

2001 HOUSE NATURAL RESOURCES
HB 1467

#### 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

#### BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1467

House Natural Resources Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date February 1, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter#
2	X		360 to 2683
3	X		815 to 1115
		The state of the s	
Committee Clerk Signatu	ire////X	TM	
linitae			

Chairman Earl Rennerfeldt, Vice Chair Jon O. Nelson, Rep. Brekke, Rep. DeKrey, Rep. Droydal, Rep. Galvin, Rep. Keiser, Rep. Klein, Rep. Nottestad, Rep. Porter, Rep. Weiler, Rep. Hanson Rep. Kelsh, Rep. Solberg, Rep. Winrich.

Chairman Rennerfeldt: I will open the hearing on HB 1467.

Rep. Dennis Johnson - District 12: A bill dealing with the Herb Fund or the Environmental Range Protection Fund. This money has been here from the registration of chemicals and such is asking to be transferred to minor use.

<u>Chairman Rennerfeldt:</u> Any questions of the committee?

Rep. Mike Brandenburg - District 26: I too am here to support HB 1467. This minor use fund is really important in minor use crops that have benefited from the Minor Use Fund.

Chairman Rennerfeldt; Could you explain what they mean by the Minor Use Pesticide Fund?

Page 2 House Natural Resources Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1467 Hearing Date February 1, 2001

Brandenburg: Within the Range Land Protection Fund is a Minor Use Pesticide program which deals with the different chemicals and their use. The Minor Use Pesticide Fund was set up to deal with those crops that deal in Minor Use. The whole Range Land Protection Fund is a part of that process.

Rep. Winrich: I just want to clarify what I think I understood. Basically what this bill does is simply continue a practice that has been going on for some time. Is that correct?

Brandenburg: Yes, if you look back at the last biennium, this Minor Use Fund has been a very good product. We are again here to ask that the Minor Use Fund be provided a fund to take care of those crops because those crops, without the research being done, this fund has helped those crops. In that half million dollars is a return of 10 to 20 times. This is a very good fund. We ask your support to do this.

Rep. Droydal: How large is this fund and this 500,000.00 transfer, is that the typical transfer going on since this is established?

Brandenburg: The whole Range Land Protection Fund has a number of different projects coming out of it concerning noxious weeds and the minor use fund and also there is a pesticide fund there too. The last biennium I know there was over half million dollars used, so this fund can be justified and is needed.

Chairman Rennerfeldt: I have many hours of my life involved in setting up that fund.

Brandenburg: I want to thank you for setting up that fund.

Chairman Rennerfeldt: Does the committee have any questions?

<u>Jeff Olson - Program Manager from Planned Industry Division, Department of Agriculture:</u> I administer the Minor Use Fund. I am here to testify in support of HB 1467. (See written testimony).

<u>Vice Chair Nelson:</u> Can you give me an example of how the Minor Use Fund has been used for major crops and major uses?

Olson: There has been some projects approved for work on wheat, for instance. Wheat is considered a major crop. Previous to last session, any projects dealing with wheat, soybeans or corn would not have been available through this fund. Wheat has been the major one. Downy Mildew on Durum Wheat, etc. The major crop issues are starting to pick up a little bit. Different pesticides, or non pesticides, bio control.

<u>Vice Chair Nelson:</u> Sunflowers does meet the definition of minor use. I am a little concerned when we start funding Durum research out of this fund. I remember when this fund started it was to assist minor crops that have limited acres that need the assistance to bring them into more prominence. Can you respond to why Durum couldn't get their funding from the Wheat Commission or Durum Growers?

Olson: Because of legislation, the definition was changed last session to include other uses. The Attorney Generals office came back and said other uses pertains to the addition of major crops and major uses that would qualify for money coming out of this fund. We haven't had a lot of inquiry for major crops, there may be some down the road. Durum wheat was the first one because of that change in that definition. I am expecting other ones. The Pesticide Control Board does have the authority to approve or deny based on money availability or the benefit of funding that research.

Chairman Rennerfeld: Any further committee questions?

Gary Knutson - Executive Director of ND Agriculture Association: We have been long term advocates of the Minor Use Fund. Our organization supports this use whole heartedly as it is a revenue generator. We are putting dollars back into the economy. I think as we look at the issue

Rep. Nelson raised, the title is Minor Use and we are thinking minor acreage crops. What about a situation where we have a major crop and a minor pesticide problem. That might be a minor use for a company and they would not do research. That is where this funding mechanism would fit in.

Chairman Rennerfeldt: Any questions from the committee?

Kent McKay - NDSU Agronomist: I am here to testify in full support of HB 1467. I have seen first hand the benefits of the goals of this Minor Use Fund. We are gaining a lot of momentum. I can't stress enough to fully support this funding, as it is needed. We have seen the number of acres of specialty crops gaining a lot of acres. The diversification we have seen in ND has been very exciting. This fund is unique and we are bringing groups together and striving goals to gain new products for these minor use crops.

<u>Vice Chair Nelson:</u> Much of the research that has been done, has it been done at your research station in Minot?

McKay: Yes, research has been done in Minot, studies in Carrington, Fargo, basically wherever the grants are made. It is fairly diverse.

<u>Vice Chair Nelson:</u> If I could continue, in addition to the research you are doing on major crops, in getting involved with more and more research to develop new crops for the state of ND as well as the seed increase that you are providing, I would guess that would caused some problems with enough land to continue this?

McKay: Yes, that is a problem. We got a unique situation in Minot where we increased staff and we are doing a lot of research where our seed production is getting very limited and we are looking at trying to purchase some land. We are excited about the increase of diversification and we are seeing that occur.

Chairman Rennerfeldt: Any further questions of the committee?

<u>Larry Kleingartner - Executive Director of the National Sunflower Association:</u> We are in full support of HB 1467. (see written testimony).

<u>Chairman Rennerfeldt:</u> Any questions of the committee?

Paul Thomas - Northern Canola Growers: We support HB 1467 which provides continued funding for minor use posticide fund. This fund has provided important funding for minor use crops. With the implementation of the Food Quality Protection Act we will continue to see the number of available tools increasing in the years to come, it is essential that this program is funded to help research. Lurge a Do Pass on HB 1467.

<u>Chairman Rennerfeldt:</u> Any questions of the committee? Anyone else in favor of this bill, anyone opposed? I will close the hearing on HB 1467.

