MICROFILM DIVIDER OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M ROLL NUMBER DESCRIPTION

2001 SENATE FINANCE AND TAXATION

SB 2252

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2252

Senate Finance and Taxation Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 1/29/01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	X		0-29.2
1/31/01 - 2	x	Perilaphina algin, quinante quinagir del drait-draine le est museu remen au videnme pe	52.9-end
		X	0-12.3
2/6/01 - 2		X	51-end
3	x /)		/ ()-9,3
Committee Clerk Signatur	e Typille	41 King	1

Minutes:

Senator Urlacher: Opened the hearing on SB 2252, relating to income tax deductions or credits for adoption expenses.

Senator Aaron Krauter: Co-sponsored bill, testified in support. This bill will be a break for people wanting to adopt. The number of abortions in ND is going up. Meter number .3-3.

Christopher Dodson: Executive Director of ND Catholic Conference, testifies in support. It's important that we create a culture of life for every child and make that comfortable and convenient as possible. Abortion rates are at a 10-year high. We need to create that system in which it's easier to make adoption as open to as many couples as possible.

<u>Senator Urlacher</u>: What is the cost of an adoption?

Christopher Dodson: I don't exactly know. I know it's been rising.

Page 2
Senate Finance and Taxation Committee
Bill/Resolution Number 2252
Hearing Date 1/29/01

Senator Christmann and Christopher Dodson discuss adoption costs, if this bill would affect womens' decisions, and foster care. Meter number 4.8-6.7.

Christy Zentz: On behalf of her family, testified in support. Explained that the cost of adopting an older child is more than an infant. Also, adopting a special needs child also incurs more cost. Any assistance that would help parents financially, would help place older kids in adoptive homes.

Barb Tengesdal: On her behalf having just adopted a child, testified in support. There are a number of cots that parents incur along the way and anything you could do to get these children to get these children adopted would be helpful.

Senator Urlacher: Can you identify where the costs come in at?

Barb Tengesdal: Explains the cost. Meter number 11.5-13.4.

Senator Christmann: Was the first \$500 you paid in ND, was to an agency or the state?

Barb Tengesdal: The agency.

<u>Senator Tim Mathern</u>: Co-sponsored the bill, registered his support.

<u>Delores Freisz</u>: Dept. of Human Services, neutral. Explained the number of adoptions in the state. Meter number 15.5-18.5.

Donnita Wald: ND Tax Dept., neutral. Appeared to explain the deductions on long and short forms and fiscal note. Clarifies questions for Senator Stenehjem and Senator Christmann. Meter number 19-29.

Senator Urlacher: Closed the hearing. Action delayed.

Discussion held 1/31/0. Meter number 52.9-end, Side A, 0-12.3, Side B.

Senator Christmann explains why he wants amendment.

Donnita Wald: Appeared to explain tax forms.

Page 3
Senate Finance and Taxation Committee
Bill/Resolution Number 2252
Hearing Date 1/29/61

Senator Christmann to get amendment.

Discussion held 2/6/01 Meter number 51-end, Tape 2, Side B & 0-9.3, Tape 3, Side A.

Amendment introduced & discussed.

AMENDMENT ACTION:

Motion made by <u>Senator Christmann</u>, Seconded by <u>Senator Wardner</u>, to move admendment numbered 10580.0101. Voice Vote taken. 3 yeas, 2 nays, 1 absent and not voting. Amendement adopted.

COMMITTEE ACTION: (2/6/0)

Motion made by <u>Senator Wardner</u> for a DO PASS AS AMENDED, Seconded by <u>Senator Christmann</u>. Vote was 5 yea, 1 nay, 0 absent and not voting. Bill carrier was <u>Senator Nichols</u>.

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council 02/23/2001

Bill/Resolution No.:

Amendment to:

Reengrossed

SB 2252

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	1999-2001 Blennium		2001-2003	3 Biennium	2003-2005 Biennium		
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	
Revenues			(\$25,000)				
Expenditures							
Appropriations	·			the first of the state of the s	, <u></u>		

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

1999	9-2001 Blen	nlum	2001-2003 Blennium			2003-2005 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

SB 2252 Third Engrossment expands the tax deduction for adoption available on the long form to \$1750 and provides a carryforward provision for any unused amount. The bill also allows an adjustment to federal tax liability of up to \$1750, on the short form, for expenses associated with adoption allowed by IRC Section 23. This short form adjustment is available for expenses associated with all adoptions for Form Year 2001, and for expenses associated with special needs adoptions beginning with Form Year 2002.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

SB 2252 Third Engrossment is expected to reduce revenues by \$25,000 during the 01-03 biennium.

- B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.
- C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Kathryn L. Strombeck	Agency:	Tax Department
Phone Number:	328-3402	Date Preparu	J: 02/23/2001

.

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council 02/09/2001

Bill/Resolution No.:

Amendment to:

SB 2252

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	1999-2001 Biennium		2001-200	3 Biennium	2003-2005 Blennium		
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	
Revenues	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(\$35,000)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Expenditures		***************************************				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · 	
Appropriations							

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

1999	9-2001 Blen	nlum	200	1-2003 Bien	nium	200	3-2005 Blen	nium
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts
							,	

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

SB 2252 First Engrossment provides income tax deductions and credits for certain adoption expenses.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

The provisions of Section 2 of SB 2252 First Engrossment are available for all adoptions for tax year 2001 (fiscal year 2002). We estimate the fiscal impact of the short form adjustment to "federal tax liability", for one year, is -\$35,000. Beginning with tax year 2002, the federal credit will be available for special needs children only. According to information from Human Services, parents adopting a special needs child are not faced with significant unreimbursed adoption expenses, so the fiscal impact for the second year of the biennium is expected to be less than \$5000.

