

# MICROFILM DIVIDER

OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION

SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M



ROLL NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

1130

2001 HOUSE EDUCATION

HB 1130

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1130

House Education Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 01/23/01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
#2	X		1537 to 3120
#2		X	1 to 855
Committee Clerk Signature <i>[Signature]</i>			

Minutes:

Chairman R. Kelsch, Vice-Chair T. Brusegaard, Rep. Bellew, Rep. Grumbo, Rep. Haas, Rep. Hanson, Rep. Hawken, Rep. Hunsakor, Rep. Johnson, Rep. Meier, Rep. Mueller, Rep. Nelson, Rep. Nottestad, Rep. Solberg, Rep. Thoreson

Chairman Kelsch: We will now open the hearing on HB1130.

Rep. Hanson: (District 48) I introduce this bill on the request of the Jamestown public school district, because of the recruiting of students from our districts to other districts. At the present time, there are 54 students from the Jamestown districts going to other districts surrounding our district, and thirty of those are bused from right out of downtown Jamestown to this other town. Probably in the future, as these schools probably lose enrollment, they might even offer scholarships to go to their schools and so on. The Jamestown school district has no problem in having these kids go to other schools, they actually grant permission for them to go, but the problem we have is the busing that is coming into our district. West Fargo could go into Fargo

and drive a bus in and pick kids up, Castleton go into West Fargo, New Salem could come into Mandan, and this probably could create problems in these districts.

Superintendent Loison: (Superintendent of Jamestown schools) Right before Rep. Hanson came to introduce himself to me, I had gotten a call from parents saying, 'my son wants to go to another school, and he says because he only has to walk one block to catch the bus to this other school' and I said, 'well, I don't believe that's true', because when you come to a new state you have to learn the new laws, but I was used to the laws in Iowa which stated that the school district has to have permission to transport students out of your district into another one before they were allowed to go, which is what this bill says. I checked into it, and it's true that three blocks from my office, a bus in the morning loads 30 children on it, and those 30 ride the bus out to a district that's 21 miles away. They not only ride it, but the state provides them with \$4500. to the best of my knowledge, so they ride the bus with moneys provided by the state to go to that school. I'm not at all opposed to the parent's right to an education at another school. I think it's very healthy and I applaud ND for having an open enrollment law that allows them to choose what school they want to go to. I am opposed to the recruiting of students out of the district, because that's how the bus started. I'm also not opposed to a bus coming into our district if a student is on the fringe of our district. We have one town, where most of the children in that town go to a school south of us, and it's shorter ride for them, and I think that's good. When they come in, and the kids live a block from where they load the bus and they are trying to convince other kids that they should ride the bus, because they don't have to walk as far, because they have to walk four blocks to our school, that's not a real good educational sound reason for

going to that other school, and the other thing I'm opposed to is paying for the transportation for open enrollment in other districts.

Rep. Thoreson: If they would request this, you would perhaps deny this request to come into your district?

Loison: If a student lived a block from our school and requested transportation be provided with a bus coming into Jamestown, I can't say what the school board would do, but I would recommend that they would not allow them to have that transportation. Not right beside our schools, when we're providing a solid education. I think a solid education is based on how well we do in test scores and the credibility we have to offer.

Rep. Thoreson: You would deny them open enrollment?

Loison: I would deny them transportation. I would never deny them open enrollment.

Rep. Nottestad: You're saying you would agree to provide transportation out of Ypsilanti, just not out of Jamestown, and yet wouldn't you say that you're providing just as good of education for the Ypsilanti kids as you would from down the street, yet you would discriminate against the kids in your district for transportation?

Loison: I grew up in a small town in ND, I see nothing wrong with small town education. The culture in a small town is different than the culture in Jamestown. I don't think it's a bad culture, but in Ypsilanti, they only have to ride, but if they came to James town, we'd have ten or fifteen miles. I would say less time in a bus is better for me.

