

2005 HOUSE FINANCE AND TAXATION

HB 1513

#### 2005 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

#### BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1513

House Finance and Taxation Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 31

er #	Meter #	Side B	Side A	Tape Number
0.6			X	1
		Lein	Jani	mmittee Clerk Signature
_		Lein	Jani	mmittee Clerk Signature

Minutes:

**REP. WES BELTER, CHAIRMAN** Called the committee hearing to order.

REP. RALPH METCALF, DIST. 24 Introduced the bill. See attached written testimony. Also submitted an amendment to the bill. Submitted handouts relating to comparisons of the school district equalization fund. Mr. Walstad was sick, therefore, Rep. Metcalf explained the bill.

**REP. BELTER** Referred to page 6, line 14, it says except twenty percent, does that mean that twenty percent goes into the general fund, and the other eighty percent goes into the school fund? **REP. METCALF** My understanding is that because it is twenty percent, the tax increase is twenty five percent, so you have one hundred and twenty five percent. You take twenty percent of that one hundred and twenty five percent, gets you back down to the twenty percent, and that will be deposited in special accounts deposited in the school district property tax relief fund.

Page 2
House Finance and Taxation Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB 1513
Hearing Date January 31, 2005

**REP. DROVDAL** I think seventy five million dollars a year runs somewhere around seven mills in relief, we know North Dakota has been struggling with an equity figure in our school funding formula, we have done many adjustment, special funds, we have been working toward that equity and trying to stay out of the courts, this bill actually comes in with a whole new formula, going into the mix, did you consider, when you drafted the bill, require a reduction in mills, but also work it in with existing formulas?

**REP. METCALF** Yes, we tried to accomplish that and we worked with the education system upstairs. This formula is only for a distribution for this money in the tax fund, it should not have an overbearing affect on the current formulas, except to allow for a slight adjustment in the levy, between the high and low property districts. That particular formula only has a bearing in that area.

REP. CONRAD I have a situation in my district where one of the eight districts is in a lawsuit, then I also have two districts, which have grade schools not attached to high schools, I am told, to correct the school district at Surrey, we need to get the funds from the schools that are not attached to high schools, we need to get them to pay to the high schools regularly. I am caught in a real dilemma, because Surrey, isn't very interested in the solution, will this help me out to find a way for those districts to stop arguing how they are going to get their funds and get on with educating kids?

**REP. METCALF** I wish I could give you a positive answer on that, but I have not looked at it from that aspect. The way I see this is a beginning of somehow leveling off, the big problem is the property rich districts and the property poor districts. The people in the property rich district

do not want to change. The property poor districts want it changed so they can give the kids the education they should have.

REP. OLE AARSVOLD, DIST 20 Co-sponsor of the bill. Submitted a comparison handout. The handout is an attempt to explain the consequences of the bill before you. Explained what a series of numbers meant in each column. This bill would put more responsibility at the state level and distributed back to the school district based on the formula. Related to the city of Williston, they would be at the max, the cap we decided, to put on the distribution, and that is 1.4, no district will get more than 104% of their relative value compared to the statewide evaluation per pupil. There are a host of districts in between. He used Fort Totten as an example who levy \$242/child evaluation. They levy 308 mills, 150% of the state average, and yet, they only raised \$34,000, and they are educating 458 kids. We can't deal with that appropriately. We will put them at the max and they will collect \$51,000 in the distribution.

**REP. BELTER** There is not a permanent cap here, on property tax, is there, in this bill? **REP. AARSVOLD** That is not our intent.

**REP. BELTER** If this bill were to pass, what would stop a school district from increasing their property tax, prior to this bill going into effect?

**REP. AARSVOLD** I don't think there is anything in the bill that precludes that. That would be a local decision. We can encourage them not to do so. To qualify for the money, they would have to make an adjustment in their levies, proportionate to the collections and the distribution from the tuition relief fund.

**REP. BELTER** Under this bill, one of the advantages of the property tax, it is a stable tax, income tax is not a stable tax, so this amount of revenue could fluctuate from one year to the

next, which in turn, would fluctuate the amount of revenue going to the schools, so is it not possible that some schools could actually end up with less money under this formula?

**REP. AARSVOLD** I think there is language in here that recognizes that possibility. Page 6, line 27, annually, the tax commissioner would have to certify to the auditor of each county, the estimate of revenue under this section. That could be up or down.

**REP. DROVDAL** You talk about trends, you know as well as I, that when the state gave the right for sales tax in local communities, the state lost its opportunity if it needed revenue. The property tax is a base for local income, sales tax is a base for a lot of North Dakota income, and income tax it is always considered as a base for the federal government. Many of us feel, we have given away our ability to raise sales tax, not with this, are we also giving away any opportunity to raise any income tax?

**REP. AARSVOLD** I don't think so. I think it is stated twice in the constitution about free and equitable education to students in North Dakota. I don't think we are giving that away.

