

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and uere filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature





2003 HOUSE NATURAL RESOURCES
HB 1202

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. MOYICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

10/2/03

ally ratio

#### 2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

#### **BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1202**

House Natural Resources Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 23, 2003

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #	
2	X		264-end	
		x	0-2367	

Minutes:

Rep. Porter introduced HB 1202 relating to eligibility to participate in the deer proof hay yard

program. (See Attached Testimony).

Rep. Solberg: How would this program be policed?

Rep. Porter: They were operating this way prior to last session.

Rep. Klein: What about no hunting signs? Can I put up no hunting signs and still receive the

fence?

Rep. Porter: My understanding is that the program was not based on access.

Rep. Nottestad: What about someone who rents their land to a fee hunting operation?

Rep. Porter: I am not sure how game and fish would handle that?

Operator's Signature

Chair Nelson: This issue received a thorough hearing last session. The idea behind this was to foster better relations between hunters and landowners. This program has only one year of practical application.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets atomdards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

<u> 19103</u>

Page 2
House Natural Resources Committee
Bill/Resolution Number 1202
Hearing Date January 23, 2003

Rep. Porter: I think this bill was a slap in the face to sportsman. It did not foster better relations between both sides. It in fact was a dividing force.

Chair Nelson: This same issue was brought to us in Judiciary B. We can do little in regards to federal lands. This is one program that can mitigate the depravation problem. They have no management abilities. They only have the problems.

Rep. Porter: I disagree. The depravation problems in the park are a problem. There are also landowners around the park planting grasses and crops to lure the elk to their property so they can charge more.

Rep. Drovdal: Alfalfa was planted to feed cattle. What is compensation mean in terms of this bill.

Rep. Porter: I refer that to game and fish.

Mike Donahue: United Sportsman of North Dakota. (See Attached Testimony).

Chair Nelson: If there is a fee hunting operation by a landowner on a portion of the land to support losses due to the deer population?

Mike Donahue: We could pay for half the fence. It is about the principal of the thing.

Harold Neumeyer: Cass County Wildlife Club. Testified in support of HB 1202. (See

Attached Testimony).

Greg Link: North Dakota Game and Fish Department. Testified in support of HB 1202. (See

Attached Testimony).

Rep. Johnson: How many hay yards have been constructed.

Greg Link: There are 3 using the fee hunting method.

Chair Nelson: Did this program foster a better relationship between landowners and staff?

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microffining and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. MOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

Page 3
House Natural Resources Committee
Bill/Resolution Number 1202
Hearing Date January 23, 2003

Greg Link: For the landowner it was.

Chair Nelson: This program has cost only \$30,000 a year to fix chronic problems.

Greg Link: That is immaterial fee hunters are able to use this program to create density. That is against our goals.

Chair Nelson: If there is a fee hunting operation by a landowner on a portion of the land to support losses due to the deer population?

Greg Link: We can find every example. There usually is a distance factor.

Rep. DeKrey: This is an agency with money in reserve. There are only 3 applications. This has to be worth good landowner-hunter relations.

Greg Link: We are here to provide the service.

Rep. Porter: This has a fiscal note of \$15,000 how much access would that purchase.

Greg Link: We average \$3-\$5 per acre.

Rep. Porter: This would be about 5,000 acres.

Chair Nelson: Do you think all the funds for depravation should be spent on access?

Greg Link: We spend some on both.

Wade Mouser: North Dakota Stockman's Association. Testified against the bill. We have a lot

of problems to work out. We need to move this out of the legislature.

Rep. Porter (4646): I'm all for compromise, but this is no compromise. What would you

suggest we do?

Wade Mouser: If you are a licenses guide or outfitter you would be unable to qualify.

Dennis Miller: From District 15 in north eastern North Dakota spoke in opposition to HD 1202.

Because of the lack of personal mitigation to solve these problems.

Operator's Signature

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming with were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archivel microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Page 4 House Natural Resources Committee Bill/Resolution Number 1202 Hearing Date January 23, 2003

Rep. Clark: Do you think the sportsman have a right to be concerned that there fees are paying someone who does not allow hunting?

**Dennis Miller:** It is not a major part of this issue.

Brian Kramer: North Dakota Farm Bureau. Testified against HB 1202. Cited examples of multiple ranches.