Rep. Nottestad: But that's the point. You're using one criteria for one group, and another for another. To that parent, they consider where they're going has to be the best for their child, and

to approve a bus ride for one and not another, we're getting into opinions of what it is, you're talking about miles, they maybe are looking at it for other reasons.

Loison: Maybe it's because of what I'm used to, because of where I'm from, if they lived in the fringe areas, our school district defended the fact that they're closer to the town and they have less bus driving, and they should go there, but we didn't allow the buses to come all the way into the town, although the state of Iowa didn't provide them with monetary reimbursement.

Joe Secora: (School district business manager for Jamestown public schools) I've overseen our transportation for the last five years, and the first thing I would like to comment on is the Ypsilanti part of it. Some of our rural bus routes last upwards of 45 minutes to an hour and for a bus to go to the Ypsilanti area, those kids are going to be on a bus for approximately an hour every day in the morning and in the afternoon, in comparison to a five minute bus ride into the neighboring towns. In Jamestown, our buses do not go into other districts to pick up other students. There has been one exception, of asking another district, and that was a case where a mother was hurt and she worked in Jamestown and had an agreement between schools, and the child was dropped off at school. She was hurt for a couple of weeks. I guess, all this bill is asking, school districts, out of common courtesy, should get approval from other school districts, in terms of transportation, driving across school district lines.

Chairman Kelsch: Anyone who wishes to appear in opposition to HB1130?

Tom Dekker: (DPI) \*Please refer to written testimony\*

Rep. Hanson: There are three districts surrounding Jamestown that runs ads in the newspaper, the one that has forty in high school is the one that sends the bus into Jamestown, and they're picking up thirteen to fifteen high school students, so about a third of their high school comes out

of Jamestown, and without these students it would be a very small high school, so we're maybe prolonging the agony for those schools to stay open by recruiting out of another district.

Chairman Kelsch: Anyone else wishing to appear in opposition to HB1130?

Rep. Nelson: Just for those that have been on the committee awhile, the handout that Tom gave, would he explain the columns?

Decker: The handout that I gave you is the current year printout of open enrollment. The first column is open enrollment into those districts. The second column is open enrollment out of those districts. The next column gives you the K-12 enrollment of the district and the percent in and the percent out. The printout is ranked in terms of percent open enrolled in, so you see them in that order.

Chairman Kelsch: We'll close the hearing on HB1130.

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1130 A

House Education Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 02/07/01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
#2		X	5026 to 6200
#3	X		1 to 139
Committee Clerk Signature <i>Lisa Gilbertson</i>			

Minutes:

Chairman R. Kelsch, Vice-Chair T. Brusegaard, Rep. Bellew, Rep. Grumbo, Rep. Haas, Rep. Hanson, Rep. Hawken, Rep. Hunsakor, Rep. Johnson, Rep. Meier, Rep. Mueller, Rep. Nelson, Rep. Nottestad, Rep. Solberg, Rep. Thoreson

Chairman Kelsch: We will now take up HB1130. What are the wishes of the committee?

Rep. Brusegaard: I move a DO NOT PASS.

Rep. Hanson: Second.

Chairman Kelsch: Committee discussion.

The motion of DO NOT PASS passes with 13 YAY 1 NAY 1 ABSENT.

Floor Assignment: Rep. Bellew



Date: 2/7/01  
Roll Call Vote #: 1

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES  
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1130

House House Education Committee

☐ Subcommittee on \_\_\_\_\_

or

☐ Conference Committee

Legislative Council Amendment Number \_\_\_\_\_

Action Taken Do Not Pass

Motion Made By Rep. Brusegaard Seconded By Rep. Thoreson

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman-RaeAnn G. Kelsch	X		Rep. Howard Grumbo	X	
V. Chairman-Thomas T. Brusegaard	X		Rep. Lyle Hanson		X
Rep. Larry Bellew	X		Rep. Bob Hunsaker	X	
Rep. C.B. Haas	X		Rep. Phillip Mueller	X	
Rep. Kathy Hawken	X		Rep. Dorvan Solberg	X	
Rep. Dennis E. Johnson	X				
Rep. Lisa Meier	X				
Rep. Jon O. Nelson	X				
Rep. Darrell D. Nottestad					
Rep. Laurel Thoreson	X				

