and loan applications. Negotiators also assist farmers in preparing information for solving non-credit disputes. Negotiators worked with 662 farmers in the last fiscal year (see chart above).

With the total of government and insurance payments exceeding net farm income, it is evident that much of what happens to the farm economy and the demand for AMS services is subject to future changes in federal farm policy, continued federal disaster assistance and livestock/commodity prices.

Although most AMS field staff (negotiators and mediators) have worked

JEFF KNUDSON PROGRAM MANAGER

2001-2003 Governor's Budget Funding Sources



☐ General

\$178,233

■ Federal

\$750,851


☐ Special

\$146,724

for NDDA for many years, they are temporary employees who are paid hourly wages and receive no benefits.


The following chart shows that the number of AMS employees (FTEs and non-FTEs) has decreased to 17 in the past two years.

AMS Employees



The AMS client caseload has declined slightly over the past several years. Staff levels have been reduced and administrative duties streamlined to ensure that client needs are met in the most cost-effective manner possible.

Clients per AMS Employee



Other activities

In February 2000, Job Service North Dakota contracted AMS to help inform and refer eligible persons to the Survival 2000 program, which provides re-employment and retraining services to eligible farm/ranch operators, family members, and farm/ranch employees.

AMS continues as an active member of the North Dakota Rural Survival Task Force (RSTF), an ad hoc group comprised of numerous public and nonprofit entities, as well as individuals interested in rural affairs.

The AMS actively supports and informs its farm family clients of Healthy Steps, the Children's Health insurance Program (CHIP).

In February 2000, AMS hosted North Dakota Community Action district representatives at its training seminar. Personnel from both agencies identified needs, barriers and ideas to close service gaps for rural families in need of support.

The Rural Support Project, a response of Catholic Family Services to the rural crisis, provided financial assistance and support to AMS and many of its limited resource clients.

AMS continues to work with Lutheran Social Services/Lutheran Rural Response on a variety of initiatives, including referrals and cooperative casework.

In response to the flooding in central and eastern North Dakota, AMS personnel staffed a series of Federal Emergency Management Agency Disaster Recovery Centers. AMS provided information regarding agricultural financial issues and programs to those visiting the recovery centers.

These activities and others continue to make significant progress in the establishment and maintenance of a network of public, private and non-profit resources for farmers and rural North Dakotans. Many cooperative working relationships have been established, leading to better awareness of and referrals to appropriate resources.

In addition to the local benefits of the AMS, certified state mediation programs are recognized for saving significant taxpayer dollars through federal government savings. The 106th Congress passed and the president signed legislation extending the sunset of the USDA Mediation Grants

Program until 2005. The bipartisan support of this federal legislation is a strong endorsement of state mediation programs as a cost-saving means of dispute resolution.

The following excerpt from the national Farm Service Agency News recognizes the cost savings of disputes resolved through mediation versus formal administrative appeals.

The successful program is continually growing for a good reason – it works. The number of mediation clients increased from 4,140 in FY 1999 to over 4,673 in FY 2000. And the number of agreements or resolutions increased from 2,898 in FY 1999 to over 3,411 in FY 2000. Not to mention,

dollars were saved: Mediation, at \$400 to \$750 per case, offers significant savings over national level administrative hearings, which cost around \$3,500 per case.

Summary

Mediation resolves disputes faster, is more cost effective, and provides greater satisfaction for the involved parties than other more formal methods of dispute resolution, such as appeals or litigation. As a proven, cost-effective common sense approach to resolving problems, the North Dakota AMS helps streamline government by keeping decision-making as local as possible.

Mediation	Budget Cor	mparisons

	1999-2001	2001-2003
Salaries	\$726,288	\$827,038
Operating	\$205,030	\$243,770
Equipment	<u>\$ 364</u>	<u>\$5,000</u>
	\$931,682*	\$1,075,808

^{*} Includes \$130,000 in additional Emergency Commission federal authority.

LIVESTOCK SERVICES

Livestock Services is comprised of the Livestock Licensing Section, Dairy/ Poultry Section, State Board of Animal Flealth, State Meat Inspection and Wildlife Services. The main focus of the program area is regulating North Dakota's livestock industry.

WAYNE CARLSON PROGRAM MANAGER

Livestock Licensing

The livestock industry traditionally ranks as one of the most important sectors in North Dakota's economy. Current livestock numbers are 1.92 million cattle, 134,000 sheep and 205,000 hogs with a total value of approximately \$1.27 billion.

The Livestock Licensing Section protects this industry by licensing livestock dealers and auction markets. Approximately 160 dealers and 16 auction markets are granted licenses after posting bond, filing financial statements and passing tests of financial responsibility. Field investigations are routinely carried out to monitor financial conditions of dealers and auction markets and to discover unlicensed dealers.

Dairy Section

The Dairy Section protects, encourages, promotes, and enhances the marketability of North Dakota's dairy and poultry resources by assisting the industry in complying with statutes and regulations.


The section is administered by the director of dairy/poultry services with one staff/clerical person located in Bismarck. Three dairy inspectors visit the state's 640 dairy farms an average of 2.5 times a year. Each farm is inspected for cleanliness of equipment, proper usage and storage of drugs and water purity.

The state's 12 dairy processing plants and nine milk transfer stations are inspected four or more times annually. Distribution facilities, farm bulk trucks and samplers/haulers are also inspected.

One inspector performs the survey (auditing) work of the Interstate Milk Shippers program (Grade A). This involves 38 milk producer groups, five plants, and four transfer/receiving stations. The same individual performs USDA inspections of 12 manufacturing grade plants or transfer stations under a continuing contractual agreement.

Efforts to ensure a milk supply free of chemical/drug residues continue to occupy a large amount of time and resources of the dairy section. The field staff also conducted 150 inspections of non-traditional livestock

2001-2003 Governor's Budget Funding Sources



☐ Special \$318,000 for the state veterinarian's office this year.

Dairy Pollution Prevention Program

The Department of Agriculture received a \$675,000 grant from the Environmental Protection Agency for the Dairy Pollution Prevention Program (DP3). The three-year program provides dairy producers with financial, technical and educational assistance to help them identify, reduce or eliminate releases of livestock waste into surface or groundwater. A cost-share program, DP3 is voluntary and entirely non-regulatory. The program pays 60 percent of approved expenses, and the producer pays 40 percent.

Poultry Services

Dairy section personnel carry out all poultry division responsibilities. North Dakota currently has 12 licensed commercial egg producers that are inspected once a year. All in-state and out-of-state hatcheries are licensed and bonded.

Board of Animal Health

The State Board of Animal Health became a division of the Department of Agriculture in 1995. Employees include two veterinarians and two support staff. The board consists of seven members, appointed by the governor, as outlined in N.D. Century Code 36-01-01.

The Board of Animal Health is charged with protecting the health of the domestic animals and nontraditional livestock of this state. The board also determines and employs the most efficient and practical means for the prevention, suppression, control, and eradication of dangerous, contagious diseases among the state's domestic animals and nontraditional livestock. The board must also prevent the escape and release of animals injurious to or competitive with agriculture, horticulture, forestry,

wild animals and other natural resources. Any matter relating to the health and welfare of domestic animals and nontraditional livestock that is not specifically assigned by statute to another entity is deemed to be within the board's authority.

The State Board of Animal Health and the North Dakota Game and Fish Department have a cooperative agreement to regulate non-traditional livestock. Game and Fish provided \$45.000 last biennium for these activities.

Voluntary programs help producers eliminate diseases from their herds. Voluntary disease control programs provide recognition for and certification of these efforts. The board has recently approved a voluntary Johne's Disease Herd Status Program for the state.

Free trade agreements have greatly increased the movement of animals and animal products. Consequently, the potential for an emerging disease outbreak is greater. The state has developed an animal health emergency management plan to assure a quick and decisive response to such an outbreak.

Meat Inspection

The 1999 North Dakota Legislature authorized development of a North Dakota State Meat Inspection Program. The program was approved as "equal to federal" by the U.S. Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) on Oct. 19, 2000.

As part of the cooperative agreement between FSIS and NDDA, FSIS provides a 50 percent match for all inspection activity expenditures, excluding inspection of any non-amenable species, such as bison or elk.

The program ensures the quality and safety of meat products while providing opportunities to small livestock producers and meat processors to market their products locally.

The program is administered by the director of state meat inspection with a supervisor/compliance officer located in Bismarck. The supervisor and two field inspectors visit the state's 96 custom exempt plants at least four times per year. Each plant is inspected for sanitation of facilities and equipment.

The two field inspectors also regularly visit official state establishments. As of Jan. 31,2001, there will be four official state establishments. Inspectors also monitor slaughter and/or processing activities on a daily or weekly basis.

In addition to regular inspection duties, the meat inspection staff participates in educational activities. Inspectors are continually offering education and consultation to plant owners when visiting.

Wildlife Services

The Wildlife Services division of United States

Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service works in partnership with the North Dakota Department of Agriculture and the North Dakota Game and Fish Department to minimize the negative impacts wildlife has on the lives and livelihood of North Dakotans.

Wildlife Services helps manage predator damage to livestock, blackbird damage to sunflower and other grain crops, beaver damage to trees and roadways, waterfowl damage to crops, urban wildlife problems, and wildlife hazards at airports. Each year blackbirds are responsible for \$3-5 million in losses to sunflowers and other grain crops. Predation on livestock, along with waterfowl and beaver damage, accounts for an additional \$1.5 million in losses each year. Disease transmission and encounters between aircraft and wildlife also threaten human health and safety.

Livestock Services Budget Comparisons			
	1999-2001	2001-2003	
Salaries	\$609,710	\$743,890	
Operating	213,979	648,431	
Equipment	1,700	5,200	
Board of Animal Health	504,603	538,614	
Meat Inspection	454,000	711,964	
Wildlife Services	<u>779,694</u>	<u>779,694</u>	
	\$2,563,686	\$3,427,793	
FTEs	18.5	18.5	

PLANT INDUSTRIES

The main focus of the Plant Industries Program Area is crop production. The program area is comprised of the following sections: Pesticide, Registration, Plant Protection, Noxious Weeds and Apiary.

