# Effects of Petroleum Industry on County Government Costs in North Dakota

Dean A. Bangsund F. Larry Leistritz

Report prepared for the North Dakota Association of Oil and Gas Producing Counties

### Objective

Document how changes in oil and gas exploration and production have affected the cost of providing county government services in North Dakota

#### Approach

- Survey county officials -- ask county officials to document the effects on their individual office
- Use survey results to generate projections of cost increases for oil and gas producing counties

### Survey What did we ask?

- General (non-road) offices
  - Changes in office workload
  - Changes in cost of providing services
  - Ability to offset costs from new/increased fees
    & charges
- Road departments
  - Information on maintenance, repair, and construction operations on impacted and nonimpacted roads

#### Survey Response Rate

- 59 offices/departments in 14 counties (only Ward and Bottineau Counties absent)
  - 13 road departments
  - 4 or more responses each from offices of auditor, sheriff, treasurer, r. of deeds, social services, c. of court, & tax equalization
  - equates to about a 30 percent response rate

### **General County Offices**

With respect to only changes in petroleum sector activities in the past 12 months

- 79% of offices reported increased workloads
- Offices internalized the additional workload (added staff, extra hours for existing staff, purchased equipment)
- Increased workload = increased costs
   (69 percent of offices with increased workloads reported costs increased, compared to 10% of offices without changes in workload)
- Overall, about 60% of general offices reported cost increases

### General Offices Areas of Additional Expense

Expense Types	Number	Percent	
More supplies/inputs	25	89.3	
Added equipment	21	75.0	
Increased hours for staff	20	71.4	
Hired full-time staff	12	42.9	
Higher wage rates	11	39.3	
More clients/applicants	10	35.7	
More training/recruitment	8	28.6	
Hired part-time staff	5	17.9	

## General Offices Cost Projections

- Survey responses stratified based on county-level changes in petroleum output in last two years
  - Do not assume impacts equal in all counties
- Survey responses averaged across all county offices
  - Avoid estimating costs for specific offices

# General Offices Cost Projections

High Impact Counties	4 0 3 0 676
Billings, Bowman, Dunn, McKenzie, Mountrail,	and Williams
Average <u>net cost</u> increase per general office in last 12 months (average of all offices with and without cost increases)	\$24,769
Estimated number of county offices multiplied by average net cost increase per office	\$1,659,000

# General Offices Cost Projections

Moderate Impact Counties		
Average net cost increase per general office in last 12 months (average of all offices with and without cost increases)	\$10,662	
Estimated number of county offices multiplied by average net cost increase per office	\$1,120,000	

#### **General Offices Cost Projections**

Estimate of Cost Increases over Pas	st 12 Months
High Impact Counties (about \$276,000 per county)	\$1,659,000
Moderate Impact Counties (about \$112,000 per county)	\$1,120,000
All Counties	\$2,779,000

### **Road Departments**

**Unique Challenges** 

- · Effects in each county are different
  - Miles of roads affected
  - Type, capacities, characteristics of impacted roads
  - Geographic distribution of oil activity within county
  - Intensity of road use / traffic patterns
  - Costs for road operations
- Escalating input costs (e.g., gravel, fuel) not tied to petroleum sector use of roads
- In absence of petroleum industry, still have road maintenance costs

### Road Departments Unique Challenges

- Need to evaluate costs over broader time frames (majority of road operations not performed on all roads each year)
- Complex problem -- does not lend itself to relying on a single answer from road departments
- · A different questionnaire and analysis was used

### Road Departments Questionnaire

- County officials provided the following for impacted and non-impacted roads
  - Miles, by road type
  - 12 separate maintenance, repair, and reconstruction/surfacing operations
    - · Cost per mile
    - · Frequency of need
    - · Miles of need in next three years
  - Questionnaire developed by Dan Brosz, Brosz Engineering, Bowman, ND

### Road Departments

#### General Findings

- Impacted vs non-impacted roads
  - Frequency of need is substantially higher (i.e., how often a particular operation is required)
  - Greater disparity with the most costly operations (e.g., reconstruction, resurfacing)
  - Nearly all road operations more expensive (\$/mile) to perform on impacted roads than on non-impacted roads

### Road Departments Cost Projections

- Cost of maintaining impacted roads
- · Cost in absence of oil industry
  - Applied non-impacted road data to impacted roads
    - Cost per mile by road operation
    - Frequency of need by road operation by road type
    - Miles impacted by road type
- Difference between the two estimates

# Road Departments Cost Projections 2008 through 2010

		Avg Cost Analysis		Ratio Analysis	
Counties*	Impacted Roads (6,700 miles)	Non- impacted Status	Net Cost Increase	Non- impacted Status	Net Cost Increase
	000s of 2007 dollars				
Total**	110,880	22,030	88,850	24,830	86,050
Avg Annual	36,960	7,343	29,617	8,277	28,683
Avg Annual Per County	3,360	668	2,692	752	2,608

<sup>\*</sup>Counties with useable data from survey were Billings, Bowman, Burke, Dunn, Golden Valley, McHenry, McKenzie, Renville, Slope, Stark, and Williams.

#### Summary Cost Projections

Costs	Low Estimate	High Estimate
Roads Responding Non-responding*	\$34.1 million \$2.65 million x 11 counties \$1 million x 5 counties	\$42.4 million \$2.65 million x 11 counties \$2.65 million x 5 counties
General Offices	\$2.8 million	\$2.8 million
Total (2007 \$)	\$36.9 million	\$45.2 million

<sup>\*</sup> Regards assumptions on costs for road impacts in counties that did not respond to the survey. Personal interview with Mountrail County revealed \$1 million increase in road costs from FY07 to FY08.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Does not include snow removal, weed control, or mowing.

#### Conclusions

- Expansion of oil and gas sector has led to increased workload for majority of county offices
- Increased workloads = increased costs
- Financial impacts of oil and gas on maintenance of rural roads are substantial

### Final Thoughts

- Financial burden of increasing costs of providing county services?
  - Are current levels of tax re-distributions sufficient?
  - Effect on county residents/tax payers?

### Acknowledgements

- Vicky Steiner, ND Association of Oil and Gas Producing Counties
- Dan Brosz, Brosz Engineering, Inc., Bowman, ND