

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council
03/18/2003

Amendment to: SB 2041

1A. **State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005 Biennium		2005-2007 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$140,000	\$0	\$140,000	
Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$180,000	\$0	\$180,000	
Appropriations	\$0	\$0	\$180,000	\$0	\$180,000	

1B. **County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium			2005-2007 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

2. **Narrative:** *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

This bill establishes a criminal justice information sharing (CJIS) board. The board will set policy regarding the collection, storage and sharing of criminal justice information and the related systems.

The bill increases, from \$20 to \$25, the fee charged for each criminal history record check, which is to fund the CJIS project.

3. **State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. **Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

In the 2003-05 biennium we anticipate processing 28,843 records checks. Of these, 343 are charged \$3 and 28,000 will be charged \$25 for each record check.

The fastest growing segments requesting record checks are those that affect the safety of children and other state citizens. Previous legislation has mandated or permitted criminal records checks for various groups, and the current legislature will consider several more, including church employees and volunteers. These additional workloads require more staffing.

B. **Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

Due to the significant workload increase anticipated to result from nonprofits' ability to request federal background check information, as well as the criminal history records checks increase resulting from House Bill No. 1252 (ITD) and Senate Bill Nos. 2223 (city and county applicants) and 2253 (Board of Medical Examiners applicants and those subject to discipline), 2 FTE's and associated expenses will be needed. Based on the anticipated background check increase from these four bills, the records checks workload is estimated to increase by at least 33 percent.

C. **Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.*

An appropriation is needed as described in the expenditures portion of this note.

Name:	Bob Helten/Kathy Roll	Agency:	Office of Attorney General
Phone Number:	701-328-5500/328-3622	Date Prepared:	03/26/2003