JEFF OLSON PROGRAM MANAGER

Pesticide

The Pesticide Section is 85 percent funded by federal grants.

Minor Use Fund

The section administers the Minor Use pesticide grant program through the Pesticide Control Board. Prior to the 1999-2001 biennium, five projects were funded from the Minor Use Fund. The total expenditure for the first biennium was \$35,276.09. The reason for the low number of projects was the time needed for the start-up process of the fund and the limitations of eligible projects.

During the 1999-2001 biennium, the Legislature expanded the eligibility qualifications of projects for the Minor Use Fund. The 1999-2001 Minor Use Fund budget was \$599,723. To date, 12 projects have been funded at a cost of \$547,106.23. Of these project requests, eight were from NDSU research personnel and four were from private entities.

Harmonization


The pesticide section has been very active in pesticide harmonization efforts. Representatives have participated in NAFTA Technical Working Group meetings. The section has actively worked with the EPA to draft federal legislation that would allow import of Canadian pesticides.


The section attended meetings sponsored by the Legislative Harmonization Committee in October 1999 in Minot, March 2000 in Washington, DC; June 2000 in Northwood, and September 2000 in Bismarck. The section supplied reports to the Committee on the Jepartment's participation in activities regarding pesticide harmonization.

Enforcement

The pesticide section enforces state and federal laws regarding the use of pesticides, as mandated by the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, while acting as an advocate for farmers and ranchers who depend on agricultural chemicals.

2001-2003
Governor's Budget
Funding Sources





- The Endangered Species Pesticide Management Program,
- The Groundwater Protection Strategy for Pesticides,
- · The Worker Protection Program.

The section prepares Emergency Exemption (Section 18) requests to the EPA for pesticides to address weed, disease, and insect out-breaks in the state. In 1999 and 2000, the EPA approved 53 of 56 requests. These pesticides were used on crops consisting of durum, barley, sunflowers, canola, flax, dry beans, sugarbeets, and hard red spring wheat. This section also is responsible for approving Special Local Needs (24c) registrations.


Project Safe Send

The section administers the Project Safe Send program that helps farmers and others to dispose of unusable, and old pesticides. Project Safe Send has collected more than 500 tons of hazardous and unusable chemicals from over 3,000 participants since its inception in 1992. The division also coordinates the disposal of empty pesticide containers.

Registration

The registration section is responsible for enforcing the provisions of the North Dakota Insecticides, Fungicides, and Rodenticides Act (Ch. 19-18), Commercial Feed Law (Ch. 19-13.1), Livestock Medicines (Ch. 19-14), and Fertilizer and Soil Conditioner Law (Ch. 19-20.1).

PESTICIDE REGISTRATION 1996-2000 NUMBER OF APPLICANTS



Approximately 8,250 pesticides, ranging from household/residential products to industrial and agricultural products are registered in the state.

Nearly 900 feed samples were collected this biennium for analysis. An estimated 3,155 pet foods and 6,513 commercial feeds are registered in the state. Licenses have been issued to nearly 275 feed manufacturers and 295 feed dealers.

There are 1,289 registered livestock medicines in the state of North Dakota.

The registration section is responsible for enforcing the anhydrous ammonia safety inspection program for the state.

The registration section has registered approximately 1,560 various types of fertilizers for agricultural and residential use and has also issued 375 anhydrous ammonia licenses for the 1999-2001 biennium. Approximately 500 fertilizer distributors are licensed to sell agricultural fertilizers. The department analyzes between 800-900 fertilizer samples annually.

Plant Protection

The plant protection section issues phytosanitary export certificates to facilitate export of North Dakota agricultural commodities.

CERTIFICATES ISSUED

	1967	1998	1999	2000
Phytosanitary Certificates to support export of agricultural commodities to foreign countries	823	898	1,834	1,358
In-transit certificates to allow rail shipments of wheat and barley through Canada to U.S. destinations			752	703
Cereal leaf beetles certificates to allow shipments of wheat and barley to California	***	***		496
Corn borer compliance agreements to support movement of grain corn to the west coast	60	66	66	70

The section also inspects and certifies nurseries to prevent the spread of plant pests and to facilitate export of nursery stock.

Nursery Program

TOTOLIC TOOMS					
	1997	1998	1999	2000	
Nursery growers Inspected & licensed	42	46	45	41	
Nursury dealers inspected & ilcensed	125	127	133	133	

Finally, the section is responsible for plant pest prevention, detection, evaluation and suppression programs to protect North Dakota agriculture and to support the export of agricultural products. Surveys are conducted under a cooperative agreement with USDA-APHIS.

PEST SURVEYS CONDUCTED

Pest/Disease	Crop Affected	
Karnal bunt	Wheat	
Dwarf bunt	Wheat	
Flag smut	Wheat	
Golden nematode	Potatoes	
Soybean cyst nematode	Soybeans, dry beans	
Cereal leaf beetle	Small grains	
Khapra beetle	Stored products	
Small hive beetle	Honeybees	
Gypsy moth	Trees	

Noxious Weeds

The noxious weed section coordinates and facilitates integrated noxious and new invasive weed management programs. The section works closely with county weed boards and administers several programs.

In 1999, a portion of the state cost share for the Landowner Assistance Program was dedicated to the control of Canada thistle in response to dramatic increases in infested acres. County weed boards heavily utilized the program, depleting the available funds. The heavy participation emphasizes the need for a program to address the problem.

Noxious WEED PROGRAMS

Program		Count, Expenditure 1999-2000	Anticipated State Expenditure 1999-2001
Landowner Assistance Program	General LAP Biocontrol	\$1,643,066 102,908	\$731,359 \$102,906
New Invasives		\$31,764	\$37,430
Canada Thislie	44.	\$433,139	\$157,079
Weed Innovation Natwork		***	\$95.022
Other costs			\$60,000
Grand Total		***	\$1,174,696

The section assists counties in enforcing the Noxious Weed Law (NDCC Ch. 63) and serves as a resource and liaison among county weed boards, state and federal land managers.

The section established a weed-free forage certification program in 2000. To date, 19 producers have been inspected and certified.

Waterbank

A cooperative effort of several state and federal agencies, the state Waterbank Program gives landowners financial incentives to preserve wetlands. The program is popular with landowners because it provides short-term leases that compensate them for the loss of agricultural acreage enrolled in the program.

Twenty agreements have been finalized. These

total 1,889 acres, including 13 acres of restored wetlands, 588 acres of wetlands, and 1,288 acres of uplands.

Apiary

The apiary section is responsible for the following services to the beekeeping industry:

- · Annual licensing of beekeepers,
- · Registration of bee yards,
- Inspection for diseases and parasites.

Approximately one-third of North Dakota bees overwinter in Texas where migratory movement inspections are required. Beehives are inspected on request. Department personnel respond to complaints by landowners, the public, and commercial pesticide applicators regarding placement of bee yards.

Plant Industries	Budget Co	omparisons
	1999-2001	2001-2003
Salaries Operating Equipment Waterbank Safe Send Anhydrous Grants Noxious Weeds	\$1,371,459 351,131 12,500 854,303 573,907 8,154 100,000 1,174,696	\$1,487,894 455,445 16,500 900,000 599,369 0 100,000 <u>1,346,836</u>
FTEs	\$4,446,150 18	\$4,906,044 18

ENHANCEMENTS TO THE GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDED BUDGET

- 1. Pride of Dakota user fees. Pride of Dakota members pay an annual membership fee to derive the benefits of Pride of Dakota programs. Membership fees should be channeled back into Pride of Dakota rather than to the general fund. We expect that standalone legislation to do this will be introduced.
- 2. Ag in the Classroom. The Ag in the Classroom budget contains no state funds. Rather, the Ag in the Classroom Council is allowed spending authority to raise funds to support ongoing programs. Legislation to provide general fund support of \$150,000 is likely to be introduced.
- 3. Wildlife Services. The current Wildlife Services budget does not provide the level of aerial hunting that is necessary to protect livestock from coyote depredation. An additional \$50,000 would enable contract pilots to maintain an adequate level of service.
- 4. Canada thistle. The current budget recommendation includes \$170,000 for Canada thistle control. We estimate that an additional \$130,000 is required to deal with this expanding weed problem.
- 5 Minor Use Fund. The current budget contains no mechanism to transfer funds into the Minor Use Fund in order to expand pesticide products available to farmers. Based on current demands, we estimate \$500,000 would be needed for the 2001-2003 biennium.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

The following items were distributed during the 2001-2003 Budget Presentation of the North Dakota Department of Agriculture to provide members of the Legislature with additional information about the Department's programs.

- 2000 Pride of Dakota Membership Directory
- Ag Mediation: Solving Problems; Resolving Disputes
- North Dakota Dairy Pollution Prevention Program
- Managing Wildlife Damage: The Mission of APHIS' Wildlife Services Program
- Project Safe Send: Report to the 2001 Legislature
- North Dakota agMag
- North Dakota Meat Safety Regulations
- shopnd.com postcard/mailer
- shopnd.com bookmark



North Dakota

${ m D}$ epartment of ${ m A}$ griculture

ROGER JOHNSON, COMMISSIONER

BUDGET PRESENTATION

FOR THE

2001-2003 BIENNIUM

missing we to compare of February 14, 2001

NORTH DAKOTA SENATE

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS
THE HON, DAVID NETHING, CHARMAN

BUDGET ISSUES

House Amendments

• Pesticide registration fee

Increases pesticide registration fees from \$300 to \$350.

• Project Safe Send user fees

Replaces \$100,000 from the EARP Fund with \$100,000 in user fees. We are concerned that this will negatively affect participation in the program.

• Meat Inspection Program budget cut

Cuts \$80,214 for one inspector position. We ask that you reconsider this so that the meat inspection program can meet the demand we are seeing for this service.

Other Issues

Pride of Dakota user fees

Pride of Dakota user fees should be channeled back into the program rather than to the general fund.

* Wildlife Services contract pilot

A \$50,000 appropriation is requested to fund a contract pilot for predator control.

Other Legislation

• HB1349 Agriculture in the Classroom

Appropriates \$150,000 to the North Dakota Agriculture in the Classroom Council for program support.