- B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.
- C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Kathryn L. Strombeck	Agency:	Tax Department
Phone Number:	328-3402	Date Prepared:	02/15/2001

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council 02/01/2001

REVISION

Bill/Resolution No.:

SB 2252

Amendment to:

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	1999-2001 Blennium		2001-200	3 Blennium	2003-2005 Biennium		
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	
Revenues		······································	(\$70,000)			***************************************	
Expenditures							
Appropriations							

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

1999	1999-2001 Biennium		2001-2003 Biennium		200	3-2005 Bien	nium	
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

SB 2252 increases the long-form deduction for adoption expenses to \$2500 and creates an adjustment to 'federal tax liability' for short form purposes for the federal tax credit for adoption expenses to a maximum of \$2500.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

If enacted, SB 2252 is expected to decrease state general fund revenues by \$70,000 for the 01-03 biennium. (NOTE: This revision to the original fiscal note was recommended by Human Services based on their revised estimate of qualifying adoptions.)

- B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.
- C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the

executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Kathryn L. Strombeck	Agenuy:	Tax Department
Phone Number:	328-3402	Date Prepared: (02/12/2001

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council 01/22/2001

Bill/Resolution No.:

SB 2252

Amendment to:

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	1999-200	1999-2001 Biennium		3 Biennium	2003-2005 Biennium		
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	
Revenues			(\$105,000)				
Expenditures							
Appropriations							

18. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

1999	1999-2001 Biennium		2001-2003 Biennium 2003-2005 Bi			2001-2003 B		3-2005 Bien	inium
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

SB 2252 increases the long-form deduction for adoption expenses to \$2500 and creates an adjustment to 'federal tax liability' for short form purposes for the federal tax credit for adoption expenses to a maximum of \$2500.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

If enacted, SB 2252 is expected to decrease state general fund revenues by \$105,000 for the 01-03 biennium.

- B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.
- C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Kathryn L. Strombeck	Agency:	Tax Department
Phone Number:	328-3402	Date Prepared:	01/26/2001

.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 2252

- Page 1, line 7, after "ene" insert "up to", after "dollars" insert "of expenses incurred by the taxpayer which are not reimbursed by any federal, state, or political subdivision source,", and after "each" insert "special needs"
- Page 1, line 8, overstrike "twenty-one" and insert immediately thereafter "eighteen" and after the period insert "For purposes of this paragraph, a "special needs child" is a child who has any of the special needs described in section 50-09-02.2."

Page 1, line 10, after "adopted" insert "special needs"

Renumber accordingly

Date: $\partial |u| 0 |$ Roll Call Vote #:

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES **BILL/RESOLUTION NO.** 2752

Senate Finance and Taxation	···			_ Comi	mittee
Subcommittee on	directions of the second				
or Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nur Action Taken	nber]	<u>058</u>	0.0101		.
Action Taken VIOVE AME	name	MT_	(Voill Vote)		
Motion Made By	ann	Se By	conded Wordker		
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Senator Urlacher-Chairman Senator Wardner-Vice Chairman Senator Christmann Senator Stenehjem Senator Kroeplin Senator Nichols					
Total (Yes) 2 Absent					
If the vote is on an amendment, briefl	y indicat	te intent	::		

Date: 96/01 Roll Call Vote #: 2

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 355

Senate Finance and Taxation				Comi	mittee
Subcommittee on					
Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nur					
Action Taken <u>50 Pass</u>	18	Ane	nded		,
Motion Made By Wardne	<u> </u>	Sec By	onded Christma	ann_	
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Senator Urlacher-Chairman Senator Wardner-Vice Chairman Senator Christmann Senator Stenehjem Senator Kroeplin Senator Nichols					
Total (Yes) 5 Absent 7 Floor Assignment 1:05 If the vote is on an amendment, briefl	v indica	No No te intent:			

Module No: SR-22-2628 Carrier: Nichols

SH-22-2628

Insert LC: 10580.0101 Title: .0200

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2252: Finance and Taxation Committee (Sen. Urlacher, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS and BE REREFERRED to the Appropriations Committee (5 YEAS, 1 NAY, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2252 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

- Page 1, line 7, after "one" insert "up to", after "dollars" insert "of expenses incurred by the taxpayer which are not reimbursed by any federal, state, or political subdivision source,", and after "each" insert "special needs"
- Page 1, line 8, overstrike "twenty-one" and insert immediately thereafter "eighteen" and after the period insert "For purposes of this paragraph, a "special needs child" is a child who has any of the special needs described in section 50-09-02.2."

Page 1, line 10, after "adopted" insert "special needs"

Renumber accordingly

2001 SENATE APPROPRIATIONS

SB 2252

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB2252

Senate Appropriations Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date February 19, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
Tape #1	X		0.0-6.8
Committee Clerk Signatu	ire Annie	() to	A,

Minutes:

Senator Nething opened the hearing on SB2252.

Senator Krauter, District 35, Regent spoke in support of the bill, and distributed copies (copy attached) of a proposed amendment.

Senator Nething: You're asking us by your proposed amendment to change the substance of the bill? Appropriations Committee deals only with the appropriation.

Senator Krauter: Has been done, but this does deal with appropriations -- taken it to zero.

<u>Senator Heitkamp</u>: After what we did -- no credit?

Senator Krauter: Would be for children ages 5-18; in reality there would be no expense there, human services would have provided all the medical, related expenses at that time would not be applied to credit.

Senator Nething: This on 6th floor?

Senator Krauter: The Committee adopted amendments; passed on the Senate Floor.

Page 2 Senate Appropriations Committee Bill/Resolution Number SB2252 Hearing Date February 19, 2001

Senator Nething: Did you take this amendment there?

Senator Krauter: After the Committee had worked on it; it was not a unanimous pass.

Senator Tallackson: Was there floor discussion?

Senator % rauter: Lots of discussion --- recorded roll call vote.

Senator Krauter: Asking not to change; but to further amend.

Senator Nething: We will discuss it when taking Committee action.