#### **COMMITTEE WORK**

Rep. Keiser: Chairman I move a Do Pass.

Rep. Droydal: I second that with a re-referral to appropriations.

(some discussion)

Chairman Rennerfeldt: Any further discussion on this bill?

<u>Vice Chair Nelson:</u> I understand this is new to this committee, but the Minor Use Fund is a good program, it began in 1997 and refunded in 1999. None of the money is general fund money, it is all just special funds from registration fees of pesticide companies. There is no questions that those crops that are becoming more and more prevalent in this state and its important we continue this.

Rep. Droydal: We need research and they are doing good work to improve crop yields.

<u>Chairman Rennerfeldt:</u> Call the roll for a Do Pass on HB 1467.

Page 6 House Natural Resources Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1467 Hearing Date February 1, 2001

#### MOTION FOR A DO PASS

YES, 15 NO, 0

**MOTION CARRIES** 

**CARRIED BY NELSON** 

Date: 00/01/01
Roll Call Vote #: 1

### 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1467

House Natural Resources				Com	mittee
Subcommittee on			mekapatrisi ka hangimannya pika sambun mahapun ta sa milapadikkan tahu mahabikan tahu mahabikan sa ika sambu k	o d'Anna ann an Anna ann an Anna an A	www.papinine.com
or					
Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nu	mber _	l Mary Mysteric Communication and the second	on, assain by a Millionney, statistic pro-Millionney, but he ye prills settly only of the sector's believed to the control of		all degrees a source and it is not in a
Action Taken 00 Pa	(55				to the same analysis and a
Motion Made By Rup. K. 0.19	ser	Sc By	reconded Rep. Po	rovd a	1
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Earl Rennerfeldt - Chairman	V		Lyle Hanson	اسا	
Jon O. Nelson - Vice Chairman	V		Scot Kelsh	L	
Curtis E. Brekke	V		Lonnie B. Winrich		
Duane DeKrey	V		Dorvan Solberg	レ	
David Drovdal	V				
Pat Galvin					
George Keiser					
Frank Klein					
Darrell D. Nottestad	V				
Todd Porter					
Dave Weiler					
		a 144 ily adales (14 ily and a c			
				_	
	<u> </u>				
Total (Yes) 15	a þ. Þ. a andra áðið þjógssssá þa þa þa þa aðiðingin spa	No		mand has broaders bridge by a serie	
Absent	udaubustud gast derbis, badis aus i différe			*****************	- white of the latest and the latest
Floor Assignment Rep	No	150	<u>'^</u>	) AP **** ( *****************************	محد استخار بينيانيات مستخدم مخار
If the vote is on an amendment, brief	ly indicat	e inten	3		
re-referred	·to	a	ppropriation	S	

### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) February 2, 2001 7:53 a.m.

Module No: HR-19-2177
Carrier: Nelson
Insert LC: Title:

#### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1467: Natural Resources Committee (Rep. Rennerfeldt, Chairman) recommends DO PASS and BE REREFERRED to the Appropriations Committee (15 YEAS, 0 NAYS, ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1467 was rereferred to the Appropriations Committee.

2001 HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

IIB 1467

#### 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

#### BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1467

House Appropriations Committee Education and Environment Division

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date February 08, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter#
1 of 1	Starting at 5125	X	2,180
Committee Clerk Signa	nture (). ick	Super	

#### Minutes:

Representatives Aarsvold, Boehm, Gulleson, Martinson, Monson, Wald, Wentz.

Representative John Nelson: District 7. I want to point out that money comes from Environmental Range Land Protection Fund. Which is comprised of registration fee that the Ag pesticides companies pay for the right to do business in this state. It is not a general Fund appropriation. This helps new and emerging crops that don't have registration labels for their products.

Representative Monson: Are these mostly section 18 types of chemicals.

Rep. John Nelson: Yes, and there are also some IR4s. There will be some applying for full labels.

Representative Aarsvold: Have you reviewed the Legislative purpose for the range land, and the Environmental Protection Fund? The EARP fund? Why was it established?

Page 2
Education and Environment Division
Bill/Resolution Number HB1467
Hearing Date February 08, 2001

Rep. John Nelson: No I have not. This is obviously only part of what the EARP funds provide for the noxious weed program. If you feel there are programs that are more important they should be brought forward.

Representative Aarsvold: (5950) I was involved when the EARP Fund was established and it was a result of a lot of negotiation between several chemical companies, and ag suppliers across the state of ND. Seems to me when we take a look at taking money from that fund for the minor use pesticide fund which I do think is important. We would be impacting some chemical companies by broadening the label. Some products are effective for less money that are not fully labeled. Companies often have alternative products that would address the same pest problem. Which probably more expensive to apply. Seems to me we are taking there money from the EARP Fund, the registration money and using it to disadvantage their bottom line profit.

Rep. John Nelson: There is a lot that goes into a company applying for a label. The economic impact and balance to the industry and to the producers are certainly a piece of the puzzle. I think more importantly, what that added crop or label does in the risk of analysis. There is a delicate balance that needs to be considered when another crop is added to a label. From the UPA stand point they don't go into that with their eyes closed. It impacts the entire registration process for that product.

Representative Aarsyold: There are products out there that are one third the cost. We should probably renegotiating for this EARP Fund situation.

Rep. John Nelson: I don't know of one case where the minor use fund has been used for price harmonization. I am aware of that situation. Reclaim is not labeled in this state, and I don't think there have been any studies done to apply for a label for it.

Page 3 Education and Environment Division Bill/Resolution Number HB1467 Hearing Date February 08, 2001

Representative Wald: On page 19, see attached. You will be showing a negative balance of 133, 213 dollars at the end of the Biennium. I don't know where we are going to find you enough money in the Range Land Protection Fund to fund the half a million dollars. It just isn't going to be there.

Rep John Nelson: In the current biennium there was a transfer from the previous biennium of 314,000 dollars added to the 285,000 dollars. In the 1997 biennium The money that was appropriated was not totally used, so that was transferred into the 1999-2001 biennium.

Representative Mike Brandenberg: (435) District 26. If you look at the fees that were charged for registration on a chemical is 300.00. It was raised to 350.00 which would raise it another 400,000. That could possibly deal with finding the money to fill this budget. It could work into this biennium.

