

2009 HOUSE JUDICIARY

HB 1103

## 2009 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Bill/Resolution No. HB 1103

House Judiciary Committee

☐ Check here for Conference Committee

Hearing Date: 1/12/09

Recorder Job Number: 6809, 6811

Committee Clerk Signature

*A. Penrose*

Minutes:

**Chairman DeKrey:** We will open the hearing on HB 1103.

**Francine Johnson, Executive Director, ND Private Investigation & Security Board:**

(attachment).

**Rep. Koppelman:** What is the difference between registered and licensed.

**Ms. Johnson:** These are two separate fee structures. A licensed private investigator agency is on an annual basis of licensure. Registration covers employees of a licensed agency.

**Ch. DeKrey:** Thank you. Further testimony in support of HB 1103. Testimony in opposition.

We will close the hearing. What are the committee's wishes in regard to HB 1103.

**Rep. Zaiser:** I move a Do Pass motion on HB 1103.

**Rep. Wolf:** Second.

13 YES 0 NO 0 ABSENT

DO PASS

CARRIER: Rep. Zaiser

**FISCAL NOTE**  
**Requested by Legislative Council**  
12/22/2008

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1103

**1A. State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2007-2009 Biennium		2009-2011 Biennium		2011-2013 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Appropriations	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

2007-2009 Biennium			2009-2011 Biennium			2011-2013 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**2A. Bill and fiscal impact summary:** *Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).*

The measure is to increase the fees for registrations to make it consistent with other established fees. There is no fiscal impact aside from the costs to the industry we regulate. There are no funds or fiscal effects to anyone aside from the industry we regulate.

**B. Fiscal impact sections:** *Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.*

N/A

**3. State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

**A. Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

None that affects the executive budget. We are a self regulating board funded my our industry.

**B. Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

None.

**C. Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation is also included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.*

None.

<b>Name:</b>	Francine Johnson	<b>Agency:</b>	NDPISB
<b>Phone Number:</b>	222-3063	<b>Date Prepared:</b>	12/31/2008

Date: 1/12/09  
Roll Call Vote #: 1

2009 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES  
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1103

**HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE**

☐ Check here for Conference Committee

Legislative Council Amendment Number \_\_\_\_\_

Action Taken ☒ DP ☐ DNP ☐ DP AS AMEND ☐ DNP AS AMEND

Motion Made By Rep. Zaiser Seconded By Rep. Wolf

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Ch. DeKrey	✓		Rep. Delmore	✓	
Rep. Klemin	✓		Rep. Griffin	✓	
Rep. Boehning	✓		Rep. Vig	✓	
Rep. Dahl	✓		Rep. Wolf	✓	
Rep. Hatlestad	✓		Rep. Zaiser	✓	
Rep. Kingsbury	✓				
Rep. Koppelman	✓				
Rep. Kretschmar	✓				

Total (Yes) 13 No 0

Absent 0

Floor Carrier: Rep. Zaiser

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

**REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE**

**HB 1103: Judiciary Committee (Rep. DeKrey, Chairman) recommends DO PASS**  
(13 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1103 was placed on the  
Eleventh order on the calendar.

2009 SENATE JUDICIARY

HB 1103

## 2009 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Bill/Resolution No. HB1103


Senate Judiciary Committee

☐ Check here for Conference Committee

Hearing Date: 2/17/09

Recorder Job Number: 9608

Committee Clerk Signature



Minutes: **Senator Nething, Chairman**

**Relating to the initial and renewal registration fees for private investigative services and private security services.**

**Francine Johnson** – Ex. Director, ND Private Investigation & Security Board – See written testimony.

**Senator Lyson** – Asks how many employees will drop off if you raise these fees.

**Johnson** – Doesn't believe they will lose any.

**Senator Lyson** – Do agencies pay the fees?

**Johnson** – Replies yes, some will pass on those fees to the employees.

Close the hearing on HB1103

## 2009 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Bill/Resolution No. 1103


Senate Judiciary Committee

☐ Check here for Conference Committee

Hearing Date: 2/18/09

Recorder Job Number: 9656

Committee Clerk Signature



Minutes: **Senator Nething, Chairman**

Senator Olafson - motion for do pass

Senator Schneider – second

Vote – 6-0

Senator Olafson will carry



Date: 4/8/0  
Roll Call Vote #:

## 1103

☐ Check here for Conference Committee

Motion Made By Sen. Haggen Seconded By Sen. Schneider

[illegible]

Floor Assignment Sen Olafson

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

**REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE**

**HB 1103: Judiciary Committee (Sen. Nething, Chairman) recommends DO PASS**  
(6 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1103 was placed on the  
Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2009 TESTIMONY

HB 1103

House Bill No. 1103  
House Judiciary Committee  
Francine Johnson,  
Executive Director, North Dakota Private Investigation & Security Board  
January 12, 2009

*Same  
given to  
Senate.*

I am here on behalf of the North Dakota Private Investigation & Security Board to testify in support of House Bill No. 1103.

This bill was submitted to maintain consistency within our current fee structure so that all fees relating to registrations are the same. Rather than having several dollar amounts for the various registrations, the board felt that consistency for all registrations would serve the industry and cause less confusion when making application with this board.

The current fees structure ranges from \$18 per applicant to \$25 per applicant. The change in the fees would make it consistent throughout the registration process as well as allowing the board to increase or decrease fees according to financial outlooks for the board. The verbiage allows the board to increase the fees up to \$25 but also allows the board to decrease those amounts without a legislative change.

I ask that you pass this proposed bill.