Total (Yes) 13 Click here to type Yes Vote No 1 Click here to type No Vote

Absent 1

Floor Assignment Click here to type Floor Assignment Rep. Bellew

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

**REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410)**  
February 8, 2001 8:41 a.m.

**Module No: HR-23-2666**  
**Carrier: Bellew**  
**Insert LC: . Title: .**

**REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE**

**HB 1130: Education Committee (Rep. R. Kelsch, Chairman) recommends DO NOT PASS**  
**(13 YEAS, 1 NAY, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1130 was placed on the**  
**Eleventh order on the calendar.**

2001 TESTIMONY

HB 1130

**TESTIMONY ON HB 1130**  
**HOUSE EDUCATION COMMITTEE**

**January 23, 2001**

**By Tom Decker, Director of Finance and Organization**

**328-2267**

**Department of Public Instruction**

---

---

I am here to speak in opposition of House Bill 1130.

The public school open enrollment may well be the best legislation adopted in the nineties by the North Dakota Legislature in terms of opportunity for students and their parents. The legislation was passed in 1993. This year there are 2236 students open enrolled around North Dakota. The attached printout gives you a district-by-district breakdown of the number of students open enrolled in and out of each district.

When the open enrollment law was passed in 1993, other sections of the law required a transportation agreement in order for a school district to bus from one district to go into another district to pick up a student. Our experience was that the statute requiring transportation agreements quickly began to be used by school districts to frustrate the open enrollment law. Students who open enrolled from one district to another were unable to get transportation to their school of choice because their district of residence refused to allow buses into their district to transport them to school. In many cases without access to transportation they could not exercise their choice of open enrollment.

The legislature repealed the transportation language in 1995 and replaced it with language that says that either the receiving district or the resident district may transport a student under any of the circumstances through which students can legally attend school in a district other than their

district of residence. While this change did not guarantee that all students that open enrolled would have access to transportation, at least it made it possible for either district involved, the resident district or the receiving district, to transport the student if they thought it were feasible.

HB 1130 would take us back to an environment similar to the one before 1995 in which transportation agreements were required. It would effectively frustrate the open enrollment law, and students and parents who choose to exercise open enrollment would be denied transportation in many cases. We believe the law is working as it is and should be left as it is. A better approach to managing open enrollment is to limit recruiting of students for open enrollment by school districts.

I have been involved with the discussion about open enrollment since it was first purposed in North Dakota some time in 1990 or 1991. It seems very clear to me that throughout the discussion about open enrollment the intent was to make open enrollment available to parents and to students. There was never any intent to allow or tolerate recruiting of students for open enrollment purposes. As I see it, the current problem has to do with districts recruiting students for open enrollment. I do not believe that we will have a problem with open enrollment in North Dakota if parents and students are simply allowed to make choices on information that is available from districts. While there is a fine line between providing information and recruiting, I believe that we should try to draw that line because I believe that is the real issue.