Representative Wentz: Is that continuation of increased fees in a bill some where or an amendment?

Rep Mike Brandenberg: I would be more than happy to offer an amendment to do that.

Representative Wald: I note again in this document that last year the appropriation the noxious weed control was 1,068,881, this year it is going up to 1,404,602. Would it be possible to take some of that increase and move it over into the minor use registration program you are talking about. As a farmer could we move some of those funds around to fund the half a million you are asking for? Somewhat robbing peter to pay paul.

Rep Mike Brandenberg: It is very possible. There has been an additional 300,000 some dollars added into noxious weed fund. Also Safe Send and a pesticide fund that had a increase too.

There is a possibility of more money being there. I have made some suggestions to different

Page 4
Education and Environment Division
Bill/Resolution Number 11B1467
Hearing Date February 08, 2001

people and every time I do, seems some one doesn't like my suggestion but I have some ideas too. Everyone has a concern for each one of those.

Representative Dennis Johnson: I think it is very important we keep this going how ever we have to arrive at the numbers. The project itself has been an excellent tool for the minor crops we do raise. We appreciate your consideration

Representative Gulleson: Did the request for the increase come from the producers or where?

Rep Dennis Jophnson: The original bill came about two sessions ago to have money available to fast track some of these chemicals and pesticides for these minor crops.

<u>Jeff Olson:</u> Program Manager Plant Industries ND Department of Agriculture. See attached.

<u>Representative Gulleson:</u> The question Rep. Aarsvold had asked, if you see a conflict of funds basically are provided through the chemical companies in an effort to compete with their other products.

Jeff Olson: The products that are basically under research right now or the purposes of the products generally don't have a pesticide availability. Most of the research that is done there isn't any competing products on the market. If you look at the chart most of the requests that we have we do get a cost share from the chemical industry to help us do the research. It actually subsidizes in getting the science information they need to submit to EPA toward full registration. Representative Wald: I am concerned with the numbers. On page two of your testimony you say the pesticide control board wants to transfer half a million dollars with in the range land protection. As I look at the document there is going to be a 366,000 ending fund balance at the end of the 2003 biennium which makes it a little short. Should we let you juggle these numbers around with in the items of the Range Land Protection Fund, or would you rather we state what funds to juggle?

Page 5
Education and Environment Division
Bill/Resolution Number HB1467
Hearing Date February 08, 2001

<u>Jeff Olson:</u> I don't want that responsibility. You tell me where you want me to spend it. Yes, your job is to tell us what to do.

<u>Representative Boehm:</u> Why wasn't some of this addressed in the 1g budget which we just approved.

Jeff Olson: I wasn't involved in the hearings of the budget.

OMB: The money pesticide fund when that was set up it was a continuing appropriation for the ag department. The past two biennium's they had gotten transferred from the Environmental Range Protection Fund 285,000. In the ag department this time there was some money taken out of the EARP Fund.

<u>Representative Wentz:</u> Does anyone else wish to appear in opposition of HB1467? Seeing none we will close the hearing on HB1467.

#### 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

#### BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1467

House Appropriations Committee Education and Environment Subcommittee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date February 8, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter#		
02-08-01 tape #2	1126 - 1586				
	/				
Committee Clerk Signature / Soller Committee Clerk Signature					

#### Minutes:

The committee was called to order, and opened committee work on HB 1467, the transfer of funds for pesticide.

<u>Chairman Wentz</u>: We heard when we passed the Agriculture Commissioner's budget that the sunset clause, on the fee increase, was removed. And so, the fund will have enough money, and we should not need to borrow from any other fund.

Rep. Wald: The analysis of the fund in the yellow booklet would be augmented or enhanced by not removing the sunset by the revenue stream.

LC or OMB staff: The AG department had projected an additional \$400,000 of revenue that were projecting that would go into that fund if the fees stayed as they are currently.

Rep. Monson: Does the AG department have plans to spend this money for something else, or is this just going into the pool of money, and they would condone?

LC or OMB staff: I understanding that that would be Ag department related to the Minor Use Pesticide Fund and all their money coming out of the ERP Fund is appropriated so this additional revenue transferred to the Minor Use Pesticide Fund would be used for that. If they had more revenue than what is projected it would just be sitting in the ERP Fund.

Rep. Wald: Moves DO PASS, seconded by Rep. Boehm. Motion withdrawn.

Rep. Wald: Maybe we should add an amendment to say "or so much as may become available".

So we are not stuck with the \$500,000 if the revenue doesn't come in.

Rep. Wald: moves to amend, seconded by Rep. Monson.

Vote to adopt amendment: 7 yes, 0 no. Motion carries.

Rep. Monson: Moves DO PASS AS AMENDED. Seconded by Rep. Wald.

Rep. Aarsvold: As you are aware I had some concerns about this bill, and the Ag department budget associated with this issue. The Ag Association that represents suppliers of the state are in flavor of these initiatives, and therefore I will support this bill.

Vote on Do Pass as Amended: 7 yes, 0 no. Motion carries.

Rep. Monson is assigned to earry this bill to the full committee.

#### 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

#### BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1467

House Appropriations Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date February 9, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
2	X		1778 - 2976
Friedrick Control of the control of	**************************************		
Committee Clerk Signa		"Looken"	

Minutes:

#### HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE ACTION ON HB1467.

**Rep. Monson:** This bill is the same sort of a thing as HB1310, we are telling the EARP fund that we would like to get this money for environment and range land protection, and to take it out of that fund and to use it for minor use pesticides during the brennium, and the amendment that you have here is simply to add to the original bill or so much of the sum as may be available, so that if there is not a total of \$500,000 would be used for this purpose.

**Rep. Warner:** Can Rep. Monson explain the providence of these two funds? Where does the money within the funds originate and where is it intended to be used for?

Rep. Monson: As I understand it these funds are generated by fees paid by the chemical companies, they were set to sunset so that there were no fees going to go into there, and the sunset has been removed and now the chemical companies will continue to paid the fees and there will be money in there, and there is some carry over in there, so again, nobody opposed this

Page 2 House Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB1467 Hearing Date February 9, 2001

, and this fund will be generated and they are all out of special funds. I would move the amendment to IIB1467. Seconded by Rep. Kempenich.

Rep. Kerzman: Can you discuss the minor use pesticides, can you elaborate on that a little bit?