**REP. DROVDAL** What you say is true, but we also have an obligation to all the rest of the people of North Dakota to supply all of the other services, and we need the revenue source for them also, are we losing that capability to raise that funding?

**REP. AARSVOLD** We are the gate keepers, and I would hope we have the will to do the right thing.

**REP. WEILER** Related to the effective date, in section 5, which deals with the property tax.

**REP. AARSVOLD** John Walstad assured us it was being covered.

**REP. BELTER** Stated on page 7, they refer to a section 7, and I don't see section 7.

REP. PHILLIP MUELLER, DIST. 24 Testified in support of the bill, as the co-sponsor.

Property taxes are an ungoing struggle. We need to move and get off the back of property taxes having to do with school funding. The reality of it is, we are facing an educational lawsuit. It is incumbent on us to take a look at how we can begin to satisfy, what I suspect this next time around, will be, you will fix it. I think this bill addresses that.

REP. CONRAD I have always been one that thought there should be some logic to our tax system. When I was county commissioner, I was always able to say, your townships have to go to townships, and counties have to go to the county commissioners, when I got to schools, I always had trouble, it always came down to income tax is more appropriate, it improves all of our ability to make a living by having a higher educational system. Is that why you find the other bill which would move education onto the income tax?

**REP. MUELLER** The short answer is yes. In the process, it has become very convoluted and hard for folks to understand.

**REP. BELTER** If we move in the direction of making substantial changes as far as the level of funding to schools from the state, instead of local property tax, do you think there might be a move on part of the legislature that, if we are going pay the bills, we are also going to dictate who is going to have a school and who is not going to have a school.

**REP. MUELLER** That is certainly a possibility, I hope it won't happen. That process is an ongoing process, people back home are saying this isn't working, a lot of them are doing just that.

**REP. BRANDENBURG TO JERRY COLEMAN** Let's say we increase income tax, how many dollars would we have to make up with income tax, where is the savings going to be? **JERRY COLEMAN, STATE TAX DEPARTMENT.** It would be dollar for dollar.

Page 6
House Finance and Taxation Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB 1513
Hearing Date January 31, 2005

**REP. BRANDENBURG** It would be that 137 million.

**JERRY COLEMAN** That is how I understood the bill to work.

**REP. BRANDENBURG** So if we raise income tax to 137 million, then that should reduce property taxes by 137 million.

**JERRY COLEMAN** That is how I understand it, dollar for dollar.

**REP. AARSVOLD** Answered stating the projection made was 79 million, I don't know where the 137 million came from.

**REP. BRANDENBURG** What guarantee do we have that our property taxes will go down 137 million?

**REP. DROVDAL** Can somebody explain the 127%.

**REP. METCALF** My answer there is, if we increase it by twenty five percent, when you decrease it by twenty percent, to put into this fund. Every penny raised from that twenty five percent will go into this special fund.

With no further testimony, the committee hearing was closed.

# 2005 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

#### **BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1513**

House Finance and Taxation Committee

□ Conference Committee

Hearing Date February 1, 2005

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1		X	27.5
Committee Clerk Signatur	re		

Minutes:

### **COMMITTEE ACTION**

REP. BELTER Asked for a motion to adopt the amendments proposed by Rep. Metcalf.

**REP. HEADLAND** Made a motion to adopt the amendments as presented.

**REP. BRANDENBURG** Second the motion Motion carried by voice vote.

The committee members wanted clarification regarding section 7. The bill stated section 7 would become effective July, 2005, however, there was no section 7 in the bill.

**REP. DROVDAL** Made a motion to amend the bill by changing section 7 to section 5.

**REP. HEADLAND** Second the motion. Motion carried by voice vote.

**REP. BRANDENBURG** Made a motion for a do not pass as amended.

**REP. GRANDE** Second the motion. **MOTION CARRIED** 

10 YES 3 NO 1 ABSENT

**REP. BRANDENBURG** Was given the floor assignment.

# **FISCAL NOTE**

# Requested by Legislative Council 01/18/2005

Bill/Resolution No.:

HB 1513

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law

	2003-200	5 Biennium	2005-200	7 Biennium	2007-200	9 Biennium
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues				\$137,000,000		
Expenditures						
Appropriations	-					

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

2003	3-2005 Bienr	nium	2005	-2007 Bieni	nium	2007	7-2009 Bienr	ium
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
			· ·					

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

HB 1513 increases individual and corporation income tax rates by 25%, with the additional revenue dedicated to school district property tax relief.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
  - A. **Revenues:** Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

If enacted, HB 1513 is estimated to raise \$137 million for the school district property tax relief fund.

