2011 SENATE FINANCE AND TAXATION

SB 2184

2011 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Senate Finance and Taxation Committee

Lewis and Clark Room, State Capitol

SB 2184 January 18, 2011 Job Number 12990

☐ Conference C	Committee
Hange	
Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/r	resolution:
Relating to the feasibility and desirability of extend purchases of tangible property to all charitable no	•
Minutes:	Written Testimony Attached

Senator Cook opened the hearing on SB 2184, a bill to provide for a legislative management study relating to the feasibility; and desirability of extending the sales tax exemption on purchases of tangible property to all charitable nonprofit organizations.

Senator Hogue, senator from district 38 introduced the bill saying that the concept behind SB 2184 is that we should take a look at how we tax nonprofits.

Senator Burckhard asked who five of the eight largest nonprofit employers were.

Senator Hogue answer was Sanford in Fargo, Altru in Grand Forks, Trinity in Minot, Medcenter One and St. Alexius in Bismarck.

Senator Oehlke asked if this would also apply to Boy Scouts and Girl Scouts purchasing camping equipment.

Senator Hogue answered yes. This is "a shall" consider study which leaves it to the discretion of legislative management whether we will study this issue.

Dana Schaar, Executive Director, North Dakota Association of Nonprofit Organization testified in support of SB 2184. Written testimony A

Senator Cook asked if they would be alright with the committee putting language in that tried to attempt to set a criteria, at which the state could base or justify a sales tax exemption. Some type of criteria that would measure the benefits that the state received by giving the exemption.

Ms. Schaar said she wouldn't be opposed.

Senator Cook asked if there was any opposing testimony.

Senate Finance and Taxation Committee SB 2184 January 18, 2011 Page 2

No opposing testimony.

Senator Cook closed the hearing on SB 2184.

Senator Oehlke asked the definition of tangible property.

Donita Wald, State Tax Department said that the intent was to have the study on tangible personal property. She doesn't think there is a difference between the two for sales tax purposes.

Discussion followed on definitions and examples.

2011 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Senate Finance and Taxation Committee

Lewis and Clark Room, State Capitol

SB 2184 February 7, 2011 14137

☐ Conference C	Committee			
Harry &				
Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/r	esolution:			
Minutes:	Vote			
Senator Cook opened discussion on SB 2184 to provide for a legislative management study relating to the feasibility and desirability to extending the sales tax exemption on purchases of tangible property to all charitable nonprofit organization. Senator Cook summarized the bill and past discussion and explained the amendments.				
Senator Hogue moved to adopt amendment 11.8	3197.01001.			
Seconded by Senator Miller.				
Verbal vote all yes. Amendment adopted.				
Senator Triplett moved a Do Pass as Amended.				
Senator Dotzenrod seconded the motion.				
Roll call vote 7-0-0. Motion passed.				
Carrier is Senator Hogue.				

11.8197.01001 Title.02000 Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for Senator Cook

February 7, 2011

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 2184

Page 1, line 3, after "organizations" insert "and comparative analysis of the efficacy of sales tax exemptions or rate reductions"

Page 1, line 9, after the period insert "The legislative management also may undertake a comparative analysis of the efficacy of sales tax exemptions and rate reductions including, for each exemption or reduction, a detailed analysis of the fiscal impact to the state, benefits to the state economy from eliminating or retaining the exemption or rate reduction, relationship of the exemption or rate reduction to tax policies of other states and to federal or state laws or regulations, and who are the beneficiaries of each exemption or rate reduction, specifically including the extent to which the benefits flow to out-of-state concerns."

Renumber accordingly

1271

Date: _	J-	<u> </u>	11	
Roll Ca	II Vote	#	- 1	

2011 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2184

Senate <u>Fnance</u>	202	Ta	xation	Comm	nittee
Legislative Council Amendment Numb	oer _			<u>.</u>	
Senate		dment			
Rerefer to App	oropriat	ions	Reconsider	_	
Motion Made By Senator H	2 906	Se	conded By Senator (1	Tille	· x
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Dwight Cook – Chairman		,,	Jim Dotzenrod		
			Connie Triplett		
Randy Burckhard					
David Hogue				-	
Dave Oehlke					
Total (Yes)		N	o <u>(C</u>		
Absent O					····
Floor Assignment					

