

MICROFILM DIVIDER

OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION

SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M



ROLL NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

1169

2001 HOUSE GOVERNMENT AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

HB 1169

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1169

House Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 01/12/01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
#2	X		1,470 to 2645
Committee Clerk Signature <i>Lisa Gilbert</i>			

Minutes:

Chairman Klein, Vice-Chair Grande, Rep. Bellew, Rep. Brusegaard, Rep. Clark, Rep. Cleary, Rep. Devlin, Rep. Haas, Rep. Hunsakor, Rep. Kasper, Rep. Klemin, Rep. Kroeber, Rep. Metcalf, Rep. Meier, Rep. Wikenheiser

Chairman Klein: We'll now take testimony in favor of HB1169

Sheila Peterson: (the Director of the Fiscal Management Division of the Office of Management and Budget) Refer to attached testimony.

Chairman Klein: One of the questions is this rotating fund. How much money is usually put in there and how is that handled? Is that a lump sum of money put in at each session, and then it's asked for and parceled out, and this is a method of doing that?

Peterson: Yes, I believe we had \$200,000. The various state agencies who are considering projects, can make applications to the fund for money to study and answer some of these questions. These proposals are requests for you to come in to the Office of Management and

Budget and the State Architect that you referred to and review them in detail, make recommendations as to which one should be funded out of that \$200,000. If a project then does move forward does move forward into the legislature and is funded, part of the funding is to repay the revolving funds, so the planning money does come back into the revolving funds.

Chairman Klein: So we don't put \$200,000 in there every biennium, it's a one time shot and it revolves?

Peterson: For those projects that are not recommended, they're not funded, we do ask for replenishment, and so this go around, in our budget, there is some need to replenish it, and we have also increased it in total to \$300,000 just because it is more valuable.

Rep. Kroeber: You're asking for \$300,00 this time, you're asking for an additional \$100,000? And how much is left from the \$200,000?

Peterson: The replenishment amount is \$107,000. We've spent almost all of the \$200,000.

Chairman Klein: If there is no further testimony for or against HB1169, this hearing will be closed, and we'll take up discussion.

Rep. Haas: It just seems like the common sense thing to do.

Rep. Hunsakor: As I listen to the testimony and read this, it only seems that this is going to be a good thing to save the state money. I see nothing negative about this.

Rep. Clark: I move to DO PASS

Rep. Grande: Second

Chairman: Motion passes 14 DO PASS 0 DO NOT PASS 1 ABSENT

Floor Assignment: Rep. Kasper

Date: 1/12/01

Roll Call Vote #: 14 Do Pass 0 Do Not Pass 1 Absent

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1169

House GOVERNMENT AND VETERANS AFFAIRS Committee

☐ Subcommittee on _____
or
☐ Conference Committee

Legislative Council Amendment Number _____

Action Taken Do Pass

Motion Made By Rep Clark Seconded By Rep Grande

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
CHAIRMAN KLEIN	X		REP KROEBER	X	
VICE CHAIR GRANDE	X				
REP BELLEW	X				
REP BRUSEGAARD	X				
REP CLARK	X				
REP DEVLIN	X				
REP HAAS	X				
REP KASPER	X				
REP KLEMIN					
REP MEIER	X				
REP WIKENHEISER	X				
REP CLEARY	X				
REP HUNSKOR	X				
REP METCALF	X				

Total (Yes) 14 No 0

Absent 1

Floor Assignment Rep Kasper

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410)
January 12, 2001 3:05 p.m.

Module No: HR-04-0955
Carrier: Kasper
Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1169: Government and Veterans Affairs Committee (Rep. M. Klein, Chairman)
recomnends **DO PASS** (14 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING).
HB 1169 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

2001 SENATE GOVERNMENT AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

HB 1169


2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1169

Senate Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 26, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	X		35.8-48.8
Committee Clerk Signature 			

Minutes: Chairman Krebsbach called the committee back to order and opened the hearing on HB 1169 which relates to the revolving fund for prepayment of planning and consulting fees for capital improvements. Appearing before the committee to explain the bill was Sheila Peterson with the Fiscal Management Division of the Office of Management and Budget. A copy of her written testimony is attached. Senator C. Nelson we are trying to complete a master plan for the capital draft, but I can't remember what funds we paid for that out of. It was more than the \$25,000 we asked for every year, so where does that money come from? Ms. Peterson indicated this isn't the same fund. There was a fund that was set up when the capital was established. The land on which the capital sits was put into trust and there is a trust fund and your committee is allowed to spend the interest and that is the source of funds for your capital complex. Senator Kilzer this certainly does broaden the way the funds can be used. I noticed there is no fiscal note. I would have two questions. The first about additional moneys that might be required and my second about is just the capitol building we are in now or is it other state agencies facilities.

