

# FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council  
01/03/2003

Bill/Resolution No.: SB 2085

1A. **State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005 Biennium		2005-2007 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues				\$238,699		\$234,949
Expenditures			\$221,694	\$238,699	\$220,444	\$234,949
Appropriations			\$221,694	\$238,699	\$220,444	\$234,949

1B. **County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium			2005-2007 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
			\$6,804			\$6,804		

2. **Narrative:** *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

DHS shall provide an assessment of the health and social needs of any individual who is at risk of needing skilled nursing facility or hospital swing-bed facility care. An assessment must be completed prior to that individual's admission to such a facility. An assessment is not required of an individual who is expected to need care in one of these facilities for a period of six months or less. The cost of an assessment would be \$135. Estimated fiscal impact would be \$460,393 for the 2003-2005 biennium and \$455,393 for the 2005-2007 biennium.

This bill would also remove the sunset date for targeted case management and make it permanent. Currently, the computer program for targeted case management is not working correctly so it is difficult to calculate an estimate. However, based on the best numbers we have, we estimate targeted case management would save between \$400,000 and \$600,000 in general funds. This savings is not reflected in the figures above.

3. **State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. **Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

The other revenue is based upon charging \$135 for each assessment. The other revenue for 2003-2005 is comprised of \$95,815 of federal funds, \$6,804 of county funds for individuals eligible for SPED and \$136,080 from individuals that would not qualify for Medicaid or SPED and would thus be private pay clients.

B. **Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

It's estimated that there would be operating costs (line 30) of \$6,793 for 2003-2005 and \$1,793 for 2005-2007. This would include travel and meeting costs for state staff and advisory committee members. \$5,000 is also included in the 2003-2005 biennium for

amending the current assessment tool. Grant costs (line 73) would increase by \$453,600 in 2003-2005 and 2005-2007 based on 3,360 assessments performed each biennium at a cost of \$135 per assessment.

**C. Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.*

Based on the estimated expenditures, an additional appropriation of \$460,393, of which \$221,694 is general funds would be required for 2003-2005 to pay for assessments.

**Name:** Debra A. McDermott  
**Phone Number:** 328-3695

**Agency:** Human Services  
**Date Prepared:** 01/13/2003