Verbal vote

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

Date: _	2-	7-	Ц	
Roll Ca	II Vote	#	2	

2011 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2184

Senate <u>Finance</u>	and	Ta	xation	Commi	ttee
☐ Check here for Conference Com					
Legislative Council Amendment Number					
Action Taken: Do Pass D	o Not F	ass	X Amended Adopt	Amend	ment
Rerefer to App	ropriati	ons	Reconsider		
Motion Made By Senator To	plet	∱ Sec	conded By Sanatr T	otze	arad
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Dwight Cook – Chairman	X		Jim Dotzenrod	X	
Joe Miller – Vice Chairman	X		Connie Triplett	X	
Randy Burckhard	X.				
David Hogue	X				
Dave Oehlke	×				
				<u> </u>	
Total (Yes) 7			No <u>(C)</u>		
Absent D					
Floor Assignment Senatr	Ho	que			
If the vote is on an amendment, br	iefly ind	icate in	tent:		

Module ID: s_stcomrep_24_022 Carrier: Hogue

Insert LC: 11.8197.01001 Title: 02000

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2184: Finance and Taxation Committee (Sen. Cook, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (7 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2184 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

- Page 1, line 3, after "organizations" insert "and comparative analysis of the efficacy of sales tax exemptions or rate reductions"
- Page 1, line 9, after the period insert "The legislative management also may undertake a comparative analysis of the efficacy of sales tax exemptions and rate reductions including, for each exemption or reduction, a detailed analysis of the fiscal impact to the state, benefits to the state economy from eliminating or retaining the exemption or rate reduction, relationship of the exemption or rate reduction to tax policies of other states and to federal or state laws or regulations, and who are the beneficiaries of each exemption or rate reduction, specifically including the extent to which the benefits flow to out-of-state concerns."

Renumber accordingly

2011 HOUSE FINANCE AND TAXATION

SB 2184

2011 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

House Finance and Taxation Committee

Fort Totten Room, State Capitol

SB 2184 March 9, 2011 #15165

Conference Committee					
Committee Clerk Signature	-Mary Brucker				

Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:

A bill to provide a legislative management study relating to the feasibility and desirability of extending the sales tax exemption on purchases of tangible property to all charitable nonprofit organizations and comparative analysis of the efficacy of sales tax exemptions or rate reductions.

Minutes:

See attached testimony #1.

Senator Hogue: Introduced bill. Support. Senate bill 2184 started out as a discretionary study. It never had more ambition than that. We added another part to this bill to study the efficacy of some of our sales tax exemptions that we provide to various industries. The original part of the bill was to propose a study of why we treat and tax noncorporations differently. Is it because we like some more than others? Is it because some have a more philanthropic intent than others? We thought it would be a good idea to study that. Later on we added the idea that we should study why we provide sales tax exemptions to various entities. We have over 54 exemptions from the general imposition of sales tax. We do it for a variety of different reasons. I know we passed over the House a sales tax exemption for the telecommunications industry. We passed one over for buying new equipment for new surface mines. The argument from a lot of these industries is typically the same. If you give us this exemption will that stimulate economic activity? One of the questions I always wondered about was it that proposition really true? If we reduce or eliminate the sales tax on telecommunications equipment does that really lead to increased investment in telecommunication infrastructure? If we give the coal industry a sales tax exemption for buying new equipment for a new mine will that actually stimulate a decision to open that new mine? Those are the types of issues this bill proposes to study. It's a discretionary study, not a mandatory study and that is the reason we are asking for your support.

Dana Schaar, Executive Director of North Dakota Association of Nonprofit Organizations: Support. Please refer to attached testimony #1.

Chairman Wesley R. Belter: Is there any concern with the nonprofits that anytime we study something that we may see it as an opportunity to tax something?

Dana Schaar: We've had a long discussion with the board members and the policy committee regarding this issue in terms of opening things up for discussion. The decision

House Finance and Taxation Committee SB 2184 March 9, 2011 Page 2

of the board is that this is something that they wanted to address because all charitable nonprofits aren't treated equally and we felt that would be the appropriate thing to do.

Representative Steven L. Zaiser: You talked about those that are taxed and those that are not. Could you distinguish between the two?

