

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

Date

2003 BENATE INDUSTRY, BUSINESS AND TARGE

SB 2308

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

40

101aa 103

ate

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2308

Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 02-05-03

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	XXXX		0
Committee Clerk Signs	iture Jusallan	Bellon	

Minutes: Chairman Mutch opened the hearing on SB 2308. All Senators were present.

SB 2308 is a bill for an Act to amend and reenact subsection 1 of section 39-16.1-09 and subsection 1 of 39-16.1-19 of the North Dakota Century Code, relating to proof of insurance.

Senator Tallackson introduced the bill.

Testimony in support of SB 2308

Keith Magnusson, North Dakota Department of Transportation, also spoke in support of the bill. He explained that the bill would allow a \$1.00 (one dollar) fee for electronic filing. It would also change the filing time from one year to three years.

He states that this would be beneficial for everyone. The Department, the insurance agencies, as well as the driver because their claims would get in quicker.

Senator Klein: Would it be a hassle to go back to the three year filing time?

Kelth: Not if we could do it electronically.

Senator Mutch: Is the cost of this electronic equipment quite excessive?

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (AMRI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the draument being filmed.

and the second s

Page 2 Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee Bill/Resolution Number 2308 Hearing Date 02-05-03

Senator Mutch: Is there a way you can provide this service without charging a dollar?

Keith: The fiscal note is 51,000 dollars, however the dollar charge that.

Senator Krebsbach: So could we sunset this bill in 2-4 years?

Keith: That's correct. We don't need to collect anymore money than what we would need to

cover the initial cost.

Senator Heitkamp: How do you go about collecting the dollar?

Keith: We add it to the monthly statement.

Lynn Hunert, Department of Transportation, interjected to answer committee questions.

(meter no. 940)

There was no opposing testimony.

HARLING THE PROPERTY OF THE PR

Hearing closed. No action taken at this time.

The micrographic images (a) this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the design of the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the design of the filmed. document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

10/22/03

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2308

Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 02-11-03

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1		xxx	4300
	<u> </u>		
Committee Clerk Signatu	robinal bu	Berton	

Minutes: Senator Mutch opened the committee discussion on SB 2308. All Senators were present.

SB 2308 relates to proof of insurance.

Senator Espegard proposed several informal amendments.

Chairman Mutch requested that he have the amendments prepared and presented at the next

hearing.

Senator Krebsbach suggests a sunset of 2-3 years.

The committee adopts amendments.

Senator Krebsbach moves to AMEND. Senator Every seconds.

Roll Call Vote: 7 yes. 0 no. 0 absent.

Senator Espegard moved to AMEND. Senator Klein seconded.

Roll Call Vote: 7 yes. 0 no. 0 absent.

Senator Espegard moved a DO NOT PASS AS AMENDED. Senator Heitkamp seconded.

Roll Call Vote: 5 yes. 2 no. 0 absent.

makan mengan mengangkangkan mengankan di bang mengan di pendan di pendangkan di pendangkan di pendangkan di pe

Operator's Signature

The micrographie images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and user filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

10/99/03

Date

Page 2
Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee
Bill/Resolution Number 2308
Hearing Date 02-11-03

Carrier: Senator Espegard.

The micrographie images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfitable and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

10/29/03

ate

FISCAL NOTE Requested by Legislative Council 03/12/2003

Amendment to:

SB 2308

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to

funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-200	3 Biennium	2003-200	5 Biennium	2005-200	7 Biennium
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues				\$104,400		\$104,400
Expenditures				\$51,381		\$4,000
Appropriations						

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

2001-2003 Biennium			2003	3-2005 Bieni	nlum	2005-2007 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts
				<u>`</u>				

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

With passage of SB2308, insurance companies can file clients' SR-22 & SR-26 filings electronically with the Drivers License and Traffic Safety Division of the NDDOT, for a nominal fee of \$1.50 per filing.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For Information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

Based on 1,200 cancellations and 1,700 SR-22 filings per month (total of 2,900 transactions), increased revenue of \$104,400 may be realized during the 2003-2005 biennium.

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

The DOT expects to incur initial, one-time computer programming coats of approximately \$47,381. In addition, we expect to incur approximately \$4,000 per blennlum in on-going system maintenance costs.

C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

The estimated expenditures were not included in the Department's budget request or the related iT plan. The DOT would need additional appropriation authority of \$51,381 for the '03-'05 blennium.