Rep. Monson: The people that were here yesterday testifying, indicated there are Section 18 chemicals for such things a canola, and various minor use crops that need to be developed and that is the purpose of what this bill is. A discussion ensued by Rep. Aarsvold, Rep. Koppleman. Rep. Skarphol, Rep Delzer Rep. Wald on the different types of pesticides that the bill covered and how this bill would be used in the development of minor use crops.

**Rep. Timm:** All those in favor of adopting the amendment say AYE. Voice vote. Amendment was adopted.

Rep. Monson: Without further ado, I would move a DO PASS as amended. Seconded by Rep. Wald.

Rep. Timm: Roll call vote on HB1467 a DO PASS as amended. (21) YES (0) NO. Motion passes. Rep. Monson will carry the bill to the floor.

End committee action on HB1467.

Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for House Appropriations February 8, 2001

#### PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1467

Page 1, line 5, after "\$500,000" insert ", or so much of the sum as may be available,"

Renumber accordingly

#### STATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT:

This bill provides for a transfer of up to \$500,000 to the minor use pesticide fund, depending on the availability of funds in the environment and rangeland protection fund.

Date: Z \ C | Roll Call Vote #:

HAM.

## 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. Appropriations Education and Environment Division

House (Approx)	1611611			Comn	nittee
Subcommittee on	watio		MUGAMMONT	a va terra perdan and de la descripción de la constitución de la const	and the second
or Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment N	Number _	10	0694.0101		an generalish karaban san san s
Action Taken	CI CLO	1 0	mendment		man h h 10 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Motion Made By	a(c)	Seco By	onded $\frac{1}{1+1}$ $\frac{1}{1+1}$	V/II	
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Rep. Janet Wentz -Chairman	L-1				
Rep. James Bochm - Vice					
Chairman		<u> </u>			
Rep. Ole Aursvold					·
Rep. Pam Gulleson	V				
Rep. Bob Martinson			***************************************		
Rep. David Monson			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 
Rep. Francis J. Wald					
	<u></u>				
			ANNUAL MARKET AND THE STATE OF		
Total (Yes)		/ No			
Absent				a algundent ga kapa ya a afaasa ababaha ababa dha	and the second second second second
Floor Assignment	and the state of t	القدم في يدونون والمتكافئة لا فريغ كديدة،		raj, fireforedfild etc allandaruradi. Alle Telefildindira	rind dissertative excess

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

amende. La salate "or so punch on many horizone manifesti.

## 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. Appropriations Education and Environment Division

House	(XXXXX	CYLVI		1 .	Com	mittee
Subcommittee	on Colin	11/1/	11			
or Conference C						
Legislative Counci	l Amendment N	umber		30/2/2/		
Action Taken	Jaya	177	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ring Kalla	al 1900 g voor 17 daks de 18 gestel Mille gevernere	****
Motion Made By	Ro Mar	1)()(	Seco By	onded 1. 10	1 /	app as hadagens, who are a s , .
Represe	entatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Rep. Janet Wentz	-Chairman					
Rep. James Boeh	m - Vice			and the second s		
Chairman						l
Rep. Ole Aarsvol	d	l.				
Rep. Pam Gulleso	n	i.				
Rep. Bob Martins	011			**************************************		<b></b>
Rep. David Mons		l		<del></del>		
Rep. Francis J. W				***************************************		
				هيره طريس دريان وروسوسته ويواويها استخدامه سواه ووورسته استخديم ايام بازداده المساورة		
				موموا ، <u>است به در خ</u> اصلات مدمون کاست بازنگا و است به بینهای همچار <u>این به است به است است است است به است به است</u>		
						·
				<del>litika ili sono i sa dilibera e l'an meli in ini ul meli debena il nome l'an membra germ</del> o e milione		
			*****	ف مورود و مدر باداری راه موران است الداری و معاملیت الداری المعاملیت الداری المعاملیت الداری المعاملیت الداری		
Total (Yes) Absent			No .			
Floor Assignment	Dip.	Ma	MOVEN.	a germanista germanista germanista antica antica antica de germanista germanista de germanista de germanista d	and the state of t	

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

Date: **1/9/01**Roll Call Vote #: **1** 

## 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. #B 1467

House APPROPRIATIO	NS			Com	millee
Subcommittee on					
or Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amenda	nent Number	a. a		and service, publish Supportable Science Street, S. S. S. S. S.	o taganina tanàna
Action Taken D	o Pass A	is A	MENDED	ny tahan jiriyo - m <u>and di</u> biya amin'alah day e biribina di pil	p1 4 all degrees any and an
Motion Made By	שפט		conded WALD		The second second second
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Timm - Chairman					
Wald - Vice Chairman	V				
Rep - Aarsvold			Rep - Koppelman		
Rep - Boehm			Rep - Martinson		
Rep - Byerly			Rep - Monson		
Rep - Carlisle			Rep - Skarphol		
Rep - Delzer			Rep - Svedjan		
Rep - Glassheim		-	Rep - Thoreson		
Rep - Gulleson			Rep - Warner		
Rep - Huether			Rep - Wentz		
Rep - Kempenich					
Rep - Kerzman			and the state of t		
Rep - Kliniske					
Total (Yes)	.1	No.	<u> </u>	Administration of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of	ekurka vanggerijaki er sa ga
loor Assignment	Mous	المهرة	Bakka o waya a danga	Bara - Sandrian (* Australië deur en Austrian en	v-1
f the vote is on an amendmen	nt, briefly indicat	e inten	<b>:</b>		

2001 SENATE AGRICULTURE

нв 1467

#### 2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

#### BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1467

Senate Agriculture Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date March 1, 2001

Tape Num	ber	Side A	Side B	Meter#
March I	1	X	The state of the s	22.6 - End
March 22	2	X	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12
				No. 1

#### Minutes:

REP. NELSON; Sponsor, introduced the bill to the committee. This program has work as intended, we hope that this program will continue.

REP. BRANDENBURG; Sponsor, testified in support of this bill.

JEFF OLSON; North Dakota Department of Agriculture, testified in support of this bill. See attached testimony.

GARY KNUTSON: North Dakota Agriculture Assoc., testified in support of this bill. This program generates new wealth and brings new products into production in North Dakota. We have a good history of success with the program.

SENATOR WANZEK; We are appropriating \$500,000 or so much the sum as may be available.