Julie Hoffman, Administrator of Adoption Services for the North Dakota Department of Human

Services, provided neutral testimony (a copy of written testimony is attached).

No additional testimony. Hearing on SB2252 closed.

February 20, 200) Full Committee (Tape #1, Side B, Meter No. 0.0-6.4)

Senator Nething reopened the hearing on SB2252.

Discussion. Senator Heitkamp moved adoption of proposed amendment 10580,0201 - originally submitted by Senator Krauter during testimony on SB2252. Senator Robinson seconded. Roll Call Vote: 9 yes; 5 no; 0 absent and not voting.

Discussion. Senator Thane moved a DO PASS; seconded by Senator Holmberg, Roll Call Vote: 9 yes; 5 no; 0 absent and not voting.

Floor assignment will go to the original committee carrier: Senator Nichols.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 2252

Page 1, line 10, remove the overstrike over "twenty one" and remove "eighteen"

Page 1, line 11, after the second "child" insert "under the age of twenty-one years"

Page 1, line 12, after "50-09-02.2" insert ", except for the age restrictions of that section"

Page 3, replace lines 5 through 7 with:

"k. Subtract a credit in an amount up to two thousand five hundred dollars for qualified adoption expenses computed under Internal Revenue Code section 23 or as the credit would be computed for a child under twenty-one years of age whose adoption would otherwise qualify under Internal Revenue Code section 23 except for the age limitations of that section."

Renumber accordingly

Date:	20-01
Roll Call Vote #:_	/

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO.

Senate Appropriations				Comr	nittee
Subcommittee on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
or					
Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Num	nber/_	100	(milatingsit	1	
Action Taken (1)	1/30	416	(milding		
Motion Made By	Mr. Jay		Seconded Sy	Juns	<u> イン</u>
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Dave Nething, Chairman		V			
Ken Solberg, Vice-Chairman		س			
Randy A. Schobinger					
Elroy N. Lindaas	1				
Harvey Tallackson	V				
Larry J. Robinson	<i>L</i>				
Steven W. Tomac	1				
Joel C. Heitkamp					
Tony Grindberg					
Russell T. Thane		/			
Ed Kringstad		V			
Ray Holmberg		1			
Bill Bowman					
John M. Andrist		V			
Total Yes		No	5		
Absent	-				
Floor Assignment		enter in the second of the			
If the vote is on an amendment, brief	fly indica	ite inten	t:		

Date:	6-61	
Roli Call Vote #:	2	

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 56 2252

Senate Appropriations				Comr	nittee
Subcommittee on					
or Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nu	mber _				
Action Taken	Pas	30			است خرجت المحاجة
Motion Made By	" <u> ans/</u>		Seconded Sy Story	mle	hy
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Dave Nething, Chairman	V				
Ken Solberg, Vice-Chairman	V				
Randy A. Schobinger					
Elroy N. Lindaas		レ			
Harvey Tallackson					
Larry J. Robinson		V			
Steven W. Tomac		V			
Joel C. Heitkamp		1			
Tony Grindberg	1	,			
Russell T. Thane	1				
Ed Kringstad	1				
Ray Holmberg					
Bill Bowman	1/,		-		
John M. Andrist					
Total Yes		No	<u>5</u>		
Absent	<u> </u>				
Floor Assignment	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1 /2	cholo		***************************************
If the vote is on an amendment, brie	fly indica	ite inten	t:		

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) February 20, 2001 9:40 a.m.

Module No: SR-31-3953 Carrier: Nichols Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2252, as engrossed: Appropriations Committee (Sen. Nething, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (9 YEAS, 5 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed SB 2252 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

2001 HOUSE FINANCE AND TAXATION

SB 2252

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB 2252

House Finance and Taxation Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date March 13, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter#
1	X		2,428
4 10-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-1			The second secon
Committee Clerk Signatur	e Jan	ie Stein	

Minutes:

REP. AL CARLSON, CHAIRMAN Opened the hearing and read the fiscal note.

SEN. AARON KRAUTER, DIST. 35 Introduced the bill as the prime sponsor. See written testimony.

STACEY PFLIGER, NORTH DAKOTA RIGHT TO LIFE, Testified in support of the bill.

Gave an instance where a family resided out of state for forty days during the adoption.

CHRISTOPHER DODSON, NORTH DAKOTA CATHOLIC CONFERENCE Testified in support of the bill. He stated that checking with the Catholic Family Services, their cost is eight thousand dollars for an adoption, and they are at the bottom end. If you have to hire an attorney, it will be an additional cost.

REP. HERBEL Asked what the ratio is between adoption in the United States versus Europeon adoptions?

CHRISTOPHER DODSON Stated he didn't know.

Page 2
House Finance and Taxation Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB 2252
Hearing Date March 13, 2001

REP. LLOYD Why is the age of 21 in this bill, it seems to me, we certainly agree with infants, but it seems like 16 or 18 would be more realistic instead of 21.

CHRISTOPHER DODSON Stated he didn't have an answer for that.

REP. LLOYD Asked about internet adoptions, lately we have seen some problems on television, have you had experience with that, and how does this relate?

CHRISTOPHER DODSON Didn't have the answer. He stated there is a resolution to study this. They get calls all the time, they don't know what the answer is. It is hard to define what the law is.

JOSEPH BECKER, STATE TAX OFFICE, Testified in a neutral position. Answered some of the questions, relating to the number of people using the long form, no one has used it. In answer to Rep. Lloyd's question, regarding the age of 21, that statute has been on the books since the early 70's, that 21 has been there from the start.

REP. LLOYD Asked whether anybody has been adopted after the age of 16 or 18? **JOSEPH BECKER** Deferred the question to the Human Services people.

REP. CARLSON Is there a federal deduction for adoption today?

JOSEPH BECKER Section 2 of the bill, which is going to look at the short form, makes a reference to the Internal Revenue Code, that is a reference to the federal income tax credit that is allowed for adoptions.