Dana Schaar: The ones that are currently excluded tend to be education and health related nonprofits so private schools, for example, are exempt when they are buying school related materials. Hospitals and nursing homes are exempt for most of their purchases. I'm not sure why those were chosen specifically but those tend to be the ones that are exempted currently.

Cal Rolfson, Anne Carlsen Center in Jamestown: Support. The Anne Carlsen Center cares for the most highly medically fragile and behaviorally challenged children in the state. They are one of those ICF-MRs or intermediate care facilities for the mentally retarded. We do not hear the idea that something may happen if the truth is found or that fair and equitable truth is discovered so we support this bill in its current form and we hope you pass it.

Chairman Wesley R. Belter: No further testimony. Closed hearing on SB 2184.

2011 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

House Finance and Taxation Committee

Fort Totten Room, State Capitol

SB 2184 March 14, 2011 #15404

Conference Committee
Committee Clerk Signature 'Mary Brusley
Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/resolution:
A bill to provide a legislative management study relating to the feasibility and desirability of extending the sales tax exemption on purchases of tangible property to all charitable nonprofit organizations and comparative analysis of the efficacy of sales tax exemptions or rate reductions.
Minutes: No attachments.

Representative Glen Froseth: Haven't we done this study before?

Chairman Wesley R. Belter: Many times.

Representative Glen Froseth: I move a DO NOT PASS.

Representative Roscoe Streyle: Seconded.

A roll call vote was taken: YES 12 NO 2 ABSENT 0

MOTION CARRIED FOR DO NOT PASS.

Representative Glen Froseth will carry SB 2184.

Date:	3-14-	. []
Roll Ca	all Vote#_	

2011 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2184

-louse Finance and Taxation				Committee	9
Check here for Conference Comi	mittee				
Legislative Council Amendment Number	ər <u> </u>				
Action Taken: Do Pass	o Not Pas	ss 🗌	Amended	ot Amendme	ent
Rerefer to App	ropriation	s 🗌	Reconsider		
Motion Made By Rep. Frose	2th	Secor	ided By Rep. St	leylı	
Representatives	Ye≴	No	Represe ntatives	Yes	No
Chairman Wesley R. Belter	1		Scot Kelsh	\ \ /_	
Vice Chair. Craig Headland			Shirley Meyer		-/
Glen Froseth			Lonny B. Winrich		 \
Bette Grande	V		Steven L. Zaiser		$\bot \lor$
Patrick Hatlestad	J,	<u> </u>			
Mark S. Owens					
Roscoe Streyle		<u> </u>			
Wayne Trottier	$-\sqrt{I}$	·			
Dave Weiler					
Dwight Wrangham					_}_
Total (Yes) 12		No	<u> </u>		·
Absent					
Floor Assignment Lep.	rose	th_			
If the vote is on an amendment, br	iefly indica	te inter	at:		

Module ID: h_stcomrep_45_014
Carrier: Froseth

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2184, as engrossed: Finance and Taxation Committee (Rep. Belter, Chairman) recommends DO NOT PASS (12 YEAS, 2 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed SB 2184 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2011 TESTIMONY

SB 2184



NORTH DAKOTA ASSOCIATION OF NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS

○ Box 1091 • Bismarck, ND 58502 • Phone: 701-258-9101 • 888-396-3266 • Fax: 701-223-4645 • www.ndano.org

Testimony of Dana Schaar, NDANO Executive Director Senate Finance and Taxation Committee in Support of SB 2184 Tuesday, January 18, 2011



Chairman Cook and Members of the Committee, my name is Dana Schaar, and I am the executive director of the North Dakota Association of Nonprofit Organizations (NDANO). We are here in support of SB 2184.

NDANO represents more than 160 nonprofit members throughout North Dakota with diverse mission areas – from human services and the environment to education and the arts. Charitable nonprofits, working in every North Dakota county, provide public benefits that strengthen our communities, both rural and largely populated, across our state. North Dakota's nonprofits range from emergency shelters to boys and girls clubs, from museums to community health centers, from daycares to organizations working with the elderly, veterans, and disabled persons.

Current North Dakota sales and use tax law does not exempt purchases made by charitable nonprofits. Instead, the law details specific organizations which are exempt. Exempt organizations that are often nonprofit include:

- · Private and parochial schools.
- Hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, intermediate care facilities, basic care facilities, and emergency medical services providers licensed by the North Dakota Department of Health.
- "Voluntary health associations" recognized as nonprofits by the Internal Revenue Service, the National Health Council, the State Tax Commissioner, and the North Dakota Secretary of State.
- Assisted living facilities licensed by the North Dakota Department of Human Services.