Does that mean that it limits you to \$500,000?

GARY KNUTSON; \$500,000 is the maximum.

Page 2 Senate Agriculture Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1467 Hearing Date March 1, 2001

LANCE HAGEN; North Dakota Grain Growers, testified in support of this bill. We do have some concerns with this bill. We would like to see an expansion of the pesticide control board, so that there is a producer on it.

MERLIN LEITHOLD; North Dakota Weed Control Assoc., testified in the neutral position on this bill. See attached testimony.

The hearing was closed.

March 22, 2001

Discussion was held.

SENATOR KLEIN moved for a DO PASS and rerefer to Appropriations Committee.

SENATOR NICHOLS seconded the motion.

Roll call vote: 6 Yeas, 0 No, 0 Absent and Not voting.

SENATOR KLEIN will carry the bill.

Date: 3-22-0/ Roll Call Vote #: /

# 2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1467

Senate A	gricultu	re		Com	mitte
Subcommittee on			annin Pari untuk di Santan dan kecamatan dan kecamatan dan kecamatan dan kecamatan dan kecamatan dan kecamatan		
or Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nur	mber _			a nagy y mat demakkam y takan nagata na kitangan k	
Action Taken Ao Pass			rereferred to	rapp	reg
Motion Made By Sen. Klei	<u>n</u>	Sc B;	Herefered to	hols	í
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Senator Wanzek - Chairman	V		Senator Kroeplin		
Senator Erbele - Vice Chairman	1		Senator Nichols	- V	ļ
Senator Klein Senator Urlacher	1				
		<u> </u>	read-in-runn aufwirt für des Begreit Berkeitlich machte ernen erste kantzerfelte der ernen begreit er		
Total (Yes)		No	$\mathcal{O}$		الحد حد
Absent	**************************************	N	Birthertimetervicitie Pranspublivolense & Campbillolista principalista p	Angel and the second district of the second d	والمستوم والما وستوري
الادادات المداخة المياد بالمدين المدينة المدينة المدينة المدينة الميانة المي			Klein	and the second s	
Floor Assignment	ena	fer	Kllin		عيد شددور مدر شده عرب
f the vote is on an amendment, briefly	y indicat	e inten	<b>::</b>		

#### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 22, 2001 1:34 p.m.

Module No: SR-50-6421 Carrier: Klein Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1467, as engrossed: Agriculture Committee (Sen. Wanzek, Chairman) recommends

DO PASS and BE REREFERRED to the Appropriations Committee (6 YEAS,

0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed HB 1467 was rereferred to the **Appropriations Committee.** 

2001 SENATE APPROPRIATIONS
IIB 1467

#### 2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTLE MINUTES

#### BILL/RESOLUTION NO. IIB 1467

Senate Appropriations Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date March 30, 2001

Tupe Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1		X	4.3-9.1
			The process of the contract of
		11	
	1/1/1/1		
Committee Clerk Signatu	Ve A The Fly	MANUEL	Le.

Minutes:

Senator Solberg opened the hearing on HB 1467.

Jeff Olson, Program Manager for the Plant Industries Area in the Department of Agriculture and the administrator of the Minor Use Fund for the Pesticide Control Board, testified on the bill (testimony attached).

Representative Mike Brandenburg: testified as a sponsor of the bill and give his support.

Larry Kleingartner, Executive Director of National Sunflower Association, testified in support of the transfer of the dollars in the bill. We are a substantial user of the money used in the last several years. It has been an excellent program, efficiently run and helped sunflower get a number of products in place in a short period of time. Asked for the committee's support. With no further testimony, the hearing was closed.

Senator Solberg: This bill was referred to the Senate Ag Subcommittee.

Tape #1, Side B, meter 9.1.

4-2-01 Full Committee Action ( Tape #2, Side B, Meter # 27.6-30.0)

Senator Nething reopened the hearing on HB1467 - Transfer of funds from Environment and Pange Land Protection Fund to the Minor Use Pesticide Fund.

Senator Bowman, Subcommittee Chair, led the discussion on the bill, testimony and the Subcommittee findings. It is the recommendation of the Subcommittee that it be passed as submitted to this Committee.

Senator Bowman moved a DO PASS; Senator Tomac seconded. Discussion, Call for the vote:

Rolf Call Vote: 14 yes; 0 no; 0 absent and not voting.

Date:	Applicated to	-*I	 12	
Roll Call Vot	e#:			

## 2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 7 % . 7

BILL/I	RESOLU	TION	NO. 1947	
Senate Appropriations				Committee
Subcommittee on	and the second s	I desperad by some by the		
Of				
Conference Committee				
Logislative Council Amendment Nu	unher			
Action Taken	find.	220		
Motion Made By Senator— Made By	Max	<u></u>	Seconded Senator	12282
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes No
Dave Nething, Chairman	V	*****		
Ken Solberg, Vice-Chairman	اس			
Randy A. Schobinger				
Elroy N. Lindaas	V			
Harvey Tallackson				
Larry J. Robinson	1			
Steven W. Tomac				
Joel C. Heitkamp	اسا			
Tony Grindberg				
Russell T. Thane	1/			
Ed Kringstad				
Ray Holmberg	1			
Bill Bowman				
John M. Andrist				
Total Yes 14		No		
A COUNTY	_7	/_	1	
Floor Assignment Senator	X	lee	7V	
f the vote is on an amendment, briefl	y indicate	inten	51 61	

#### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) April 2, 2001 12:45 p.m.

Module No: SR-57-7449 Carrier: Klein Insert LC: Title:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1467, as engrossed: Appropriations Committee (Sen. Nething, Chairman)
/ecommends DO PASS (14 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING).
Engrossed HB 1467 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2001 TESTIMONY

нв 1467



PHONE (701) 328-2231 (800) 242-7535 FAX (701) 328-4567

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE State of North Dakota 600 E. Boulevard Ave. Dept. 602 Bismarck, ND 58505-0020

### NORTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE LEGISLATIVE TESTIMONY

Testimony of Jeff Olson, Program Manager
Plant Industries
North Dakota Department of Agriculture
House Bill 1467
February 1, 2001
9:15 a.m.
House Natural Resources Committee
Pioneer Room

Chairman Rennerfeldt and members of the committee, my name is Jeff Olson. I am the Program Manager for the Plant Industries Area in the Department of Agriculture and the administrator of the Minor Use Fund for the Pesticide Control Board. I am here to testify in support of HB 1467.