Name:	Marsha Lembke	Agency:	NDDOT
Phone Number:	328-4865	Date Prepared:	03/12/2003

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

ator's Signature

10(83)

Date

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council 02/14/2003

Amendment to:

SB 2308

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to

funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-2003	Biennlum	2003-200	5 Biennium	2005-200	7 Biennium
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues				\$34,800		\$1,450
Expenditures				\$51,381		\$4,000
Appropriations						<u> </u>

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

2001-2003 Biennium		2003	-2005 Bien	nlum	200	5-2007 Bieni	nlum	
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
								1

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

With passage of SB2308, insurance companies can file clients' SR-22 & SR-26 fillings electronically to the Drivers License and Traffic Safety Division of the NDDOT, for a fee of \$1 per filling. This bill was amended to include a start date (on or after effective date) and sunset clause (July 31, 2005).

3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:

A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

The DOT processes an approximate average of 1,200 cancellations and 1,700 SR-22/26 filings per month (total of 2,900 transactions). If 50% of the filings are filed electronically the first biennium, at a \$1 fee per filing the DOT would realize revenue of \$34,800 for the 03-05 biennium. During the 2005-2007 biennium, only one month (July 2005) is represented for revenue because of the sunset clause.

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

The DOT expects to incur initial, one-time computer programming costs of approximately \$47,381. In addition, we expect to incur approximately \$4,000 per biennium in on-going system maintenance costs.

C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Shannon Sauer	Agency:	NDDOT
Phone Number:	328-4375	Date Prepared:	02/19/2003

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

10/22/03

ate

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council 01/23/2003

Bill/Resolution No.:

SB 2308

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to

funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-200	3 Biennium	2003-200	5 Biennium	2005-200	7 Biennium
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues				\$34,800		\$52,200
Expenditures				\$51,381		\$4,000
Appropriations						

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

200°	2001-2003 Blennlum			3-2005 Blenr	ilum	2005-2007 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

With passage of SB2308, insurance companies can file clients' SR-22 & SR-26 fillings electronically to the Drivers License and Traffic Safety Division of the NDDOT, for a fee of \$1 per filling.

State fiscal effect detail: For Information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:

A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

The DOT processes an approximate average of 1,200 cancellations and 1,700 SR-22/26 filings per month (total of 2,900 transactions). If 50% of the filings are filed electronically the first biennium, and 75% are filed electronically the second blennium, at a \$1 fee per filing the DOT would realize revenue of \$34,800 and \$52,200 for the 03-05 and 05-07 blennlums respectively.

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

The DOT expects to incur initial, one-time computer programming costs of approximately \$47,381. In addition, we expect to incur approximately \$4,000 per blennium in on-going system maintenance costs.

C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Shannon L. Sauer	Agency:	NDDOT
Phone Number:	328-4375	Date Prepared:	01/28/2003

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

30660.0101 Title.0200 Adopted by the Industry, Business and Labor Committee

February 11, 2003

تصارح

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 2308

Page 1, line 2, after "insurance" insert "; to provide for application; and to provide an expiration date"

Page 2, after line 7, insert:

"SECTION 3. APPLICATION. Section 2 of this Act applies to proof of responsibility required on or after the effective date of this Act.

SECTION 4. EXPIRATION DATE. Section 1 of this Act is effective through July 31, 2005, and after that date is ineffective."

Renumber accordingly

Page No. 1

30660.0101

The micrographie images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Denne Hally

idaalo

Date

Date: 2-11-03
Roll Call Vote #: 1

Legislative Council Amendment No	umber (suns	et #1 see to July.	31, 200	<u></u>
Action Taken DO HME Motion Made By Krebsba	.ch	Sec	conded By Every		
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	1
Sen.Jerry Klein, Vice Chairman	12				L
Sen. Karen Krebsbach					┡
Sen. Dave Nething Sen. Joel Heitkamp	12				┝
Sen.Mike Every	$\frac{1}{x}$				<u> </u>
Sen. Duane Espegard	TX -				
Sen. Duane Mutch, Chairman	X			 	
	_				
	-{				
			\cap		سالين
otal (Yes)		No	<u></u>		
thsent O					
loor Assignment					
the vote is on an amendment, brief	ly indicate	e intent:			

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Nodern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for erchival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