+ HB1249 Johne's Disease

Appropriates \$177,500 to the North Dakota State Board of Animal Health-for operating expenses associated with the control of Johne's Disease.

+ HB1467 Minor Use fund

Transfers \$500,000 from the EARP Fund to the Minor Use Fund.

• SB2216 Ag Mediation sunset

Reauthorizes the North Dakota Agricultural Mediation Service.

*SB2110 Project Safe Send sunset


Provides for the continuation of an agricultural pesticide and pesticide container program and provides an expiration date.

AGRICULTURAL MEDIATION

The North Dakota Agricultural Mediation Service (AMS) offers negotiation and mediation services to resolve differences among creditors, farmers and others.

Mediation is a voluntary process for farmers and private creditors, but it is mandatory with FSA and FCS and is requested as a matter of policy by the Bank of North Dakota on delinquent loans. Mediators are trained as impartial third parties who serve as intermediaries between farmers and others to resolve disputes outside court. Mediation is less costly and faster than formal appeals and litigation. It produces greater levels of satisfaction for the participants and allows the parties to deal with the entire problem. Mediation works. In farm credit cases that are otherwise headed to foreclosure, agreements are reached most of the time.

AMS Clients




Negotiators help farmers and ranchers with financial problems, loan restructuring and loan applications. Negotiators also assist farmers in preparing information for solving non-credit disputes. Negotiators worked with 662 farmers in the last fiscal year (see chart above).

With the total of government and insurance payments exceeding net farm income, it is evident that much of what happens to the farm economy and the demand for AMS services is subject to future changes in federal farm policy, continued federal disaster assistance and live-stock/commodity prices.

Although most AMS field staff (negotiators and mediators) have worked for NDDA for many years, they are temporary employees who are paid hourly wages and receive no benefits.

JEFF KNUDSON PROGRAM MANAGER

2001-2003 Governor's Budget Funding Sources



□ General

\$178.233

™ Federal


\$750,851

☐ Special

\$146,724


The following seashows that the number of AMS employees (FTEs and non-FTEs) has decreased to 17 in the past two years.

AMS Employees



The AMS client caseload has declined slightly over the past several years. Stafflevels have been reduced and administrative duties streamlined to ensure that client needs are met in the most cost-effective manner possible.

Clients per AMS Employee



Other activities

Job Service contracted AMS to help inform and refer eligible persons to the Survival 2000 program, which provides re-employment and retraining services to eligible farm/ranch operators, family members, and farm/ranch employees.

AMS is an active member of the North Dakota Rural Survival Task Force, an ad hoc group of numerous public and nonprofit entities, as well as individuals interested in rural affairs.

The AMS actively supports and informs its farm family clients of Healthy Steps, the Children's

Health Insurance Program (CHIP).

In February 2000, AMS hosted North Dakota Community Action district representatives at its training seminar. Personnel from both agencies identified needs, barriers and ideas to close service gaps for rural families in need of support.

The Rural Support Project of Catholic Family Services provided financial assistance and support to AMS and many of its limited resource clients. AMS continues to work with Lutheran Social Services/Lutheran Rural Response on a variety of initiatives, including referrals and cooperative casework.

In response to the flooding in central and eastern North Dakota, AMS personnel staffed a series of Federal Emergency Management Agency Disaster Recovery Centers. AMS provided information regarding agricultural financial issues and programs to those visiting the recovery centers.

These activities and others continue to make significant progress in the establishment and maintenance of a network of public, private and non-profit resources for farmers and rural North Dakotans. Many cooperative working relationships have been established, leading to better awareness of and referrals to appropriate resources.

In addition to the local benefits of the AMS, certified state mediation programs are recognized for saving significant taxpayer dollars through federal government savings. The 106th Congress passed, and the president signed legislation extending the sunset of the USDA Mediation Grants Program until 2005. The bipartisan support of this federal legislation is a strong endorsement of state mediation programs—as a cost-saving means of dispute resolution.

The following excerpt from the national <u>FARM SERVICE AGENCY News</u> recognizes the cost savings of disputes resolved through mediation versus formal administrative appeals.

The successful program is continually growing for a good reason – it works. The number of mediation clients increased from 4,140 in FY 1999 to over 4,673 in FY 2000. And the number of agreements or resolutions increased from 2,898 in FY 1999 to over 3,411 in FY 2000. Not to mention, dollars were saved: Mediation, at \$400 to \$750 per case, offers significant savings over national level administrative hearings, which cost around \$3,500 per case.

Summary

Mediation resolves disputes faster, is more cost effective, and provides greater satisfaction for the involved parties than other more formal methods of dispute resolution, such as appeals or litigation. As a proven, cost-effective common sense approach to resolving problems, the North Dakota AMS helps streamline government by keeping decision-making as local as possible.

Mediation	Budge	et Comparisons

	1999-2001	2001-2003
Salaries	\$726,288	\$827,038
Operating	\$205,030	\$243,770
Equipment	<u>\$ 364</u>	\$5,000
	\$931,082*	\$1,075,808

Includes \$130,000 in additional Emergency Commission federal authority

LIVESTOCK SERVICES

Livestock Services is comprised of the Livestock Licensing Section, Dairy/Poultry Section, State Board of Animal Health, State Meat Inspection and Wildlife Services. The main focus of the program area is regulating North Dakota's livestock industry.

Wayne Carlson Program Manager

Livestock Licensing

The livestock industry traditionally ranks as one of the most important sectors in North Dakota's economy. Current livestock numbers are 1.92 million cattle, 134,000 sheep and 205,000 hogs with a total value of approximately \$1.27 billion.

The Livestock Licensing Section protects this industry by licensing livestock dealers and auction markets. Approximately 160 dealers and 16 auction markets are granted licenses after posting bond, filing financial statements and passing tests of financial responsibility. Field investigations are routinely carried out to monitor financial conditions of dealers and auction markets and to discover unlicensed dealers.

Dairy Section

The Dairy Section protects, encourages, promotes, and enhances the marketability of North Dakota's dairy and poultry resources by assisting the industry in complying with statutes and regulations.


The section is administered by the director of dairy/poultry services with one staff/clerical person located in Bismarck. Three dairy inspectors visit the state's 640 dairy farms an average of 2.5 times a year. Each farm is inspected for cleanliness of equipment, proper usage and storage of drugs and water purity.

The state's 12 dairy processing plants and nine milk transfer stations are inspected four or more times annually. Distribution facilities, farm bulk trucks and samplers/haulers are also inspected.

One inspector performs the survey (auditing) work of the Interstate Milk Shippers program(Grade A). This involves 38 milk producer groups, five plants, and four transfer/receiving stations. The same individual performs USDA inspections of 12 manufacturing grade plants or transfer stations under a continuing contractual agreement.

Efforts to ensure a milk supply free of chemical/drug residues continue to occupy a large amount of time and resources of the dairy section. The field staff also conducted 150 inspections of non-traditional livestock

2001-2003 Governor's Budget Funding Sources



□ Genera!

\$2,018,404

☐ Federal

1891.369 3518,000

□ Special

for the state veterinarian's office this year.

Dairy Pollution Prevention Program

The Department of Agriculture received a \$675,000 grant from the Environmental Protection Agency for the Dairy Pollution Prevention Program (DP3). The three-year program provides dairy producers with financial, technical and educational assistance to help them identify, reduce or eliminate releases of livestock waste into surface or groundwater. A cost-share program, DP3 is voluntary and entirely non-regulatory. The program pays 60 percent of approved expenses, and the producer pays 40 percent.

Poultry Services

Dairy section personnel carry out all poultry division responsibilities. North Dakota currently has 12 licensed commercial egg producers that are inspected once a year. All in-state and out-of-state hatcheries are licensed and bonded.

Board of Animal Health

The State Board of Animal Health became a division of the Department of Agriculture in 1995. Employees include two veterinarians and two support staff. The board consists of seven members, appointed by the governor, as outlined in N.D. Century Code 36-01-01.

The Board of Animal Flealth is charged with protecting the health of the domestic animals and nontraditional livestock of this state. The board also determines and employs the most efficient and practical means for the prevention, suppression, control, and eradication of dangerous, contagious diseases among the state's domestic animals and nontraditional livestock. The board must also prevent the escape and release of animals injurious to or competitive with agriculture, horticulture, forestry,

wild animals and other natural resources. Any matter relating to the health and welfare of domestic animals and nontraditional livestock that is not specifically assigned by statute to another entity is deemed to be within the board's authority.

The State Board of Animal Health and the North Dakota Game and Fish Department have a cooperative agreement to regulate non-traditional livestock. Game and Fish provided \$45,000 last blennium for these activities.

Voluntary programs help producers eliminate diseases from their herds. Voluntary disease control programs provide recognition for and certification of these efforts. The board has recently approved a voluntary Johne's Disease Herd Status Program for the state.

Free trade agreements have greatly increased the movement of animals and animal products. Consequently, the potential for an emerging disease outbreak has increased. The state has developed an animal health emergency management plan to assure a quick and decisive response to such an outbreak.

Meat Inspection

The 1999 North Dakota Legislature authorized development of a North Dakota State Meat Inspection Program. The program was approved as "equal to federal" by the U.S. Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) on Oct. 19, 2000.

Although the laws and regulations of state or federal programs are very similar, there are many benefits in operating a state program. State programs can deal with small businesses more effectively and efficiently than the large federal system can. A state program is better able to offer more technical support and guidance, and disputes are handled at the state and local level. The program also making it easier

for small livestock producers and processors to sell directly to consumers, thereby capturing more of the consumer dollar.

As part of the cooperative agreement between FSIS and NDDA, FSIS provides a 50 percent match for all inspection activity expenditures, excluding inspection of any non-amenable species, such as bison or elk.

The program is administered by the director of state meat inspection with a supervisor/compliance officer located in Bismarck. The supervisor and two field inspectors visit the state's 96 custom exempt plants at least four times per year. Each plant is inspected for sanitation of facilities and equipment.

The two field inspectors also regularly visit official state establishments. As of this writing, there is one official state establishment with several others in the process of obtaining official status. Inspectors also monitor slaughter and/ or processing activities on a daily or weekly basis.

