

MICROFILM DIVIDER

OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION

SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M



ROLL NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

1199

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

Date

10/2/03

2003 HOUSE INDUSTRY, BUSINESS AND LABOR

HB 1199

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Diana Hall
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1199

Industry, Business & Labor Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 1-22-03

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	x		0-31.1
Committee Clerk Signature <i>Jody Reink</i>			

Minutes: Chairman Keiser: called the meeting to order on HB 1199. All committee members were present.

Representative Ekstrom, District 11: was present and gave a written testimony in favor of HB 1199. **(SEE ATTACHED TESTIMONY).**

Representative Kasper: What would this department do, it appears to me that it is sort of like a recruiting or marketing division, do you have some ideas that you think that it ought to be doing that it is not doing now.

Representative Ekstrom A: I do, needs more work.

Representative Johnson: Did you check to see if Wyoming or any other state convention have some kind of division in their commerce department or economic development department.

Representative Ekstrom A: No I did not.

Representative Froseth: This bill also overstrikes quite a bit of language of present statute can you briefly explain why the deletion of several lines.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Dorinda Baller
Operator's Signature

1/22/03
Date

Page 2
Industry, Business & Labor Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB 1199
Hearing Date 1-22-03

Representative Ekstrom A: I will ask LC why they did that, I think they were cleaning up the area, I won't call it housekeeping but I believe that is what they were doing.

Representative Froseth: I would like some clarification.

Representative Gulleson: was present and wanted to lend her support and voice to this concept of the division of population growth. All of my comments are centered around the population projection book that was put out by the ND data center. We fall behind every state in the union on population growth. My greatest concern is that when you look at the states surrounding us and that they see real growth and we are left behind the last few years of the economic growth of the nation.

Representative Tieman: was present and gave testimony on HB 1199. My district is considered very much rural, I stand in front of you this morning in support of 1199, which would create a divisional population growth within the department of commerce. I believe that this is the kind of legislation that we really need. We need to think outside the box. Among its many provisions it would offer tax incentives for those willing to relocate to the great plain states like ND.

I believe that HB 1199 is a significant step to create solutions to problems in ND.

Jack McDonald, NDNASS: appeared in support of HB 1199. The ND Newspaper Association did participate back in 1915 and some of the original activities to try to increase population of ND. Over the past several years, some of the newspapers in the state have participated in various economic growth, initiatives on their own. The NDNA would like to stay in support of any effort to try and increase population in the state.

Marilyn Foss, ND Bankers Association: appeared in support of the bill and wants to bring more focus to the issue and bring economic growth.

Page 3
Industry, Business & Labor Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB 1199
Hearing Date 1-22-03

Greg Tschider, ND Credit Union League: I would like to say me to, for the comments made to far, credit unions of ND are extremely concerned and support any bill that would benefit the state.

Don Forsberg, Vice-President, ICB of ND: appeared in support of the bill, how do we bring the people in to fill the jobs that are already here?

Pat Ward, Lobbyist: I'm here not as a lobbyist but as a citizen of ND, when I was 24 I moved to ND, I feel very strongly that ND is a great place to live, and we don't sell ourselves enough. And I do think that an investment of 230,000 dollars to get people to move here and get educated here, is a small investment.

Dale Anderson, GNDA: appeared in support of the bill, 2 years ago we were discussing if ND should create a ND Department of Commerce, and out of that deliberation, you created that department. You also created a foundation and charged that foundation with putting together a strategic plan and that group has done that. HB 1199 sends a strong message to the Dept. of Commerce as well as to the Secretary, and urge a Do Pass on HB 1199.

No opposition present on the bill. Hearing closed.

Chairman Keiser called for committee work on HB 1199 Wednesday afternoon. Chairman Keiser stated that he supports the concepts promulgated within this but knows that Appropriation won't fund this legislation. He suggested that a subcommittee (Rep. Ekstrom, Severson & Tieman) work with the Lee Peterson and the Department of Commerce to work out a a fiscal note.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Danna G. Baller
Operator's Signature

1/22/03
Date

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1199

House Industry, Business and Labor Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 2/4/03

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
3		x	14.5-29.0
Committee Clerk Signature <i>Judith Hammer</i>			

Minutes: Chairman Kelser called for committee work on HB 1199.