OPEN ENROLLMENT 00-01 BY DISTRICT 00-01 K12 ENROLLMENT									
ONS	ID	NAME	OEIN	OEOUT	DEWCL2	INPCT	OUTPCT	DEWCLNT	
1	18148	GRAND FORKS AFB	5	4	0	125.8	100.0		
2	38017	Sweet Br-ier 17	5	5	7	91.7	41.7		
3	50051	Nash 51	8	1	27	28.6	3.6		
4	51078	S Pral-fo 70	36	1	136	26.3	0.7		
5	37018	Salund 18	2	1	6	25.0	25.0		
6	38026	Glendburn 26	77	2	328	23.9	0.6		
7	47026	Sprithood 26	13	0	14	21.4	0.0		
8	50039	Lunkin 39	13	0	63	20.6	0.0		
9	8025	Naughton 25	32	5	5	20.0	50.0		
10	47018	Pingree-Buchanan 18	45	0	163	19.6	0.0		
11	53008	New 8	45	35	224	17.4	0.0		
12	47014	Montballer 14	23	13	121	17.4	13.5		
13	35001	Wolford 1	11	0	66	16.7	9.7		
14	52944	Lakota 66	47	0	295	15.6	0.0		
15	44014	Shoets 14	4	6	9	15.4	2.0		
16	37006	Ft Ransom 6	2	3	24	14.8	11.1		
17	44010	Hogg 10	23	4	154	14.6	11.1		
18	20004	Little River 4	5	7	28	14.3	2.5		
19	8045	Hamming 45	2	3	12	13.3	20.0		
20	52023	Borden 23	10	0	75	13.3	8.0		
21	15008	Dodge 8	7	0	51	12.7	7.3		
22	22026	Steele-Damon 26	33	1	263	12.5	0.4		
23	53006	Eight Mile 6	25	6	201	12.1	2.9		
24	18129	Northwood 129	44	28	359	11.4	2.2		
25	51041	Surrey 41	48	13	439	10.6	2.9		
26	15018	Bulker 18	2	9	10	10.5	47.4		
27	8039	Apple Creek 39	6	13	45	10.3	22.4		
28	9008	Page 80	6	13	10	10.1	6.3		
29	38039	Flascher 35	16	10	148	9.9	6.3		
30	14012	Shoyenne 12	25	12	251	9.8	0.0		
31	18127	Emerado 127	16	19	145	9.8	11.6		
32	8035	Starling 35	13	16	116	9.8	12.1		
33	50106	Edinburg 106	4	5	37	9.5	11.9		
34	51004	Madrova 4	14	3	144	9.5	3.5		
35	47003	Hedline 3	24	9	248	9.3	2.5		
36	20005	White Shield 85	16	7	178	9.1	4.0		
37	34002	Edmore 2	14	0	164	8.5	0.0		
38	45003	Taylor 3	11	0	129	8.5	4.2		
39	3009	Madock 9	28	4	233	8.5	1.7		
40	25004	Newport 4	17	3	202	8.4	1.5		
41	2013	Or-laka 13	7	25	61	8.1	29.1		
42	8028	Wing 28	6	17	75	7.9	1.3		
43	51054	Berthold 54	17	3	215	7.9	1.4		
44	52035	Pleasant Valley 35	12	3	25	7.4	7.4		
45	15006	Marathon-Hoffit-Broad	12	3	161	7.3	1.8		
46	52039	Sykes 39	6	11	72	7.2	13.3		
47	25001	Valve 1	33	3	467	7.0	0.6		
48	51002	Stanley 2	39	10	425	7.0	0.7		
49	13019	Halliday 19	7	10	93	6.8	9.7		
50	43000	Salfridge 4	5	1	74	6.7	1.3		
51	40004	Ht Pleasant 4	23	4	349	6.5	1.1		
52	44028	N Central 28	6	16	78	6.4	17.0		
53	2002	Winkleson-Courtenay	11	0	176	6.3	0.0		
54	54029	Minto 28	17	8	268	6.3	3.0		
55	3004	Leeds 6	13	7	202	6.2	3.3		
56	22028	Lappan 28	8	6	121	6.2	6.2		
57	14001	New Bedford 1	23	0	373	6.1	1.6		
58	28050	Max 50	10	0	168	6.1	0.0		
59	37019	Lisbon 19	41	4	698	5.9	0.0		
60	15015	Strasbourg 15	14	0	233	5.8	3.3		
61	10019	Parish 19	9	2	155	5.7	1.3		
62	2052	Litchville 52	6	11	99	5.5	10.0		
63	51010	Bell 10	8	16	129	5.5	11.0		
64	5017	Westhope 17	9	3	167	5.3	1.8		
65	50128	Adams 128	4	0	113	5.3	0.0		
66	32001	Dakota Pral-fo 1	25	54	399	5.3	17.7		
67	10061	Thompson 61	29	6	518	5.1	9.4		
68	23007	Kula 7	8	1	157	5.1	0.6		
69	21009	New England 9	12	10	238	5.8	4.2		
70	23008	Lakota 8	19	1	396	4.8	0.3		
71	45004	Richardson 4	9	1	187	4.8	0.5		
72	8033	Parish 33	2	9	34	4.7	20.9		
73	9017	Central Case 17	39	2	826	4.7	0.2		