In addition to regular inspection duties, the meat inspection staff participates in educational

activities. Inspectors are continually offering education and consultation to plant owners when visiting.

Wildlife Services

The Wildlife Services division of United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service works in partnership with the North Dakota Department of Agriculture and the North Dakota Game and Fish Department to minimize the negative impacts wildlife has on the lives and livelihood of North Dakotans.

Wildlife Services helps manage predator damage to livestock, blackbird damage to sunflower and other grain crops, beaver damage to trees and roadways, waterfowl damage to crops, urban wildlife problems, and wildlife hazards at airports. Blackbirds annually cause \$3-5 million in losses to sunflowers and other crops. Predation on livestock, along with waterfowl and beaver damage, accounts for an additional \$1.5 million in losses each year. Disease transmission and encounters between aircraft and wildlife also threaten human health and safety.

Livestock Services Budget Comparisons			
	1999-2001	2001-2003	
Salaries	\$609,710	\$743,890	
Operating	213,979	648,431	
Equipment	1,700	5,200	
Board of Animal Health	504,603	538,614	
Meat Inspection	454,000	711,964	
Wildlife Services	<u>779,694</u>	<u>779,694</u>	
	\$2,563,686	\$3,427,793	
		ĺ	
FTEs	18.5	18.5	

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

The following items were distributed during the 2001-2003 Budget Presentation of the North Dakota Department of Agriculture to provide members of the Legislature with additional information about the Department's programs.

- 2000 Pride of Dakota Membership Directory
- Ag Mediation: Solving Problems; Resolving Disputes
- North Dakota Dairy Pollution Prevention Program
- Managing Wildlite Damage: The Mission of APHIS' Wildlife Services Program
- Project Safe Send: Report to the 2001 Legislature
- North Dakota AgMag
- North Dakota Agriculture in the Classroom 1999-2000 Annual Report
- North Dakota Meat Safety Regulations
- Memo regarding the impact of meat inspection cuts.

1901 1181009

TESTIMONY HOUSE BILL 1009 HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE JANUARY 9, 2001

Submitted by Charles McCay for Farm Credit Services

Mr. Chairman and Members of House Appropriations Committee:

My purpose is to express Farm Credit Services' support for the ND Ag Mediation Service and their funding request.

FCS has worked with the Ag Mediation Service since its inception. In fact, since early 1988 we have been required to cooperate with state mediation programs by federal law. We do have experience with the program and consider it to be well run, realistic and effective.

We believe that the effectiveness of the mediation program is due in a large part to well trained and experienced staff and supervisors.

Each type of financial institution has its own regulatory requirement for dealing with distressed loans. FCS is different than credit unions, they are not the same as banks, and the FSA has an entirely different set of regulations than the other lenders.

Each farmer client has different needs and concerns and not all of these needs can be measured in dollars or put on a balance sheet.

The experienced negotiators and mediators are more able to sort out and reconcile all of these different requirements and perspectives. They are more able to help the parties find solutions acceptable to all.

The Ag Mediation Service needs adequate funding to retain experienced staff and to continue their training programs. The general fund portion of their budget is small, but provides leverage for other funds that are necessary to make staff retention and training possible. Appropriations Hearing, January 9, 2001 N.D. Department of Agriculture Ag Mediation Services

MR. CHAIRMAN:

My name is Karl Limvere. I am the pastor of the Zion United Church of Christ of Medina. I am the chairperson of the Rural Life Committee of the North Dakota Conference of Churches, and am representing the conference and the committee today.

The Rural Life Committee of the North Dakota Conference of Churches was established in 1986, and is the successor to other efforts by the Conference of Churches dating back to 1974. We have the responsibility of developing proactive, ecumenical responses to rural life issues in the state. This past year we have given particular emphasis to economic justice issues facing rural America.

The Rural Life Committee envisions and supports the development of a rural society that promotes the greatest potential number of diversified family farming/ranching opportunities possible. We support a widely-dispersed structure of agricultural production with broad-based ownership that is dominated by resident, owner-operated, family farms and ranches.

We recognize that rural America and its family farms and ranches have faced overwhelming difficulties in the last number of years, involving both natural and man-made catastrophes. In trying to respond to these catastrophes, one of the most effective infrastructures we have had is the North Dakota Ag Mediation Service.

At a time when family farmers and ranchers often feel alone and abandoned in their struggles to survive, the North Dakota Ag Mediation Service is a "CARE" package that is on the frontlines of meeting the needs of those who have become victims of the continuing economic distress in agriculture.

The Ag Mediation Service is often the entry point for distressed farm and ranch families into a network of assistance and services. Most importantly, the Ag Mediation Service is an essential key in that network, because it provides the assistance that is needed so that family is able to make appropriate decisions about their current situation, and work to rebuild their futures.

The Ag Mediation Service is the one program that sends a clear message that the State of North Dakota cares about the future of its family farmers and ranchers, and that it cares enough to provide the best available expertise to them when they need help. As pastors and local churches, this is a referral that we can make with confidence and with hope.

In our adopted statement of goals and objectives, the North Dakota Conference of Churches clearly states, "Public policy must provide infrastructures, such as the Ag Mediation Service, to assist family farmers and ranchers in meeting economic challenges and to assist new families to enter agriculture. Such programs should be strengthened and expanded."

The Conference reminds us that "one of the themes of our Biblical heritage is the responsibility of the remnants of a society that has been devastated by calamities to come together and commit themselves to the common good of rebuilding and securing justice for their society."

We believe it is no longer sufficient simply to save and preserve what is remaining in rural America. But instead rural America must be rebuilt and justice must be a primary building block for that future.

The North Dakota Ag Mediation Service can and must be a key player in our state's efforts to rebuild family agriculture, particularly in efforts to help put new, younger farmers on the land.

To be honest, we don't have enough tools in our toolbox right now, either at the state or federal level, to rebuild the kind of family-based agricultural system that will once again make rural America a land of hope and opportunity, but that is the subject for other hearings. What I want to emphasize today simply is that the Ag Mediation Service is an essential tool both for the immediate task of survival and the long-term task of rebuilding rural America. And, at the moment, it is the best CARE package that the state provides for farm and ranch families in distress.

Thank you.

John 1-9-01 143 1007

Mr. Chairman, Members of the committee;

Since the end of Oct. we have fed over 836 tons of feed to cattle that we are backgrounding for owners in Nebraska and Illinois. These are cattle that were bought here in N.D. or eastern Montana. To me this is value added, when we use local corn, distiller's grains, beet pulp and locally grown hay to feed cattle and then ship them out, rather than send the cattle out and ship the commodities after them. If it were not for Ag. Mediation this would not have happened.

Three years ago we sold our dairy and have been trying to build a custom feeding business along with a small beef herd. Our F.S.A. supervisor at that time said we needed a five year transition period but we would set it up for three so it can be adjusted to see where we need to go from there. During this time the supervisor was changed.

In Feb. we filled out our farm plan, with the help of Adult Farm Management, our bookkeeping program for the past 20 years. The new supervisor would not accept our plan nor would she accept anything Farm Management did. So we re-submitted our farm plan the supervisor's way, but she would still not accept it and she would change it to where it was no longer our farm plan at all and it would not cash flow her way. We were then offered mediation but she informed us "You won't need it since it isn't going to help you." When a producer receives that registered inch thick packet, you can't really understand it. The mediation person sat down with us and said, "You don't need this or that". She started to make sense out of that packet. When we did go to mediation, one of the loan officer's first problems was as to how many credit cards we had. We told her and she brought up the credit report that we had paid for but never seen. According to the report it showed we had one more than what we had said. One credit card company had bought out another company and both were carrying a balance. This was never brought to us until we were in mediation.

With the help of Ag. Mediation, we were able to straighten everything out and get our plan put together the way we had hoped to do things last February. We need the Ag. Mediation program, to help keep farmers on the land and produce products that will bring value back to N.D. Ag. Mediation is our only recourse or protection when we feel we cannot trust what a supervisor is trying to do to our farm plan. Since 1974 we have never had trouble with a loan officer. We were always honest with them and they were the same with us. But when you get a loan officer that will not work with you, what are you going to do. Thank heaven for Ag. Mediation because they have people that understand what a 1952-S is. As producers, we focus on how we can increase our production, the rate of gain on an animal, how to keep calves healthy, how to generate more income with our commodities. We need Ag. Mediation to keep up on the constant changing regulations and to help producers to understand their rights and options so they can achieve their goals. I would encourage you to continue to fund and support Ag. Mediation.

What I negotiation?

Negotiation is a less formal and often longer process than mediation. The negotiator acts as a representative of the farmer or rancher. AMS employs about 15 negotiators located throughout the state. They help farmers and ranchers prepare financial statements, cash flow projections. Ioan applications and other paperw Negotiators will also meet with farm editors or other government agenciate to help farmers with financial management and other issues.

Is there any cost?

If you have never worked with an AMS negotiator, the first 10 hours of negotiation assistance are free of charge. After that, a modest hourly fee is assessed. Mediation fees are charged to farmers, major creditors and other parties for time spent "at the table." A waiver of fees may be granted to those who are unable to pay.

Other disputes?

AMS also provides mediation services in other areas of contention, including landlord-renter disputes, weed control or seed disputes and appeals with federal or state agencies. AMS provides referrals to farmers and ranchers in many specialized areas such as:

- ◆Legal services.
- Mental health services.
- ◆Social services.
- ◆ Accounting and tax advice.
- ◆Alternative financing.

How does work?

If you have questions or need assistance. Call the toll-free number, 1-800-642-4752. A negotiator will be assigned to you and will contact you directly.

Other resources

- If you do not already have an attorney. consult with people you trust for a referral. The North Dakota State Bar Association maintains a list of lawyers who practice agricultural law. For more information, call, toll-free, 1-800-932-8880.
- ◆ The HELP-LINE provides crisis intervention. information and referrals to individuals facing stress and depression brought on by financial or other rural-related problems.
 ▶ Trained volunteers offer free and confidential assistance to rural residents, 24 hours-aday, 7 days-a-week. The toll-free number is 1-800-472-2911.