(600) Chair Nelson Closes the hearing.

Rep. Clark moves a do pass Seconded by Rep. Norland.

Rep. Drovedal: Said he could not support the bill unless he knew the definition of compensation. Chair Nelson: This issue is about more than just a deer proof hay yard.

Rep. Keiser: This is a fairness issue. It is a cost of doing business when you are charging for the right to hunt on the land. There are bigger issues to solve. If we cannot solve this one we will never solve the bigger issues.

Rep. Klein: Access will be shut down in this state if this goes through.

Rep. Nottestad: This is not worth going to war over.

**Rep. DeKrey:** This is only \$6,000 it is not worth going to war over.

The motion for a do pass failed 4-9-1.

Rep. Drovedal made a motion to recommend a do not pass on HB 1202. Seconded by Rep.

**DeKrey.** The motion passed 9-4-1.

Adjourned.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for Erchival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

esamonstra, dan sekan sekkezar perkasasan metas nebili. Den ali merkali den digi Dobski lada, pen film kelebih berbis pen ali dengan berbis pendagan berbis pe

#### FISCAL NOTE Requested by Legislative Council 01/09/2003

BHI/Resolution No.:

**HB 1202** 

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-2003 Biennium		2003-200	5 Biennium	2005-2007 Blennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues						
Expenditures				(\$15,000)		(\$15,000
Appropriations						

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

200	2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium			2005-2007 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

It is estimated that 6 deer proof hay yards are provided for fee hunting operations each biennium. These cost about \$2500 each.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
  - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.
  - B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

The total cost of the six hay yards is \$15,000.

C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Paul Schadewald	Agency:	ND Game and Fish Dept.
Phone Number:	328-6328	Date Prepared:	01/10/2003

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. MOYICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the days many below different delivered. document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

ાં લેવાનું વ્યવસાય કે તેવું માનું માનું માનું જો માનું જો માનું જો છે.

Date: 1/23/03 Roll Call Vote #: /

## 2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1702

House House Natural Resources				Committe		
Check here for Conference Cor	nmittee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nu	mber					
Action Taken <u>Recommend</u>						
Motion Made By		Seco	nded By Norland			
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No	
Chairman Jon O. Nelson		V				
Vice-Chairman Todd Porter	V,					
Rep. Byron Clark	V					
Rep. Duane DeKrey		V				
Rep. David Drovdal		V				
Rep. Lyle Hanson						
Rep. Bob Hunskor		V				
Rep. Dennis Johnson						
Rep. George Keiser						
Rep. Scott Kelsh	V					
Rep. Frank Klein						
Rep. Mike Norland		V				
Rep. Darrell Nottestad						
Rep. Dorvan Solberg		1/				
Total (Yes)		No	9			
Absent						
loor Assignment						
f the vote is on an amendment, brief	ly indicat	e intent:				

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and users filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute users filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute users filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute users filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute users filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute users filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute users filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute users for the course of business.

men ann an maineach a namhainn an tagh an tagh an tagh de tagh airthe airth an tagh an an de tagh an tagh airth

Date: 1/27/03
Roll Call Vote #: 2

## 2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1202

House House Natural Resources								
Check here for Conference C	Committee							
Legislative Council Amendment	Number							
Action Taken Do 1	etion Taken Do Not  otion Made By Drovdah		1955					
Motion Made By Drovd	ahl	Secon	nded By De Krey	****				
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No			
Chairman Jon O. Nelson	V							
Vice-Chairman Todd Porter		1/						
Rep. Byron Clark		V						
Rep. Duane DeKrey		,						
Rep. David Drovdal				→ —				
Rep. Lyle Hanson								
Rep. Bob Hunskor	- 1//							
Rep. Dennis Johnson	1							
Rep. George Keiser		V/						
Rep. Scott Kelsh		V						
Rep. Frank Klein								
Rep. Mike Norland	1//							
Rep. Darrell Nottestad	/							
Rep. Dorvan Solberg	V							
Total (Yes)		No _	4					
Absent	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				·			
Floor Assignment De Kre	<del>}</del> _	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
If the vote is on an amendment, bri	efly indicate	e intent:						

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and more filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. MOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