REP. CARLSON Asked Mr. Becker to explain how it works.

JOSEPH BECKER If we look at the short form calculation now, you start with the federal income tax liability before you subtract any federal credits from that number, then you multiply by the fourteen percent. Section 2 of the bill will say for purposes of determining the amount of

Page 3
House Finance and Taxation Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB 2252
Hearing Date March 13, 2001

there?

that federal income tax liability, the starting point on the short form, we will allow or recognize up to seventeen hundred and fifty dollars of any federal credit you are allowed to claim, to reduce our starting point. In terms of state tax dollars, that would translate to two hundred and forty five dollar state credit. Fourteen percent of the seventeen fifty is really the effect on our short form.

REP. DROVDAL Looking at this long term schedule, how do they get the deduction off of

JOSEPH BECKER Line 23 for other deductions on Schedule 2. We have a whole list of items that can go in there, one of them would include this particular adoption.

Also pointed out that the decoupling bills were on the move in the Senate. Section 2 of this bill, which deals with the short form, will become ineffective if either of those bills passes. This bill is based on federal income tax liability and we are changing that. If you wanted to conserve this, you would need to tack on an amendment to provide some language that would kick in if either of those other bills passes. We would be happy to do that for you.

REP. DROVDAL I see this as a credit up to seventeen hundred dollars for adoption, but looking at the credits, they also give one thousand dollar credit for adoption, a thousand dollar credit for mentally retarded and a seven hundred and fifty for someone who is blind or disabled, and a seven hundred and fifty credit for the adoption of someone who is mentally retarded, is that three different scales, if you met all of these areas, would they get twenty seven hundred dollars in credits?

JOSEPH BECKER This is one of a number of them, all operating independently. Yes, there are a series of these.

REP. CARLSON You could qualify for all of them?

Page 4
House Finance and Taxation Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB 2252
Hearing Date March 13, 2001

JOSEPH BECKER I haven't looked at it for awhile, I think there is one for the year of adoption, and I think the seven hundred and fifty dollar one is a continuing one under certain circumstances. Stated he would check on that.

JULIE HOFFMAN, ADMINISTRATION OF ADOPTION SERVICES, DEPARTMENT

OF HUMAN SERVICES, Testified in a neutral position. See written testimony.

With no further testimony, the hearing was closed.

COMMITTEE ACTION 3-14-01, TAPE #2, SIDE B, METER #2900

REP. DROVDAL Gave an explanation of research he had done on the bill.

before the committee to answer questions the committee members had. She gave an account of how the Senate had amended the bill and why. She also stated that if one of the decoupling bills passed, they may have to amend the bill to work with that. She said two more sections would have to be added to the bill.

REP. GROSZ Made a motion for a **DO NOT PASS**

REP. HERBEL Second the motion. MOTION CARRIED.

7 YES 6 NO 2 ABSENT

REP. GROSZ Was given the floor assignment.

Date: 3-14-01
Roll Call Vote #: 1

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 58 2252

House FINANCE & TAXAT	ION	<u> </u>		_ Com	mittee
Subcommittee on					
or			10-6		92:
Conference Committee			Very G	A Partie	•
Legislative Council Amendment Nu	mber		102	\	
Action Taken	No		9015		
**************************************			conded By Ref. Nu	tel	
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
CARLSON, AL, CHAIRMAN	1	1	NICHOLAS, EUGENE	H	
DROVDAL, DAVID, V-CHAIR	14		RENNER, DENNIS		
BRANDENBURG, MICHAEL	1	ļ	RENNERFELDT, EARL	14	
CLARK, BYRON GROSZ, MICHAEL	V		SCHMIDT, ARLO WIKENHEISER, RAY	//	1/
HERBEL, GIL	1		WINRICH, LONNY		
KELSH, SCOT		V	Winderi, Lonivi	1	
KROEBER, JOE	1	1		-	
LLOYD, EDWARD	†	V		1	
			<u> </u>		
otal (Yes)		No	10		
	^				····
bsent	_d_			······································	
oor Assignment Rep. G	(05	<u>v_</u>			
the vote is on an amendment, briefly			:		

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 15, 2001 8:54 a.m.

Module No: HR-45-5661 Carrier: Grosz Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2252, as reengrossed: Finance and Taxation Committee (Rep. Carlson, Chairman) recommends DO NOT PASS (7 YEAS, 6 NAYS, 2 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Reengrossed SB 2252 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2001 TESTIMONY

SB 2252



OFFICE OF STATE TAX COMMISSIONER

http://www.state.nd.us/taxdpt

MEMORANDUM

To:

Chairman Urlacher and Members of the Senate Finance and Taxation

Committee

From:

Donnita A. Wald, Special Assistant Attorney General

Date:

January 30, 2001

Re:

Senate Bill 2252 - Adoption Tax Credit

During Monday's hearing on Senate Bill 2252, questions regarding the calculation of the adoption tax credit on Form 37 (long form) and Form 37-S were raised. Attached to this memorandum are "dummy" copies of federal and state income tax returns illustrating the use of the credit. I believe these should answer any questions that were raised.

In addition, it was asked what the tax effect of the credit would be on each form. On the long form, the adoption deduction translates to an average tax savings of approximately \$60.00. As a general rule, a taxpayer would have to have a series of long form deductions and credits — not just the expanded adoption deduction — to overcome the lower short form rates and find it beneficial to file a long form.

The provisions that adjust the federal tax liability on the short form (Section 2 of the bill) would, on the average, provide \$350.00 to each taxpayer making a qualified adoption.

I hope this answers the Committee's questions. If you have any further questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me at 328-2777 or e-mail at dwald@state.nd.us.

