

2001 HOUSE INDUSTRY, BUSINESS AND LABOR HCR 3057

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HCR 3057

House Industry, Business and Labor Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date Feb. 21, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
A SAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	X		0-4.5 & 16.9-20.5
See agreement of the men of the control of the cont	to grant mental character for the second of the control of the con		
gentration to exemple the following of a statement of evident at a country of the second of the seco	and the state of t		and the second s
Committee Clerk Signa	ture (10ic	1200	

Minutes: Chairman R. Berg, Vice-Chair G. Keiser, Rep. M. Ekstrom, Rep. R. Froelich, Rep. G.

Froseth, Rep. R. Jensen, Rep. N. Johnson, Rep. J. Kasper, Rep. M. Klein, Rep. Koppang,

Rep. D. Lemieux, Rep. B. Pietsch, Rep. D. Ruby, Rep. D. Severson, Rep. E. Thorpe.

Rep Merle Boucher: Explained how HCR 3057 takes a look at the state infrastructure.

Rep Jensen: Proposed amendments.

Rep Jensen: Move the amendments.

Rep N. Johnson: Second.

Rep Jensen: Do pass as amended.

Rep Koppang: Second.

12 yea, 0 nay, 3 absent Carrier Rep Jensen

Date: $\mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} [-0]$ Roll Call Vote #: /

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HCR 3057

House Industry, Business and Labor			Committee	
Legislative Council Amendment Nu	ımber _		n makkin ng kapata, pangan ngapan sa nyan ha antanpa ng pan (pangapay pal karay napanyang babangang pangang b	re y a hapangan a ribe announ also a no canada da pagaina agus an albana ga pa
Action Taken	Pa	34	as amended	a ng di sa pikana Manarana anaka ay isiba arana adhiraabhayayya kapaba
Motion Made By Jonse	1	Sc	econded By Hoppa	ng)
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes No
Chairman- Rick Berg	V		Rep. Jim Kasper	
Vice-Chairman George Keiser			Rep. Matthew M. Klein	V
Rep. Mary Ekstorm			Rep. Myron Koppung	
Rep. Rod Froelich			Rep. Doug Lemieux	
Rep. Glen Froseth			Rep. Bill Pietsch	
Rep. Roxanne Jensen			Rep. Dan Ruby	V
Rep. Nancy Johnson			Rep. Dale C. Severson	
			Rep. Elwood Thorpe	
Total (Yes) /2		No	·	
Absent				
Floor Assignment Rep	Je	NSE	n	
If the vote is on an amendment, brief	ly indicate	e inten	t:	

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) February 21, 2001 11:23 a.m.

Module No: HR-32-4192

Carrier: Jensen Insert LC: 13073.0101 Title: .0200

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HCR 3057: Industry, Business and Labor Committee (Rep. Berg, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (12 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 3 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HCR 3057 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 14, after "of" insert "state, national, and global"

Page 1, line 15, after "future" insert "short-term and long-term" and remove "for 5, 10, 25, and 50 years"

Renumber accordingly

2001 SENATE INDUSTRY, BUSINESS AND LABOR HCR 3057

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HCR 3057

Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date March 14, 2001.

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter#
1	X		3.7 to 10.8
	X		35.6 to 39.6
Committee Clerk Signatu	re Dous EP	Nes	
		7	

Minutes:

The meeting was called to order. All committee members present. Hearing was opened on HCR 3057 directing the Legislative Council to study the technological needs and capacity of the state.

Representative Merle Boucher, District 9, cosponsor. Written testimony attached.

Senator Tollefson: Lines 17 to 19 include public policy in respect to the role of regulation and deregulation in telecommunications and electric industry, do you want to dwell on that?

Rep. Boucher: It is an argument that plays a critical role, we have to come to a common ground or understanding. Are these arguments impeding progress or helping us get ahead? Not that I am for or against, but this is the time for debate.

No opposing testimony. Hearing concluded.

Tape 1-A-35.6 to Discussion held.

Senator Krebsbach: Technology has been studied, there was an interim committee, the same with communications and electricity.

Page 2
Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HCR 3057
Hearing Date March 14, 2001.

Senator Tollefson: Motion: do pass. Senator Every: Second.

Roll call vote: 7 yes; 0 no. Motion carried. Floor assignment: Senator Tollefson.

Date: 3/14/0/ Roll Call Vote #: /

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 305 7

Senate Industry, Business	Committee	Committee			
Subcommittee on					
or					
Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nu	ımber _				
Action Taken	V ar	ce be	placed incom	sent caler	XH
Motion Made By	Llept	Se 127 By	conded Sen E	eery	-
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes No	1
Senator Mutch - Chairman			Senator Every		
Senator Klein - Vice Chairman	V		Senator Mathern		
Senator Espegard	V	سيهجيه إنحان وجعفاهم			
Senator Krebsbach		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Senator Tollefson	1/				
					11
4-0-14-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1		**********			
	<u></u>				
	_	**************************************			
	-				
Total (Yes) 7		No	0		ļ
Absent ()					•
Floor Assignment Son Tol	Ulsi	77			i
If the vote is on an amendment, brief	\mathcal{O}				

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 14, 2001 9:59 a.m.

Module No: SR-44-5543 Carrier: Tollefson Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HCR 3057, as engrossed: Industry, Business and Labor Committee (Sen. Mutch, Chairman) recommends DO PASS and BE PLACED ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR (7 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed HCR 3057 was placed on the Tenth order on the calendar.

2001 TESTIMONY

HCR 3057

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF HCR 3057 SENATE IBL COMMITTEE Chairman Mutch

Chairman Mutch, and members of the Senate IBL committee, my name is Merle Boucher, Representative from District 9. I come before you today in support of HCR 3057 a study resolution for the careful examination of the future of technology in the great state of North Dakota.

Technology has been accepted over the years as a positive element of progress and development. Unfettered technology spending has been justified as a means to maintain competitiveness. This, however, is a dangerous, wasteful approach that will burn us in the end. Technological investments need to be carefully examined in order to minimize risks. We need to focus on the infrastructure that will optimize, not just maximize, our technological enance.

To date we have no comprehensive plan for technology development. This study can lay the groundwork for sound decision making in the upcoming session by evaluating the states real technological needs and capacity. We need to have a clear plan of what the state needs to stay competitive. It will help to ensure that the differing regional needs will be met, and investors have a clear understanding of the technology path this state is on.

Technology is a critical part of our states continuing development and diversification. A clear consciousness of our direction, and a sustainable plan to guide us, will serve to enhance our progress in the long term.

Respectfully Submitted,

Merle Boucher