

# FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council  
12/14/2000

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1036

Amendment to:

**1A. State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

|                       | 1999-2001 Biennium |             | 2001-2003 Biennium |             | 2003-2005 Biennium |             |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
|                       | General Fund       | Other Funds | General Fund       | Other Funds | General Fund       | Other Funds |
| <b>Revenues</b>       |                    |             |                    | \$716,859   |                    | \$617,320   |
| <b>Expenditures</b>   |                    |             | \$374,737          | \$716,859   | \$266,332          | \$617,320   |
| <b>Appropriations</b> |                    |             | \$374,737          | \$716,859   | \$266,332          | \$617,320   |

**1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

| 1999-2001 Biennium |        |                  | 2001-2003 Biennium |        |                  | 2003-2005 Biennium |        |                  |
|--------------------|--------|------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------|
| Counties           | Cities | School Districts | Counties           | Cities | School Districts | Counties           | Cities | School Districts |
|                    |        |                  | \$11,000           |        |                  | \$5,400            |        |                  |

**2. Narrative:** *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

This bill would allow pregnant women and minors to remain on Medicaid for up to two months longer than is currently allowed, as eligibility would be determined on a quarterly basis, instead of monthly. There will be additional costs associated with the development of policies, rules, training of county staff and the computer system enhancements needed to track this specific group of recipients.

Workload of county staff would be increased as some families would contain members reviewed on a monthly basis and other members reviewed on a quarterly basis. It is unknown what additional cost, if any would be realized by the counties due to the increased workload. The counties would also incur training costs estimated to be \$11,000 in the 2001-2003 biennium.

**3. State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

**A. Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

The cost of enhancing this service if federally allowable and therefore federal revenue would be available in the amounts reflected above.

**B. Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

It is estimated the change in eligibility determination will effect 5,503 recipients and grant costs will increase by \$832,596 in the 2001-2003 biennium, of which \$245,237 is general funds.

The operating costs associated with training county staff and computer enhancements are estimated to cost \$259,000 in the 2001-2003 biennium, of which \$129,500 is general funds. Computer enhancements and continued training costs are estimated to be \$14,000 in the 2003-2005 biennium.

**C. Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.*

The Department of Human Services Executive Budget **does not** include the appropriation authority to implement the provisions of this bill.

**Name:** Brenda M. Weisz  
**Phone Number:** 328-2398

**Agency:** Department of Human Services  
**Date** 12/21/2000  
**Prepared:**