§ 1040	De U	S. Individual Income Tax R	D 1117 11	(L) IRS U	se Only—Do n	of write or	staple in this space	
		the year Jan. 1-Dec. 31, 2000, or other tax y	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	, 2000, ending		, 20		45-0074
Label (7	our first name and initial	Last name		·	Your	ocial security nu	
1000	L							
on page 19.)	B 1	a joint return, spouse's first name and initial	Last name			Spous	e's social security	numbe
label.		ome address (number and street). If you have	в в Р.О. box, see раде	19 Apt.	nu.	A	Important	! A
please print	A	ity, town or post office, state, and ZIP code.	If you have a foreign ac	idress, see page 19.			ou must enter our SSN(s) abov	/O.
Presidential								
Election Campai (See page 19.)	ign	Note. Checking "Yes" will not chang Do you, or your spouse if filing a join			•	You Yes	u Spot s∐No ∐Yes	
	1	Single				·····		
Filing Status	2	Married filing joint return (eve		•				
	3	Married filing separate return. Ent		•				
Check only	4	Head of household (with qualit		ge 19.) If the qualifyin	g person is	a child	but not your dep	endent
one box.		enter this child's name here.					mangang makagaga and sa keskapatha an dena a sa anjer sa andek a da an	
	5	Qualifying widow(er) with dep). (See pa	L		
Exemptions	68	Yourself. If your parent (or some return, do not check b		ou as a dependent o	n his or he	r tax	No. of boxes checked on	
Evolubilions						• • • }	6a and 6b	3
	b			(3) Dependent s	141	lituron J	No. of your	
	C	Dependents:	(2) Dependent s social security num	and alcourable to	child for chi		children on 6c who:	
		(1) First name Last name		<u> </u>	tredit iset pa	ige 201	• lived with you	्द
If more than six		Child & for unact w	Notor 17 yrs a	lest.	<u>X</u>		• did not live with	
dependents,		Childr 5 Mas umos 4	7,7		<u> </u>	American distriction	you due to divorce	
see page 20.				erden er er en			(see page 20)	
					L./		Dependents on 6c not entered above	
		CONTROL CONTRO			<u> </u>		Add numbers	
,	ч	Total number of exemptions claimed			<u> </u>		entered on lines above >	<u> </u>
*************************************	<u>-</u>			<u> </u>		7	Illes above -	Ţ
Income	0	Wages, salaries, tips, etc. Attach Ford Taxable interest. Attach Schedule B i				8a		
			•	i ah i	1			
Attach Forms W-2 and	ь 9	Tax-exempt interest Do not include Ordinary dividends. Attach Schedule I	on line ba , , ,	00				
W-2G here.	10	Taxable refunds, credits, or offsets of				40		[
Also attach	11			, ,	, ,	44	nder productive policies (day 2 no major a na major a gaptina	1
Form(s) 1099-R	12	Alimony received	adula C or C 57			1 40 1		
withheld.	13					1.0		1
	14	Capital gain or (loss). Attach Schedule	•	•		4.4		1
If you did not	15a	Other gains or (losses). Attach Form 4 Total IRA distributions . 15a				1Eb	Bridge Book and Alle Book are	7
get a W-2,	16a	Total pensions and annuities 16a	t	Tavable amount (see	page 231	16b		Ī
see page 21.	17					17	Manager and the same state of the same	
Enclose, but do	18	Rental real estate, royalties, partnership				18	de manuficación abradonostructuras destructuras com um menestra com 14 millor de ma	
not attach, any	19	Farm income or (loss). Attach Schedul				19		
payment. Also,		Unemployment compensation Social security benefits	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The state of the s		20b		
please use Form 1040-V.	20a 21		b			21		
PUIII 1040-V.	22	Other income. List type and amount (s Add the amounts in the far right column				22		+
				23	Some P	1111111		
Adjusted	23	IRA deduction (see page 27) , , ,		24				
Gross	24	Student loan interest deduction (see pa	-	25				
Income	25	Medical savings account deduction, At		26				
iiiooiiic	26	Moving expenses. Attach Form 3903		27				
	27	One-half of self-employment tax. Attac						
	28	Self-employed health insurance deduct		28				
	29	Self-employed SEP, SIMPLE, and qual	•	29				
	30	Penalty on early withdrawal of savings		30				
	31a	Alimony paid b Recipient's SSN ▶		31a				
	32 33	Add lines 23 through 31a			· · <u>·</u> }	32		 -

Cat. No. 12600W

Form 1040 (2000)

For Disclosure, Privacy Act, and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see page 56.

signature

Firm's name (or

yours if self-employed), address, and ZIP code

Preparer's

Use Only

Check if

self-employed []