1 on Dec 11 30

OPEN ENROLLMENT 00-01 BY DISTRICT 00-01 K12 ENROLLMENT							DEMLNT	
OES	ID	NAME	OEN	OEUT	DEML2	IMPT	OUTPT	
74	45013	Beltfield 13	14	4	308	4.5	1.3	
75	14010	Cartington 10	31	4	786	4.4	0.6	
76	4001	Billings Co 1	4	15	79	4.3	16.0	
77	21006	Mott 6	10	0	235	4.3	0.0	
78	27003	Nazem 5	34	6	888	4.2	0.7	
79	2002	Valley City 2	52	22	1260	4.2	0.7	
80	5001	Northmeau 1	32	3	801	4.1	1.7	
81	9007	Northmeau 5	20	15	405	4.0	0.4	
82	39005	Northmeau 97	1	3	22	4.0	3.0	
83	39004	Northmeau 5	13	4	320	4.0	12.0	
84	39004	Northmeau 5	15	3	152	4.0	1.2	
85	25007	Brake 57	25	1	633	3.9	0.7	
86	34006	Capitler 6	25	1	270	3.9	0.3	
87	49007	Hallert 7	11	13	97	3.9	4.0	
88	5005	Fordeville 79	4	6	140	3.9	5.8	
89	24056	Minnewaukan 5	7	11	176	3.8	6.9	
90	27002	Gaudle-Strawler 56	4	1	105	3.8	4.3	
91	37002	Alexander 2	4	9	130	3.8	0.9	
92	30002	Sheldon 2	2	0	64	3.8	17.0	
93	29022	Stanton 22	4	14	93	3.7	0.0	
94	17006	Lone Trees 6	2	0	55	3.7	13.1	
95	23003	Edgeley 3	16	14	271	3.6	0.0	
96	37022	Emberlin 22	13	22	352	3.5	5.9	
97	22011	Pottlberg-Tuttle 11	1	0	24	3.5	28.0	
98	51028	Kennamere 28	12	0	364	3.3	0.0	
99	51158	N Shore 158	4	1	121	3.3	0.0	
100	18006	Larimore 44	19	7	121	3.3	0.0	
101	34027	Walhalla 27	10	15	583	3.2	1.2	
102	30007	McCall 9	9	10	301	3.2	4.7	
103	30007	New Salem 7	12	3	269	3.2	3.6	
104	42016	Goodrich 16	2	4	397	3.0	0.8	
105	51016	Samper 16	2	3	62	3.0	6.1	
106	20001	Monticore 1	6	3	165	3.0	16.7	
107	6001	Bowman 1	7	5	233	3.0	2.1	
108	28004	Washburn 4	12	2	445	3.0	0.4	
109	33010	Canter 18	11	6	409	3.0	1.4	
110	9002	Kinder 2	19	10	202	2.7	3.4	
111	20007	Midvale 7	6	13	726	2.6	1.8	
112	29020	Golden Valley 20	2	24	210	2.6	10.3	
113	7014	Boehle 14	3	5	71	2.6	6.6	
114	11061	Dakes 41	14	4	114	2.5	5.0	
115	40002	Bieber-Egeland 2	3	2	555	2.5	0.4	
116	49009	Hillspore 9	12	11	111	2.5	9.0	
117	2065	N Central 65	3	0	476	2.5	1.7	
118	34003	St Thomas 45	5	8	190	2.4	10.4	
119	34004	Starkweather 44	3	22	121	2.4	2.4	
120	50070	Park River 70	3	6	121	2.4	4.7	
121	15035	Lanford 35	11	10	454	2.4	2.2	
122	15036	Linton 36	9	7	37	2.3	15.9	
123	24019	Witlak 19	9	13	264	2.3	3.4	
124	51007	United 7	6	15	673	2.3	0.0	
125	9000	Neale Valley 4	5	20	212	2.2	8.6	
126	40008	Southern 8	2	10	86	2.2	1.9	
127	5013	Willow City 13	7	6	308	2.2	10.4	
128	6033	Serrano 33	2	10	196	2.2	0.5	
129	10023	Langdon 23	4	7	589	2.2	1.2	
130	19069	Elgin-Haw Leptzig 49	5	4	248	2.0	1.6	
131	20018	Cr-Lopez County Centre	7	1	355	2.0	0.3	
132	30013	Hudson 13	4	4	196	2.0	3.0	
133	5056	Nedburg-United 54	2	21	85	1.9	19.8	
134	39062	Wendover 42	4	0	513	1.9	3.1	
135	40029	Rolotta 29	4	10	215	1.9	0.0	
136	25025	Granville 25	3	0	142	1.8	16.5	
137	34012	Valley 12	3	28	164	1.8	3.0	
138	23009	Norton 9	2	5	109	1.7	9.9	
139	13016	Killdeer 16	2	12	364	1.7	0.0	
140	7027	Powers Lake 27	4	3	120	1.6	0.0	
141	49016	Hay-Fort CS 16	2	40	120	1.5	3.8	
142	10125	Manuel 125	3	15	192	1.4	6.7	
143	31001	New Town 1	11	23	745	1.4	7.2	
144	34055	Neche 55	2	19	121	1.4	13.6	
145	52038	Harvey 38	8	3	556	1.4	0.4	
146	45007	S Hart 9	4	2	274	1.3	9.0	

