FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council

03/27/2003

REVISION

Amendment to: HB 1088

1A. **State fiscal effect:** Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

| | 2001-2003 Biennium | | 2003-2005 Biennium | | 2005-2007 Biennium | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | General Fund | Other Funds | General Fund | Other Funds | General Fund | Other Funds |
| Revenues | | | \$175,722 | \$791,100 | \$175,722 | \$791,100 |
| Expenditures Appropriations | | | | \$6,504 | | \$6,504 |

| 1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: | | | Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision. | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----------|--|------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------|-----------|
| 2001 | -2003 Bieni | nium | 2003 | 2003-2005 Biennium 200 | | | 5-2007 Biennium | |
| | | School | | | School | | | School |
| Counties | Cities | Districts | Counties | Cities | Districts | Counties | Cities | Districts |

2. **Narrative:** Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

The amended engrossed bill provides a graduated administrative fee structure for misdemeanor and felony defendants. The bill provides for \$100 of the administrative fee to go toward indigent defense services and courthouse remodeling, unless waived due to indigence. \$50 will go into a court facilities remodeling and maintenance fund and \$50 will go into the indigent defense administration fund.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. **Revenues:** Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

The revenues are based on the following assumptions after reviewing historical data.

The entire fee assessed in section 6, beginning on page 4, line 25, will generate the following estimated biennial revenues:

(Data Provided by Office of State Court Administrator and represents two year projections)

| Technology A&AA | Total Defendants 706 | Defendants Paying Fee 21 | % of Total 3% | Admin. Fee Collected \$ 21,000 |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| В | 812 | 40 | 5% | \$30,000 |
| C | 5,766 | 576 | 0% | \$ 288,000 |
| Misdemeanor | | | | |
| A | 11,222 | 2,244 | 20% | \$ 673,200 |
| В | 25,152 | 5,030 | 20% | \$1,131,750 |
| Special Funds | \$ 791,100 | | | |

General Fund \$1,352,850 Less current fees (1,177,128) Total New Admin. Fees \$966,822

Statistics are based on the 2002 criminal caseloads and have been doubled to provided biennial projections.

B. **Expenditures:** Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

Anticipated expenditures are for travel expenses for the committee. Assuming quarterly meetings (8 per biennium), estimated expenses for mileage, meals and lodging are \$6,504.

C. **Appropriations:** Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:Ted 0Phone Number:328-4

Ted C. Gladden 328-4216

Agency:Supreme CourtDate Prepared:03/27/2003