Ms. Peterson indicated these funds have been used for all of the state owned buildings. There were she believed five projects authorized and funded this current biennium. They were are Minot State, Dickinson State, NDSU, and the state Penitentiary. These funds are generally not spent on this campus because of the capitol grounds planning commission really takes care of that planning. So it is all the other state facilities. As far as the funding, in the current biennium there was \$200,000.00 appropriated to OMB for this purpose. They spent approximately \$195,000.00 of it on these five studies. The funds then, if that project goes on to be funded by the legislature. Their cost of the study gets reimbursed into the fund so it is almost like a revolving fund. Those projects that don't get funded or don't go on to be in the governors recommendation or in the approved legislative budget, we ask through OMB's budget for replenishment of those funds. In addition to that, because we have found this so valuable we have asked not only for the replenishment but have also asked for \$100,000.00 more. Chairman Krebsbach so the request is already in your budget for this? Ms. Peterson indicated it was. Senator C. Nelson asked for a clarification of capital funds and capitol funds. Ms. Peterson explained the difference. Senator Dever inquired if the studies carried out determined whether they would proceed with certain projects or not. Ms. Peterson indicated that was correct. There was nothing further on the bill at this time. Chairman Krebsbach closed the hearing on HB 1169. A motion for a Do Pass was made by Senator Dever, seconded by Senator Kilzer. There was no further discussion. Roll Call Vote indicated 6 Yeas, 0 Nays, 0 Absent or Not Voting. Senator Dever will be the bill carrier.

Date: 1/26/01
Roll Call Vote #:

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1169

Senate GOVERNMENT AND VETERAN'S AFFAIRS Committee

☐ Subcommittee on _____
or
☐ Conference Committee

Legislative Council Amendment Number _____

Action Taken Do Pass

Motion Made By Sen. Deyer Seconded By Sen. Kilzer

[illegible]

Total (Yes) 6 No 0

Absent 0

Floor Assignment Sen. Dever

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410)
January 26, 2001 12:15 p.m.

Module No: SR-14-1714
Carrier: Dever
Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1169: Government and Veterans Affairs Committee (Sen. Krebsbach, Chairman)
recommends **DO PASS** (6 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1169
was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

RETAKE

DATTRUE

**2705 Twin City Dr
Mandan, ND 58554
701-663-8930**

1

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410)
January 26, 2001 12:15 p.m.

Module No: SR-14-1714
Carrier: Dever
Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1169: Government and Veterans Affairs Committee (Sen. Krebsbach, Chairman)
recommends **DO PASS** (6 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1169
was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2001 TESTIMONY

HB 1169

Testimony in support of HB 1169
Preplanning fund for capital improvements
Government and Veterans Affairs Committee; Rep. Klein, Chairman
January 12, 2001

Good morning Mr. Chairman and members of the Government and Veterans Affairs Committee.

I'm Sheila Peterson, the Director of the Fiscal Management Division of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

HB 1169 was introduced at the request of OMB.

Back in 1975 the Capital Planning Revolving Fund was established so that potential capital projects could have preliminary engineering and architectural study.

This fund has been, and continues to be extremely useful in getting proposed designs and good cost estimates on major capital improvements and capital projects. Both the executive and legislative branches of government use this information as we consider capital projects during the budget process.

The statute, as it reads now, speaks about schematic designs and cost estimates. Although I am not an engineer or an architect – by the time you get to schematic design – you are well down the road in developing a project.

This amendment to the statute takes a step back and says lets have an opportunity to study the need for a project. Should it be done or not, should it be a remodel or a new building – let's not jump right into schematic designs and cost estimates.

Again, Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee, this fund and the studies it pays for have been extremely valuable.

But we think we are sometimes putting the cart before the horse if we are into schematic designs before we have and answered some more preliminary questions.

This bill would amend the statute to allow funds to be spent on studies, planning and architectural programming in addition to schematic designs and cost estimating.

Mr. Chairman, I'd be happy to answer any questions.

Testimony in support of HB 1169

Preplanning fund for capital improvements

**Government and Veterans Affairs Committee; Senator Krebsbach, Chairman
January 26, 2001**

Good morning Madam Chairman and members of the Government and Veterans Affairs Committee.

I'm Sheila Peterson, the Director of the Fiscal Management Division of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

HB 1169 was introduced at the request of OMB.

Back in 1975 the Capital Planning Revolving Fund was established so that potential capital projects could have preliminary engineering and architectural study.

This fund has been, and continues to be extremely useful in getting proposed designs and good cost estimates on major capital improvements and capital projects. Both the executive and legislative branches of government use this information as we consider capital projects during the budget process.

The statute, as it reads now, speaks about schematic designs and cost estimates. Although I am not an engineer or an architect -- by the time you get to schematic design -- you are well down the road in developing a project.

This amendment to the statute takes a step back and says lets have an opportunity to study the need for a project. Should it be done or not, should it be a remodel or a new building -- let's not jump right into schematic designs and cost estimates.

Again, Madam Chairman and members of the Committee, this fund and the studies it pays for have been extremely valuable.

But we think we are sometimes putting the cart before the horse if we are into schematic designs before we have and answered some more preliminary questions.

This bill would amend the statute to allow funds to be spent on studies, planning and architectural programming in addition to schematic designs and cost estimating.

Madam Chairman, I'd be happy to answer any questions.