Rep. Ekstrom: We tried to rescued this by increasing the cigarette tax \$.01 which could produce \$860,000 in revenue per biennium. We're using the second fiscal note that was prepared.

Rep. Ekstrom moved to adopt the amendments. Rep. Zaiser seconded the motion. A voice vote was inconclusive. Results of the roll call vote were: 6-8-0. The motion failed.

Rep. Severson moved a Do Not Pass.

Rep. Klein seconded the motion.

The results of the roll call vote were 10-4-0.

Rep. Klein will carry this on the floor.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Deanna Hall
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

FISCAL NOTE
Requested by Legislative Council
01/28/2003

REVISION

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1199

1A. State fiscal effect: *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005 Biennium		2005-2007 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues						
Expenditures			\$170,960		\$170,960	
Appropriations						

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium			2005-2007 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts

2. Narrative: *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

Section 2 which establishes the Division of Population Growth within the Department of Commerce.

3. State fiscal effect detail: *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. Revenues: *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

B. Expenditures: *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

Program Manager Salary: \$48,000 per year

Program Manager Fringe Benefits: \$12,480 per year

Estimated Operating Costs: \$25,000 per year

Total \$85,480 per year or

\$170,960 per biennium

The fringe benefits are calculated at the average Department of Commerce rate of 26%. Estimated operating costs include space rent, travel expenses, office furniture, computer workstations, telephone service, IT networking costs and supplies.

C. Appropriations: *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on*

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

Date

the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Paul Govig	Agency:	Department of Commerce
Phone Number:	328-4499	Date Prepared:	01/28/2003

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Donna Ballantyne
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

FISCAL NOTE
Requested by Legislative Council
01/09/2003

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1199

1A. State fiscal effect: *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005 Biennium		2005-2007 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues						
Expenditures			\$231,450		\$231,450	
Appropriations						

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium			2005-2007 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts

2. Narrative: *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

Section 2 which establishes the Division of Population Growth within the Department of Commerce.

3. State fiscal effect detail: *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. Revenues: *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

B. Expenditures: *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

Division Director Salary: \$50,000 per year
Division Director Fringe Benefits: \$13,000 per year
Admin. Assistant Salary: \$22,000 per year
Admin. Assistant Fringe Benefits: \$ 5,725 per year
Estimated Operating Costs: \$25,000 per year
Total \$115,725 per year or

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

Date


\$231,450 per biennium

The estimated salary for the division manager is based on the low end for division managers due to the relative size of the division compared to the existing divisions within the Department of Commerce. The fringe benefits are calculated at the average Department of Commerce rate of 26%. Estimated operating costs include space rent, travel expenses, office furniture, computer workstations, telephone service, IT networking costs and supplies.

C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Paul Govig	Agency:	Department of Commerce
Phone Number:	328-4499	Date Prepared:	01/14/2003

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.


Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

30256.0101
Title.

Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for
Representative Ekstrom
February 4, 2003

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1199

Page 1, line 3, replace "section" with "sections" and after "54-60-02" insert "and 57-36-06"

Page 1, line 4, after "growth" insert "and the rate of tax on the sale of cigarettes" and remove
"and"

Page 1, line 5, after "study" insert "; and to provide an effective date"

Page 2, after line 18, insert:

"SECTION 3. AMENDMENT. Section 57-36-06 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

57-36-06. Cigarettes - Amount of tax. There are levied and assessed, and there must be collected and paid to the state tax commissioner, upon all cigarettes sold in this state, the following excise taxes, payment thereof of which is to be made prior to the time of before the sale and delivery thereof of such cigarettes:

1. Class A. On cigarettes weighing not more than three pounds [1360.78 grams] per thousand, ~~five~~ seven mills on each such cigarette.
2. Class B. On cigarettes weighing more than three pounds [1360.78 grams] per thousand, ~~five~~ seven and one-half mills on each such cigarette."

Page 2, after line 23, insert:

"SECTION 5. EFFECTIVE DATE. Section 3 of this Act is effective for taxable events occurring after June 30, 2003."