10/99/03

Date: 211-03
Roll Call Vote #: Z

enate		SOLUTIO	2308	Comr	mitte
Check here for Conference Co	mınitt ce				
egislative Council Amendment N		Cost w	ole antien		
egislative Council Amendment N	umber <u>l</u>	VIOL 11	Gro-Uctive)		
action Taken Do Amer	old				
fotion Made By Espegaro		Secon	ded By Klein		
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Sen.Jerry Klein, Vice Chairman	_X_				
Sen.Karen Krebsbach	-X				
Sen. Dave Nething	13-				
Sen. Joel Heitkamp	 \$ -				
Sen Dyana Fanagard	₩				
Sen. Duane Espegard Sen. Duane Mutch, Chairman	 				
Sen. Dualle Milien, Chairman					
					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
9			<u>س</u>		
otal (Yes)		No _(
bsent (
					-
oor Assignment					
	fly indicat				

The micrographie images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and there filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

The second secon

Date: 241-03 Roll Call Vote #: 3

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. Committee Senate Check here for Conference Committee Legislative Council Amendment Number Not Pass As Amended Action Taken Seconded By Helkamp Motion Made By Espegard Yes Senators No Senators Yes Sen.Jerry Klein, Vice Chairman Sen.Karen Krebsbach Sen. Dave Nething Sen. Joel Heitkamp Sen.Mike Every Sen. Duane Espegard Sen. Duane Mutch, Chairman Total Absent Floor Assignment Espegaro

The micrographie images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming when the filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

10/22/03

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) February 13, 2003 2:21 p.m.

Module No: SR-28-2620 Carrier: Espegard

Insert LC: 30660.0101 Title: .0200

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2308: Industry, Business and Labor Committee (Sen. Mutch, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO NOT PASS (5 YEAS, 2 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2308 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 2, after "insurance" insert "; to provide for application; and to provide an expiration date"

Page 2, after line 7, insert:

"SECTION 3. APPLICATION. Section 2 of this Act applies to proof of responsibility required on or after the effective date of this Act.

SECTION 4. EXPIRATION DATE. Section 1 of this Act is effective through July 31, 2005, and after that date is ineffective."

Renumber accordingly

(2) DESK, (3) COMM

Page No. 1

SR-28-2620

The micrographie images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. MOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Denna Plally Storeture

THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

10/22/03

Date: 4-02-05
Roll Call Vote #:

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO.

Senate			230	Com	mittee
Check here for Conference Co	mmittee				
Legislative Council Amendment Nu				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···
Action Taken	Conc	un			
Motion Made By Espegaro	1	Secon	nded By <u>Klein</u>		
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Sen. Mutch, Chairman	X				
Sen. Klein, Vice Chairman	X				
Sen. Krebsbach	X				
Sen. Nething	X				
Sen. Heitkamp	X				
Sen. Every	X				
Sen. Espegard	X				
	J				
				4	
	-				
				 	
Total (Stan)		N.T.			
Total (Yes)		No _			
Absent					
Absent				سين ر فندبرايك قسرانك	
Floor Assignment					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
If the vote is on an amendment, brief	ly indicat	e intent:			
·					
every					
pegavd					
regard Rbsbach					
WYNU I					

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. MOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Natice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

winds and the second se

Operator's Signature Date

2003 HOUSE INDUSTRY, BUSINESS AND LABOR

SB 2308

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

10/99/03

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB 2308

House Industry, Business and Labor Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date March 10, 2003

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	X		26.3-end
1		×	0.0-2.0
)		

Minutes: Chairman Keiser opened the hearing on SB 2308.

Rep. Aarsvold, District 20, introduced the bill on behalf of its prime sponsor Senator Tallackson and testified orally in support of SB 2308.

Kent Olson, Executive Director of North Dakota Professional Insurance Agents

Association, testified in support of SB 2308. (See attached #1)

Rep. Ekstrom: This is a start up fee, and in terms of logistics, is the Department ready at this time to take electronic filings?

Olson: DOT could answer that better than I, but I understand that they are not ready just yet to accept electronic filings.

Chairman Keiser: In regards to the fiscal note attached to this, why don't we just do \$1.50 for the first couple years and make it a break even, why should we absorb costs for the first two years? The fiscal note indicates that we'll lose \$17,000 in the biennium.

The micrographie images on this film are accurate repredictions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Netional Standards Institute document being filmed.

1. **The filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.**

De Mallo

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

10/99/03

Dete

Page 2
House Industry, Business and Labor Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB 2308
Hearing Date March 10, 2003

Olson: There should actually be a surplus because the dollar will generate about \$70,000 and start up costs are estimated at \$50,000. I haven't seen the fiscal note. The industry won't object to the \$1.50 fee.

Rep. Klein: Does this only apply to people involved in DUI's or other problems regarding license revocations? It doesn't apply across the board, does it?

Olson: Correct. It applies to people who lost their license. Driving without insurance is currently three years now. You drive without insurance, have an accident, you have to show proof for three years. This will now be concurrent with the alcohol related violations.