The Minor Use Fund was developed by the Fifty-fifth Legislative Assembly for the purpose of expediting the registration process for crop protection products for minor crops. The Minor Use Fund was initially funded by a \$350,000 transfer from the Environmental and Rangeland Protection (EARP) Fund in the legislative session. Grant expenditures from the Minor Use Fund during the 1997-1998 biennium amounted to

\$34,775. The Fifty-sixth Legislative Assembly authorized a carry-over of \$314,724 into the 1999-2000 biennium and transferred \$285,000 from the EARP Fund creating a balance of \$599,724. During that session, legislation to change the definition of the Minor Use Fund to include studies for major crops and major uses was also approved. During the 1999-2000 biennium, \$547,106 has either been expended or is committed to on-going research projects. A balance of \$52,618 (see attachment) remains and is expected to be expended by the end of the present biennium. In addition, the Pesticide Control Board has approved first year grant expenditures of \$106,953 on multi-year projects. The remaining anticipated grants, if approved would amount to \$72,803 in the 2001-2003 biennium. These expenditures would need to come from the \$500,000 transfer provided by this bill.

Participants of the program include twelve projects from NDSU research personnel and five projects from private enterprises. The attached chart shows the breakdown of the projects and their progress toward EPA registration. The program has created enormous interest and response during the present blennium. Because of this interest, the Pesticide Control Board voted to request a \$500,000 transfer from the EARP Fund to the Minor Use Fund for research purposes.

Chairman Rennerfeldt and Committee members, I urge a do pass on HB 1467. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have.

## Pesticide Control Board - Minor Use Fund History

	1997-1999	1999-2001	2001-2003
Carryovar	n/a	\$314,723.91	
Legislative Transfer from EARP fund	\$350,000 00	\$285,000.00	
Beginning Balance	\$350,000.00	\$599,723.91	
Grant Expenditures	\$34,775.37	\$245,810.19	
Outstanding Grant Commitments		\$283,076.04	
Operating Expense	\$500.72	\$3,220.00	
Other expenditures*		\$15,000.00	
BALANCE	\$314,723.91	\$52,617.68	

<sup>\*</sup> Appropriation to the Legislative Council from the Fity-sixth Legislative session



		Topic as acon registration at least one year eather man wang for PAC or	Section 3 Registration for Guados					Last the intent of		الحيكانا عالم	eyardess	Station S	Continued as	Carles Latin	STATE OF STATE	283 7 mm 50 20 7 mm	- इक्टिक्ट्य	September 6		と うない いかけん	
R4 States Comments		4.124		Free Company of the C				,,,,,,,,,,			N spakes										
Chemical		S150 000 C.	\$5,060																		
Commodaly		\$18,000												-				<u></u>	•	-	in dipo
Menor Use Fuend		\$75,700	\$15,000	OW BS																	
Grant To		Nati Sun Assn	NDSU - Minot	NDSU - Carrington	, , ,																
Type of Study		Residue trials	Efficacy	Efficacy of products tested in Canada and U.K.	Basic screening	Efficacy for various products	Influence of	timing, row	spacing and	plant population	on weed control	1	Screening of 15	herbicides	(tolerance &	efficacy.)		Screening of 15	herbicides	(tolerance &	emcacy)
Chemical Company		FMC	Zeneca Agro																		
Product		Authority (Spartan)	Benlate & Quadris																		
Chemical		Suffentrazone			Various insectides																
Pest			Sclerotinia		SBRM							_									
cop		Sunflower	Canola	Borage & Camelina	Sugarbeets	Sunflower			Glutosinate	Canola					Chickpeas &	Lentils				Crambe	3000
Approved	Biennium	07-Apr-98 Sunflower	07-Apr-98 Canola	Borage & 07-Apr-98 Camelina	Denied Apr98	Denied Apr98			7						Denied	Apr98			3	Anrea	2000
Researcher	1997-1958 Biennium-	Zollinger	Mckay, Lamey	Endres, Gardner				_				. <del></del>					1				

	5
_ {	Ç
2	5
0	-
Ilco	りつう
7	
N	

18601				}					1			T	70		8.6	2		
		Comments	Canceed due in	дешере				<b>1</b>	5		9 8 8 8	Supple of the su	On goung On goung. Has a	At registration	Un guarg Fu common stated Unior Use tarus used for Research and not for non-	reserve activities	*** **********************************	
		R.4 Scatos			(R.4 m 2000) \$01,2001	i s	SG 2006-2001	F. A. L.					2					
	Chemical	Acceptage 5		\$150,000	)   	5	8 8	\$ 150,000	ı	1	1		j	AN 000 12 0000 12 000 12 000 12 000 12 000 12 000 12 000 12 000 12 000 12 000			50	1
	Commoday	dece		\$18,000	S	5	\$3,000	26.000				,	,	13.00	,		(A)	<del></del>
ж	Mimor Use			\$62,000	\$15,750	\$75,000	\$19,700	\$ 63,500 \$	60,400	<del></del>	7.075	000	3			\$ 37.378 \$	63.250	
roposal History	Grant To		Natt Sun	Assn	NDSU-Minot	NDSU-Fargo	NDSU-Minot	USDA/Sumflo		Dakota Growers Pasta Co.	į		<u> </u>	ğ		NDSU-Fargo		
se Proje rop	Type of Study			Residue	Tolerance and Efficacy	State-Wide Weed Distribution	Tolerance and Efficacy	Efficacy		Efficacy	bue a			8.		Pesticie Use and Migmit Pratices		
Minor Use F	Chemical										Aventis		S				APHIS	
Min	Product			valor	Spartan	-	Spartan		Clearfield			Zydox		Spartan				
	Chemical				Suffentrazone		Sulfentrazone	Zoxamide	IMi Herbiade	Biological	Isoxaflutole	Chlorine Dioxide	DRC-1339	Sultentrazone			DRC-1339	Biological
	Pest					ND Weed Survey		Downey Mildew		Fusarium Head Blight		Fungau Diseases	Black Birds				Black : 3irds	Cruafer Flea Beetle
	Crop		21-Anr-99 Sunformer	Dry peas	lentils. 21-Apr-99 chickpeas		Dry peas, lentils, 11-Apr-00 chickpeas	11-Apr-00 Sunflowers	11-Apr-00 Sunflowers	Durum Wheat	03-May-00 Chickpeas	Various	03-May-00 Sunflowers	Flax, dry bean, crambe		State-Wide Survey	13-Nov-00 Sunflowers	
	Approved	Biennium	21-Apr-99			31-Aug-99	11-Apr-00			Durum 03-May-00 Wheat	03-May-00	03-May-00 Various	03-May-00	Flax, dn bean, 03-May-00 crambe	Denied	State-V 13-Nov-00 Survey	13-Nov-00	28-Nov-00 Canda
	Researcher Approved C	1999-2000	Zolknger		Jenis, McKay. Riveland, Endres	Richard Zollinger	Bran Jenks	Lany Kiengartner. Tom Gulya	Larry Kluengartner	Cakota Pasta Growers	Bran Jenks	Green to Gold Carp	APHIS	Bran Jenks	Northern Crops institute	Prut Glogoza	Wildlife Services	Dense Olson