Renumber accordingly

Deanna Hall
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

Date: 2/4/03
Roll Call Vote #: 1 Voice Vote

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1122

House Industry, Business & Labor Committee

☐ Check here for Conference Committee

Legislative Council Amendment Number _____

Action Taken Do Pass on Adopted Amendment

Motion Made By _____ Seconded By _____

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Kelser		✓	Rep.Boe		✓
Rep.Severson, Vice-Chair	✓		Rep.Ekstrom	✓	
Rep.Dosch	✓		Rep.Thorpe	✓	
Rep. Froseth		✓	Rep. Zaiser	✓	
Rep. Johnson		✓			
Rep.Kasper		✓			
Rep. Klein		✓			
Rep. Nottlestad	✓				
Rep. Ruby		✓			
Rep.Tieman		✓			

Total (Yes) 6 No 8

Absent 0

Floor Assignment _____

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

Motion fails

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Dan Hall
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

Date: 2/4/03
Roll Call Vote #: 1

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1102

House Industry, Business & Labor Committee

☐ Check here for Conference Committee

Legislative Council Amendment Number _____

Action Taken Do Not Pass

Motion Made By Severson Seconded By Klein

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Kelser	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Rep.Boe	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rep.Severson, Vice-Chair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Rep.Ekstrom	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rep.Dosch	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Rep.Thorpe	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rep. Froseth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Rep. Zaiser	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rep. Johnson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Rep.Kasper	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Rep. Klein	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Rep. Nottlestad	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Rep. Ruby	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Rep.Tieman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			

Total (Yes) 10 No 4

Absent 0

Floor Assignment Klein

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410)
February 5, 2003 10:19 a.m.

Module No: HR-22-1689
Carrier: M. Klein
Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE
HB 1199: Industry, Business and Labor Committee (Rep. Kelsor, Chairman)
recommends **DO NOT PASS** (10 YEAS, 4 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING).
HB 1199 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

(2) DESK, (3) COMM

Page No. 1

HR-22-1689

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Deanna Hall
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

2003 TESTIMONY

HB 1199

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Deanna Hall
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

1199



Representative Mary Ekstrom
District 11
1450 River Road South
Fargo, ND 58103-4325

NORTH DAKOTA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

STATE CAPITOL
600 EAST BOULEVARD
BISMARCK, ND 58505-0360



COMMITTEES:
Industry, Business
and Labor
Political Subdivisions

North Dakota House of Representatives
Industry Business and Labor Committee
George Keiser, Chairman
HB 1199 / Division of Population Growth
January 22, 2003

Good Morning Chairman Keiser and members of the IBL Committee. For the record, my name is Representative Mary Ekstrom and I serve District 11 in Fargo.

I am here to introduce for your consideration HB 1199 which creates a Division of Population Growth under the Department of Commerce.

You may wonder why someone from Fargo which grew by 19% in the last census period would care about population growth. For that matter Cass County with 123,138 people is fully 44% larger than the second largest county in North Dakota (Burleigh). It is a question of long term sustainability. West Acres and all of the other amenities that Fargo has to offer will not thrive if North Dakota's population does not grow.

In the past few years while serving on Administrative Rules, IBL and the Political Subdivisions committees I have listened to the problems that beset our state. You have also heard that insurance companies are leaving, schools are consolidating, and townships face difficulties in maintaining their roads and other essential services and the list goes on and on. You, on this committee understand the problems of our state, as well as, or better than I do.

Last May, I convened a bipartisan group of like minded legislators to discuss the future of North Dakota. One member of the panel came up with a comment that has stayed with me. *"We simply do not have enough 'per capitas' to sustain all the services that must be provided for our citizens."*

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

Dan Hall

Date

10/2/03

We focussed in the past on economic development and job creation - almost entirely. These efforts are important and must continue. However, I believe that there is another component: people. I have heard over and over again of economic development plans which have failed because we do not have enough people to fill the available jobs. We heard from Job Service last week that 1200 tractor trailers remain idle for want of drivers. Our effort must be a two pronged approach.

It involves marketing, entrepreneur development, asset assessments, and faith. In North Dakota self promotion is considered a sin and bragging about your state is almost sacrilegious. I understand the roots of the philosophy but as leaders we must tell our citizens that self promotion will have a positive impact on their future.