- B. **Expenditures:** Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.
- C. **Appropriations:** Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Kathryn L. Strombeck	Agency:	Office of Tax Commissioner
Phone Number:	328-3402	Date Prepared:	01/28/2005

Date: 7-05
Roll Call Vote #: 1

# 2005 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1513

House FINANCE & TAXATION		<del> </del>		Comn	nittee
Check here for Conference Comr	nittee				
			129 474		
egislative Council Amendment Num	iber _	<u>20'</u>	439.0203		
Action Taken N	1	7455	onded By Rep. G	amer	de
Motion Made By Rop. B101	del	Seco	onded By Rep. G	Yand	L
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
BELTER, WES, CHAIRMAN	V				<u> </u>
DROVDAL, DAVID, V-CHAIR	V				<b>├</b>
BRANDENBURG, MICHAEL	V				<u> </u>
CONRAD, KARI		V			╄
FROELICH, ROD	V				—
GRANDE, BETTE	V		<u> </u>		<b>↓</b> —
HEADLAND, CRAIG	1	<u> </u>		<del></del>	₩
IVERSON, RONALD					┼
KELSH, SCOT	<u> </u>				┼
NICHOLAS, EUGENE	K				+
OWENS, MARK		<del>                                     </del>		<del></del>	╁
SCHMIDT, ARLO				<del></del>	+
WEILER, DAVE	V			<del></del>	┿
WRANGHAM, DWIGHT	1		·		+
		<u> </u>			<u></u>
	<u> </u>		3		
Total (Yes)		No			<del></del>
Absent	<u>.</u>			<del></del>	
Floor Assignment	يمدر	ndem	burg		
If the vote is on an amendment, brief	ly indic	cate inten	: 1		

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) February 2, 2005 11:49 a.m.

Module No: HR-22-1669 Carrier: Brandenburg

Insert LC: 50439.0203 Title: .0300

## REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1513, as amended, Finance and Taxation Committee (Rep. Belter, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO NOT PASS (10 YEAS, 3 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1513, as amended, was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 6, line 22, after the underscored period insert "The adjustment factor for a school district under this subsection may not exceed one and four-tenths or be less than one."

Page 7, line 1, replace "7" with "5"

Renumber accordingly

2005 TESTIMONY

HB 1513

# TESTIMONY SUPPORTING HB 1513 HOUSE FINANCE AND TAXATION COMMITTEE JANUARY 31, 2005

Good morning Chairman Belter and members of the House Finance and Taxation Committee. I am Representative Ralph Metcalf from the greater District 24 representing North Dakotans living in 22 townships in western Barnes County and 10 townships in northwestern Ransom County.

How many times have you been asked to do something about reducing property tax? I can't answer for you but I have been asked that question many times and on many occasions. I invariably follow up with my question: Where are we going to get the money to replace property taxes? The answer to that question has been almost unanimous - increase income taxes as they are too low.

This bill, HB 1513, is intended to accomplish just that. A twenty-five percent increase will be made on all individual and corporate income tax. Additionally a reduction in property tax is mandated to equal the amount of money the individual school district receives which, when totaled, will equal all funds received from the 25% income tax increase. The total funds involved here is estimated to be \$71 million.

The concept is really quite simple, however, I am not so naive to believe there will not be some discrepancies to be ironed out. One of these discrepancies was noticed as soon as we were received our first estimate of distribution of funds. In that schedule several low taxable valuation per pupil districts would actually receive more money by this change than they were currently receiving from property tax. A small effort is included in this bill to reduce the disparity between property poor districts and property rich districts.

Regrettably, I am not all knowledgeable about income taxation or education financing so I have asked to have John Walstad from Legislative Council here to explain the details of the bill. With your permission, Chairman Belter, I will ask John to explain the operation of the bill at this time.

I believe that during this legislative assembly we are given the unique opportunity to correct or change some of the disparity found in the financing of our education system. I am not deluded to believe that this is the only way to correct a serious problem but it may be a method that, when incorporated with other ideas, will present a satisfactory solution for eventually controlling this known disparity in taxation. I hope that this committee and this legislature will show the strength and resolution necessary to achieve common ground upon which a firm and fair taxation system can be developed to insure quality education for all our children well into the future.

Chairman Belter, that completes my testimony on HB 1513 and I will gladly stand for any questions you or your committee may have.

						ĺ				)
1 Sch	School District Equalization E	0		ш	ш.	9	I		٦	×
2 Distr	Distribute \$71 Million Annually									
) <del>4</del>							E * G /1000	MIN(1.40,	. H.	J * J217* \$71 million
ည						HS Transp	Estimated	F217/F)	Adjusted	Annual
6 Codist	st Dname	DIVDE	01101430			HS tuition	Property Tax	Factor	Property Tax	Property Tax Relief
<u></u>	2	, ·	CENSUS	AXVAL	TAXVALPP	GF Levy	Levy		Levy	Fund Distribution
80	2002 Valley City	- 1	340	6,075,030	17,868	186.69	1,134,147	1.0000	1,134,147	244 973
6	2046 Highwille Marian		1,140	14,323,610	12,565	193.73	2,774,913	1,1655	3,234,049	G08 547
1-	2065 North Control	_	200	5,837,065	29,185	144.38	842,755	1.0000	842.755	780,047
	2082 Wimbledon Contract	<del></del>	152	5,879,959	38,684	152.25	895,224	1.0000	895.224	102,033
1.	3005 Minnedoll-Courtenay		139	5,629,824	40,502	159.05	895,424	1.0000	895,424	193 400
	2005 IVIII EWAUKAN	<del>-</del>	78	1,432,361	18,364	191.41	274,168	1.0000	274.168	59,409
	Spoot reeds	_	169	4,253,732	25,170	168.09	715,010	1.0000	715.010	154 440
	SOUS Maddock	-	171	3,867,750	22,618	171.32	662,623	1.0000	662 623	143 436
	3016 Uperon	7	36	995,093	27,641	115.70	115,132	1.0000	115,132	24 868
_	SUZS WARMICK	-	323	1,131,666	3,504	149.15	168,788	1.4000	236 303	51,000
1		₩	458	110,704	242	308.41	34,142	1.4000	47.799	10,104
	4001 Billings County	7	147	5,042,886	34,305	39.66	200,001	1.0000	200.001	43 200
	5001 Bottineau	-	675	11,174,975	16,556	152.13	1,700,049	1.0000	1.700.049	367 207
	5017 Westhope	<b>-</b>	143	3,543,497	24,780	158.93	563,168	1.0000	563,168	121.643
		-	06	4,921,783	54,686	150.38	740,138	1.0000	740,138	159,868
	6001 Bowman	-	363	4,696,142	12,937	168.22	789,985	1.1319	894,221	193,150
3 8	6017 Khame	-	6	2,411,188	39,528	133.01	320,712	1.0000	320,712	69,273
	6033 Scranton	<del>-</del>	144	3,380,226	23,474	165.67	560,002	1.0000	560,002	120,959
<b>-</b> T	7014 Bowbells	•	93	2,912,065	31,313	171.70	500,002	1.0000	500,002	107,999
	7027 Powers Lake	<del></del>	125	2,029,273	16,234	184.91	375,233	1.0000	375,233	81,049
7 8	7036 Burke Central	<del></del>	82	3,424,853	40,292	139.28	477,014	1.0000	477,014	103,034
	8001 Bismarck	<b>-</b>	10,966	144,701,220	13,195	231.40	33,483,862	1,1098	37,160,870	8,026,663
	8025 Naughton	က	13	242,627	18,664	243.17	59,000	1.0000	29,000	12,744
-	8UZB Wing	-	99	1,999,310	30,293	150.05	299,996	1.0000	299,996	64,799
5 6	8029 Baldwin	7	20	697,122	13,942	157.79	109,999	1.0504	115,537	24,956
	8033 Menoken	7	78	1,123,199	14,400	301.85	339,038	1.0169	344,782	74,472
	8035 Sterling	7	73	1,953,781	26,764	192.36	375,829	1.0000	375,829	81,178
_	Boss Apple Creek	7	146	1,766,878	12,102	226.19	399,650	1.2100	483,596	104,456
	8045 Manning	თ .	30	198,408	6,614	252.01	50,001	1.4000	70,001	15,120
	Sout raigo	<b>-</b> - ,	11,020	190,481,817	17,285	289.13	55,074,008	1.0000	55,074,008	11,895,860
1.	900Z Ningred	•	999	11,167,706	16,768	167.97	1,875,840	1.0000	1,875,840	405,177
	ייטין ויומטום למופץ	-	320	8,268,266	25,838	167.05	1,381,214	1.0000	1,381,214	298,339