Rep. Severson: On a three year insurance, are insurance companies willing to provide that?

Olson: Contract for insurance can be a monthly requirement. The requirement to maintain the license will be three years.

Lynn Heinert, Drivers License and Traffic Safety Division of the Department of Transportation, testified in support of SB 2308. She stated that DOT has no objection to the three year requirement. However, they do want to do the filing electronically. There is a cost estimate of \$51,000 to put electronic filing into place. If insurance companies could help defray start up costs, DOT would have no objections.

Rep. Dosch: Can people still file by paper? Is there a charge for that?

Heinert: No, the charge would be for those who wish to file electronically.

Rep. Dosch: Shouldn't those who file by paper be charged more in order to save DOT administrative costs and time? Why not charge paper filers more to encourage them to file electronically?

The micrographie images on this film are accurate repredections of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Netional Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Denne Bally 96

1099103

Page 3 House Industry, Business and Labor Committee Bill/Resolution Number SB 2308 Hearing Date March 10, 2003

Heinert: We put together the easiest way to collect the fee. Wisconsin does it this way. We decided to leave it up to the Legislature's disgression to find the biggest bang for the back. Chairman Keiser: Why is there a deficit on the fiscal note? The deficit is based on a \$1 charge per transaction. If that was upped to \$1.50, it would break even.

Heinert: I don't have an answer for you on that.

Heinert: All insurance companies would go through the same system. We do business with over 1500 insurance firms. To coordinate electronic transmission for all those, we go through the Association of Motor Vehicles Network. We have to purchase software, do the installation, undergo testing and training.

Rep. Kasper: Isn't it exorbitant, \$ 47,000 for one time computer program set up?

Rep. Boe: Who initiated this? The fiscal note states that there would be a 50% participation. **Heinert:** The insurance industry with input from the DOT brought this legislation together. When Mr. Olson did the research on this he determined that there are only a few companies that write 50% of the policies. Getting those major carriers on line would constitute the 50% participation.

As there was no one else present to testify either in support of or opposition to SB 2308, the hearing was closed.

Rep. Severson: I move that we amend the fees from \$1 to \$1.50. That's on page 1, line 16. Rep. Zaiser seconded the motion.

Rep. Kasper: I support the motion but I think the fiscal note is a joke. I want to know who this company is and I want to see an itemization of those costs.

Heinert: I'll get that information for you.

AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems in were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards in were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards in (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality document being filmed.

Page 4
House Industry, Business and Labor Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB 2308
Hearing Date March 10, 2003

Rep. Froseth: Do you think any of those major insurance providers will object to the \$1.50 fee?

Rep. Wald: I wouldn't think so. State Farm, Progressive, NoDak, American Family and Dakota

Fire write 80% of the business. Won't this bill have to go over to Appropriations?

Chairman Keiser: I support the amendments but I do think Rep. Dosch made a good point. The insurance companies will pass this fee on to the offenders. You don't pay this fee if you have a good driving record. It's to our advantage to go electronic and get away from paper filing.

Rep. Froseth: If this passes, it's the smaller companies that can't afford the electronic filing.

Rep. Boe: Wouldn't those writing 80% of the policies, with their participation, wouldn't that change the fiscal note? Why not charge the fee for all filings?

Rep. Dosch: We might spend \$50,000 on a computer program and companies could still submit on paper, rather than pay the fees.

Rep. Thorpe: I agree with Rep. Boe. I think it should be charged across the board.

Rep. Severson: Maybe we could further amend the amendment by removing "electronic transmitting" on line 13 & 16 and inserting "filing".

Rep. Ruby: I think encouraging the incentive to electronically file has merit. Maybe we should charge \$2 to file by paper.

Rep. Severson moved to further amend the amendment "The director may charge the insurance carrier filing a certificate a fee of \$1.50".

Rep. Froseth: If we take off the electronic filing, DOT might take the money and run.

Rep. Dosch: Let's keep it so that "the Director may charge \$2.00 for a paper, \$1.50 for electronic filing".

Rep. Zaiser seconded the motion to amend the amendment.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute were filmed in the regular course of business.

and the contraction of the contr

December Place

10/99/03

House Industry, Business and Labor Committee Bill/Resolution Number SB 2308 Hearing Date March 10, 2003

Neil will draft the language. A voice vote carried the motion to amend the amendment.

Rep. Freeeth moved a Do Pass As Amended with a Referral to the Appropriations Committee.

Rep. Boe seconded the motion.

Chairman Keiser: We need a new fiscal note on this, please, since it will be going to the

Appropriations Committee..