I watched the Saving North Dakota program the other night and have read the continuing series in the Fargo Forum. There are people, even young people, who want to continue to work for North Dakota's future. They represent a resource for the new Division of Population Growth, to talk about what makes people stay, what would bring more people, and what do we need to do.

I also attended the excellent population conference at Dickinson State last year where authorities from all over the country gathered to discuss the population problems of the state and region. Again, here is a source of excellent information to help drive public policy.

I don't want to load you down with statistics and demographic trends. If you will look, however, at this chart in your packet. This was produced by the North Dakota League of Cities. They were recalculating state aid distribution and had to create a new category "A-1" for Fargo in order to level the playing field for all of the other cities.

More alarming, however, are the comparisons in growth from 1990 to 2002 of the second, third and fourth tier cities. Class B includes Dickinson, Jamestown, Mandan, Williston and West Fargo. All of the western cities except Mandan lost population. Moving down to the Class C cities (population 6,000 to 8,500) Wahpeton, Devils Lake, and Valley City all lost population.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Dorinda G. Ball
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

Of the 39 cities classified as Class D with populations of 1000 to 4500 people, only 7 gained in population while the other 32 lost. The downward trend grows steeper as the cities grow smaller.

We know about this crisis. This committee represents the whole state. Representatives Kasper, Zaiser and I are from Fargo with 90,599 people. On the other hand, Representative Tracy Boe is from Mylo with a population of 19. We see the fallout from this lack of critical mass in almost everything we try to do as legislators.

It is not acceptable for the states around us to grow while we lag behind. I have included a table from the U.S. Census Bureau. You can see that Minnesota, Montana, South Dakota and Wyoming all grew far more than we did in the last ten years.

Ever since I ran for office for the first time in 1996, I have worn a small buffalo on a chain. I have had some people ask me why. Others assume I wear it because I attended NDSU. This small icon goes with me everyday to remind me to work on growing the state, or North Dakota will indeed become a Buffalo Commons. I have brought a small reminder for each of you as well.

In closing, I would respectfully request a DO PASS recommendation for HB 1199. Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to present this information. I will try to answer any questions the committee may have.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Dan H. H. H.
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

In percentage terms, the population increase of 13.2 percent for the 1990s was higher than the growth rates of 9.8 percent for the 1980s and 11.4 percent for the 1970s. The 1990s growth rate was similar to the 13.4 percent growth in the 1960s and was well below the 18.4 percent growth for the 1950s.

West grew fastest in the 1990s; South reached 100 million.

Population growth varied significantly by region in the 1990s, with higher rates in the West (19.7 percent) and South (17.3 percent) and much lower rates in the Midwest (7.9 percent) and Northeast (5.5 percent).¹ The West increased by 10.4 million to reach 63.2 million people, while the South grew by 14.8 million to a population of 100.2 million people. The Midwest gained 4.7 million to reach 64.4 million people, and the Northeast's increase of 2.8 million brought it to 53.6 million people.

Because of differences in growth rates, the regional shares of the total population have shifted considerably in recent decades. Between 1950 and 2000, the South's share of the population increased from 31 to 36 percent and the West increased from 13 to 22 percent. Meanwhile, despite overall population growth in each of the past five decades, the Midwest's share of total population fell from 29 to 23 percent and the

¹ The Northeast region includes Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont. The Midwest includes Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. The South includes Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia. The West includes Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

**Table 1.
U.S. Population Change for Regions, States, and Puerto Rico: 1990 to 2000**

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/p164-171.pdf)