The summand of the su

Connection Statement

**REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410)** January 27, 2003 8:36 a.m.

Module No: HR-15-1091 **Carrier: DeKrey** Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1202: Natural Resources Committee (Rep. Nelson, Chairman) recommends DO NOT PASS (9 YEAS, 4 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1202 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

(2) DESK, (3) COMM

Page No. 1

HR-15-1091

The micrographic images on this film are occurate repreductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and ware filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image shove is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

2003 TESTIMONY HB 1202

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfflming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process mets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

10/2/03

(Lizza)

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE REPRESENTATIVE JON NELSON, CHAIRMAN JANUARY 23, 2003

## TESTIMONY BY REPRESENTATIVE TODD PORTER

#### IN SUPPORT OF testimony 1202

Chairman Nelson and members of the Natural Resources Committee my name is Todd Porter, Representative from District 34 in Mandan. I stand before you in favor of HB 1202.

HB 1202 reverses previous legislation that would allow a fee hunting operation access to game and fish funds to build a deer proof hay yard. Prior to 2001 only owner/operators that were not in the business of hunting were allowed access to these funds.

There will be further testimony in favor of this bill along with examples of fee hunting operations that now qualify for these funds even though they sell deer hunts in excess of \$2000.00.

I think it is the wrong message to the hunters of this state to use their money to further enhance a fee hunting operation.

I would be more than happy to answer any questions the committee may have.

Thank you

The state of the s

Operator's Signature

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Matern Information instead for microfilms and were filmed in the requier course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

#### TESTIMONY REFERENCING HB 1202 By Mike Donahue, Lobbyist #215 January 23. 2003

#### **House Natural Resources Committee**

United Sportsmen of North Dakota and the N.D. Wildlife Federation support HB 1202 and urge a Do Pass.

In the 2001 session, language was put into the N.D.C.C. to permit commercial hunting operations to apply for and get a free fence.

We objected. We did not want license fee dollars subsidizing a commercial hunt.

Attached is a current advertisement (a link to Cabela's web site) that is pleased to be next to a refuge. Yet, in 2000-2001 the constituent complained (locally) that the deer from the refuge were a big problem. Cake and eat it too -a similar advertisement was provided to the Agriculture Committees in 2001 but we were unable to sway them.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. HOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Doe nou Hallach

aroman amoraniamente da la coloria de la

Log In Register Why Register?

Page 1 of 2

- CHA

Hunding - Etshing - Court

Pandoor Adventures

Home

Jan 22, 2003

In the Field

Customer Service

Abou

identerast

All Products

Advanted Semith

Shop by Catalog Item #

Outdoor Adventures @

OA Home About QA QA Staff

Trip Cancellations

NA Big Game

Moose Caribou Mule Deer Antelope Sheep Bear Goats Lions Exotics Whitetalls

Wolf

Store

Y 0 Items in your Cart

Home > In The Field > Hunting/Fishing Trips > North American Big Game: Whitetails You are her

**Outdoor Adventure** 

Trophy Whitetall Bowhunt

North Dakota Trophy Whitetail Bowhunt

Over the past three years, this outfitter has produced 95% success on mature whitetail bucks for our archery hunters. This unique situation will excite any avid bowhunter, especially if you are interested in a great value for a big buck. The hunt takes place on over 5,000 acres that border a National Wildlife Refuge. The best part is that the property has been intensively managed the past six years for trophy hunting. The dear population here is incredible, with a great percentage of mature bucks. This could be your best bet for arrowing an outstanding buck, coupled with some down-home hospitality. In 2001, we had ten hunters take seven bucks. Call today, only 10 hunts available in 2002. Physical Requirement Level=2

> One or two landowner tags available each year for rifle hunting, 5 days, \$3,995.



Wingshooting

Canada Waterfowl Arkansas Pheasants and Quall Old Mexico and Texas Africa: South America Wild Turkey

Neoraska Waterfowl

Dates: September 3 through December 31; 5-day hunts

\$2,495

Includes:

Rates:

Airport pick-up, lodging, meals, 2x1 guide service, in-field transportation, trophy

delivery to processor.

Transportation:

Fly to Minot, ND, where a representative will meet your flight.

Licenses

Purchased upon arrival, \$170.

More W

Fishing

Alaska Trout Flats & Tarpon Carjadian Lodges Sass Billfishing

Int'l Big Game

Africa South Africa Asia South Pacific South America Russia



Order your Cabela's Outdoor Adventures Catalogs today!



