

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council
01/23/2001

Bill/Resolution No.: SB 2312

Amendment to:

1A. **State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	1999-2001 Biennium		2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues				\$717,113		\$704,704
Expenditures				\$70,000		
Appropriations			(\$647,113)	\$70,000	(\$704,704)	

1B. **County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

1999-2001 Biennium			2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
			\$1,016,535			\$1,067,733		

2. **Narrative:** *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

This bill would change the guidelines used to determine the expected contribution of child support by a parent to an income shares child support guidelines model, and would also include consideration of temporary periods of increased and decreased income. If this model is used, it is estimated the RCSEUs would incur costs for an additional 9 FTEs and operating costs (currently about 18% of salary costs), resulting in additional retained funds for the Department of Human Services. Costs would also be incurred by DHS to re-program FACSES.

The Supreme Court anticipates they would incur costs for the consideration of temporary changes in income, but were not able to determine the fiscal impact. These costs stem from the necessity of court involvement in proceedings to modify orders.

3. **State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. **Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

The RCSEUs increase in expenditures would cause the Department of Human Services to realize an increase in retained dollars based upon the SWAP legislation passed in the 1997 Legislative Session. The amount would be 66% of the increased county costs for the RCSEUs.

The Department of Human Services would also receive federal funds of \$46,200 which is 66% of the \$70,000 of expenditures they would incur.

B. Expenditures: *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

The Department of Human Services would incur \$70,000 in operating costs to re-program FACSES to support the income shares child support guidelines model.

The Supreme Court anticipates they would incur costs for the consideration of temporary changes in income, but were not able to determine the fiscal impact. These costs stem from the necessity of court involvement in proceedings to modify orders.

C. Appropriations: *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.*

The Department of Human Services would need an additional \$70,000 of appropriation authority in the 01-03 biennium for the expenditures in 3B. above.

The increase in retained dollars of \$647,113 for the 01-03 biennium and \$704,704 for the 03-05 biennium would replace General Funds.

Name: Brenda M. Weisz
Phone Number: 701-328-2397

Agency: Dept. of Human Services
Date 01/26/2001
Prepared: