

Bill Draft – LC 90111.02

This bill proposes numerous administrative and technical changes as well as additional options for the PERS and HP retirement plans and Group Insurance.

Proposals	Section	Actuarial Comments	PERS Comments & Recommendations
1. The PERS board is presently authorized to appoint 3 of its 4 elected members to the state investment board. This change would allow the board to appoint as one of its 3 members a nonelected PERS Board members such as the Board Chair who is appointed by the Governor, the Attorney General's appointment or the Health Officer or designee.	Section 1		
2. Standardizing the language relating to purchase or prior service and years of service for the Highway Patrol with the PERS plan.	Section 2		
3. Allows members in the HP and PERS to purchase an additional 5 years of service credit in addition to the 5 years presently authorized except such purchase would not apply toward legibility for the rule of 85	Section 2 for the HP Section 12 for PERS		PERS is proposing to withdraw this provision at this time due to the existing market conditions
4. Authorize the pretax payment of employee contributions made by the HP members and Judges (6.3% for HP & 1% for Judges)	Section 3 for HP Section 9 for Judges		
5. Presently law authorizes a member to designate their spouse as an alternate beneficiary if the member dies. This	Section 5 for HP Section 10 for PERS		This provision was proposed in concert with the following

Proposals	Section	Actuarial Comments	PERS Comments & Recommendations
change would allow the member to designate someone other than their spouse as the beneficiary with the consent of the spouse			change. Due to the change in #6 we are also proposing to withdraw this as well.
6. Present law allows a member to take a Joint and Survivor benefit. This proposed change would allow a member to choose a new J&S beneficiary if the existing beneficiary passes away.	Section 5 for HP Section 10 for PERS	This provision has an actuarial cost of .3 for the HP plan and .54 for the Judges plan	PERS is proposing to withdrawn this provision due to its actuarial cost, we are also proposing to withdraw this for PERS
7. Adds a graduated benefit option to the plan in addition to the existing options (J&S 50% and 100%, 10 year term certain & level SS benefit). Pursuant to this option a member could take an actuarial reduced benefit initially (like they do with the J&S benefit) so their benefit would increase at 1% or 2% over time. The benefit would be reduced actuarially to reduce the initial payments by an amount to pay for the 1% or 2% option	Section 6 for HP Section 11 for PERS		
8. Update the federal compliance provisions and add federally required language relating to the treatment of members in dual plans	Section 4, 7 for HP Section 10, 13 for PERS		
9. Establishes the graduated benefit increases will be effective in Jan of each year	Section 6 for HP Section 11 for PERS		
10. Present law provides that any member of the PERS retirement plan can run for the PERS Board. The board is proposing broadening that to include members of the HP plan, Job Service	Section 8		

2

Proposals	Section	Actuarial Comments	PERS Comments & Recommendations
Plan and DC plan. These are plans also administered by the Board.			
11. Eliminates the provision allowing employees of nonparticipating employers to participate in PERS	Section 15		
12. Provides for a latter effective date for the purchase provision and the graduated benefit	Section 16		

W

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO BILL NO. 90011.0200

Page 1, line 7, replace "subsections" with "subsection"

Page 1, line 7, remove "8 and"

Page 1, line 8, remove ", 8,"

Page 1, line 8, remove "subsection 6 of section"

Page 1, line 9, remove "54-52-17.4,"

Page 3, line 28, remove the overstrike over "~~five~~"

Page 3, line 28, remove "ten"

Page 3, line 28, after "credit" insert a period

Page 3, line 28, remove "unrelated to any other eligible service. Up to a"

Page 3, remove lines 29 through 31

Page 5, line 1, replace "Subsections" with "Subsection"

Page 5, line 1, remove "8 and"

Page 5, remove lines 3 through 11

Page 5, line 14, remove "A"

Page 5, remove lines 15 and 21

Page 8, line 3, remove ", 8,"

Page 10, remove lines 1 through 8

Page 10, line 13, remove "A member may designate a subsequent beneficiary"

Page 10, remove lines 14 through 19

4

Page 11, remove lines 7 through 13

Renumber accordingly



THE SEGAL COMPANY
6300 South Syracuse Way, Suite 750 Englewood, CO 80111
T 303.714.9900 F 303.714.9990 www.segalco.com

October 17, 2008

Representative Bette Grande, Chair
Employee Benefits Programs Committee
State of North Dakota
Bismarck, North Dakota

Re: **Technical Comments – Bill Draft No. 90111.0100**

The following presents our analysis of the proposed changes found in Bill Draft No. 90111.0100:

Systems Affected: North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System (Hybrid Plan) and Highway Patrol Retirement System

Summary: The proposed legislation would make the following important changes:

The PERS Board is presently authorized to appoint 3 of its 4 elected members to the State Investment Board (SIB). This change would allow the Board to appoint as one of its 3 members a non-elected PERS Board member such as the Board Chair who is appointed by the Governor, the Attorney General's appointment, or the Health Officer or designee.

Authorizes payment of employee contributions on a pre-tax basis, instead of on an after-tax basis, in the Highway Patrol Retirement System and the Judges retirement plan via employer pick-up under Internal Revenue Code rules, for compensation earned after August 1, 2009.

Allows members of the Hybrid Plan and Highway Patrol Retirement System to select a non-spouse beneficiary as a joint annuitant for the joint and survivor benefit options (50% or 100% survivor benefit). Any non-spouse beneficiary selected for the joint and survivor benefit options must not be more than ten years younger or older than the member. If the member is married, his or her spouse must consent to any non-spouse beneficiary designation.

Allows members of the Hybrid Plan and Highway Patrol Retirement System to designate a subsequent beneficiary, either after the death of the original beneficiary or upon divorce of the member, for retirees who elected a joint and survivor benefit option.

Benefits, Compensation and HR Consulting ATLANTA BOSTON CALGARY CHICAGO CLEVELAND DENVER HARTFORD HOUSTON LOS ANGELES
MINNEAPOLIS NEW ORLEANS NEW YORK PHILADELPHIA PHOENIX PRINCETON RALEIGH SAN FRANCISCO TORONTO WASHINGTON, DC



Multinational Group of Actuaries and Consultants BARCELONA BRUSSELS DUBLIN GENEVA HAMBURG JOHANNESBURG LONDON MELBOURNE
MEXICO CITY OSLO PARIS

Allows members of the Hybrid Plan and Highway Patrol Retirement System to elect a new optional form of monthly retirement benefit that provides a graduated increase of 1% or 2%. The monthly retirement benefit would be actuarially adjusted to provide for the post-retirement increases.

Eliminates the 60-month certain option as a form of payment for surviving spouses in the Hybrid Plan.

Allows members of the Hybrid Plan and Highway Patrol Retirement System to purchase up to ten years of service credit, instead of five years, unrelated to other eligible service. A maximum of five years of service credit purchased under this provision would count towards retirement eligibility for Rule of 80 (Highway Patrol) or Rule of 85 (Hybrid Plan).

Updates federal compliance provisions of the Hybrid Plan and Highway Patrol Retirement System, including additional language to comply with Internal Revenue Code section 415(b) and related regulations.

Present law provides that any member of the PERS retirement plan can run for election to the PERS Board. The Board is proposing to broaden the election to include members of the Highway Patrol Retirement System, Job Service Plan and Defined Contribution Plan. These plans are also administered by the Board.

Actuarial Cost Analysis: This bill would have an actuarial impact on the Hybrid Plan and the Highway Patrol Retirement System. Since the Normal Form for the Highway Patrol and the Judges is a 50% Joint and Survivor annuity, this bill will allow non-married members to receive an unreduced Joint and Survivor benefit. Alternately, members of these plans electing a non-spouse beneficiary could have their benefit reduced as it is in the Main plan, however, the bill does not currently provide for this.

Technical Comments: Our comments on the bill are as follows:

Benefits Policy Issues

➤ Adequacy of Retirement Benefits

While the graduated increase option provisions of this bill would not enhance the adequacy of the retirement benefits, such provisions allow a member to better distribute their benefit payments during retirement with the graduated 1% or 2% option. This option would not apply to the level social security benefit. An example of the payments under this option for a member with a normal retirement monthly benefit of \$1,000 retiring at age 65 is as follows:

7

Retiree Age	Monthly Single Life Benefit	Monthly Graduated Benefit with 1% Annual Increases	Monthly Graduated Benefit with 2% Annual Increases
65	\$1,000.00	\$925.67	\$853.93
66	\$1,000.00	\$934.93	\$871.00
67	\$1,000.00	\$944.28	\$888.42
68	\$1,000.00	\$953.72	\$906.19
69	\$1,000.00	\$963.26	\$924.32
70	\$1,000.00	\$972.89	\$942.80
71	\$1,000.00	\$982.62	\$961.66
72	\$1,000.00	\$992.45	\$980.89
73	\$1,000.00	\$1,002.37	\$1,000.51
74	\$1,000.00	\$1,012.39	\$1,020.52
75	\$1,000.00	\$1,022.52	\$1,040.93
76	\$1,000.00	\$1,032.74	\$1,061.75
77	\$1,000.00	\$1,043.07	\$1,082.98
78	\$1,000.00	\$1,053.50	\$1,104.64
79	\$1,000.00	\$1,064.04	\$1,126.74
80	\$1,000.00	\$1,074.68	\$1,149.27
81	\$1,000.00	\$1,085.42	\$1,172.26
82	\$1,000.00	\$1,096.28	\$1,195.70
83	\$1,000.00	\$1,107.24	\$1,219.62
84	\$1,000.00	\$1,118.31	\$1,244.01
85	\$1,000.00	\$1,129.50	\$1,268.89

This bill would also allow a member to increase the adequacy of their retirement benefit by purchasing an additional 5 years of service credit. This purchase would be limited in scope however, since it would only increase a member's years of service under the benefit formula but would not count towards Rule of 85 retirement eligibility. The following illustrates the service purchase methodology:

8

Example	Cost
Age: 29 years Service on File - 5 years Current FAS - \$29,000 Purchase - 1 year	\$4,116.79 (\$4,030.52 for retirement portion; \$86.27 for retiree health credit portion)
Age: 44 years 11 months Service on File - 21.95 years Current FAS - \$53,927 Purchase - 1 year	\$10,717.95 (\$10,395.34 for retirement portion; \$322.61 for retiree health credit portion)
Age: 54 years Service on File - 32 years Current FAS - \$44,626 Purchase - 1 year	\$10,487.31 (\$9,896.55 for retirement portion; \$590.76 for retiree health credit portion)

➤ Benefits Equity and Group Integrity

The PERS Board is proposing to expand the eligibility for Board membership to members of retirement systems administered by the Board other than PERS. This includes the Job Service Plan, the Highway Patrol Retirement System and the Defined Contribution Plan. This change is reflective of the scope of the Board's responsibility and enhances the equity and integrity of PERS by allowing all members the opportunity to serve on the Board.

Authorizing employee contributions on a pre-tax basis for members of the Highway Patrol Retirement System and Judges retirement plan provides for employee contributions in a manner similar to those of their peers in other State retirement systems under the Board's authority. This will also enhance the take home pay for members of these systems. The following examples are based on information provided by PERS' staff and illustrate the effect of the tax treatment:

Highway Patrol									
Contributions Post-tax (Current)									
Monthly Salary	Taxable Salary	Federal Tax (8.48%)	State Tax (1.39%)	Social Security (0.00%)	Medicare Tax (1.45%)	Retirement Contribution (6.30%*)	Total Paycheck	Paycheck Increase	Paycheck Increase Percent
2,000.00	2,000.00	169.60	27.80	0.00	29.00	126.00	1,647.60		
3,000.00	3,000.00	254.40	41.70	0.00	43.50	189.00	2,471.40		
4,000.00	4,000.00	339.20	55.60	0.00	58.00	252.00	3,295.20		
5,000.00	5,000.00	424.00	69.50	0.00	72.50	315.00	4,119.00		
Contributions Pre-tax (Proposed)									
2,000.00	1,874.00	158.92	26.05	0.00	29.00	126.00	1,660.03	12.43	0.75%
3,000.00	2,811.00	238.37	39.07	0.00	43.50	189.00	2,490.06	18.66	0.76%
4,000.00	3,748.00	317.83	52.10	0.00	58.00	252.00	3,320.07	24.87	0.75%
5,000.00	4,685.00	397.29	65.12	0.00	72.50	315.00	4,150.09	31.09	0.75%

* The employee contribution rate is 10.30% of pay, but 4.00% is picked up by the employer.

9

Judges									
Contributions Post-tax (Current)									
Monthly Salary	Taxable Salary	Federal Tax (8.48%)	State Tax (1.39%)	Social Security (6.20%)	Medicare Tax (1.45%)	Retirement Contribution (1.00%)	Total Paycheck	Paycheck Increase	Paycheck Increase Percent
6,000.00	6,000.00	508.80	83.40	372.00	87.00	60.00	4,888.80		
7,000.00	7,000.00	593.60	97.30	434.00	101.50	70.00	5,703.60		
8,000.00	8,000.00	678.40	111.20	496.00	116.00	80.00	6,518.40		
9,000.00	9,000.00	763.20	125.10	558.00	130.50	90.00	7,333.20		
Contributions Pre-tax (Proposed)									
6,000.00	5,940.00	503.71	82.57	368.28	87.00	60.00	4,898.44	9.64	0.20%
7,000.00	6,930.00	587.66	96.33	429.66	101.50	70.00	5,714.85	11.25	0.20%
8,000.00	7,920.00	671.62	110.09	491.04	116.00	80.00	6,531.25	12.85	0.20%
9,000.00	8,910.00	755.57	123.85	552.42	130.50	90.00	7,347.66	14.46	0.20%

➤ Competitiveness

No impact.

➤ Purchasing Power Retention

Since the optional form of a graduated increase of 1% or 2% in monthly benefits is paid for by the member's own retirement accruals, it is not anticipated to maintain the purchasing power of retirement benefits. However, it will allow participants to budget for increases in inflation by shifting payments from the present to the future.

➤ Preservation of Benefits

This bill enhances the preservation of retirement benefits in two ways:

1. The ability of members to designate a subsequent beneficiary due to the death of the original beneficiary preserves the benefits of affected members whose monthly retirement benefits are actuarially reduced to provide a survivor benefit to an individual who has died.
2. The ability of member to select a non-spouse beneficiary enhances the preservation of benefits, since a member can pass along their accrued retirement benefit to another individual such as a sibling or other family member.

➤ Portability

No impact.

➤ Ancillary Benefits

No impact.

10

Funding Policy Issues**➤ Actuarial Impacts**

1. **Non-spouse beneficiaries:** Currently, the normal form for the Highway Patrol and the Judges is a 50% joint and survivor annuity. Thus, married members receive a 50% joint and survivor benefit, and unmarried members receive a straight life annuity of the same amount. If unmarried retirees were allowed to name a non-spouse beneficiary without any reduction to their benefit, the plans' costs would increase by 0.30% of pay for the Highway Patrol and 0.54% of pay for the Judges as illustrated in the following tables.

These cost estimates are based on the July 1, 2008 actuarial valuation results, including the participant data and actuarial assumptions on which that valuation was based, with the additional assumption that members who do not have a spouse to name as a beneficiary will name a non-spouse. Calculations were completed under the supervision of John Monroe, ASA, MAAA, Enrolled Actuary.

	Highway Patrol	
	<u>Current Plan</u>	<u>With Non-spouse</u>
	<u>July 1, 2008</u>	<u>Beneficiaries</u>
1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2008:	\$54,558,943	\$54,698,196
2. Assets at actuarial value (\$55,587,776 at market value):	\$50,808,884	\$50,808,884
3. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2):	\$3,750,059	\$3,889,312
4. Normal cost for ensuing year*:	\$1,418,409	\$1,428,377
5. Amortization payment - equals 20-year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of total payroll*:	\$261,718	\$271,436
6. Administrative expenses:	\$16,000	\$16,000
7. Total cost for ensuing year - equals (4) plus (5) plus (6):	\$1,696,127	\$1,715,813
8. Total payroll of covered members:	\$6,508,644	\$6,508,644
9. Total cost as percentage of payroll - equals (7) divided by (8):	26.06%	26.36%
10. Employee cost as percentage of payroll	10.30%	10.30%
11. Employer cost as percentage of payroll - equals (9) minus (10)	15.76%	16.06%

* Adjusted for interest to recognize payments throughout the year.

//

	Judges	
	<u>Current Plan</u> <u>July 1, 2008</u>	<u>With Non-spouse</u> <u>Beneficiaries</u>
1. Actuarial accrued liability on July 1, 2008:	\$24,732,254	\$24,879,122
2. Assets at actuarial value (\$31,545,700 at market value):	\$28,833,710	\$28,833,710
3. Unfunded/(Surplus) actuarial accrued liability - equals (1) minus (2):	(\$4,101,456)	(\$3,954,588)
4. Normal cost for ensuing year*:	\$1,025,761	\$1,043,122
5. Amortization payment - equals 20-year amortization of item (3) as a level percent of total payroll*:	(\$297,916)	(\$287,248)
6. Administrative expenses:	\$5,000	\$5,000
7. Total cost for ensuing year - equals (4) plus (5) plus (6):	\$732,845	\$760,874
8. Total payroll of covered members:	\$5,237,074	\$5,237,074
9. Total cost as percentage of payroll - equals (7) divided by (8):	13.99%	14.53%
10. Employee cost as percentage of payroll	5.00%	5.00%
11. Employer cost as percentage of payroll - equals (9) minus (10)	8.99%	9.53%

* Adjusted for interest to recognize payments throughout the year.

2. Subsequent beneficiaries: Since the bill stipulates that the benefit is to be actuarially equivalent, the benefit should be reduced for a second time when the subsequent beneficiary is designated. If an actuarial reduction is made to the member's benefit upon designation of a subsequent beneficiary on a joint and survivor benefit, there will not be an actuarial cost for this provision.
3. Graduated benefit option: Since the monthly retirement benefit would be actuarially adjusted to provide for the post-retirement increases, there will not be an actuarial cost for this provision.
4. Eliminate 60-month certain optional form: For a certain group of surviving spouses, the 60-month certain form would be the death benefit with the highest actuarial present value. This is because the 60-month certain is subsidized for some surviving spouses based on their life expectancy. Therefore, if this form is eliminated, it may result in actuarial gains to the Hybrid Plan.
5. Additional service purchase amounts: Since the purchased service is not related to any eligible service, anyone can purchase up to ten years of service credit, rather than the current five-year limit. This means there is an increased risk of adverse selection compared to the existing service purchase provisions, because even though the purchase cost will be determined by actuarially equivalent factors, the individuals who decide to

12

purchase credit may know how their own expected future service, salary, and lifespan compared to the average participant. The risk has been mitigated, to some degree, by using appropriate assumptions in calculating the cost of the service purchase. It may be advisable for PERS to periodically review the appropriateness and accuracy of the assumptions used to calculate the cost of service purchases (e.g., every 5 years). Also, since the additional five years of service credit do not count towards Rule of 80 or Rule of 85 eligibility, the potential risk of adverse selection is less than it otherwise would have been.