To contact Cabela's Outdoor Adventures please call 1-800-346-8747, or email us at outdoor.adventures@cabelas.com

http://www.cabelas.com/cabelas/en/templates/community/inthefield/trips/trips-listing-display... 1/22/03

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.







# Cass County WILDLIFE CLUB

Box 336 Casselton, ND 58012



# TESTIMONY OF HAROLD NEAMEYER CASS COUNTY WILDLIFE CLUB PRESENTED TO THE HOUSE NATURAL RESOURCE COMMITTEE ON HB 1202, JANUARY 23, 2003

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

I am Harold Neameyer speaking on behalf of the Cass County Wildlife Club. The Cass County Wildlife Club strongly supports HB 1202 that would make landowners <u>not</u> eligible for hay yard programs if they charge for hunting.

This current law allows for a double dip benefit for these landowners. Hunting is the best-used method of managing deer herds. Charging probably reduces the number of hunters who hunt these problem areas and thus increases depredation.

We urge support of HB 1202.



The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and user filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. MOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.



Data





100 NORTH BISMARCK EXPRESSWAY BISMARCK, NORTH DAKOTA 58601-5085 PHONE 701-328-6300 FAX 701-328-6352

#### TESTIMONY RELATED TO HB1202: DEERPROOF HAY YARD PROGRAM HOUSE NATURAL RESOURCE COMMITTEE **JANUARY 23, 2003**

The North Dakota Game and Fish Department has had a program since 1979 to help private livestock and feed producers prevent, minimize, and alleviate damage to their feed supplies caused by big game animals. The program has been very successful accomplishing these objectives using both short- and long-term methods to address these wildlife/producer conflicts. In short-term cases, the Department provides the producer with technical support, scaring/hazing devices, repellents, and temporary fencing to remedy the situation. In chronic or re-occurring cases, permanent deer-proof hay yards are prescribed as a long-term, cost-effective solution.

Hay yards have been determined to be the most economical and effective tool to prevent significant future losses to producers experiencing repeated depredation, as well as reducing future expenditures by the Department. As a remedy for these situations, the Department provides to the producer all the materials necessary for a deer-proof yard if he/she agrees to construct and maintain the fence. The standard deer-proof hay yard averages 2.5 acres in size, is over 7 feet in height, and costs approximately \$2,000 per yard. The Department has provided close to 350 hay yards to approximately 300 landowners since 1979. The depredation program, including both short- and long-term solutions, routinely incurs expenses of \$150,000 annually and expenditures have reached as high as \$1 million in extremely harsh winters. The entire depredation program, including the hay yard program, is funded solely with sportsmen-generated dollars.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the

Operator's Signature

document being filmed.

HB 1202 mandates landowners involved in a fee-hunting operation shall be ineligible to participate in the Department's deer-proof hay yard program. The Department believes this is a reasonable and responsible restriction for the following reasons:

- The success of a big game fee-hunting operation directly benefits from maintaining a high local big game population. Therefore, these operations support, encourage, and often attract high local population densities, which can significantly contribute to the depredation problems on the respective property, as well as on neighboring land.
- In an effort to meet the clientele demand for animals of preferred gender and
  quality, fee-hunting operations focus harvests toward select trophy animals,
  rather than a broad non-selective harvest necessary for population management.
- Adequate total herd harvests, as prescribed by the Department to reduce high densities and likelihood of future depredation in an area, often conflict with the objectives of fee-hunting operations and their clientele.
- Providing depredation assistance to fee-hunting operations using sportsmengenerated funds gives sportsmen the perception that their dollars are subsidizing a free enterprise business that should be capable of financing itself.
- Other laws dealing with similar funds in the Department's private lands program, such as NDCC sec. 20.1-02-27 subsection 3, do not allow the Director to "structure a program in a manner that provides assistance to a private landowner who charges a fee for hunting access to private land that is enrolled in the program".

Because the goals and objectives of businesses providing fee-hunting are not consistent with those of the hay yard program, the Department supports a DO PASS on HB1202.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

19/5/03

### Deer-Proof Hay Yards 1979-2003




Years No. of Hay Yards No. of Landowners

1979-2003 349 318

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

10/2/03



The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the negular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

19/5/03