The results of the roll call vote was: 11-0-3.

Rep. Boe will carry this on the floor.

The micrographie images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

30660.0201 Title.0300

Adopted by the Industry, Business and Labor Committee

March 10, 2003

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 2308

Page 1, line 16, after "transmitting" insert "or manually submitting" and after "dollar" insert "and fifty cents"

Renumber accordingly

Page No. 1

30660.0201

The micrographic images on this film are eccurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

10/99/03

Date: 3/10/03
Roll Call Vote #: |

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2308

House INDUSTRY BUSINES	S & LABO	R		Com	mittee
Check here for Conference C	ommittee		30/-60 D20	5 1	
Legislative Council Amendment ?	Vimber		30660.020	0	
.	-				•
Action Taken De Pass As An	rendes	and	Revolence to Appr	noviation	ove Commutt
	eth		econded By	· ·	
Motion Made By	un	Se	econded By		
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Keiser	~		Boe	\overline{U}	
Vice-Chair Severson	10		Ekstrom		
Dosch	7		Thorpe		
Froseth	7		Zaiser		
Johnson					
Kasper					
Klein		·			
Nottestad		· · · · -			
Ruby	V				
Tieman					
1 TOTALIA	 				
Total (Yes)		No	0		
	<u></u>	No	0		
Total (Yes)	<u>1</u> 3	_ No	Boe		
Total (Yes) Absent Floor Assignment	3. 7	Rep	Box		
Total (Yes)	3. 7	Rep	Box		
Total (Yes) Absent Floor Assignment	3. 7	Rep	Box		
Total (Yes) Absent Floor Assignment	3. 7	Rep	Box		
Total (Yes) Absent Floor Assignment	3. 7	Rep	Box		
Total (Yes) Absent Floor Assignment	3. 7	Rep	Box		
Total (Yes) Absent Floor Assignment	3. 7	Rep	Box		
Total (Yes) Absent Floor Assignment	3. 7	Rep	Box		
Total (Yes) Absent Floor Assignment	3. 7	Rep	Box		
Absent Floor Assignment If the vote is on an amendment, bri	3 lefly indicat	e inten	Boc		
Absent Floor Assignment If the vote is on an amendment, bri	3 lefly indicat	e inten	Boc		
Total (Yes) Absent Floor Assignment	3 lefly indicat	e inten	Boc		
Absent Floor Assignment If the vote is on an amendment, bri	3 lefly indicat	e inten	Boc		
Absent Floor Assignment If the vote is on an amendment, bri	3 lefly indicat	e inten	Boc		
Absent Floor Assignment If the vote is on an amendment, bri	defly indicat	e inten	Boc.	Systems for	microfilming and
Absent Floor Assignment If the vote is on an amendment, bri	defly indicat	e inten	Boc.	Systems for National St	microfilming and anderds institute he quality of the
Absent Floor Assignment If the vote is on an amendment, britished the largest on this film are accurate reported to the regular course of business. The chival microfilm. NOTICE: If the film	efly indicate or photographic and image about	records process we is to	delivered to Modern Information meets standards of the American as Legible than this Notice, it	is due to t	microfilming and andards Institute he quality of the
Absent Floor Assignment If the vote is on an amendment, britished the largest on this film are accurate reported to the regular course of business. The chival microfilm. NOTICE: If the film	defly indicat	records process we is to	delivered to Modern Information meets standards of the American as Legible than this Notice, it	10 due to t	microfilming and andards Institute he quality of the

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 11, 2003 8:58 a.m. Module No: HR-43-4421 Carrier: Boe

Insert LC: 30660.0201 Title: .0300

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2308, as engrossed: Industry, Business and Labor Committee (Rep. Keiser, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS and BE REREFERRED to the Appropriations Committee (11 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 3 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed SB 2308 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 16, after "transmitting" insert "or manually submitting" and after "dollar" insert "and fifty cents"

Renumber accordingly

(2) DESK, (3) COMM

Page No. 1

HR-43-4421

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Mossin images in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National States (AMSI) for archival microfilm. MOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of adecument being filmed.

Operator's Signature

10/22/03

ate

2003 HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

NAMIO2

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB 2308

House Appropriations Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 03-20-03

	4,	 كالمالات المستنان المستنان الم
1	X	 3.0 - 25.0

Minutes:

Chairman Svedjan Opened SB 2308 for discussion. A quorum was present.

Rep. Kaiser Introduced the bill and gave an overview.

Rep. Kerzman Is this normal? (referring to need for monthly registration)

Rep. Kaiser They are following our statues.

Rep. Kerzman What if you don't lose your license?

Rep. Kaiser They won't charge.

Rep. Delzer Did they have to file every month?

Rep. Kaiser I guess so.

Rep. Delzer Now you're extending the registration period to 3 years, how will this impact their insurance expenditures?

Rep. Kaiser Filing electronically reduces the costs.. The department and the industry

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

erator's Signature

Page 2
House Appropriations Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB 2308
Hearing Date 03-20-03

Rep. Delzer If they file every month this seems to be more than they'd need to do.

Rep. Brusegaard You also put a fee on those filing with paper?

Rep. Kaiser That is probably where it came from.

Rep. Timm That extension bothers me. The companies have to file SR24s for 1 year now and you will require it for 3 years?

Rep. Kaiser These are high-risk drivers who frequently drop their insurance.

Rep. Timm This applies to all traffic violations?

Rep. Kaiser Yes, to all people who lose their license.

Rep. Wald First of all, the company filing is a year at a time. I think the reason they want to go online is because some people pay that monthly or quarterly. The premium is charged for three years whether you go back to one year or not because the premium developed by the company is based on their driving record, not the SR26.

Rep. Skarphol Most individuals who are subject to SR22 filing, when they purchase insurance, how much is their typical liability coverage?

Rep. Wald 25,000 and \$50,000 and \$25,000.

Rep. Skarphol Well, that concerns me because I don't think that is adequate insurance. We are in some ways, causing some people to buy less insurance. I submit to you the DUI and the guy that loses his license and in those situations. Those who lose it due to points won't purchase a larger policy because the cost of that is higher.

Rep. Wald Some companies allow them to purchase a higher limit.

Chairman Svedjan With revenues exceeding expenses, does that revenue go into a fund?

The micrographie images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. MOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Denne Hallnesto

10/29/03

Page 3
House Appropriations Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB 2308
Hearing Date 03-20-03

Rep. Kaiser No, the committee could cut back the fee if they wanted.

Rep. Timm I think the balance would go the Highway Trust Fund.

Rep. Kaiser Yes, it would.

Rep. Aarsvold This issue comes up every campaign.

Rep. Kroeber OMB and LC can help us with the fiscal note.

Alan Knudson, LC We'd need to contact the department to find out.

Rep. Delzer How does this affect the youth license?

Rep. Kaiser That wasn't discussed.

生物性

Rep. Wald I move a Do Pass. 2nd by Rep. Carlisle.

Rep. Kempenich They forgot the sunset on the fiscal note. The fiscal note is wrong.

Chairman Svedjan It is right for the 03-05 biennium.

Rep. Wald The only issue is the \$1.50 for transactions. Its a moot point.

Rep. Delzer I can't vote for this. Relatively, innocent drivers will be adversely impacted.

Motion Carries 16-5-2. Rep. Boe will carry this bill on the floor.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute (AMSI) for archivel microfilm. MOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Denne Hallwich

10/22/03

ate

	Date: 3-	سو
Roll Call	Vote #:/	

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2308

Rep. Timm (Vice-Chairman) Rep. Martinson Rep. Brusegaard Rep. Brusegaard Rep. Monson Rep. Warnke Rep. Warnke Rep. Rep. Bellew Rep. Wald Rep. Wald Rep. Kempenich Rep. Kerzman Rep. Gulleson Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlson Rep. Koppleman Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson Rep. Thoreson Rep. Thoreson Rep. Thoreson Rep. Thoreson	egislative Council Amendment N					
Representatives Rep. Svedjan (Chairman) Rep. Glassheim Rep. Timm (Vice-Chairman) Rep. Martinson Rep. Brusegaard Rep. Brusegaard Rep. Warnke Rep. Warnke Rep. Warnke Rep. Wald Rep. Wald Rep. Kempenich Rep. Kempenich Rep. Glassheim Rep. Warner Rep. Warner Rep. Warner Rep. Warnke Rep. Warnke Rep. Bellew Rep. Bellew Rep. Kempenich Rep. Kempenich Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlisle Rep. Koppleman Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson The Lorrier Rep. Moscon Rep. Metcalf Rep. Skarphol Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson	ction Taken <u>Vs Yaş</u> Iotion Made By <u>Ws/d</u>	<i></i>	Se	econded By Calls 4		
Rep. Svedjan (Chairman) Rep. Timm (Vice-Chairman) Rep. Martinson Rep. Brusegaard Rep. Brusegaard Rep. Monson Rep. Warnke Rep. Warnke Rep. Warnke Rep. Warnke Rep. Wald Rep. Wald Rep. Kerpenich Rep. Kerzman Rep. Gulleson Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlson Rep. Koppleman Rep. Koppleman Rep. Thoreson The Lories Rep. Toreson The Lories Rep. Glassheim Rep. Glassheim Rep. Warnke Rep. Warnke Rep. Warnke Rep. Bellew Rep. Kerzman Rep. Kerzman Rep. Kerzman Rep. Metcalf Rep. Metcalf Rep. Thoreson			No	Representatives	Yes	No
Rep. Timm (Vice-Chairman) Rep. Martinson Rep. Brusegaard Rep. Brusegaard Rep. Monson Rep. Warnke Rep. Warnke Rep. Warnke Rep. Wald Rep. Wald Rep. Kempenich Rep. Kerzman Rep. Gulleson Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlson Rep. Koppleman Rep. Koppleman Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson The Lories Rep. Moscon Rep. Metcalf Rep. Metcalf Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson		17				
Rep. Martinson Rep. Brusegaard Rep. Brusegaard Rep. Monson Rep. Monson Rep. Marnke Rep. Marnke Rep. Warnke Rep. Rennerfeldt Rep. Bellew Rep. Wald Rep. Kempenich Rep. Kerzman Rep. Gulleson Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlson Rep. Koppleman Rep. Koppleman Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson Atal (Yes) Dor Assignment Rep. Marnke Rep. Warnke Re	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		~	 	V	
Rep. Brusegaard Rep. Monson Rep. Warnke Rep. Rennerfeldt Rep. Wald Rep. Wald Rep. Kempenich Rep. Kerzman Rep. Gulleson Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlson Rep. Koppleman Rep. Koppleman Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson Datal (Yes) Door Assignment Rep. Delzer Rep. Warnke Rep. Warnke Rep. Bellew Rep. Kerzman Rep. Kerzman Rep. Metcalf Rep. Metcalf Rep. Thoreson Datal (Yes) Door Assignment Rep. Warnke Rep. Bellew Rep. Kempenich Rep. Metcalf Rep. Metcalf Rep. Mo 5		1	-			
Rep. Monson Rep. Rennerfeldt Rep. Bellew Rep. Wald Rep. Kempenich Rep. Kerzman Rep. Gulleson Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlisle Rep. Koppleman Rep. Koppleman Rep. Koppleman Rep. Thoreson Rep. Thoreson Rep. Thoreson Rep. Thoreson Rep. Thoreson Rep. Thoreson						
Rep. Rennerfeldt Rep. Wald Rep. Wald Rep. Aarsvold Rep. Gulleson Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlisle Rep. Koppleman Rep. Koppleman Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson The Larrier Rep. Solution Rep. Thoreson The Larrier Rep. Solution Rep. Salution Rep. Thoreson The Larrier Rep. Solution Rep. Thoreson	Rep. Monson				-	
Rep. Wald Rep. Aarsvold Rep. Aarsvold Rep. Gulleson Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlson Rep. Koppleman Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson Dotal (Yes) Dosent TB L Carrier BOE				Rep. Bellew		-
Rep. Aarsvold Rep. Gulleson Rep. Gulleson Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlson Rep. Koppleman Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson Otal (Yes) Disant Disant The Carrier Rep. Kerzman Rep. Metcalf No Selection Rep. Metcalf No Selection Rep. Metcalf No Selection No Selection						-
Rep. Gulleson Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlson Rep. Koppleman Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson Otal (Yes) Discrete Corrier Rep. Metcalf No Sep. Met				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Rep. Carlisle Rep. Carlson Rep. Koppleman Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson Otal (Yes) Discrete No September 16 No No No No No No No No No N				Rep. Metcalf	1	
Rep. Koppleman Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson Otal (Yes) Osent TBL Carrier BOE						
Rep. Koppleman Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson Otal (Yes) 16 No 5 Oseent Oor Assignment IBL Carrier BOE						
Rep. Skarphol Rep. Thoreson Otal (Yes) 16 No 5 Osent 7 Oor Assignment IBL Carrier BOE						
Rep. Thoreson Otal (Yes) 16 No 5 Osent 2 Oor Assignment IBL Carrier BOE			<u> </u>			
osent 78 L Carrier BOE						
VVI 1 1501 B 1111 1011	otal (1es)		No	5		
the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:	oor Assignment	Carris	<u> </u>	₩ 80E		
	the vote is on an amendment, brie	fly indicat	e inten	t:		

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

neuronismo anticonomica de material de mat

Operator's Signature

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410)
March 21, 2003 9:26 a.m.

Module No: HR-51-5396 Carrier: Boe Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2308, as engrossed: Appropriations Committee (Rep. Svedjan, Chairman)
recommends DO PASS (16 YEAS, 5 NAYS, 2 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING).
Engrossed SB 2308 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

(2) DESK, (3) COMM

Page No. 1

14R-51-5996

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Metional Standards Institute (AMSI) for archival microfilm. MOTICE: if the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the decument being filmed.

Operator's Signature

10/99/03 Dete

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2308

Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 04-08-03

_

Minutes:Before the conference committee was called to order, the Senate Acceded to the House

amendments. Senator Krebsbach moved to Accede and Rep. Nottestad seconded.

There was no discussion from the committee

Roll Call Vote: Senator Espegard: Yes

Senator Krebsbach: Yes.

Senator Every: Yes.

Rep. Nottestad: Yes.

Rep. Dosch: Yes.

Rep. Boe: Yes.

Motion passes for the Senate to accede to the House amendments.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate repreductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and user filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American Mational Standards Institute (AMSI) for archivel microfilm. MOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Motice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

Date: April 8, 2003
Roll Call Vote #:

2003 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2308

Senate Conference Committee	×e		dol do	Comi	mittee
x Check here for Conference	Committee				
Legislative Council Amendmen	t Number	<u>.</u>			
Action Taken JML	Heede				
Motion Made By	ach	S	econded By Notteste	d_	<u> </u>
Senators	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Senator Espegard	X		Rep. Nottestad	X	
Senator Krebsbach	X		Rep. Dosch	X	
Senator Every	X		Rep. Boe	X	
			<u> </u>		
					-
		<u></u>			
			•		
Total (Yes)		N	0		 -
Absent					·
Floor Assignment		والمراجعة			
If the vote is on an amendment, I	briefly indica	te inter	nt:		

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming with were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operator's Signature

CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF

10|99|03

REPORT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE (420) April 10, 2003 2:57 p.m.

Module No: SR-65-7368

Insert LC:

REPORT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

\$8 2306, as engrossed: Your conference committee (Sens. Espegard, Krebsbach, Every and Reps. Nottestad, Dosch, Boe) recommends that the SENATE ACCEDE to the House amendments on SJ page 958 and place SB 2308 on the Seventh order.

Engrossed SB 2308 was placed on the Seventh order of business on the calendar.

(2) DESK, (2) COMM

Page No. 1

8F1-05-7366

The micrographie images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Hodern inventorial medical medical microfilm. Hotical I the photographic process meets standards of the American Matieral School (ANSI) for archival microfilm. Motical If the filmed image above is less legible than this Motice, it is due to the quality of document being filmed.

Demma Hallusto

10/22/03

Date

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

BILL NO:

SB 2308

#

TO:

House IBL Committee

From:

Kent Olson, Executive Director

NDPIA

POSITION:

Please SUPPORT

Committee Members:

SB 2308 passed the Senate 27-18.

The Bill does TWO things:

1. Section 2 amends the current one-year proof of insurance filing requirement to three-years.

Reasons:

a. A three-year filing proof would be concurrent with the 3-year filing requirement for having an accident while driving without insurance.

b. Drivers with alcohol violations or multiple driving convictions have a high tendency not to maintain auto liability insurance to protect the public from their future actions.

c. The current one-year filing requirement is a short term inconvenience for a

major driving conviction.

d. Over 50% of highway fatalities in ND are alcohol related. If public policy is to reduce alcohol impaired drivers and protect the public via liability insurance requirements, the alcohol impaired driver ought to be required to maintain the "proof of insurance" filing for 3 years after conviction.

- e. Prior to 1989 the "proof of insurance" filing was for 3 years. It was reduced to one-year at the request of DOT in hopes of reducing paper work from the monthly filings and lapses. This did not happen as much as expected. According to recent DOT statistics 1500 problem drivers drop their "proof of insurance" filing every month. In addition, every month there are about 1700 filings from DUI (one-year) and DWOI (three-year) drivers for a total monthly count of 3200 pieces of paper which equates to approximately 38,000 per year.
- 2. Section 1 adds a sentence which provides "The director MAY charge an insurance carrier electronically transmitting a certificate fee of one dollar". Section 4 Provides for a "Sunset" of the \$1.00 fee in Section 1 above. The \$1.00 filing fee will provide one-time start-up costs for DOT by providing the necessary software and IT program time to allow companies to transfer the filings electronically.

We respectfully ask for your support with a "DO PASS" on this bill.

sent Olsen

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and user filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Operatoria Signatura

10/22/03