Area	Population		Change, 1990 to 2000	
	April 1, 1990	April 1, 2000	Number	Percent
United States	248,786,873	281,421,998	32,712,835	13.2
Region				
Northeast	50,808,228	53,584,378	2,786,148	5.5
Midwest	59,688,832	64,392,778	4,724,144	7.9
South	88,445,930	100,236,820	14,790,890	17.3
West	52,786,882	63,197,932	10,411,050	19.7
State				
Alabama	4,040,587	4,447,100	406,513	10.1
Alaska	550,043	628,832	78,889	14.0
Arizona	3,685,228	5,130,632	1,465,404	40.0
Arkansas	2,360,726	2,873,400	322,678	13.7
California	29,780,021	33,871,848	4,111,827	13.8
Colorado	3,294,394	4,301,281	1,006,887	30.6
Connecticut	3,287,116	3,405,588	118,449	3.6
Delaware	688,188	783,800	117,432	17.6
District of Columbia	606,800	572,088	-34,841	-5.7
Florida	12,837,828	15,982,378	3,044,452	23.5
Georgia	6,478,218	8,188,453	1,708,237	26.4
Hawaii	1,108,229	1,211,537	103,308	9.3
Idaho	1,008,748	1,283,983	287,204	28.5
Illinois	11,430,802	12,419,293	988,991	8.6
Indiana	5,844,188	6,080,486	236,298	4.0
Iowa	2,778,755	2,928,324	149,569	5.4
Kansas	2,477,574	2,688,418	210,844	8.5
Kentucky	3,685,288	4,041,789	356,473	9.7
Louisiana	4,219,873	4,468,978	249,003	5.9
Maine	1,227,828	1,274,823	46,995	3.8
Maryland	4,781,488	5,268,486	515,018	10.8
Massachusetts	6,018,425	6,349,097	332,672	5.5
Michigan	9,298,287	9,938,444	643,147	6.9
Minnesota	4,375,888	4,918,478	544,389	12.4
Mississippi	2,573,216	2,844,868	271,442	10.5
Missouri	5,117,073	5,598,211	478,138	9.3
Montana	788,048	908,188	120,140	15.2
Nebraska	1,578,388	1,711,283	132,895	8.4
Nevada	1,201,833	1,998,287	796,454	66.3
New Hampshire	1,108,282	1,235,788	126,534	11.4
New Jersey	7,730,188	8,414,380	684,182	8.9
New Mexico	1,515,089	1,819,048	303,977	20.1
New York	17,980,485	18,978,487	998,002	5.5
North Carolina	6,828,837	8,049,313	1,420,878	21.4
North Dakota	638,888	642,288	3,400	0.5
Ohio	10,847,118	11,353,140	506,022	4.7
Oklahoma	3,145,585	3,450,684	305,099	9.7
Oregon	2,842,321	3,421,388	579,078	20.4
Pennsylvania	11,881,643	12,281,054	399,411	3.4
Rhode Island	1,003,484	1,048,319	44,835	4.5
South Carolina	3,486,703	4,012,012	525,309	15.1
South Dakota	688,088	754,844	66,756	9.7
Tennessee	4,877,185	5,680,283	812,098	16.7
Texas	16,988,510	20,851,820	3,865,310	22.8
Utah	1,722,850	2,233,189	510,319	29.6
Vermont	582,788	608,827	26,039	4.5
Virginia	6,187,358	7,078,515	891,157	14.4
Washington	4,886,882	5,894,121	1,027,429	21.1
West Virginia	1,793,477	1,808,344	14,867	0.8
Wisconsin	4,881,789	5,363,875	471,986	9.6
Wyoming	483,588	493,788	10,200	2.1
Puerto Rico	3,522,037	3,808,610	286,573	8.1

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000; 1990 Census, Population and Housing Unit Counts, United States (1990 CPH-2-1).

House Bill No. 1025
North Dakota League of Cities

HB1199(2)

CITY	Pop 1990	Pop Code	Pop 2002	New Code
Fargo	74111	A	90599	A-1*
Grand Forks	49425	A	49321	A
Bismarck	49256	A	55532	A
Minot	34544	A	36567	A
Dickinson	16097	B	16010	B
Jamestown	15571	B	15527	B
Mandan	15177	B	16718	B
Williston	13131	B	12512	B
West Fargo	12267	B	14940	B
Wahpeton	9135	C	8586	C
Devils Lake	7782	C	7222	C
Valley City	7163	C	6826	C
Grafton	4840	D	4516	D
Beulah	3363	D	3162	D
Rugby	2909	D	2909	D
Hazen	2818	D	2457	D
Bottineau	2598	D	2336	D
Carrington	2267	D	2268	D
Harvey	2263	D	1989	D
Langdon	2241	D	2101	D
Lisbon	2177	D	2292	D
Mayville	2092	D	1953	D
Ellendale	1798	D	1569	D
Watford City	1784	D	1435	D
Oakes	1775	D	1979	D
Bowman	1741	D	1600	D
Park River	1725	D	1636	D
New Rockford	1604	D	1463	D
Casselton	1601	D	1855	D
Hettinger	1574	D	1307	D
Cando	1564	D	1342	D
Garrison	1530	D	1318	D
Cavalier	1508	D	1537	D
Washburn	1506	D	1389	D
Hillsboro	1488	D	1563	D
Larimore	1464	D	1433	D
Linton	1410	D	1321	D
New Town	1388	D	1367	D
Stanley	1371	D	1279	D
Crosby	1312	D	1089	D
Rolla	1288	D	1417	D
Toga	1278	D	1125	D
Cooperstown	1247	D	1053	D
Kenmare	1214	D	1081	D
Beach	1205	D	1116	D
Wishek	1171	D	1122	D
Northwood	1166	D	959	E*
Lincoln	1132	D	1730	D