North Dakota
Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Mediation Service
600 E. Boulevard Ave. Dept. 602
Bismarck, ND 58505-0020
PH: (800) 642-4752
(701) 328-4769
EAX: (701) 328-4567

AG MEDIATION

Solving Problems; Resolving Disputes AGRICULTURAL MEDIATION SERVER NORTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF AGREET 17 FE

ROGE JOHNSON, COMMISSIONER

Agricultural Mediation Service

The North Dakota Agricultural Mediation Service (AMS) was established in 1984 to help financially distressed farmers and ranchers. AMS credit counselors (negotiators) are trained to provide farmers with one-on-one assistance in credit and financial matters and resolving disputes. With about 15 negotiators and mediators located around the state, AMS annually provides mediation and negotiation services, counseling and other assistance to approximately 1,000 farmers on a broad range of subjects, including:

- Finances.
- Beginning farmer loan applications
- ◆ Farm planning.
- Farm production practices.
- Seed disputes.
- Disputes with federal and state agencies.

The North Dakota Agricultural Mediation Service is a division of the North Dakota Department of Agriculture, and is headquartered in the State Capitol in Bismarck. AMS policies are established by the North Dakota Credit Review Board.

Advantages

Experience has shown that mediation:

- ◆Prevents vielence.
- ◆Promotes community harmony.
- Results in longer lasting. "better solutions.
- Saves time and money.
- Is confidential and preserves the dignity and self-esteem of all parties.

What is mediation?

Mediation is a voluntary, problem-solving process created to help farmers and others avoid costly and often ruinous litigation. Mediation brings the disagreeing parties in a dispute to the same table in an attempt to resolve their differences. During mediation, everyone involved in the dispute should be willing to "lay all their cards on the table" and to consider all possible solutions to the problem.

The mediator is a neutral and impartial third party. The mediator arranges the time and location of meetings between disputants and conducts the meetings. Trained in problemsolving techniques, the mediator helps create an environment in which the disagreeing parties can resolve their differences. The mediator assists disputants in considering all options and in recording agreements.

Mediation can mean the difference between an acceptable agreement and expensive litigation, even foreclosure and bankruptcy. And it works: agreements are reached in two-thirds of all cases.



How does it work?

Mediation usually begins after negotiation between a farmer and another party has failed to result in a workable repayment pian. Mediation may also be initiated when a creditor either rejects a borrower's restructuring plan or considers foreclosure. In North Dakota, the farm Service Agency (formerly FmHA). Farm Credit Services and the Bank of North Dakota are required to offer mediation before initiating foreclosure proceedings. Here's how the mediation process is conducted.

- Either a farmer, lender or other parry contac's the AMS and requests mediation.
 - AMS assigns a negotiation to help the farmer prepare for mediation by getting all necessary financial papers and other documents in order.
- ◆AMS assigns a mediator to the case
- ◆The mediator sets up a meeting(s)
 between the farmer and other parties
- The negotiator attends mediation meetings with the farmer and helps represent the farmers interests during the meetings.
 The mediator is neutral and facilitates.
- frank and open discussion of all issues.

 The participants discuss all problems, possible solutions and option.
- Participants reach a mutually satistications agreement or lagree to disagree.
 - The mediator issues a written report detailing the agreement and officially closing the mediation process

North Dakota

Agriculture in the Classroom Council

1999-2000



Fall 2000

A Magazine about Agriculture for North Dakota Students

North Dakota's Oilseeds

What do you think of when you hear the word oil? Maybe the fluid that is in your car? How about the liquid in the can that stops squeaks? And what about the ingredient that goes in cakes or in the skillet to make stir-fry?


Many oils come from crops grown in North Dakota. Some are edible oils (oils that people and animals can eat), and some are inedible oils (used for lubrication). These crops are called oilseeds because their major purpose is to produce oil.

What different kinds of vegetable oils have you seen or grocery store shelves?
Providentify when the support of the street was an extension about a street against an extension of the street

We're #1

Horth Daketa ration to the interpretation in the process test of nine crops. Go to the Morth Dakota Agricultural Statistics Service With sussaid http://www.nass.usda.gov.nd.marcank.htm and test these nine crops.

Agriculture!



It's farming and ranching and much, much more. It's the production, processing, distribution and consumption of our food, fiber and forestry products.

Which process of a second

For each of the second of the

Think about the agriculture cycle in your area of North Dakota.

Find the "Printed with Soy Ink" logo on this Ag Mag. What other publications can you find printed with soy ink?

HB 1009 (3)

Dear members of the Senate Appropriations committee:

My name is Alan Juliuson I am from Hope N.D. I farm at Hope and am an owner of a small business as well. I want to take a few minutes and tell you how important the POD program is to the state of N.D. and to the success of many small and large business owners across the state.

The POD program was started in 1985 by then commissioner of Agriculture Kent Jones. It started off slowly, I remember it well as I joined in 1987, but soon growth took place and now today the program boasts 323members. Membership growth has slowed down compared to those earlier ramp-up years, but, that is to be expected. What has not slowed down or gone stagnant is the information highway that is available from the marketing division of the POD program. A new company starting up in N.D. has, through the POD program, access to trade shows, trade missions, marketing assistance and much more, on both domestic and international levels of business.

What is nice about the POD program is the structure in which it operates within. If I am in the food business they have help for me, if I want to sell sweaters or wood crafts or hand lotions or stationery or whatever I may have for a business the program has help just for me.

I personally have done two trade missions, one to Mexico and one to Brazil. These missions would not have been possible without the help of the POD and the marketing division, all the details were worked out in advance and contacts made so that when we arrived at our destination we could get down to business. My company currently does business in these countries.

I am proud to say that I am a current member of the POD Advisory Council. It is very rewarding to see what is being done on what I would call very limited funding-these people are masters at making a dollar go a long way.

In closing, the next time you see someone associated with the POD program or marketing division, shake his or her hand and tell them job well done, they have helped everyone of the 323 members at some point in time and this is truly a massive job!

Thank-you

PRIDE OF DAKOTA PROGRAMS

In-State Programs:

Pride of Dakota Month
Pride of Dakota Day-State Fair Grounds
Holiday Showcase- Minot, Fargo and Bismarck
Taste of Dakota Grocery Promotion
Marketing Seminars
KFYR Agri-International KMOT Ag-Expo
Farmers Market Guide
School Foodservice Show
Market Connection Newsletter

Domestic Programs

Minneapolis Gift Mart

www.shopnd.com Internet site
Denver Stock Show
U.S. Export Showcase-Chicago
Wholesale Show-Bismarck
Market Assistance Program
Membership Directory
Media Kit

International Programs

Trade Seminars
Alimentaria Trade Show-Barcelona Spain
ANUGA Trade Show-Cologne Germany
BIOFACH Trade Show-Cologne Germany
Dry Bean Trade Missions-Mexico & Brazil
Canada Trade Mission-Edmonton, Alberta
MIATCO
USLGE
Export Directory



Pure Prairie. Pure pride of Dakota.

Visit shopnd.com for your chance to win a fabulous North Dakota ranch vacation.

Shop www.shopnd.com and take home the best of the prairie.



Visit shophdcom, the online source for a wide range of incredible gifts and goods made right in North Dakota, and register to win! One lucky shopper will win an incredible four day and three-night vacation for two at the legendary Dahkotah Lodge nestled in the rugged North Dakota Badiands."

And white you're at shopnd.com, we also invite you to shop around and discover hundreds of hard-to-find western wonders right at your fingertips! Take home the best of the prairie right through the internet!

So what are you waiting for!?


boot up. shopnd.com

"Visit shoppid.com for promotion details. No purchase necessary to register for the ranch vocation. Drawing to be held January 31, 2001. Vacation may be taken any time in 2001.

SHOPND.COM

330 3rd St. NE Maywille, ND 58257

FRESORTED STANDARD US POSTAGE PAID FERMIT #433



CONTACT AG DEPARTMENT FOR COPY

116 1009

MARK Sitz

Testimony regarding amendments to HB 1009

The North Dakota Farmers Union is opposed to the proposed amendment, dealing with Project Safe Send that would be inserted on page 2, after line 24, that would create a new section 8.

In studying the issue, the Project Safe Send Board has the following concerns with the process of charging for the collection of pesticides:

Charging for collection, would necessitate the preregistration of materials. When tried in the past, this created a lot of unnecessary paper work, extra work and less chemicals collected.

The disposal contractor, Onyx Environmental Services stated in a letter dated February 9, that they have seen the participation rate drop significantly when participants are assessed a charge. They further stated that if volume is significantly reduced by the State's changing of the program criteria, they would need to adjust the price charge to the State accordingly.

What would you use for your basis for determining the charge and at what point would the charge occur? If assessed at the point of collection, how would you handle the person that did not have any money with them? If billed after the delivery of the product, how would you handle the bad debts that might occur?

The intent of the Project Safe Send program is to get unusable and banned pesticides collected and avoid the potential harm to the environment that could result from the spillage or leaking of the chemical.

The money for the program comes from a fee assessed suppliers of the chemicals. If you begin charging theses suppliers to dispose of the chemicals, you are in essence charging them twice.

Based on these concerns, the Project Safe Send Advisory Board, at their February 12 meeting unanimously adopted the following statement:

The Project Safe Send advisory board has studied the issue and believes that charging for products brought in under Project Safe Send would be detrimental to the program and would result in less hazardous materials being collected. Suppliers and distributors are already being charged a chemical registration fee, which would mean a double charge to these suppliers and distributors. Our disposal contractor has also stated that his bid is based on a projected volume of hazardous waste and if the volume is not collected, the cost per pound would be increased.

The Project Safe Send Program has been very successful. Since its inception, over 8 million pounds of pesticides have been collected. Costs per pound have dropped from \$5.17 per pound the first biennium of the program to only \$1.76 per pound during the current biennium.

The North Dakota Farmers Union feels the Project Safe Send Advisory Board has done a good job in monitoring the program and agree with them in their opposition to the proposed amendment.