February 1, 2001

TO: Members of the House Natural Resources Committee

FROM: Larry Kleingartner, National Sunflower Association

RE: Gouse Bill 1467

The National Sunflower Association (NSA) is in full support of HB 1467. This bill provides for grants to assist in the registration of pesticide products for crops that might be too small to gain the support of a chemical company. The legislation is referred to those of us in agriculture as the 'Minor Use' program.

Although there are over three million acres of sunflower nationally, most pesticide registrations are for crops with much larger acreages. Sunflower producers have few herbicides available. Through the work of Dr. Richard Zollinger of NDSU in 1997, Spartan herbicide was identified to have excellent broadleaf weed control in sunflower. However, the manufacturer, FMC, was not interested in registering the product until about 2005. However, sunflower growers needed the herbicide as soon as possible. Because of the Minor Use legislation, we were able to convince FMC to pursue a much shorter registration time line. The commitment of minor use funds to assist in the registration was the key component. Residue trials for the herbicide were conducted in the 1998 season under national registration guidelines. NDSU personnel directed the trials. FMC then did the laboratory analysis at their cost. With that in place, EPA granted a special Section 18 label for product use in the 1999 season.

This occurred with the expenditure of about \$25,000 from the Minor Use Fund. Farmers gained an important production tool six years earlier than what had been planned. It was a team approach between NDSU, NSA, the State of ND and FMC, the product registrant 'The NSA urges the Committee to approve HB 1467.



4007 State Street
Bismarck, HD 58501
Tel: 701-223-4124
Fax: 701-223-4130
www.northerncanola.com

Testimony of Paul Thomas

Northern Canola Growers Association

House Bill 1467

House Natural Resources Committee

Pioneer Room

February 1, 2001

Chairman Rennerfeldt, members of the committee, my name is Paul Thomas of the Northern Canola Growers Association. I am here to offer support for HB 1467, which seeks to provide continued funding for the minor use pesticide fund in North Dakota.

The fund has provided many commodity groups in the state with important financial contributions to complete residue trials and implement other research projects that expedite the registration of key crop protection products or assist in developing integrated pest management solutions for minor use crops in the state. Given the fact that the Food Quality Protection Act will reduce the number of available tools for growers of many minor crops for years to come, it is essential that this program be funded for the next biennium.

Chairman Rennerfeldt and committee members, I urge a do pass on HB 1467. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have.



PHONE (701) 328-2231

FAX

(800) 242-7535

(701) 328-4567

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE State of North Dakota 600 E. Boulevard Ave. Dept. 602 Bismarck, ND 58505-0020

## NORTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE LEGISLATIVE TESTIMONY

Testimony of Jeff Olson, Program Manager
Plant Industries
North Dakota Department of Agriculture
House Bill 1467
March 1, 2001
10:00 a.m.
Senate Agriculture Committee
Roosevelt Room

Chairman Wanzek and members of the committee, my name is Jeff Olson. I am the Program Manager for the Plant Industries Area in the Department of Agriculture and the administrator of the Minor Use Fund for the Pesticide Control Board. I am here to testify in support of HB 1467.

The Minor Use Fund was developed by the Fifty-fifth Legislative Assembly for the purpose of expediting the registration process for crop protection products for minor crops. A \$350,000 transfer from the Environmental and Rangeland Protection (EARP) Fund in the legislative session initially funded the Minor Use Fund. Grant expenditures from the Minor Use Fund during the 1997-1998 blennium amounted to \$34,775. The

Fifty-sixth Legislative Assembly authorized a carry-over of \$314,724 into the 1999-2000 blennium and transferred \$285,000 from the EARP Fund creating a balance of \$599,724. During that session, legislation to change the definition of the Minor Use Fund to include studies for major crops and major uses was also approved. During the 1999-2000 blennium, \$547,106 has either been expended or is committed to on going \$3,846 research projects. A balance of \$52,618 (see attachment) remains and is expected to be expended by the end of the present blennium. In addition, the Pesticide Control Board has approved first year grant expenditures of \$106,953 on multi-year projects. The remaining anticipated grants, if approved would amount to \$72,803 in the 2001-2003 blennium. These expenditures would need to come from the \$500,000 transfer provided by this bill.

Participants of the program include twelve projects from NDSU research personnel and five projects from private enterprises. The attached chart shows the breakdown of the projects and their progress toward EPA registration. The program has created enormous interest and response during the present biennium. Because of this interest, the Pesticide Control Board voted to request a \$500,000 transfer from the EARP Fund to the Minor Use Fund for research purposes.

Chairman Wanzek and Committee members, I urge a do pass on HB 1467. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have.