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Deanna Ball
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

House Bill No. 1025
North Dakota League of Cities

CITY	Pop 1990	Pop Code	Pop 2002	New Code
Walhalla	1131	D	1057	D
Ashley	1052	D	882	E*
Hankinson	1038	D	1058	D
Mott	1019	D	808	E*
Enderlin	997	E	947	E
Burlington	995	E	1096	D*
Underwood	976	E	812	E
LaMoure	970	E	944	E
Velva	968	E	1049	D*
Drayton	961	E	913	E
Parshall	943	E	981	E
Mohall	931	E	812	E
Thompson	930	E	1006	D*
Napoleon	930	E	857	E
Glen Ullin	927	E	865	E
New Salem	909	E	938	E
Lakota	898	E	781	E
Hebron	888	E	803	E
Belfield	887	E	666	E
Surrey	856	E	917	E
Center	826	E	678	E
Hatton	800	E	707	E
Lidgerwood	799	E	738	E
Elgin	765	E	659	E
Steele	762	E	761	E
Wilton	728	E	807	E
Dunseith	723	E	739	E
Killdeer	722	E	713	E
Mapleton	682	E	606	E
Turtle Lake	681	E	580	E
Edgeley	680	E	637	E
Towner	669	E	574	E
New England	663	E	555	E
Horace	662	E	915	E
Fessenden	655	E	625	E
Milnor	651	E	711	E
Pembina	642	E	642	E
Richardton	625	E	619	E
Rolette	623	E	538	E
Ray	603	E	534	E
Portland	602	E	604	E
Harwood	590	E	607	E
Forman	586	E	506	E
Gwinner	585	E	717	E
Westhope	578	E	533	E
Kindred	569	E	614	E
Minto	560	E	657	E
Maddock	559	E	498	E

Page 2

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Dorena H. Hall
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

House Bill No. 1025
North Dakota League of Cities

CITY	Pop 1990	Pop Code	Pop 2002	New Code
McVille	559	E	470	F*
Strasburg	553	E	549	E
Finley	543	E	515	E
Leeds	542	E	464	F*
Stanton	517	E	345	F*
Kulm	514	E	422	F*
Wyndmere	501	E	533	E
Bowbells	498	F	406	F
McClusky	492	F	415	F
Emerado	483	F	510	E*
Gackle	450	F	335	F
St. Thomas	444	F	447	F
Glenburn	439	F	374	F
Necha	434	F	437	F
Fairmont	427	F	406	F
Michigan	413	F	345	F
Berthold	409	F	466	F
Powers Lake	408	F	309	F
Minnewaukan	401	F	318	F
Arthur	400	F	402	F
Medina	387	F	335	F
Carson	383	F	319	F
St. Johns	368	F	358	F
Drake	361	F	322	F
Buxton	343	F	350	F
Hunter	341	F	326	F
Manvel	333	F	370	F
Edmore	329	F	256	F
New Leipzig	326	F	274	F
South Heart	322	F	307	F
Sawyer	319	F	377	F
Flasher	317	F	285	F
Aneta	314	F	284	F
Leonard	310	F	255	F
Munich	310	F	268	F
Hoople	310	F	292	F
Max	301	F	278	F
Reynolds	299	F	350	F
Fordville	299	F	266	F
Scranton	294	F	304	F
Halliday	288	F	227	F
Zap	287	F	231	F
Sherwood	286	F	255	F
Edinburg	284	F	252	F
Riverdale	283	F	273	F
Willow City	281	F	221	F
Hope	281	F	303	F
Anamoose	277	F	282	F