EIN

37-S Short form individual income tax return

2000

For 20	000 CALENDAR YEAR, or other tax year beginning	19	, 2000, and ending	, 20	(VIII)	IPORTAN	TV
-	Your first name and initial		Last name			ocial security numb	
ilei	If joint return, spouse's first name an	d initial	Last name		Spouse	's social security nu	inber
11	ou do note a label,		- Committee of the Comm	- Andrews		ust enter your SSN(
	t or type City, town or post office, state and 2	IP code		The state of the s		ou required to Imated federal	Yes [
Filin	g status: 1. Single 2. A. Head of household 5.	Married filing joi	/ Euras amous als	; sepurate		tax for 2000? only if applicable	No 🗀
Filing	g category: 1. Resident 2. None	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ructions on page 3.)		tructions on page ded 📋 Extens	
School	ol district code: Income	source code:	(See instructions on j	page 6)	Com	posite return (Cl	P) 🗖 -
	Federal adjusted gross income from line or line 4, Form 1040EZ or line 1, TeleFile Federal taxable income from line 39, Form	Tax Record	(SX)	75,000 53,800	00 A	Form 37-S	.
5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	Form 1040EZ or line K, TeleFile Tax Reco			<u>53,800</u> ■ 58.225	00 B		-
1. 2.	Federal income tax liability: See instruction North Dakota income tax: Multiply line 1 b	ions of page 6 for the py 14% (.14); OR, if	he amount to enter on this lir f you are a nonresident filer of	or you amount	,. (SVOL)	6,871	00
	are a resident filer with an allowable adjusts and enter the tax from line 13 of that schedu				1		
3.	Credits: (See instructions) Schedule 4 >	(SD)	Schedule FC > (S2) [
	Renaissance zone credits > Net tax liability (Subtract line 3 from line 2)						
	North Dakota income tax withheld (Anach.				5	-	
	2000 estimated tax paid plus overpayment,				6	1	
7.	Total payments (Add lines 5 and 6)				7		
	Overpayment (If line 7 is greater than line go to line 14.) If result is less than \$5.00,	enter -0			. (SG) 8		
9,	Amount of line 8 you wish to apply to your	2001 estimated tax	(SQ)		9		
	Amount of line 8 you wish to contribute to 1	•		<u></u>	10		
	Amount of line 8 you wish to contribute to C			The state of the s	111		
13.	Refund (Line 8 less lines 9, 10 and 11) If r For direct deposit of your refund, complete				. (SK)[12		
	a. Routing number c. Account number Tax due (If line 4 is greater than line 7, sub	b. T	ype of account ➤ □ Ch	ecking 🗀 Saving	***		
	Voluntary contribution to Nongame Wildlife				. (SZ) 14 15		<u>ــــــ</u>
16.	Voluntary contribution to Centennial Tree T		•		16	٠.	
17.	Balance due (Add lines 14, 15, 16 and, if ap						T
	Interest on underpaid estimated tax, if any, fi				18		
	Attach a complete copy of your 20	00 federal inco	me tax return				
ovemn	e under the penalties of North Dakota Century nental matter, that this return, including any a ef is a true, correct, and complete return. For	accompanying sched	dules and statements, has bee	n examined by me ar	id to the b	false statement in est of my knowle	ı a :dge
our sigi	nature	Date Y	our daytime hone no. ➤ (PH) ☐ OPR	For Tax Departs	nent use oni	,	
)	signature (if joint return)	Date A	Area code ()	# 2500	fede	ral anedis	1
ild prep	arer's signature	D	Date		for	enal enedit	exp
all to:	Office of State Tax Commissioner, State Capital	pte-Not do	Man for sollar	× .14	trai	vislates to	á
	Boulevard Avenue, Bismarck, ND 58505-055	50 Aset	Man for collar	* 350	\$ 3	50 state	fax
		tax.	<u> </u>		cre	edit	

37

Long form individual income tax return

2000

For 2000 CALENDA	AR YEAR, or other tax year beginning		, 2000, and ending		20	YIM	PORTANT Y			
k label	Your first name and initial			Last name			social security number			
	If Joint return, spouse's first name	and initial	1	Last name		Spouse's	s social security number			
If you do not have a label,	Mulling address						enter your SSN(s) abovictions on page 5.			
print or type City, town or post office, state and Zip Code					Were you required to pay estimated federal Yes					
Filing status:		Married filli	ng Joint 3. $\square M$	Sarried filing so	eparate	income t	ax for 2000? No			
							Check only if applicable: (See instructions on page 6)			
School district of	ode: Incom	ne source code:	(See Insti	ructions on pag	(e 6)	Amended	Extension [
	omputation schedule	***************************************				<u> </u>				
I. North Dal	kota taxable income from line 32	, Schedule 2 (res	ldent) or line 29, Sche	dule 3 (nonresi	dent)	(A)	45,129 00			
	kota income tax: Use Tax Rate						3,600 66			
	income tax paid to another state contributions to nonprofit priva					3_				
	contributions to nonprofit priva	•		* ' /		4				
	r care insurance credit					5				
	edits (Identify)					- 7				
	cota venture capital corporation					8				
	cota Small Business Investment					9				
	development corporation inves					10				
	business seed capital investment			· 1						
	ability (Line 2 less lines 3 throu									
	ota income tax withheld (Attacl					13				
	nated tax paid plus overpaymen					14				
	nents (Add lines 13 and 14)									
16. Overpayn	nent (<i>If line 15 is greater than li</i> 21.)	ne 12, subtract lii	ne 12 from line 15. If	line 12 is great	ter than line 15,					
	The 16 you wish to apply to ye					17				
18. Amount of	line 16 you wish to contribute t	o Nongame Wild	llife Fund	(1	P)	18				
19. Amount of	line 16 you wish to contribute	to Centennial Tr	ee Trust Fund		0)	19				
20. Refund (L	ine 16 less lines 17, 18, and 19) f line 12 is greater than line 15,	If less than \$5,	enter -0							
	n \$5, enter -0			******************		. (Z) 21				
22. Voluntary	contribution to Nongame Wildli	fe Fund (<i>Not allo</i>	wed if line 21 is -0-)	(11	_!)	22	······································			
23. Voluntary	contribution to Centennial Tree	Trust Fund (Not	allowed if line 21 is -t	0-) (11	Ε)	23				
24. Balance di	se (Line 21 plus lines 22, 23 an	d, if applicable, i	25) Pay to: State Tax	Commissione	r	24				
	underpaid estimated tax, if any,			(10	C)	25	**************************************			
	a complete copy of your 200 Office of State Tax Commis			ilevard Avenu	e Biemerck ND	EREAE AE				
l declare under the permatter, that this return	enalties of North Dakota Century Con, including any accompanying school Privacy Act Information, se	ode § 12.1-11-02, vedules and statemen	which provides for a Clar nts, has been examined t	ss A misdemean	or for making a false	statement i	n a governmental			
	TITTACY ACT INIUI HEACTOIL, SE									
our signature		Date	Your daytime phone no. ➤ (PH)	Pald preparer's	s signatur e		Date			
pouse's signature (if	Joint return)	Date	Area code ()	□ OPR	For Tax Departme	ent use only				
3,000 8 1,000 8 8,000 15 15,000 25 25,000 35	over Your tax is: ,000	2.67% of the 2.67% of the 3.7% of the amount 7% of the amount 7% of the amount 3% of the amount 7% of the amount 7% of the amount 7% of the amount 7%.	l over \$ 3,000 Cover 5,000 over 8,000 over 15,000 over 25,000				37			

2000 Schedule 2 Computation of taxable income for resident filer using Form 37 (Long Form)

	Comparation of taxable modifie for resident files dainy roths of (Long roths)					
A	Federal adjusted gross Income from line 33. Form 1040 or line 19, Form 1040A or line 4. Form 1040EZ, or line 1, TeleFile Tax Record		· (H)	٨	75,000	er e
	Federal adjusted gross income from line 33. Form 1040 or line 19, Form 1040A or line 4. Form 1040EZ, or line 1, TeleFile Tax Record. Federal taxable income from line 39, Form 1040, or line 25, Form 1040A, or line 6, Form 1040EZ, or line K, TeleFile Tax Record.		(I)	1	53,800	00
	North Dakota additions					
2	Interest from state and local government obligations (except North Dakota)(J)	And the second s		2	ļ	
3.	State and local income taxes from line 5, Schedule A, Form 1040 [However, see					
	instructions if line A above exceeds \$128,950 (\$64,475, if married filing separate)] (L)	78 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		3		
4.	Other (See instructions) (Attach supporting statement)			싀		-1
5.	Total additions (Add lines 2 through 4)		(M)	.5	0	
6.	Balance (Add lines 1 and 5)			6	53,800	00
	North Dakota subtractions		т		ı	
7.	Interest from U.S. obligations(N)			7		
8.	Military pay exclusion(O)			8		
	Additional military pay exclusion(2F)		Ì	9		
10.				10		
11.	Income from a federal civil service pension, a North Dakota city firefighter or		i	, , }		
10	police officer pension, or the North Dakota highway patrol pension fund(Q)			11		
12.	Interest income from sale of land to a qualifying beginning farmer			12		<i>.</i>
	Gain from sale of land to a qualifying beginning farmer			14		(
		** *** * * ****************************				٠,
15.	Interest income from North Dakota financial institutions		4	15		
				16		
	Rental income from leasing a business to a qualifying beginning businessman (2M)			17		
18.	Gain from sale of a business to a qualifying beginning businessman(2N)			18		
19.	North Dakota domestic dividend exclusion(P)			19		
20.	Exclusion for investment in North Dakota venture capital corporation(2A)			20)		
21.	Medical expenses not allowed due to 7.5% of adjusted gross income limitation					
	(Enter smaller of line 1 or line 3, Schedule A, Form 1040)			21		
22.	Additional exemption amount: If your Filing status (at top of Form 37) is Married					
	filing joint or Head of household or Qualifying widow(er), enter \$300	300 0		22		
23.	Other (See instructions) (Attach supporting statement) (T)	2,500 0	0	23		·
24.	Total deductions (Add lines 7 through 23)		ເຫຼ	24	2,800	00
25	Ralanca (Subtract time 34 from time 6)				•	10
25. 26.	Balance (Subtract line 24 from line 6)			25	51,000	00
27.	production and the second seco	5,871 0	01	26		•
					2	1, .,
46.	If an entry was made on lines 7 through 19, or line 23, see the instructions for the amount to enter on this	4				
	line. Otherwise, enter amount from line 26 on line 31,					
	and go to line 32(X) 28		v.	7		
	Subtract line 28 from line 27	k i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
	North Dakota income ratio (Divide line 29 by line 27 and round to nearest two decimal places.					(
	If line 29 equals line 27, enter 1.00)			30		
31.	Federal income tax-deduction (Multiply line 26 by line 30)		(Y)	31	5,871	00
32,	North Dakota taxable income (Subtract line 31 from line 25). Enter here and on line 1, Tax Computation Schedule, Form 37)- 	,,	45.129	00

Senate Committee on Finance and Taxation Information on Senate Bill No. 2252 January 31, 2001

Chairman Urlacher and members of the Senate Finance and Taxation Committee; my name is Julie Hoffman, Administrator of Adoption Services for the ND Department of Human Services. I have been asked to provide information in reference to SB 2252, a bill that provides for increases in the income tax credit for adoption. I am sorry that I was not able to be in attendance at the hearing this past Monday.

In Fiscal Year 1999, there were 346 children adopted in North Dakota. Of this number, 190 were non-agency adoptions (step parent or relative adoptions that did not require child placing agency involvement) and the rest (156) were agency adoptions. Of the agency adoptions – 72 were adoptions of infants, 20 were adoptions of foreign born children, 3 were relative adoptions and 59 were adoptions of children with special needs. Of these agency adoptions, it is likely that those who adopt infants and foreign-born children would be the most likely to access the adoption tax credits available to them.

In explanation: the costs to families who adopt children with special needs are minimal and most often are reimbursed by the state through adoption subsidies. Most of the costs for the adoption of these children are funded through federal, state and county dollars and are provided through contract with private licensed child placing agencies. Children with special needs are those children who are being adopted out of the foster care system and who 1) are over the age of 5 years, 2) are of minority race, 3) are part of a sibling group being placed together for adoption or 4) have a physical, mental or emotional disability. Many of the children who qualify as children with special needs for the purposes of adoption meet more than one of these criteria. Our special needs adoption program (AASK – Adults Adopting Special Kids) is currently working with over one

hundred such children for whom we are seeking to provide a permanent adoptive home.

Families who adopt infants through the child placing agencies, through an identified adoption or who adopt foreign born children will likely make use of tax credits due to the costs associated with those adoptions. These families will have costs related to the fees of the child placing agencies (and perhaps more than one agency if they are adopting a child from another state), legal fees, and travel fees associated with the placement.

I hope this provides clarification for the questions posed by the committee. If I can be of further assistance you may contact me at 328-4865 or sohofj@state.nd.us.