1/31/2005 OArs Property Tax Relief 3.xls jac

_
듷
~
æ
ĕ

<u>i</u>
nstruct
힘디
t of P
о В
≓

70		)			u	C				
<u>ة</u> 2	School District Equalization Find			,		פ	I	_	7	¥
2 Dis	Distribute \$71 Million Applicative	,							ì	4
က	y will construct the second se									
4				•••			E * G /1000	MIN(1.40.	H. 1	.1 * .1247* £74 million
2						HS Transp	Estimated	F217/F1	Adima	101111111111111111111111111111111111111
9						HS tuition	Property Tay		Detendad	Annual
Т.	est Uname	DTYPE	CENSUS	TAXVAL	TAXVAL PP	GE 1 8104	ver display	ractor	Property lax	Property Tax Relief
a l	9006 West Fargo	-	5.025	95 233 937	040	Or Levy	revy		Levy	Fund Distribution
8	9007 Mapleton	c	90	02,002,00	766,01	188.36	17,938,264	1.0000	17,938,264	3.874 624
41	9017 Central Cass	•	06-	2,757,748	13,979	265.35	734,422	1.0476	769,359	466 490
42	9080 522	-	766	11,621,048	15,171	163.20	1,896,555	1 0000	1 806 565	100,100
1	Soon rage	7	100	3,367,046	33.670	170 01	E72 424		CCC'060'1	409,652
_	9097 Northern Cass	-	419	9.827,195	23.454	400 50	104,431	0000	572,431	123,644
` -,	10014 Border Central	•		3 404 066	tot'02	50.08	1,872,867	1.0000	1,872,867	404,535
	10019 Munich	•	; <del>;</del>	0,101,933	100,063	169.78	526,650	1.0000	526,650	113.755
46	10023 Langdon Area	- •	Ξ [	3,138,431	28,274	150.39	471,989	1.0000	471,989	101 948
47	11040 Filandale	- •	5/4	11,949,082	20,817	164.23	1,962,398	1.0000	1.962.398	0+0,101 0+0 cc*
· -	11044 Online	-	411	6,227,567	15,152	175.35	1,092,004	1.0000	1 092 004	250,024
_	Control Cares	-	482	7,650,911	15,873	183.01	1,400 193	1 0000	1,002,004	0/8/52
	LZU01 Divide County	-	284	6,590,911	23.207	148 56	OGE 064	1.0000	1,400,193	302,439
_	3008 Dodge	7	30	615,909	20 530	180 44	400,000 400,000	0000.1	965,964	208,646
<del>-</del>	3016 Killdeer	-	339	7 522 872	20,000	109.14	110,493	1.0000	116,493	25,162
	13019 Halliday	-	99	1,022,012	181,22	158.04	1,188,915	1.0000	1,188,915	256,803
53	13037 Twin Buttes	، -	8 8	906,178,1	178,87	161.04	317,491	1.0000	317,491	68.577
24	14001 New Bookford	٧,	0 !	23,801	302	•	•	1.4000	•	•
1	14012 Showson	_	330	5,347,576	16,205	185.00	989,302	1.0000	989.302	213 687
		-	73	1,520,191	20,825	167.74	254,997	1.0000	254 997	200,014
<u>-</u>	13000 Hazelton-Moffit-Braddock	-	141	3,751,708	26,608	155.13	582 002	1 0000	100,001	R/n'cc
`. -	15010 Bakker	7	56	625,458	24.056	140.53	87 896	1.0000	200,260	125,711
_	15012 Union	4	18	599.041	33 280	BE 77	01,030	0000.1	988'78	18,985
23 23	15015 Strasburg	•	183	2 924 066	45.070	90.77	8/6'LC	1.0000	51,979	11,227
	15036 Linton	-	310	5 285 527	13,979	139.33	407,995	1.0000	407,995	. 88,126
61 16	16010 Carrington	٠ +	ה ה	10.060.440	000,11	174.37	921,637	1.0000	921,637	199,072
·	17003 Beach		200	4 000 706	18,503	169.69	1,742,565	1.0000	1,742,565	376,390
63	17006 Lone Tree	- c	200	4,002,736	17,403	148.65	595,016	1.0000	595,016	128,522
_	18001 Grand Focks	<b>4</b> 4	0 0	38L'874'L	22,742	181.50	268,293	1.0000	268,293	57.951
_	18044 Ladimore	- ,	395	104,461,000	14,126	221.61	23,149,602	1.0367	23,998,497	5 183 621
_	18061 Thomson	-	497	6,442,600	12,963	190.52	1,227,444	1.1297	1,386,615	300 506
	19425 Manual	-	456	5,361,587	11,758	163.49	876,566	1.2454	1 091 719	200,500
	o izo manvel	7	296	3,351,328	11,322	213.61	715,877	1.2934	025,023	600,007
_	1812/ Emerado	7	198	1,917,801	989'6	292.61	561 168	1 4000	367,923	166'66L
_	18128 Midway	-	293	5,368,301	18,322	184 42	000,000	1.4000	783,635	169,695
2	18129 Northwood	-	292	5,045,908	17 281	178 26	770,000	0000.1	330,022	213,843



_
ਰ
4
ge
č

	_
	2
	3
	ņ
-	5
į	3
Ö	Ĺ
Ì	5
Š	5
_	נ
ξ	;