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Deanna Halliday
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

House Bill No. 1025
North Dakota League of Cities

CITY	Pop 1990	Pop Code	Pop 2002	New Code
Wimbledon	275	F	237	F
Sheyenne	272	F	318	F
Regent	268	F	211	F
Page	266	F	225	F
Gilby	262	F	243	F
Grenora	261	F	202	F
Reeder	252	F	181	G*
Abercrombie	252	F	296	F
Lansford	249	F	253	F
Adams	248	F	203	F
Lignite	242	F	174	G*
Selfridge	242	F	223	F
Hazleton	240	F	237	F
Tappen	239	F	210	F
Golden Valley	239	F	183	G*
Granville	236	F	286	F
Tower City	233	F	252	F
Binford	233	F	201	F
Noonan	231	F	154	G*
Tolna	230	F	202	F
Bisbee	227	F	167	G*
Gladstone	224	F	248	F
Columbus	223	F	151	G*
Rock Lake	221	F	194	G*
Petersburg	219	F	195	G*
Davenport	218	F	261	F
Frontier	218	F	273	F
Alexander	216	F	217	F
Des Lacs	216	F	209	F
Osnabrock	214	F	174	G*
Grandin	213	F	181	G*
Rutland	212	F	220	F
Reiles Acres	210	F	254	F
Wing	208	F	124	G*
Litchville	205	F	191	G*
Upham	205	F	155	G*
Buffalo	204	F	209	F
Hannaford	204	F	181	G*
Pick City	203	F	166	G*
Crystal	199	G	167	G
Zeeland	197	G	141	G
Starkweather	197	G	157	G
Esmond	196	G	159	G
Bowdon	196	G	139	G
Plaza	193	G	167	G
Mooreton	193	G	204	F*
Wildrose	193	G	129	G
Portal	192	G	131	G

Page 4

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Dorinda Hall
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

House Bill No. 1025
North Dakota League of Cities

CITY	Pop 1990	Pop Code	Pop 2002	New Code
Goodrich	192	G	163	G
Lehr	191	G	114	G
Kensal	191	G	161	G
Rhame	186	G	169	G
Cogswell	184	G	165	G
Fort Yates	183	G	228	F*
Walcott	178	G	189	G
Carpio	178	G	148	G
Marion	169	G	146	G
Sykeston	167	G	153	G
Sanborn	164	G	194	G
Taylor	163	G	150	G
Argusville	161	G	147	G
Streeter	161	G	172	G
Galesburg	161	G	157	G
Tuttle	160	G	106	G
Lankin	152	G	131	G
Sheldon	149	G	135	G
Forest River	148	G	154	G
Crary	145	G	149	G
Makoti	145	G	145	G
Marmarth	144	G	140	G
Karlsruhe	143	G	119	G
Christine	140	G	153	G
Fingal	138	G	133	G
Dodge	135	G	125	G
Mountain	134	G	133	G
Milton	133	G	85	G
Pisek	130	G	96	G
Dazey	128	G	91	G
Butte	129	G	92	G
Dunn Center	128	G	122	G
Havana	124	G	84	G
Maxbass	123	G	91	G
Arnegard	122	G	105	G
Flaxton	121	G	73	G
Cleveland	121	G	112	G
Ryder	121	G	92	G
Sharon	119	G	109	G
Glenfield	118	G	134	G
Churchs Ferry	118	G	77	G
Almont	117	G	89	G
Martin	117	G	96	G
Alsen	113	G	68	G
Fort Ransom	111	G	70	G
Hague	109	G	91	G
Grace City	108	G	71	G
Great Bend	108	G	118	G

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Deanna Hallmark
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

