

# MICROFILM DIVIDER

OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION  
SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M



ROLL NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

1085

2005 HOUSE POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS

HB 1085

2005 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1085

House Political Subdivisions Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 6, 2005

Tape Number

1

Side A


A

Side B

Meter #

16.1 to 19.2

Committee Clerk Signature



Minutes: HB 1085, a bill for an Act to amend and reenact section 54-27-04 of the North Dakota Century code, relating to transmission of state taxes by county treasurers.

**Rep. Devlin, Chairman** ( 16.1 ) opened the hearing after a brief recess awaiting someone from the Office of Management and Budget.

**Pam Sharp**, representing the Office of Management and Budget as the director appeared to testify for the bill. We presented this bill. The purpose of this bill is to no longer require counties to submit the state taxes report to the Office of Management and Budget. In reality OMB has no purpose for receiving this report. To tell you the truth I am not even sure if we get all the reports, some are sporadic but certainly not all --- not ever county. It is not information that we use nor do we need it. We don't do anything with the information. This requires them to report to the State Treasurer but does remove only the Office of Management and Budget having to report to us.

**Rep. Koppelman** From this it looks like not only the report but that the taxes themselves are transmitted to the State Treasurer, is that true?

Page 2  
House Political Subdivisions Committee  
Bill/Resolution Number HB 1085  
Hearing Date January 6, 2005

**Pam Sharp** : Yes State taxes are transmitted to the State Treasurer.

**Rep. Kretschmar** : The very last paragraph of the bill says that OMB has to do something. ---  
draw warrant to get the money.

**Pam Sharp** : That is because we run the accounting system. So it does run through OMB as it  
runs through our accounting for those warrants.

There being no further questions from the committee nor anyone appearing in opposition to HB  
1085, **Rep. Devlin, Chairman** closed the hearing on HB 1085 ( 17.2 )

**Rep. Herbal, Vice Chairman** moved a ' Do Pass' motion for HB 1085. **Rep. Zaiser** seconded  
the motion. On a roll call vote the motion carried 12 ayes 0 nays 0 absent.

**Rep. Wrangham** was designated to carry HB 1085 on the floor.

End of record ( 19.2 )

**FISCAL NOTE**  
**Requested by Legislative Council**  
12/22/2004

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1085

1A. **State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2003-2005 Biennium		2005-2007 Biennium		2007-2009 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues						
Expenditures						
Appropriations						

1B. **County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

2003-2005 Biennium			2005-2007 Biennium			2007-2009 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts

2. **Narrative:** *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

No fiscal impact.

3. **State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. **Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

B. **Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

C. **Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.*

**Name:** Sheila Peterson  
**Phone Number:** 328-4905

**Agency:** OMB  
**Date:** 12/22/2004  
**Prepared:**

Date: *January 6, 2005*  
Roll Call Vote: *1*

2005 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES  
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. *1085*

House POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS

Committee

☐ Check here for Conference Committee

Legislative Council Amendment Number

Action Taken

*Do Pass*

Motion Made By

*Rep. Herbel*

Seconded By

*Rep. Zaiser*

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Rep. Devlin, Chairman	✓		Rep. Ekstrom	✓	
Rep. Herbel, Vice Chairman	✓		Rep. Kaldor	✓	
Rep. Dietrich	✓		Rep. Zaiser	✓	
Rep. Johnson	✓				
Rep. Koppelman	✓				
Rep. Kretschmar	✓				
Rep. Maragos	✓				
Rep. Pietsch	✓				
Rep. Wrangham	✓				

Total (Yes)

*12*

No

*0*

Absent

*0*

Floor Assignment

*Rep. Herbel*

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

**REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410)**  
January 6, 2005 1:33 p.m.

**Module No: HR-03-0155**  
**Carrier: Herbel**  
**Insert LC: . Title: .**

**REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE**

**HB 1085: Political Subdivisions Committee (Rep. Devlin, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (12 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1085 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.**

2005 SENATE POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS

HB 1085



2005 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1085

Senate Political Subdivisions Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date February 10, 2005

Tape Number  
1

Side A  
X

Side B

Meter #  
3528 - 3702

Committee Clerk Signature



Minutes:

**Chairman Cook** opened the hearing on HB 1085 relating to transmission of state taxes by county treasurers. All members (6) present.

**Pam Sharp**, Director of Office of Management and Budget, testified in support of HB 1085.

This bill is very simple and a house keeping bill. The current statue requires that county treasurers report to the treasurers office and OMB every month a statement of the state taxes that they have collected and OMB has no purpose for this report. I don't think counties are doing it. Occasionally I will see one stragler report come in. We do absolutely nothing with that report. It goes to the state treasure's office and the state treasurer does what she needs to do with them, initiates the transactions and then OMB's accounting system does the distribution. We do not need these reports. All this bill does is take OMB out of the reporting group for counties.

No further testimony for or against this bill.

**Chairman Cook** closed the hearing on HB 1085.

Page 2

Senate Political Subdivisions Committee

Bill/Resolution Number HB 1085

Hearing Date February 10, 2005

**Chairman Cook** asked the committee their wishes.

**Senator Triplett** moved a Do Pass on HB 1085.

**Senator Fairfield** seconded the motion.

Roll call vote: 6 Yes 0 No 0 Absent

Carrier: **Senator Fairfield**

Date: 2-10-05  
Roll Call Vote #: 1

2005 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES  
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1085

Senate Political Subdivisions

Committee

☐ Check here for Conference Committee

Legislative Council Amendment Number

Action Taken Do Pass

Motion Made By Senate Triplett Seconded By Senator Fairfield

Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Senator Dwight Cook, Chairman	X				
Senator Nicholas P. Hacker, VC	X				
Senator Dick Dever	X				
Senator Gary A. Lee	X				
Senator April Fairfield	X				
Senator Constance Triplett	X				

Total Yes 6 No 0

Absent 0

Floor Assignment Senator Fairfield

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

**REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410)**  
**February 10, 2005 11:57 a.m.**

**Module No: SR-27-2392**  
**Carrier: Fairfield**  
**Insert LC: . Title: .**

**REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE**

**HB 1085: Political Subdivisions Committee (Sen. Cook, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (6 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1085 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.**