North Dakota Department of Agriculture

Report to the 2001 Legislature

Project Safe Send and Recycling of Pesticide Containers

· 1-9-01

North Dakota Agricultural Association 415 38th Street SW Suite C Fargo, ND 58103

Telephone-701-282-9432 Fax-701-282-6623 E-mail-gary@ndag.org

January 9, 200 1

Mr. Chairman:

Members of the appropriations committee. My name is Gary Knutson. I serve as Executive Director of the North Dakota Agricultural Association. We are an organization of nearly 400 members from across this region who are dealers, manufacturers and distributors and service providers of seed, equipment, crop protection, and plant food products.

I am here today to comment briefly in support of the work do ne by the pesticide and fertilizer departments of the Plant Industries Division. We appreciate the attitude to "train and educate" demonstrated by the field staff and department administration and the methodology utilized to work with our dealers and pesticide applicators.

We have worked with the Department in the past to develop realized economics such as more cross training of staff to "one stop" inspect as much as possible, the pesticide and fertilizer functions of our dealerships. This effort is beneficial to both the agency and our dealers.

We have worked jointly in programs to educate and train dealers in NH3 application safety, pesticide handling regulation, disposing of unusable pesticide and other related areas.

Each year the Department selects along with our sponsorship and support the Outstanding Pesticide Applicator and Dealer of the Year which we feel very much promotes the right practices of application effectiveness and environmental stewardship.

Again in brief, it is my observation that industry members and the Department need to continue to work together and that we have a good communications base established for implementing and carrying out important programs we have highlighted.





A National Agricultural Program to Clear Safe and Effective Pest Control Agents for Minor Uses



Contact: Dr. Robert Holm, Executive Director

IR-4 Project

Center for Minor Crop Pest

Management

Technology Centre of New Jersey 681 U.S. Highway #1 South North Brunswick, NJ 08902-3390

Phone: 732-932-9575 Ext. 604

FAX: 732-932-8481

E-mail: holm@aesop.rutgers.edu

Since its beginning in 1963, the IR-4 Project has cooperated with researchers, producers, the agrichemicals industry and federal agencies to secure clearances for pest control products on minor crops or for minor uses on major crops. During this time, IR-4 has assisted with over 4700 clearances including tolerances, exemptions, label expansions, crop groupings, crop definitions, and reregistrations. Also, we have included IR-4 Ongoing Research/Support in recent years for crops grown in North Dakota in a separate section of this Report Card.

There is often little public recognition of the contribution of IR-4 to the availability of minor use/crop pest control products because IR-4's role in the clearance process ceases with the establishment of a tolerance or exemption. This report briefly summarizes some of the contributions IR-4 has made to establish tolerances and exemptions on a variety of minor crops since the program's reorganization in 1976. Other accomplishments, such as data to support registrations and label expansions through crop groupings and crop definitions, are not included in this IR-4 Reports.

IR-4 Has Obtained or Supported Tolerances and Exemptions for the Following Crops Grown in North Dakota:

ALFALFA

Aluminum Phosphide Bacillus thuringiensis Glyphosate Magnesium Phosphide Malathion Spinosad

CANARYGRASS 2,4-D Bromoxynil MCPA CANOLA

Bifenthrin Imidacloprid Parathion Sethoxydim Tebufennozide Trifluralin

CLOVER

2.4-DB MCPA Sethoxydim **CRAMBE**

Endosulfan Methyl Parathion Trifluralin

FIELDCORN

2,4-1)

Bacillus thuringiensis

Carbaryl Chlorpyrifos Glyphosate Methomyl Paraquat



FIELDCORN(Con't) Propionic Acid Sodium Chlorate

FIELDPEA **MCPA MCPB** Paraquat

> Pendimethalin Sethoxydim

FLAX

Carbaryl Malathion **MCPA** Sethoxydim Sodium Chlorate Trifluralin

GARBANZOBEAN=CHICK

PEA

Bacillus thuringiensis Oxyfluorfen Paraquat Pyridate Sodium Chlorate

GARLIC

Bacillus thuringiensis Bromoxynil Clethodim Fluazifop Glyphosate Metolachlor Oxyfluorfen Pendimethalin

HONEY and BEESWAX Bacillus thuringiensis

> Benzaldehyde Formic Acid Menthol Resmethrin

LENTIL

Bacillus thuringiensis Carbaryl

Dimethoate Methomyl

Methyl Parathion

Paraguat Parathion Sethoxydim Sodium Chlorate Thiabendazote (Sec. 18)

LUPINE

Acephate Dimethoate LUPINE (Con't)

Linuron Metolachlor Paraquat Pendimethalin Sethoxydim

MINT

2,4-DB Acephate

Bacillus thuringiensis

Bentazon Bromoxynil Chlorothalonil Chlomyrifos Clopyralid Glyphosate Oxamyl Paraquat Propiconazole Quizalofop Sethoxydim Tebufenozide Trifluralin

MUSHROOM

Benomyl Chlorothalonil Cinnamaldehyde

DDVP Diazinon Diflubenzuron Naled

Propiconazole

Pseudomonas fluorescens

Resmethrin

ONION(DRY)

Bacillus thuringiensis

Bromoxynil Chlorothalonil Chlorpyrifos Clethodim Cypermethrin Fluazifop Glyphosate Methyl Bromide Metolachlor Oxamyl Pendimethalin Permethrin

PASTURE GRASS

Bacillus popilliae Bacillus thuringiensis Carbaryt Diffubenzuron

Glyphosate Lagenidium giganteum PASTURE GRASS (Con't)

Malathion Methomyl

POTATO

2,4-D

Bacillus thuringiensis Calcium Hypochlorite Copper Complex Sethoxydim Sodium Chlorate Spinosad Sulfuric Acid

PROSOMILLET

Atrazine Carbaryl Dicamba+2,4-D Glyphosate

PUMPKIN

Bacillus thuringiensis

Benomyl Clomazone Glyphosate Metalaxyl + Mancozeb Oxamyl

Paraquat Permethrin Propachlor Sethoxydim

RAPESEED (Also see Canola)

Endosulfan Ethyl Parathion Methy! Parathion Trifluralin

SAFFLOWER

Aluminum Phosphide Methidathion Sodium Chlorate

SOYBEAN

2.4-D

Bacillus thuringiensis Aluminum Phosphide Carbaryl Lagenidium giganteum Magnesium Phosphide Sodium Chlorate

STRAWBERRY

2,4-D Acifluorfen Captan Chlorpyrifos Fenamiphos Fosetyl Al

STRAWBERRY (Con't) Gibberellic Acid Malathion

Methyl Bromide

Terbacil

SUGARBEET

Carbaryl Metalaxyl Tebufenozide Zinc Phosphide

SUNFLOWER

Carbaryl Malathion

Paraquat

Sodium Chloride

SWEETCORN

2.4-D

Bacillus thuringiensis

Permethrin Propargite

TOMATO

Bacillus thuringiensis

Glyphosate Imidacloprid

Magnesium Phosphide

Paraquat

TREFOIL

Azinphos-methyl

Carbaryl Diazinon

Malathion

Methyl Parathion

Pronamide

Spinosad

Trichlorfon

Ongoing IR-4 Research/Support for North Dakota Crops:

BORAGE

Sethoxydim

BUCKWHEAT Sethoxydim

CANOLA

Benomyl

Clopyralid (Sec. 18) Endothall (Sec. 18)

Ethalfluralin (Sec. 18)

Glyphosate

Thifensulfuron

CRAMBE

Clopyralid (Sec. 18)

Ethalfluralin Glyphosate

DRYBEANS

Abamectin

E'ifenthrin

Cyromazine

Flumetsulam

Fomesafen

Glyphosate

Halosulfuron

Imazamox (Sec. 18)

Imidacloprid

Methoxyfenozide

Sulfentrazone

Thiamethoxam

FLAX

Clethodim

Clopyralid (Sec. 18)

Deltamethrin

Glyphosate

Quizalofop

Sulfentrazone

FIELDPEA

Bifenthrin

Glyphosate

lmazamox

MCPA

Methoxyfenozide

Paraguat

Sulfentrazone

Thiamethoxam

LENTIL

Chlorothalonil

Clomazone

Cyfluthrin

Sulfentrazone

MUSTARDSEED

Quizalofop

POTATO

Dimethenamid

Ethalfluralin

Halosulfuron

Sulfentrazone

SAFFLOWER

Ethalfluralin

Glyphosate

Oxyfluorfen

Paraquat

Pronamide

Sethoxydim

Sulfentrazone

Thifensulfuron

SUGARBEET

Clethodim

Clopyralid

Dimethenamid

Endothall

Methoxyfenozide

Metolachlor

Spinosad

Tetraclonizol

SUNFLOWER

Azafenidin

Azoxystrobin

Glyphosate

lmazamox

Methyl Anthranilate Sulfentrazone (Sec. 18)

Tebuconazole

Thiophanate Methyl

Please keep the following in mind as you review this information:

- IR-4's role is to petition the U.S. EPA to establish tolerances or exemptions for pest control products on a crop. Some of these tolerances are regionally restricted. Other tolerances have been established as a result of crop groupings. The fact that each tolerance or exemption is published in the 40 Code of Federal Regulations 180 for the raw agricultural commodity does not necessarily mean that the pesticide is registered for use. Registration of a specific use is the responsibility of the chemical manufacturer, who is the seller of the product.
- (Sec. 18), which are state(s) specific, makes

- reference to the time-limited tolerance established for a Section 18 Emergency Exemption use which is supported by IR-4 data.
- (EUP), which can be regionally restricted. makes reference to the Temporary Tolerance or Exemption for the Experimental Use Permit which is supported by IR-4.
- This compilation does not constitute a recommendation for use. The pesticide registrant or Cooperative Extension should be consulted for specific use information.
- IR-4 thanks the North Dakota State Liaison Representative Dr. Richard Zollinger for assistance in preparing this report.