SB 2252 House Finance and Tax Committee Chairman Carlson March 13, 2001

Mr. Chairman and members of the House Finance and Tax Committee for the record my name is Aaron Krauter, State Senator from District 35.

Adoption is a very special process to many people in North Dakota. Especially to those parents who at times have not been able to conceive children through the natural childbirth way. Adoption is also special to a new born child or a four years old child to come into a loving family that will nurture and care for them through their younger years.

Adoptions come under two basic categories: non-agency adoptions and agency adoptions. The non-agency adoptions are usually between relatives or step parents and do not require involvement of a child placing agency. The agency adoptions usually receive assistance from an adoption agency like Catholic Family Services, Lutheran Social Services or the AASK program. Of these adoptions some are infants, some are foreign born children and some are special needs. The special needs children adoption costs are reimbursed through federal, state and county dollars. So those that are adopting infants and foreign-born children have the high non-reimbursable cost.

This gets us to reason for SB 2252. In 1985, the \$1,000 child adoption credit was enacted on the long form. Since then the cost of adoption have increased dramatically. Adoption costs were around \$1,000 to \$2,000 and the credit seemed appropriate for 1985 standards. Today, those cost are now in excess of \$8,000 for infants and up to \$20,000 for foreign country and other state infant adoptions.

SB 2252 raises the deduction from \$1000 to \$1750 on the long form and also add\$ the \$1750 to the short form. We have heard many timeshow many residents of North Dakota use the short form and this would give them the new \$1750 credit.

Thank you Chairman Carlson and committee members and I will respond to any questions.

Senate Appropriations Committee Information on Senate Bill No. 2252 February 19, 2001

Chairman Nething and members of the Senate Appropriations Committee; my name is Julie Hoffman, Administrator of Adoption Services for the ND Department of Human Services. I am providing information in reference to SB 2252; a bill that provides for increases in the income tax credit for adoption. The Department is neutral in its stance regarding this bill.

In Fiscal Year 1999, there were 346 children adopted in North Dakota. Of this number, 190 were non-agency adoptions (step parent or relative adoptions that did not require child placing agency involvement) and the rest (156) were agency adoptions. Of the agency adoptions – 72 were adoptions of infants, 20 were adoptions of foreign born children, 3 were relative adoptions and 59 were adoptions of children with special needs. Of these agency adoptions, it is likely that those who adopt infants and foreign-born children would be the most likely to access the adoption tax credits available to them. Thus the amendments to SB 2252 seem to eliminate the provision of tax credits to those families who are most likely to use them.

In explanation: the costs to families who adopt children with special needs are minimal and most often are reimbursed by the state through adoption subsidies. Most of the costs for the adoption of these children are funded through federal, state and county dollars and are provided through contract with private licensed child placing agencies. Children with special needs are those children who are being adopted out of the foster care system and who 1) are over the age of 5 years, 2) are of minority race, 3) are part of a sibling group being placed together for adoption or 4) have a physical, mental or emotional disability. Many of the children who qualify as children with special needs for the purposes of adoption meet more than one of these criteria.

Families who adopt infants through the child placing agencies, through an identified adoption or who adopt foreign born children will likely make use of tax credits due to the costs associated with those adoptions. These families will have costs related to the fees of the child placing agencies (and perhaps more than one agency if they are adopting a child from another state), legal fees, and travel fees associated with the placement. The in state adoption of an infant can cost a family in excess of \$8,000, with adoptions of infants from foreign countries and other states going as high as \$15,000 to \$20,000.

Thank you for your time. I would be happy to answer any questions you might have. If I can be of further assistance you may contact me at 328-48-5 or sohofi@state.nd.us.

House Committee on Finance and Taxation Senate Bill No. 2252 March 13, 2001

Chairman Carlson and members of the House Finance and Taxation Committee; my name is Julie Hoffman, Administrator of Adoption Services for the ND Department of Human Services. I have been asked to provide information in reference to SB 2252, a bill that provides for an increase in the income tax credit for adoption.

There have been a number of amendments to this bill, but as it currently stands, an adoption tax credit of \$1750, would be available to all families in the tax year in which they legally finalize their adoption. It also allows them to carry forward for five years any unused portion of the tax credit.

In Fiscal Year 1999, there were 346 children adopted in North Dakota. Of this number, 190 were non-agency adoptions (step parent or relative adoptions that did not require child placing agency involvement) and the rest (156) were agency adoptions. Of the agency adoptions – 72 were adoptions of infants, 20 were adoptions of foreign born children, 3 were relative adoptions and 59 were adoptions of children with special needs. Of these agency adoptions, it is likely that those who adopt infants and foreign-born children would be the most likely to access the adoption tax credits available to them.

In explanation: the costs to families who adopt children with special needs are minimal and most often are reimbursed by the state through adoption subsidies. Most of the costs for the adoption of these children are funded through federal, state and county dollars and are provided through contract with private licensed child placing agencies. Children with special needs are those children who are being adopted out of the foster care system and who 1) are over the age of 5 years, 2) are of minority race, 3) are part of a sibling group being placed together for adoption or 4) have a physical, mental or emotional disability. Many of the

children who qualify as children with special needs for the purposes of adoption meet more than one of these criteria. Our special needs adoption program (AASK – Adults Adopting Special Kids) is currently working with over one hundred such children for whom we are seeking to provide a permanent adoptive home.

Families who adopt infants through the child placing agencies, through an identified adoption or who adopt foreign born children will likely make use of tax credits due to the costs associated with those adoptions. These families will have costs related to the fees of the child placing agencies (and perhaps more than one agency if they are adopting a child from another state), legal fees, and travel fees associated with the placement. The in state adoption of an infant can cost a family in excess of \$8,000, with adoptions of infants from foreign countries and other states costing as much as \$15,000 to \$20,000.

I would be happy to answer any questions the committee has at this time. If I can be of further assistance you may contact me at 328-48-5 or sohofi@state.nd.us.