Energy Development and Transmission Committee

April 17, 2008

Sandi Tabor General Counsel Lignite Energy Council

- ***2007 Next Generation Act required:**
- *MN Public Utilities Commission (PUC)
 - Established an Estimate of Costs of Future Carbon Dioxide Regulation on Electricity Generation
- *LEC and NDIC filed comments in fall of 2007
- *NDIC presented oral testimony at hearing in Dec. 2007

- *Order issued establishing range at \$4 \$30/ ton
- *NDIC filed petition for rehearing
 - LEC and Big Stone Partners filed comments supporting petition
- *Order denying the request issued in Feb. 20, 2008

- **★What is an externality value?**
 - Paper value used in the MN PUC integrated resource planning (IRP) process
 - Requires utilities to estimate the cost of CO₂ regulation
 - Add that value to the cost of lignite this value is called an "externality value"

- **★For Example ...**
 - Lignite sells for \$10/ton
 - Natural Gas sells for \$17/ton
 - Nuclear sells for \$10.50/ton

- **★For Example ...**
 - Under the MN resource planning process ...
 - Company A must add a value for the estimated "cost of CO₂ regulation" to the price of lignite
 - Value must be between \$ 4 and \$30 per ton
 - Let's say the value agreed to is \$20/ton
 - In the planning document ... lignite would be valued at \$30 (\$10 cost + \$20 CO₂ value)

- *After Externality Value is added ...
 - Lignite value = \$30/ton
 - Natural Gas value = \$17/ton
 - Nuclear value \$10.50/ton

- * How does an externality value impact lignite?
 - \$30/ton paper value lignite is no longer the lowest cost resource
 - Under IRP process, lowest cost is a key element in determining which fuel to include in the resource plan
 - Utilities less likely to include lignite as future resource

- *How does an externality value impact consumers?
 - One factor utilities must consider is price of fuel
 - The Externality Value makes lignite less attractive than natural gas or nuclear
 - In reality, consumers pay for higher cost fuel

Elements of Cap and Trade Programs

***** Determine quantity of emission

- Create allowances based on emission goals ... this becomes the CAP for each year
- Allowances are used to meet emission reduction goals

Elements of Cap and Trade Programs

- *To meet annual emission reduction goal, a covered facility must:
 - Reduce emissions
 - Remit allowances sufficient to cover emissions
 - Borrow from future allowances
 - Purchase from trading exchange (TRADE)

Elements of Cap and Trade Programs – Lieberman-Warner

Calendar Year	# of Allowances
2012	5.775 B
2013	5.669 B
2014	5.562 B
2015	5.456 B
2016	5.349 B
2017	5.243 B

LEC Policy on Cap & Trade

*Oppose cap and trade programs that do not provide adequate revenues to fund an aggressive research, development and demonstration program

LEC Policy on Cap & Trade

★Why?

- Avoid Rate Shock to Consumer
 - Technology Development
 - Increased Natural Gas and Electricity Rates
 - Increased Cost of Living
- Hidden/Delayed Costs
- No Available, Deployable and Affordable Technology

Key Elements for Allowance Allocations

- Until technology is available existing facilities must receive:
 - Allowances = 100%
 - Average emissions 2003-2005
- * Alternative allowances 1.4 to 1 ratio
- * All auction proceeds distributed between three coal types for research, development and demonstration projects
- * Must include a safety valve

Other Considerations for Cap and Trade Legislation

- * Emissions from all sectors of the economy must be regulated at federal level
- * Federal preemption of all state and local laws and regional compacts
- * Future growth must be accommodated
- * Credit given for early actions
- * Private and public partnerships encouraged for funding R&D

Other Considerations for Cap and Trade Legislation

- *Sequestered CO₂ must not be classified as a hazardous waste
- ★For purposes of capture, transport and enhanced oil recovery, CO₂ must be treated as a commodity and regulated by DOE

Other Considerations for Cap and Trade Legislation

- *Congress must address the legal and regulatory challenges associated with the capture, transport and storage of CO₂
- * Measures must be included to ensure that the United States does not undertake a disproportionate share of responsibility

Questions???

Thanks for listening!!!