

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council

02/10/2003

Amendment to: HB 1349

1A. State fiscal effect: *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

| | 2001-2003 Biennium | | 2003-2005 Biennium | | 2005-2007 Biennium | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | General Fund | Other Funds | General Fund | Other Funds | General Fund | Other Funds |
| Revenues | | | | | | |
| Expenditures | | | \$322,845 | \$720,410 | \$322,845 | \$720,410 |
| Appropriations | | | \$322,845 | \$299,800 | \$322,845 | \$299,800 |

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

| 2001-2003 Biennium | | | 2003-2005 Biennium | | | 2005-2007 Biennium | | |
|--------------------|--------|------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------|
| Counties | Cities | School Districts | Counties | Cities | School Districts | Counties | Cities | School Districts |
| | | | \$132,400 | \$65,750 | \$82,100 | \$132,400 | \$65,750 | \$82,100 |

2. Narrative: *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

The PSA and fecal occult blood test screenings are already covered by the NDPERS benefit, so would not have an added cost to NDPERS.

The additional cost to NDPERS to cover the flexible sigmoidoscopy, colonoscopy, and double contrast barium enema as screenings is estimated at \$3.20 per contract per month (spread over ALL contracts) for the 7-03/6-05 biennium. This assumes that the colonoscopy would be allowed once every 10 years, beginning at age 50, as recommended by the AMA. The flexible sigmoidoscopy and double contrast barium enema would be allowed once every 5 years, beginning at age 50, as recommended by the AMA.

3. State fiscal effect detail: *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. Revenues: *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

B. Expenditures: *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

The expenditures are the cost of the additional premium that will be necessary to pay for the new benefits proposed in this bill. The expenditures are for all state contracts.

C. Appropriations: *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.*

The appropriation is the additional appropriation needed for the state agencies to pay the higher premium needed to support the proposed new benefits in this bill. The premium included in the Governors budget did not provide for this benefit. Higher Education is not included in the appropriation since they have a continuing appropriation.

Name: Sparb Collins
Phone Number: 328-3901

Agency: Public Employees Retirement System
Date Prepared: 02/10/2003