House Bill No. 1025
North Dakota League of Cities

CITY	Pop 1990	Pop Code	Pop 2002	New Code
Donnybrook	106	G	90	G
Newburg	104	G	88	G
Mercer	104	G	86	G
Oriska	103	G	128	G
Oberon	103	G	81	G
Verona	103	G	108	G
Egeland	103	G	49	G
Woodworth	102	G	80	G
Medora	101	G	100	G
Golva	101	G	106	G
Pekin	101	G	80	G
Oxbow	100	G	248	F*
Deering	99	G	118	G
Souris	97	G	83	G
Inkster	95	G	102	G
Palermo	95	G	77	G
Fullerton	94	G	85	G
Pettibone	93	G	88	G
Douglas	93	G	84	G
Solen	92	G	86	G
Hurdsfield	92	G	91	G
Hampden	89	G	60	G
Brianwood	88	G	78	G
Coleharbor	88	G	106	G
Robinson	87	G	71	G
Sarles	86	G	25	G
Gardner	85	G	80	G
McHenry	85	G	71	G
Jud	84	G	76	G
Dwight	83	G	75	G
Armenia	82	G	89	G
Montpelier	82	G	103	G
Brocket	81	G	65	G
Warwick	80	G	75	G
Colfax	80	G	91	G
Sentinel Butte	79	G	62	G
Balta	79	G	73	G
Tolley	79	G	63	G
Barney	79	G	69	G
Dawson	78	G	75	G
Mantador	77	G	71	G
Fairdale	76	G	51	G
Bathgate	75	G	66	G
Antler	74	G	47	G
Hamilton	74	G	73	G
Niagara	73	G	57	G
White Earth	73	G	63	G
Kathryn	72	G	63	G

Page 6

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Deanna Baller
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

House Bill No. 1025
North Dakota League of Cities

CITY	Pop 1990	Pop Code	Pop 2002	New Code
Courtenay	70	G	53	G
Rogers	69	G	61	G
Alamo	69	G	51	G
North River	68	G	65	G
Nome	67	G	70	G
Fredonia	66	G	51	G
Hensel	64	G	42	G
Epping	64	G	79	G
Nekoma	63	G	51	G
Voltaire	63	G	51	G
Lawton	63	G	42	G
Alice	62	G	56	G
Ross	61	G	48	G
Pingree	61	G	66	G
Spiritwood Lake	61	G	72	G
Cayuga	60	G	61	G
Forbes	58	G	64	G
Braddock	58	G	43	G
Wolford	58	G	50	G
Cathay	54	G	56	G
Monango	53	G	28	G
Fortuna	53	G	31	G
Dickey	53	G	57	G
Benedict	52	G	53	G
Kramer	51	G	44	G
Regan	51	G	43	G
Clifford	51	G	51	G
Prairie Rose	49	G	66	G
Hannah	49	G	20	G
Ardoch	49	G	61	G
Wales	48	G	30	G
Ambrose	48	G	23	G
Knox	45	G	59	G
Calo	43	G	24	G
Leith	43	G	28	G
Sibley	41	G	46	G
Gardena	41	G	38	G
Ludden	41	G	29	G
Luverne	41	G	44	G
Buchanan	40	G	77	G
Landa	38	G	28	G
Haynes	37	G	19	G
Leal	35	G	36	G
York	35	G	26	G
Balfour	33	G	20	G
Berlin	32	G	35	G
Elliot	32	G	44	G
Pillsbury	31	G	24	G

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Deanna Hallmark
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date

House Bill No. 1025
North Dakota League of Cities

CITY	Pop 1990	Pop Code	Pop 2002	New Code
Venturia	30	G	23	G
Springbrook	29	G	26	G
Calvin	27	G	26	G
Loma	27	G	21	G
Larson	26	G	17	G
Overly	25	G	19	G
Kief	24	G	13	G
Barton	24	G	0	x*
Amidon	24	G	26	G
Conway	24	G	23	G
Bucyrus	22	G	26	G
Gascoyne	22	G	23	G
Perth	22	G	13	G
Brinsmade	21	G	29	G
Mylo	20	G	19	G
Hansboro	20	G	8	G
Ayr	19	G	23	G
Hamberg	19	G	26	G
Bantry	18	G	19	G
Lorraine	15	G	19	G
Russell	14	G	0	x*
Bergen	12	G	11	G
Maza	12	G	0	x*
Rawson	9	G	0	x*
Grano	9	G	9	G
Ruso	8	G	6	G
Hove Mobile Prk	2	G	0	x*
TOTALS	450051		467772	
* city in new population category				

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Deanna Hall
Operator's Signature

10/2/03
Date