More information on the IR-4 Minor Use Program is available from the following:

Coordinator, IR-4 Project

Technology Centre of New Jersey Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey 681 U.S. Highway #1 South North Brunswick, NJ 08902-3390 Phone: (732) 932-9575 FAX: (732) 932-8481

Northeast Regional IR-4 Coordinator

Cornell Analytical Laboratories Department of Food Science & Technology **NYSAES-Cornell University** Geneva, NY 14456-0462 Phone: (315) 787-2308 FAX:(315)787-2397

North Central Regional IR-4 Coordinator

National Food Safety & Toxicology Center Michigan State University East Lansing, M148824-1302 Phone: (517) 353-9497 FAX: (517) 432-2098

REPORTS

IR-4 Project Technology Centre of NJ 681 U.S. Highway #1 South North Brunswick, NJ 08902-3390

Western Regional IR-4 Coordinator

Department of Environmental Toxicology One Shields Avenue University of California Davis, CA 95616-8588 FAX: (530) 752-2866 Phone: (530) 752-7633

Southern Regional IR-4 Coordinator

Institute of Food & Agricultural Science Food & Environmental Toxicology Laboratory P.O. Box 110720, SW23rd Drive University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32611-0720 Phone: (352) 392-2399 FAX:(352)392-1988


United States Department of Agriculture Coordinator

ARS/Office of Minor Use Pesticides BARC-W, NRI, Bldg. 003, Room 325 10300 Baltimore Ave. Beltsville, MD 20705-2350 Phone: (301) 504-8256

FAX:(301)504-8142

Section 18 Exemptions

Approved by the EPA




■ North Dakota
■ Minnesota
□ Montana
■ South Dakota

Source: US Environmental Protection Agency 81/199

Crisis Exemptions for Pesticides

Approved by the EPA




■ North Dakota
■ Minnesota
□ Montana
■ South Dakota

Source: US Environmental Protection Agency 8/1/99

HE WY

Anhydrous Ammonia inspection Fund (264) Estimated Revenues and Expenditures

Beginning Balance 7-1-99	154,594.00 140,000.00
Projected 1999 Biennium Revenue	140,000.00
Funds Available	294,594.00
Projected 1999 Biennium Expenditures Anhydrous regulation	(75,992.00)
Total Expenditures	(75,992.00)
Begininning Balance 7-1-01	218,602.00
Projected 2001 Biennium Revenue	140,000.00
Funds Available	358,602.00
Projected 2001 Blennium Expenditures	
Anhydrous regulation	(109,500.00)
Additional 2001-2003 Blennium Uses	
Replace general funds	(25,000.00)
Salary Comp Package	(5,275.00)
Avallable Cash Balance	218,827.00



COMMISSIONER OF AORICULTURE ROBER JOHNSON



PHONE (701) 328-2231

(800) 242-7535

FAX (701) 328-4567

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
State of North Dakota
600 E. Boulevard Ave. Dept. 602
Bismarck, ND 58505-0020

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Wayne Carlson

FROM:

Dr. Andrea Grondahl

andrea Grandahl

State Meat Inspection Director

DATE:

February 13, 2001

SUBJECT:

Meat Inspection Staff

It is estimated that within the next year, the state meat inspection program will have at least fifteen Official State Establishments. Each inspector can adequately inspect up to three Official Establishments. The time involved includes mandatory antemortem and postmortem examinations of all animals slaughtered and periodic processing inspections. There is also time involved with travel to and from each establishment. The number of staff needed to cover the projected number of official establishments in the next year is five field inspectors.

In addition to the Official Establishments, there are currently 102 custom exempt plants, which should remain fairly constant over the next two years. Custom plants are inspected four times yearly. These inspections are performed when an inspector has spare time after inspecting their Official Establishments. The number of custom plants assigned to an inspector, therefore, is based upon his are her region and their workload at Official Establishments. The senior meat inspector/compliance officer assists with some of these inspections.

The reduction of the meat inspection budget by the House's approved amendments will not allow the program to service the projected number of Official Establishments. Our goal is to help small producers and processors develop products and expand markets. To fulfill this goal, we need funding similar to the budget submitted by the governor.

NORTH DAKOTA

MEAT SAFETY REGULATIONS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE - MEATINSPECTION

MEAT SAFETY REGULATIONS

The State Meat Inspection Program is part of the North Dakota Department of Agriculture. Our mission is to ensure that the meat consumers buy products that are safe to eat and free of contamination. We protect and ensure the quality of the meat supply through registration, inspection, testing, and enforcement activities. The Department also monitors meat package labeling, which helps inform the public about what is being purchased and who processed or distributed the product.

The meat inspection program is part of the livestock division within the Department of Agriculture. Our staff consists of the meat inspection director, a supervisor/compliance officer, and field inspectors. Our staff helps meat slaughtering or processing businesses comply with state and federal rules and laws governing the processing and sale of meat products.

To be absolutely clear about labeling and processing laws that apply to your meat business, we suggest you call our office for guidance (see back page for phone numbers). North Dakota laws and regulations pertaining to meat businesses are available through the Department of Agriculture.

NORTH DAKOTA MEAT LAWS

North Dakota meat laws require that meat or meat food products offered for sale must be safe and wholesome. To prevent

contamination, meat must be prepared under sanitary conditions, and the equapment used must be suitable for the product being soid.

Requirements differ depending on the type and degree of meat slaughtering/processing and sales of products involved. The different types of meat businesses include custom exempt, retail exempt, official slaughtering, official processing establishments, or combinations of these.

CUSTOM/RETAIL EXEMPT

Custom and/or Retail Exempt Establishments must be maintained and operated in accordance with "Facility Requirements" (listed below). However, these operations are exempt from regulations for inspection of the preparation of products.

Custom processing means "slaughtering, eviscerating, areasing, or processing an animal or processing meat products for the owner of the animal or of the meat products, if all meat products derived from the custom operation are returned to the owner of the animal or of the meat products" (NDCC \$30-24-01). Custom Exempt operators may not prepare meat products for sale to another retail store or distributor, wholesaler.

Retail Exempt means federal or state inspected and passed product is handled of used in the preparation of any product and sold within the retail core to household

consumers. The assumption is that household consumers who buy meat processed at a retail store are able to judge for themselves the conditions under which the products are processed Retail Exempt operators may not prepare meat products for sale—to—another retail store—or distributorywholesaler.

OFFICIAL STATE SLAUGHTER AND/OR PROCESSING

Official Slaughter Establishment means a plant, facility, operation, or premises where animals are slaughtered for human consumption under regulated inspection. Official Processing Establishment means a plant, facility, operation, or premises where meat or meat food products are processed under regulated inspection. These official establishments must comply with "Facility Requirements" (listed below).

Official Establishments must obtain a state or federal Grant of Inspection before operating. A state grant is obtained from the Department of Agriculture, a federal grant from Food Safety and Inspection Services (FSIS), USDA. The appropriate authorities issue a Grant of Inspection after it is determined that the applicant and the establishment meet given eligibility requirements.

Once a grant of Inspection is issued. meat products prepared under inspection will bear the mark of inspection. These products are then eligible for sale to another distributor/wholesaler. retail store or Currently, meat food products inspected and passed under state inspection may be sold within North Dakota. Meat food products passed under federal inspected and

increation may be read an interciple commerce, or outcode of North Dagona.

WILD GAME/NONTRADITIONAL

In North Dakota, game annuals or non-traditional livestock such as eik, deer, buson, ostrich and emu generally have the same requirements as traditional livestock, such as cattle or swine. All meat products offered for sale must be slaughtered and processed under inspection within an official slaughter and/or processing establishment. Custom processing of game animals is the same as listed under "Custom Processing".

One distinction for non-traditional meat products is in the regulations governing interstate shipment/sales. If non-traditional livestock is slaughtered and processed under inspection, product may be offered for sale across state borders.

FACILITY REQUIREMENTS

All individuals processing any meat product, including custom processors must have their facilities approved prior to operation. Meat processing facilities must meet specific requirements pertaining to construction, lighting, equipment, canitation, and related items.

☐ Your meat processing area must be a room(s) reparate from all living and sleeping quarters. Home processing is not allowed. The area must be large ·he ailow enough. randling. preparation, and storage of ingredients, products. finished and packaging materials without danger υĖ contamination from other food-contact unprocessed surfaces. foods.

ingredients, or the processing environment.	open into the food processing area uniess there is a vertibule
Walls and certings must be built of durable materials, impervious to moisture, and have a smooth, light colored, easy-to-clean surface.	Hand washing facilities with running hot and cold water, soap, paper towels and easy-to-clean waste containers, must be adequate in the establishment as necessary to ensure cleanliness of all
Floors must be in good condition with adequate drainage. Wood-surfaced floors are unacceptable.	persons handling product. Common towels (cloth towels used by more than one person) cannot be used.
Walls, floors, ceilings, doors, windows, and other outside openings must be constructed and maintained to prevent the entrance of vermin, such as flies or mice.	Ventilation, whether natural or mechanical, must be adequate to remove excessive heat, condensation, smoke, or fumes.
Lighting must be sufficient for the intended purpose. Light bulbs or florescent tubes must be shatterproof or protected with covers to prevent	Tables, counters, and other work surfaces must be in good repair and easily cleanable.
contamination of food in the event of bulb breakage.	Equipment and utensils used for processing or otherwise handling edible product or ingredients must be of such
A supply of running water at a suitable temperature and under pressure as needed must be provided in all areas where required. When requested, a water potability certificate must be made	material and construction so they will not contaminate product. Wood and glassware equipment or utensils are generally unacceptable.
available. The certificate must be renewed annually if using a municipal water supply or semi-annually if using a private well.	Storage of your ingredients and finished product must be appropriate for the type of product being processed and must protect the food from contamination. Refrigerated foods must be held at 41°F
Plumbing must meet all state and local codes.	or less.
Sinks must be adequate to wash, rinse, and sanitize utensils and equipment.	
Toilet facilities should include an adequate toilet, hand washing facility, and ventilation fan. The door cannot	

LABELING

Food labeling requirements fall under state and federal regulation. All packaged foods need to be labeled.

In general, a packaged product lanel must list:

- The name of the product
- The name, address, and zip code of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor
- A listing of ingredients in decreasing order of predominance by weight
- A net weight or volume statement
- Nutrition information it required*

*Very small food businesses (including manufacturers, packers, and distributors) are exempt from the required "Nutrition Facts" label if they make no nutrition or health claim, have less than 10 full-time employees, and sell less than 10,000 consumer packages of any specific food item.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

If you have questions or need more information, please contact the Department of Agriculture. Meat Inspection.