## OPEN ENROLLMENT 90-01 BY DISTRICT 00-01 K12 ENROLLMENT

## OENRMT

DBS	ID	NAME	OEN	DEUT	3ENR12	INPT	OUTPT
147	1013	Hettinger 13	5	4	406	1.2	1.0
148	3829	Warwick 29	3	27	229	1.2	10.5
149	23011	Verona 11	1	12	72	1.2	14.3
150	27001	McKenzie Co 1	8	7	657	1.2	1.1
151	39037	Mapleton 37	19	4	1586	1.2	0.3
152	45001	Dickinson 1	34	4	2906	1.2	0.2
153	12001	Grand Forks 1	92	4	8351	1.1	0.0
154	18128	Midway 128	4	21	328	1.1	6.0
155	28051	Carleton 51	4	8	3495	1.1	2.2
156	33001	Harlan 1	40	57	2493	1.1	1.9
157	53001	Williston 1	27	1	96	1.1	1.2
158	6017	Rheno 17	1	38	10476	1.0	1.0
159	8001	Bismarck 1	106	19	161	1.0	0.4
160	44019	Finlay-Shawton 19	2	16	1010	1.0	9.5
161	50083	Craftco 3	10	17	98	1.0	1.0
162	21014	Regent 14	2	14	287	0.9	1.0
163	28072	Turtle Lake-Marcus 7	1	8	1021	0.9	0.0
164	29027	Beulah 27	3	18	318	0.9	5.2
165	31003	Parshall 3	3	5	337	0.9	1.5
166	39008	Herkinson 8	3	4	311	0.9	1.2
167	41002	Hilber 2	3	20	311	0.9	6.0
168	49003	Central Valley 3	3	5	135	0.7	1.1
169	53015	Telope 15	3	4	251	0.4	1.1
170	42019	McCluskey 19	2	4	547	0.4	4.2
171	41004	Sargent Central 6	1	24	2671	0.3	12.2
172	39028	Lodgepole 28	2	10	43	0.0	4.2
173	40001	Dunsmuir 1	7	12	116	0.0	1.2
174	47001	Jameson 1	0	5	164	0.0	4.2
175	3016	Operton 16	0	2	15	0.0	25.0
176	3036	Ft Totten 30	0	14	19	0.0	5.0
177	7036	Park Central 36	0	12	116	0.0	0.1
178	8002	Regan 2	0	10	32	0.0	34.5
179	8029	Paladin 29	0	7	32	0.0	17.9
180	8034	McKenzie 34	0	11	398	0.0	17.9
181	8036	Driscoll 36	0	14	49	0.0	2.7
182	9001	Fargo 1	0	24	19	0.0	12.5
183	9006	West Fargo 6	0	17	11302	0.0	71.4