This bill simply asks Legislative Management to consider studying the extension of the sales tax exemption on purchases of tangible property to all charitable nonprofits. NDANO asks for your support of this study for several reasons.

First, there is confusion about existing state law. For example, some businesses believe all nonprofits are exempt from paying sales tax. NDANO often receives invoices that do not

include sales tax, so we have to contact the business to let them know we do pay sales tax. We have heard similar stories from our members.

Second, it would allow legislators to consider the issue of fair and equitable treatment of all charitable nonprofits under state law. Charitable nonprofits not currently exempt are primarily small and medium-sized community-based nonprofits, such as homeless shelters, museums, and youth groups.

Finally, the study would provide the opportunity to consider the multiple benefits of exempting all charitable nonprofits from payment of sales tax. As an example, extending the exemption would allow more money to be directed to programming or services that directly serve children and youth, victims of domestic violence, and those with physical limitations or mental health issues, among others. Charitable nonprofits would be able to use their funding to benefit the public and serve North Dakota communities instead of paying sales tax.

NDANO urges a DO PASS on SB 2184. This study would allow legislators to consider the issue of exemption from sales taxation of public-serving nonprofits, which is an issue of fair and equitable treatment, as well as good economic and social policy. Thank you.



NORTH DAKOTA ASSOCIATION OF NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS

QO Box 1091 • Bismarck, ND 58502 • Phone: 701-258-9101 • 888-396-3266 • Fax: 701-223-4645 • www.ndano.org



Testimony of Dana Schaar, NDANO Executive Director House Finance and Taxation Committee in Support of SB 2184 Wednesday, March 9, 2011

Chairman Belter and Members of the Committee, my name is Dana Schaar, and I am the executive director of the North Dakota Association of Nonprofit Organizations (NDANO). We are here in support of SB 2184.

NDANO represents more than 160 nonprofit members throughout North Dakota with diverse mission areas – from human services and the environment to education and the arts. Charitable nonprofits, working in every North Dakota county, provide public benefits that strengthen our communities, both rural and largely populated, across our state. North Dakota's nonprofits range from emergency shelters to boys and girls clubs, from museums to community health centers, from daycares to organizations working with the elderly, veterans, and disabled persons.

Current North Dakota sales and use tax law does not exempt purchases made by charitable nonprofits. Instead, the law details specific organizations which are exempt. Exempt organizations that are often nonprofit include:

- Private and parochial schools.
- Hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, intermediate care facilities, basic care facilities, and emergency medical services providers licensed by the North Dakota Department of Health.
- "Voluntary health associations" recognized as nonprofits by the Internal Revenue Service, the National Health Council, the State Tax Commissioner, and the North Dakota Secretary of State.
- Assisted living facilities licensed by the North Dakota Department of Human Services.

This bill simply asks Legislative Management to consider studying the extension of the sales tax exemption on purchases of tangible property to all charitable nonprofits, and as amended by the Senate, conducting an analysis of all current exemptions. NDANO asks for your support of this study for several reasons.

First, there is confusion about existing state law. For example, some businesses believe all nonprofits are exempt from paying sales tax. NDANO often receives invoices that do not

include sales tax, so we have to contact the business to let them know we do pay sales tax. We have heard similar stories from our members.

Second, it would allow legislators to consider the issue of fair and equitable treatment of all charitable nonprofits under state law. Charitable nonprofits not currently exempt are primarily small and medium-sized community-based nonprofits, such as homeless shelters, museums, and youth groups.

Finally, the study would provide the opportunity to consider the multiple benefits of exempting all charitable nonprofits from payment of sales tax. As an example, extending the exemption would allow more money to be directed to programming or services that directly serve children and youth, victims of domestic violence, and those with physical limitations or mental health issues, among others. Charitable nonprofits would be able to use their funding to benefit the public and serve North Dakota communities instead of paying sales tax.

NDANO urges a DO PASS recommendation on SB 2184. This study would allow legislators to consider the issue of exemption from sales taxation of public-serving nonprofits, which is an issue of fair and equitable treatment, as well as good economic and social policy. Thank you.