NORTH DAKOTA STATE MEAT INSPECTION PROGRAM

Director: Dr. Andrea Grondahl

Phone: (701) 328-4762

e-mail: agrondabia state ad us

Senior Meat Inspector:

Jordan Woodbury Phone: (704) 328-2234

e-mail: <u>iwoodburaestate.nd.us</u>

ND Dept of Agriculture State Capitol ~ 6th Floor 600 East Boulevard, Dept. 602 Bismarck, ND 58505

Phone: (701) 328-2231 Toll-free: (800) 242-7535


Fax: (701) 328-4567

Environment & Rangeland Protection Fund (376) Estimated Revenues and Expenditures

Projected	g Balance 7-1-99 1999 Biennium Revenue Revenue thru 4-11-01 Available Funds	701,280.00 2,820.500.00 35,676.00 3,387,425.00	1999 Bi	ennium Revenue thru 4-11-01 2,656,178.00
Projected	1999 Blennium Expenditures			
Ag Dept.	Minor Use Pesticide	(285,000.00)		
•	Pesticide Pads	(90,000.00)		
	Noxious Weeds	(1,080,524.00)		
	SaleSend	(573,907.00)		
	Pesticide Programs	(266,467.00)		
Health De	partment	(200,000.00)		
	Total Expanditures	(2,495,898.00)		
Beginning	, Balanco 7-1-01	861,527.00		
	2001 Blennium Revenue	2,083,250.00		
Additional	2001 Biennium Revenue	416,650.00	4	This is due to extending the
	Available Funds	3,381,427.00		sunset clause for registration
				thru 6-30-03
	2001 Biennium Expenditures			
Ag Dept.	Noxious Weeds	(1,404,602.00)		
	SafeSend	(596,842.00)		
	Pesticide Programs	(314,620.00)		
	Salary Package	(25,751.00)		
Health De	partment	(200,000.00)		
	Total Expenditures	(2,541,815.00)		
	Available Cash Balance	819,612.00		
Other Leg	islative action			
HB1467	Minor use pesticide fund transfer	(500,000.00)		
HB1328	Crop harmonization committee	(250,000.00)	(325,000.00)	senate appn committee, reduced by 75,000
HB1009	House added section to establish fees		100,000.00	senate removed section 8 from house version
	Available Cash Balance	69,612.00		HOUSE VERSION

Effective thru 6-30-03 \$350 for each pesticide product registered \$50.00 General Fund \$300.00 ERP Fund

After 6-30-03 with sunset clause \$300 for each pesticide product registered \$50.00 General Fund \$250.00 ERP Fund



The North Dakota Department of Agriculture is the lead sponsor of the North Dakota Dairy Pollution Program. Cooperating agencies and entities include:

- North Dakota Milk Producers Association
- North Dakota Department of Health
- North Dakota Non-Point Source Pollution Program
- U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service
- · All identified watershed districts
- North Dakota State University Cooperative Extension Service

The North Dakota Dairy Pollution Program is funded by a grant from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

FOR MORE INFORMATION

If you need more information or want to sign up for DP3, please contact:

Coordinator
Dairy Pollution Prevention Program
North Cakota Department of Agriculture
600 E. Boulevard Ave., Dept. 602
Bismarck, ND 58505-0020
(800) 242-7535
ndda@state.nd.us



Buy North Dakota Products

North Dakota Dairy Pollution Prevention Program



Helping dan, producers moroile water duals.



INTRODUCTION

Dairy farms produce tons of manure, and dairy producers know that managing that manure can be difficult and expensive.


This is especially true in areas where waste runoff and seepage can easily pollute surface and ground water.


Now there's help. It's called the **North Da-kota Dairy Pollution Prevention Program** or DP3 for short.

DP3 provides financial, technical and educational assistance to help dairy producers identify, reduce or eliminate releases of livestock waste into surface or groundwater.

DP3 is a voluntary program and entirely non-regulatory.

DP3 is a cost-share program. The program pays 60 percent of approved expenses, and the producer pays 40 percent.





WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS?

Participation in DP3 offers several major benefits, including:

- Controlling or preventing erosion
- Preventing feedlot waste from entering streams, lakes and rivers.
- Using wetlands as a living filter to remove numers and sediments from surface and ground waters.
- Preventing or slowing run-off rates during major precipitation events.
- Helping participants develop a system for applying manure as fertilizer in field applications.

How Does DP3 work?

- 1 Any dairy producer who is interested in participating in DP3 should contact the North Dakota Department of Agriculture to schedule a meeting with the program coordinator.
- 2 After the initial meeting, the coordinator visits the dairy operation and with the producer evaluates the current situation.
- 3 If the coordinator determines that the operation qualifies for DP3, a contract is drawn up, establishing the goals and responsibilities of the producer and the program. The contract may be for a single year or more.
- 4 The producer then hires an engineer who develops a design to meet the contract specifications. Designs may include:
- Dairy waste containment systems
 - Drainage systems
- Sewage systems
- Fencing
- Miscellaneous surface and ground water improvements
- 5 After the State Health Department 4pproves the design, construction can begin. The producer's own labor in the construction phase can be credited as part of the producer's cost-share match.
- 6 After the project is completed, a monitoring plan will be established to make sure the new system is operating properly



North Dakota Wildlife Services Program



wilden!

Annual Highlights Report - 2000

Cooperating Agencies:

ND Department of Agriculture ND Game & Fish Department ND State University, Department of Zoology National Wildlife Research Center-Great Plains Field Station

Additional support provided by:

Bureau of Land Management

Ducks Unlimited

Federal Aviation Administration National Sunflower Association ND Aeronautics Commission ND Department of Health ND Farm Bureau ND Farmers Union ND Lamb and Wool Producers ND State University Extension Service ND Stockmen's Association U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service **USDA** Forest Service USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service USGS, Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center

Background

The Wildlife Services division of the USDA/APHIS works in partnership with the North Dakota Department of Agriculture and the North Dakota Game and Fish Department to assist with management of wildlife conflicts that impact the lives and livelihood of North Dakotans. Assistance is provided to livestock producers, farmers, homeowners, civilian and military airports, and public land managers. Management efforts are undertaken to mitigate wildlife damage to agricultural resources, private and publically owned property, human health and safety, wildlife, and other natural resources. Wildlife Services has 18 employees; 14 field representatives and 4 administrative personnel.

Program Highlights

Livestock Protection: Wildlife Services continued to assist livestock producers through its predator damage management program. Wildlife Services responded to 785 occurrences of coyote and fox predation to calves, sheep and lambs, and poultry. Agency personnel documented \$145,000 in livestock losses to predators.

Wildlife Services continued to administer the predator management cost share program that was established with funds provided by the ND Game and Fish Department. This program provides a 50:50 cost share, up to a maximum of \$200 per purchase per year, to landowners who purchase non-lethal control items to protect livestock from predators. Items approved for purchase include guard animals, propane cannons, and electronic frightening devices. In 2000, 22 livestock producers utilized the program to purchase 10 guard dogs, 9 llamas, 2 donkeys, and 1 propane cannon.

Sunflower Protection: Blackbirds ause approximately \$3.5 million in losses to North Dakota sunflower and small grain crops annually. Approximately 3,840 acres of cattail wetlands were identified as blackbird roosting areas and were aerially treated with a glyphosate-based herbicide to reduce blackbird habitat close to crop lands.

Waterfow! Damage Management: Waterfowl damage to grain crops increased by 80% in 2000. Wildlife Services and the ND Game and Fish Department responded to 167 incidences of damage which accounted for \$162,000 in losses. Canada geese were responsible for 96% of the complaints. Propane cannons, frightening flags, and electric fencing were loaned to farmers to prevent additional damage. Wildlife Services and the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service developed a leaflet explaining the use of vegetative filter strips as barriers to restrict waterfowl movements between wetlands and adjacent crop lands. Landowners interested in establishing vegetative barriers can receive financial assistance through the Conservation Reserve Program.

Beaver Damage Management: Wildlife Services responded to 585 incidents of beaver damage to trees, roadways, and crops which resulted in losses totaling \$878,000. Certified explosive specialists used binary explosives to remove 140 beaver dams in order to restore normal water flow.

Urban Wildlife: Assistance was provided to 212 property owners who reported conflicts with various types of wildlife. Resources damaged included homes, ornamental trees, gardens, lawns, and golf courses. Economic impacts totaled \$112,000.

Protection of Wildlife: In cooperation with the ND Came and Fish Department, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and Ducks Unlimited, Wildlife Services initiated predator damage management programs to protect ducks captured in live traps during annual leg banding projects.

Assistance was also provided to researchers with Ducks Unlimited and Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center who had initiated a study to determine the impacts of badger predation on nesting waterfowl. Wildlife Services staff live-trapped 34 badgers that were subsequently outfitted with radio transmitters so that researchers could monitor badger movements.

Human Health and Safety: Wildlife Services documented 154 incidents of wildlife threats to human health. Concerns over the threat of rabies transferring from skunks to humans or domestic animals accounted for 53% of the complaints.


Aviation Safety: In cooperation with the Federal Aviation Administration, year-long wildlife hazard assessments were initiated at Bismarck Municipal Airport and Minot International Airport. A similar study was completed at Grand Forks Municipal Airport.

Information Transfer: Wildlife Services continued to conduct an extensive educational program to assist the public with their specific wildlife conflicts. A total of 1,146 personal consultations and 54 instructional sessions were provided for 1,322 individuels. In addition, 4,842 informational leaflets were distributed to the public. Also, 442 pieces of equipment, such as live traps and propane cannons, were loaned free of charge so that individuals could solve their specific problems.

Personnel Actions: Mike Duncan was selected as the winner of the 2000 North Dakota Wildlife Services Excellence Award. Previous winners were Greg Simonson (1999), John Plaggemeyer (1998) and Jerald Shoemaker (1997).

For additional information contact Wildlife Services at:

USDA/APHIS/Wildlife Services 2110 Miriam Circle, Suite A Bismarck, ND 58501-2502 701-250-4405






United States Depurtment of Agriculture

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Miscollaneous Publication No. 1543

Managing Wildlife Damage:

The Mission of APHIS' Wildlife Services Program



COPY ď

CONTACT US JA OR ND AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT FOR