L										ļ
-	A B	ပ	Q	Е	ıL	9	I		٦	×
- 2	Distribute \$71 Million Annually									
<sub>ν</sub>	•						E * G /1000	MIN(1.40,	H. 1	J * J217* \$71 million
4 ռ.						HS Transp	Estimated	F217/F)	Adjusted	Annual
y (c	40100	!	;			HS tuition	Property Tax	Factor	Property Tax	Property Tax Relief
2 6	3	DTYPE	CENSUS	TAXVAL	TAXVALPP	GF Levy	Levy		Levy	Fund Distribution
3 5		-	221	3,184,452	14,409	176.01	560,495	1.0163	569,637	123,040
2 5		-	296	4,460,749	15,070	144.82	646,006	1.0000	646,006	139,536
3 5		•	226	4,615,829	20,424	168.12	776,013	1.0000	776,013	167,617
0 0	78020	-	174	2,785,863	16,011	149.08	415,316	1.0000	415,316	89,707
2 2	28051	•	340	6,424,159	18,895	171.23	1,100,009	1.0000	1,100,009	237,599
		-	187	4,297,014	22,979	158.25	680,002	1.0000	680,002	146,879
2 5		-	116	278,027	2,397	185.00	51,435	1.4000	72,009	15,554
		₩-	689	5,615,685	8,150	185.28	1,040,474	1.4000	1,456,664	314,636
	29020	_	61	1,168,809	19,161	152.08	177,752	1.0000	177,752	38,394
112		-	804	9,459,998	11,766	185.00	1,750,100	1.2446	2,178,179	470,482
	30001	-	3,639	39,864,893	10,955	181.86	7,249,829	1.3367	9,691,146	2,093,265
114	30004	2	44	820,929	18,657	164.85	135,330	1.0000	135,330	29,231
113	30007	•	327	3,799,896	11,620	162.77	618,509	1.2602	779,470	168,364
	30008	7	20	1,491,841	29,837	170.93	255,000	1.0000	255,000	55,080
	30013	-	169	3,668,425	21,707	156.74	574,989	1.0000	574,989	124,196
118	30017	ო	18	350,024	19,446	105.62	36,970	1.0000	36,970	7,985
113		-	202	2,981,455	14,760	186.42	555,803	1.0000	555,803	120,052
071		-	202	4,010,905	19,565	157.07	629,993	1.0000	629,993	136,077
123	31001 New Town	-	735	2,736,746	3,723	169.18	463,003	1.4000	648,204	140,011
122	31002	<b>~</b>	344	5,804,179	16,873	185.00	1,073,773	1.0000	1,073,773	231,933
123	31003	<del>-</del>	322	3,382,794	10,506	171.57	580,386	1.3939	808,983	174,738
124	32001	-	407	9,158,415	22,502	189.45	1,735,062	1.0000	1,735,062	374,769
125	32066	-	176	4,405,107	25,029	187.01	823,799	1.0000	823,799	177,939
126	33001	-	324	3,731,154	11,516	170.00	634,296	1.2716	806,585	174,221
12/		-	136	3,698,317	27,194	170.47	630,452	1.0000	630,452	136,176
128		-	467	7,842,366	16,793	189.81	1,488,559	1.0000	1,488,559	321,525
129		<b>-</b>	159	3,453,027	21,717	205.49	709,563	1.0000	709,563	153,264
2		-	172	5,316,962	30,913	187.18	995,229	1.0000	995,229	214,967
2 6		-	283	4,446,463	15,712	210.39	935,491	1.0000	935,491	202,064
35,		-	140	2,852,316	20,374	220.71	629,535	1.0000	629,535	135,978
S 5		-	126	3,241,612	25,727	191.98	622,325	1.0000	622,325	134,421
2	Sour Weiterd	-	25	1,606,075	28,177	185.00	297,124	1.0000	297,124	64.178