184	9007	Mapleton 7	0	12	5025	0.0	3.3
185	1001	Osnabrock 1	0	10	116	0.0	6.5
186	10014	Border Central 14	0	7	119	0.0	14.0
187	10030	Hilton 30	0	10	32	0.0	2.1
188	11040	Ellendale 40	0	7	32	0.0	1.9
189	13037	Twin Butte 37	0	11	398	0.0	1.7
190	15012	Union 12	0	7	5	0.0	2.5
191	17003	Beach 3	0	2	49	0.0	12.5
192	19018	Roosevelt 18	0	6	392	0.0	1.5
193	22014	Robinson 14	0	6	141	0.0	4.1
194	24002	Mapleton 2	0	5	14	0.0	26.3
195	25029	Upham 29	0	5	266	0.0	1.8
196	26009	Ashley 9	0	15	67	0.0	10.3
197	27019	Bowling Butte 19	0	1	203	0.0	0.5
198	27036	Menard 36	0	1	2	0.0	71.4
199	28006	Underwood 8	0	8	232	0.0	3.3
200	30008	Slate 8	0	19	273	0.0	6.5
201	30048	Glen Ullin 48	0	6	35	0.0	14.0
202	31137	Plaza 137	0	5	235	0.0	2.1
203	34001	Peabody 1	0	3	24	0.0	17.2
204	34019	Drayton 19	0	3	156	0.0	1.9
205	35005	Randy 5	0	2	248	0.0	0.0
206	36001	Devils Lake 1	0	31	665	0.0	1.0
207	39018	Fairmount 18	0	5	2810	0.0	1.5
208	40003	S- Jalen 3	0	6	134	0.0	3.6
209	40007	Balcourt 7	0	2	282	0.0	2.1
210	41003	North Sargent 3	0	2	1762	0.0	0.1
211	43003	Solen 3	0	3	187	0.0	1.6
212	43004	Ft Yates 4	0	30	195	0.0	1.5
213	44012	Harwarth 12	0	3	192	0.0	1.3
214	44032	Central Elam 32	0	1	11	0.0	3.3
215	47019	Kensal 19	0	4	93	0.0	2.1
216	51001	Minot 1	0	2	7175	0.0	1.1
217	51019	Eureka 19	0	4	16	0.0	28.0
218	51160	HINDOT AFB	0	53	197	0.0	100.0
219	52040	Fessenden 40	0	13	197	0.0	6.2



OPEN ENROLLMENT 00-01 BY DISTRICT

00-01 K12 ENROLLMENT

OPENUNT

005 ID NAME  
220 53002 Mason 2

OEIN OEOUT  
0 2  
2257 2257

DEW12 INPCT  
190 0  
107293

OUTPCT  
1