## Pesticide Control Board - Minor Use Fund History

	1997-1999	1999-2001
Carryover	n/a	\$314,723.91
Legislative Transfer from EARP fund	\$350,000.00	\$285,000.00
Beginning Balance	\$350,000.00	\$599,723.91
Grant Expenditures Outstanding Grant Commitments	\$34,775.37	\$245,810.19 \$283,076.04
Operating Expense	\$500.72	\$1,989.00
Other expenditures		\$15,000.00
BALANCE	\$314,723.91	\$53,848.68

## **Current Biennium 1999-01**

Beginning Balance	\$599,723.91
Grant Expanditures	\$245,810.19
Outstanding Grant Commitments	\$283,076.04
Legislative Council	\$15,000.00
Operating (Dec 00)	\$1,989.00
Total Unobligated	\$53,848.68





## Chemicals labeled in Canada but no similar product registered in the U.S. List 2

Canadian				
Product		Section 18 Status	EPA Response (April 6, 1999)	Сопрапу
1 Assure II	Sunflower	nc	nc	
			Seed trmt registered in the US: no US tolerance; IR-4 petition received but not scheduled for review due	
afe			to major risk concerns.	Dupont
3 Duai	Sugarbeets	Not big enough use	ηC	
4				
5 20.2			Parimo interval underthe lama of the	
6 Lindane	Canola		Registration withdrawn; Canada discontinues use 12/31/01	
8			THE BROWN SECTION SECT	Street St
Preharvest 9 Roundup	Dry Beans	No Submission because the processors doesn't want it.	Import tolerance expected in the next few weeks; registrant decided not to register in 11S	of the second
		1.3		Williamil
Raptor	Peas	Submitted 99	nc	American Cyanamid
	4	A Creating the Same of the Same	Social (Stranifording Section 1997)	
14 Konkan	Ury Beans		Risk concerns	BASF
15,		3.41		
Tough	15. The Part   15. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co	, submitted of	Tolerance Duhlished 10-08: Dossible 240	504 AgroSciences
18 Warrior	Canola	Granted 98,99	Section 18 granted for ND expires 12/31/00	Zeneca

Note: The shaded areas indicate the pesticide products that are now available for ND growers either by section 3, section 18, or section 24C.



## North Dakota

<b>Priority List 3</b>	Chemicals no	Chemicals not labeled in the U.S. or Canada	S. or Canada	
Product	Company	Crop	Section 18 Status	EPA Response (April 6, 1999)
2			Granter 995000 Ex	Section of the sectio
3 Spartan	FMC	Peas, Lentils, Chickpeas	nc	nc
			は 一年 神 一致	
9			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7 Roundup, Postbarvest?	Monsanto		nc	nc nc
8 Non Group 1 (ACC-ASE)		Durum	nc	nc
6	Asia (Signal of the state of th	Concession		Superior (Event And Sp. 2)

Note: The shaded areas indicate the pesticide products hat are now available for ND growers either by section 3, section 18, or section 24C.

## ENVIRONMENT AND RANGELAND PROTECTION FUND PROJECTIONS

	19	99-2001	20	01-2003
Beginning Balance	\$	701,250	\$	825,852
Revenue Total Funds	\$ \$	2,620,500 3,321,750	\$ \$	2,083,250 2,909,102
Expenditures	·	<b>,,,,,</b> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, .
Noxious Weeds Safesend	\$ \$	1,080,524 573,907	\$ \$	1,415,797 599,369
Pesticide Programs	\$	266,467	\$	329,176
Minor Uses Chemical pads	\$ \$	285,000 90,000	\$ \$	•
Groundwater Total Expenditures	\$ \$	200,000 2,495,898	\$ \$	200,000 2,544,342
Ending Balance	\$	825,852	\$	364,760

HB1467 \$ 500,000 HB 1328 \$ 300,000

### NORTH DAKOTA WEED CONTROL ASSOCIATION TESTIMONY BY MERLIN LEITHOLD LOBBYIST # 515 HB 1467

Good morning, Chairman Wanzek, and members of the Senate Ag. Committee. My name is Merlin Leithold. I am here today representing the ND Weed Control Association.

HB 1467 deals with funding for minor use from EARP.

The EARP was created in 1991. It was created to help noxious weed funding. Monies from the general fund were hard to get, so EARP was created. It was the brainchild of a group of weed people. To get EARP passed into law, other items were included in EARP, other then funding noxious weeds, to get it passed.

Besides weeds, there was ground water testing, analysis of food products for residue of chemicals, and disposal of unusable pesticides and pesticide containers.

Food product analysis was never funded, for what reason I do not know.

In 1997, minor use fund was created. It also came from EARP. We are not against minor use, as we can also benefit from that fund.

In the Ag Departments' budget, the wording of the EARP fund is trying to be changed.

It not only is making room for the crop harmonization committee, but making weeds and other groups already in EARP as secondary.

This session there is also a bill, which you will here at a later date, HB 1328. That bill is asking for \$300,000 from EARP.

This bill before you today, HB 1467, is asking for \$500,000 from EARP.

If this all continues, EARP will no longer be used for what it was attended. Without EARP, weeds would have a field day.

Thank-you

# ANALYSIS OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND RANGELAND PROTECTION FUND 1999-2001 AND 2001-03 BIENNIUMS (REFLECTING THE 2001-03 BIENNIUM EXECUTIVE BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS)

\$366.787	\$825,352		
\$2,541,815	\$2,495,898	i	Total estimated expenditures
	0	90,000	Chemical storage expenditures
	200,000	209,000	Ground water testing Agricultural Experiment Station - 1999 HB 1021
	0	285,000²	Minor use pesticide registration State Department of Health - 1999 HB 1004, 2001 SB 2004
	25,751	11,643	Compensation package Pesticide Control Board - 1999 SB 2009
	596,842 314,620	573,907 266,467	Pesticide αisposal project (Safe Send) Pesticide programs
	\$1,404,602	\$1,068,881	Noxious weed control
			Agriculture Commissioner - 1999 SB 2009, 2001 HB 1009)
200,002,24			Less estimated expenditures
CO3 800 C3	\$3.321.250	İ	Total available
2083 250	2,620,0001		Add estimated revenues
C5E 5C83	\$701,250		Beginning balance
2001-03 Biennium		1999-2001 Biennium	

being more than projected and revenues exceeding estimates made during the 1999 legislative session. NOTE: The estimated June 30, 2001, balance made at the end of the 1999 legislative session was \$454,590. The increase is due to the July 1, 1999, balance

<sup>1</sup> The 1999 Legislative Assembly approved Senate Bill No. 2009, which includes a provision increasing the biennial pesticide registration fee by \$50, from \$300 to \$350 only for the 1999-2001 biennium. This increase was estimated by the 1999 Legislative Assembly to generate \$400,000, resulting in \$2.4 million total estimated revenues to be deposited in the environment and rangeland protection fund. The \$140,000 increase in estimated revenues is due to a higher number of products registered than previously anticipated