<u> </u>
X
ā
ā
2
ĕ
ς
perty
5
9
ž
Õ
ıΩ
ö
2
5
≌
-

L					)					
	School District Equalization	ပ		Ш	Ŀ	9	I			7
76										4
) 4	<del></del>	•					E * G /1000	MIN(1.40	H. /	1 + 1047* 674 million
100	T					HS Transp	Estimated	E217/E)	Adiniba	UOIIIII 1 P 1170 P
Œ	Codie 1					HS tuition	Property Tax	Factor	Property Tox	Annual
, 5	<u> </u>	DTYPE	CENSUS	TAXVAL	TAXVALPP	GF Levy	- And I		richery lax	Froperty lax Keller
<u> </u>		_	671	10,294,429	15.342	185.00	1 004 480	7	Levy	Fund Distribution
20	36001 Devils Lake	-	2.034	17 217 484	1000	100.00	904,406,1	1.0000	1,904,469	411,361
3	7 36002 Edmore	-	76	4 484 706	0,403	00.881	3,236,887	1.4000	4,531,642	978,824
138	36044		2 lg	4,401,730	1/6'8c	145.25	650,981	1.0000	650,981	140.610
139	37002	- (	င္ဆ	2,684,825	31,586	152.86	410,402	1.0000	410,402	88 646
140			101	1,340,985	13,277	243.11	326,007	1.1030	359.573	77 667
4		71	36	829,489	23,041	261.39	216,820	1.0000	216,820	46 833
142		-	561	8,318,319	14,828	187.39	1,558,770	1.0000	1.558.770	336 604
4		•	276	5,337,252	19,338	185.49	700,066	1.0000	200.066	212 820
144		•	377	10,083,757	26,747	157.87	1,591,923	1.0000	1.591.923	242 053
145		-	172	3,426,156	19,920	139.22	476,989	1.0000	476 989	343,632
1 4		4	36	1,363,609	37,878	148.65	202,700	1.0000	202,200	103,029
		Ψ-	293	5,099,020	17,403	185.00	943,319	1.0000	943 319	43,763
1/18		-	93	4,202,089	45,184	188.09	790,371	1.0000	790.371	47074
1 0		<del></del>	219	3,574,200	16,321	185.00	661,227	1.0000	661 227	142 CA1
7 2		-	1,519	19,711,907	12,977	186.30	3,672,328	1.1285	4.144.068	142,024 142,024
2 4	39042	-	262	6,137,433	23,425	157.23	964,989	1.0000	964 989	9082, 109
2 5	39044	-	308	5,247,250	17,037	185.00	970,741	1.0000	970,741	200,433
153	40001	-	842	1,468,352	1,744	151.75	222,822	1.4000	311.951	67 381
3 2		-	510	746,935	1,465	153.96	114,998	1.4000	160 997	377 45
7 2	40004	<b>-</b>	282	4,050,035	14,362	170.44	690,288	1.0196	703.842	152 028
150		-	2,157	334,520	155	ï	•	1.4000	•	020,201
3 [2	40029 Kolette	•	188	2,890,447	15,375	189.68	548,260	1.0000	548,260	118.423
158		<b>,-</b> ,	294	3,394,207	11,545	180.73	613,435	1.2684	778,098	168.067
150		-	176	2,815,655	15,998	188.23	529,991	1.0000	529.991	114 477
3 6	4 1000 Sargent Central	-	284	6,680,640	23,523	186.30	1,244,603	1.0000	1.244.603	768 824
9 6		Ψ.	25	1,653,856	31,805	193.96	320,782	1.0000	320.782	160,002
9		-	105	2,479,058	23,610	176.48	437,504	1.0000	437.504	001,00
16.		-	334	1,429,863	4,281	182.64	261,150	1.4000	365.610	97,900 178,97
164		<del>-</del> ·	206	487,344	069	185.00	90,159	1.4000	126,222	16,97
165		- (	102	1,324,228	12,983	187.01	247,644	1.1279	279.327	51,204 60 334
186		N G	: 54	1,285,341	61,207	71.97	92,506	1.0000	92.506	10 084
}		2	Ξ	397,228	36,112	137.19	54,496	1.0000	54 496	18,961
									JOT. 100	11,771

~
ਰੱ
9
Page

c
⅀
퓽
⋾
늘
2
=
ပ
壹
3
α.
ō
ep
œ
ں
줍
Ź.

L	-	}								)
-	School District Equality Fig. 1	$\exists$		Ш	Н		I		-	
75	Distribute \$71 Million Annually									
4	·						E • G /1000	MIN(1.40,	H	J * J217* \$71 million
ις.						<b>HS Transp</b>	Estimated	F217/F)	Adjusted	Appual
ဖ	Codist Dname		on on L			HS tuition	Property Tax	Factor	Property Tax	Property Tax Relief
167	44032 Central Elementary		SOCIA	IAXVAL	TAXVALPP	GF Levy	Levy		Levy	Fund Distribution
168		٠,	87 :	1,402,140	50,076	77.74	109,002	1.0000	109,002	23 544
169		- ,	3,044	29,925,240	9,831	185.00	5,536,169	1.4000	7.750,637	1 674 120
170		-	258	2,424,540	6,397	149.62	362,760	1.4000	507,864	109 607
171		<del>-</del> ⋅	169	1,565,802	9,265	185.00	289,673	1.4000	405.543	169,691
172	A6010 Lone	₹-	248	4,197,583	16,926	185.00	776,553	1.0000	776.553	167 734
173	•	<b></b> .	<del>2</del>	3,410,409	25,451	175.35	598,015	1.0000	598.015	129 170
174			178	4,158,200	23,361	187.10	666'222	1.0000	777,999	168 046
175			2,600	29,518,951	11,353	191.00	5,638,120	1.2899	7,272,494	1.570.842
176		<del>-</del> -	<del>2</del> 2	3,239,627	21,037	167.56	542,832	1.0000	542,832	117.250
177		-	107	2,989,868	27,943	163.55	488,993	1.0000	488,993	105,621
178		<b>,-</b> .	105	2,324,534	22,138	185.00	430,039	1.0000	430,039	92,887
7		-	22	2,559,445	46,535	161.65	413,734	1.0000	413,734	89.366
180		8	24	3,061,077	127,545	136.93	419,153	1.0000	419,153	90,536
<u></u>		<del></del>	84	3,559,195	42,371	176.69	628,874	1.0000	628,874	135,835
182		-	273	3,816,484	13,980	168.21	641,971	1.0475	672,462	145.250
102		-	76	2,403,405	31,624	170.06	408,723	1.0000	408,723	88 283
3 5		•-	297	5,734,149	19,307	152.29	873,254	1.0000	873,254	188.621
100	_	-	264	3,685,197	13,959	176.38	649,995	1.0491	681,892	147.287
100		-	397	8,822,729	22,223	172.28	1,519,980	1.0000	1,519,980	328,312
100	- 1.	-	290	10,413,382	17,650	180.00	1,874,409	1.0000	1,874,409	404,868
100	1	-	964	9,708,110	10,071	185.01	1,796,097	1.4000	2,514,536	543,134
5 6		₹~	210	3,812,402	18,154	176.21	671,783	1.0000	671,783	145,104
800		~	36	942,927	26,192	179.66	169,406	1.0000	169,406	36,591
5 5		7	34	896,568	25,487	208.19	180,411	1.0000	180,411	38,968
2 5		-	391	5,513,593	14,101	190.22	1,048,796	1.0385	1,089,183	235,261
102	20079	-	84	1,857,333	22,111	169.89	315,542	1.0000	315,542	68.156
10,0		_	108	1,805,069	16,714	186.42	336,501	1.0000	336,501	72.683
10,4	Sulze Adams	04	92	1,906,219	20,720	167.09	318,510	1.0000	318,510	68.797
108		,	5,760	70,775,673	12,287	197.01	13,943,515	1.1918	16,618,283	3.589.511
107		2	396	4,730,708	11,946	213.50	1,010,006	1.2258	1,238,116	267 430
200	21007	-	099	6,407,828	6,709	167.37	1,072,478	1.4000	1.501,469	324 314
20	STOTU Bell	2	231	2,437,480	10,552	222.92	543,363	1.3878	754 076	162 636
	-									) () (4))