6. The other provisions of the bill, including the make up of the State Investment Board and PERS Board, pre-tax employee contributions for the Highway Patrol Retirement System, and update to federal compliance statutory language, do not have an actuarial impact on the affected plans.

➤ Investment Impacts

- ◆ Asset Allocation: The bill does not create new investment asset allocation issues.
- ◆ Cash Flow Impacts: No impact.
- ◆ State Investment Board (SIB) Membership: The Board is proposing a change in the statute to allow one of its non-elected members to serve on the State Investment Board. This change is to allow the Board more opportunity to select from its membership those most interested in serving on the SIB while still maintaining the elected member representation.

Administration Issues

➤ Implementation Issues

To the extent any purchase of five years of additional service credit in the Hybrid Plan or Highway Patrol Retirement System is made with funds other than via trustee-to-trustee transfer from a defined contribution plan, the System must track the purchased service for limits on qualified and nonqualified service under Internal Revenue Code section 415(n).

➤ Administrative Costs

There may be administrative costs associated with setting up records for new beneficiaries in order to implement the proposed change to allow members to designate subsequent beneficiaries after the death of the original beneficiary or the divorce of the member. Trustee payment records would also have to be updated to reflect new beneficiaries. In addition, PERS may need to establish policies and procedures and develop forms in order to implement this proposed change (e.g, acceptable proof of death or divorce, restrictions on who may be designated as a beneficiary).

In order to implement the provision of the bill allowing an additional five years of service credit to be purchased, PERS would need to develop systems and processes for tracking

service purchased for benefits purposes only and service towards retirement eligibility separately.

In order to implement the provision of the bill that provides a graduated increase in monthly retirement benefits of 1% or 2%, PERS would have to develop actuarial adjustment factors that take into account the age of the member at retirement and any other optional form elected. We provided details on how such adjustment factors should be developed in a separate letter.

If the 60-month certain option for surviving spouses is eliminated, PERS will no longer be required to offer a direct rollover for each of the 60 payments made under this form.

PERS' IT department has estimated the cost of programming the enhancements as follows:

Enhanced Purchase for Highway Patrol	\$22,500
Enhanced Purchase for PERS	\$22,500
Graduated Benefit Option Highway Patrol	\$27,500
Graduated Benefit Option PERS	\$27,500

Since PERS is replacing its existing business system, with the new system scheduled to be operational by January 2011, the above amount were saved by making the effective date of these provisions March 1, 2011 in section 16 of the bill.

➤ Needed Authority

In general, the bill appears to provide sufficient levels of administrative and governance authority to the PERS Board to implement the changes made by the bill. However, the provision of the bill that provides a graduated increase of 1% or 2% in monthly retirement benefits may need to be clarified to indicate the frequency of the increase (e.g., each year, every two years).

In addition, the provision of the bill that provides additional language to comply with Internal Revenue Code section 415(b) and related regulations, indicates that the dollar limitation will only be indexed effective the January 1st of each year following a regular legislative session, which occurs every two years. Therefore, the indexed dollar limitation for a non-legislative year will not apply until the following January, and consequently increases in monthly retirement benefits (e.g., the graduated benefit option or any ad-hoc cost-of-living increase) may not be able to be fully applied to the monthly benefits of certain members for a full year after permitted under the Internal Revenue Code rules.

➤ Cross Impact on Other Plans

No impact.

➤ Employee Communications

14

Employee communications will be necessary to explain the new beneficiary rules (both selection of a non-spouse annuitant for joint and survivor benefits and a subsequent beneficiary after death or divorce); the graduated benefit option of 1% or 2% increase in monthly retirement benefits; the elimination of the 60-month certain option for surviving spouses in the Hybrid Plan; the rules regarding purchase of an additional five years of service credit unrelated to other eligible service; and the change from after-tax to pre-tax contributions for members of the Highway Patrol Retirement System and Judges retirement plan.

Please call if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,



Brad Ramirez, FSA, MAAA, EA
Consulting Actuary



Melanie Walker, JD
Vice President