~
ថ
١.
age

A									
1 School District Education P	ا ا	٥	Ш	Ŧ	ပ	I	-		
2 Distribute \$71 Million Agence	_							2	۷
T									
4						E * G /1000	MIN(1.40,	#. I	J * .1217* \$71 million
2					<b>HS Transp</b>	Estimated	F217/F)	Adjusted	Annual
6 Codist Dname					<b>HS</b> tuition	Property Tax	Factor	Property Tax	Property Tay Relief
199	DIYPE C	CENSUS	TAXVAL	TAXVALPP	GF Levy	Levv			index values
	-	159	2,306,348	14.505	180.46	446 204	7	دّ	Fund Distribution
	7	43	874 114	00000	01.001	407'01 +	1.0096	420,192	90,761
201 51028 Kenmare	•	283	010 000	070,020	133.30	116,519	1.0000	116,519	25.168
202 51041 Surrey		4 c	078,080,0	23,300	185.00	1,219,884	1.0000	1,219,884	263 402
203 51070 South Parity		9 9 9	2,885,044	8,127	177.95	513.394	1,4000	718 751	201001
	7	174	3,245,389	18,652	176.60	573 136	0000	10,017	155,249
204 51160 Minot AFB	4	1.242	•	•			.0000	5/3,136	123,796
[205] 51161 Lewis And Clark	•	110	000	' '	٠.	•		•	•
206 52025 Fessenden Boundon	- ,	, <del>1</del>	6,906,525	25,667	140.00	1,246,914	1.0000	1,246,914	086 93C
	-	235	7,218,106	30,715	151.01	1,090,006	1,000	1 000 006	007 200
	7	34	975,413	28,689	179.03	174 S2B	1 0000	000'000'	552,458
206 52038 Harvey	-	475	8 388 873	17 661	104 44	020,477	0000.1	1/4,628	37,719
209 52039 Sykes	•	. 22	4 604 941	100'11	104.14	1,544,727	1.0000	1,544,727	333,658
[210] 53001 Williston	- •	2 6	CL0'180'1	23,176	185.00	312,986	1.0000	312,986	67,604
	- ,	2,483	16,943,686	6,824	238.36	4,038,697	1.4000	5,654,176	1.221.289
	- 1	3	3,282,575	20,138	184.71	606,324	1.0000	606,324	130,965
213 52008 Marri	<del></del> .	1/3	1,450,638	8,385	179.23	259,998	1.4000	363.997	78 623
•	7	334	7,479,825	22,395	199.87	1,494,993	1.0000	1 494 993	323 046
	-	252	5,658,646	22,455	184.62	1.044.699	1,000	1 044 699	02.2,310
	-	23	1,846,432	34,838	173.31	320 005	10000	200 000	60,622
216 53099 Grenora		62	3 400 295	54 843	400	200,000	0000.	200,026	69,120
217 North Dakota		104 674	4 520 754 260	240,45	100,26	/00'009	1.0000	200'029	136,080
		1,0,401	797,157,286,1	14,644	199.52	305,814,990	1.0000	328,707,180	71,000,000
							!		

