2011 SENATE POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS

SB 2283

2011 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Senate Political Subdivisions Committee

Red River Room, State Capitol

SB 2283 January 31, 2011 13602

Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature	May So Waden
Explanation or reason for introd	uction of bill/resolution:
Relating to drainage project expen	ditures in excess of the maximum levy.
Minutes:	Written testimony

Senator Andrist opened SB 2283 relating to drainage project expenditures in excess of the maximum levy.

Senator Tom Fischer District 46 introduced this bill, support of SB 2283.

Mike Dwyer: Representing the North Dakota Water Resource Committee. See written testimony.

Senator Andrist: One section provides for it right now? **Mike Dwyer**: Three sections provide for the \$2.00 and the one on this bill still assesses a \$1.50 per acre fee.

Senator Olafson: Can you give us a brief overview of the four sections and what they cover?

Mike Dwyer: There are two chapters in the Century Code whereby water resource districts can bill an assessment project. In Chapter 61:21 for assessment drains only, Chapter 61.16.1 is for flood control, dikes, levees, other kinds of projects. They both have the same procedure for levying assessment and they both have similar statutes for maintaining the project. The three statutes deal with, one section deals with maintaining federal projects and the other three deals with maintaining local water service projects. They all are very similar in they are for maintenance of water resources.

Closed hearing on SB 2283.

Committee Discussion:

The committee requested information from our Intern relating to the title of those sections of the Century Code that was left out relating to this bill. The committee then discussed how these sections relate to a fee acre, not to an urban setting. This is all agriculture, both 61:21.46 and 47.

Senate Political Subdivisions Committee SB 2283 January 31, 2011 Page 2

Mr. Dwyer: For non-agricultural property, the assessment is \$2.00 per \$500 of value of evaluation. So if there is an assessment, these assessment projects have to be initially voted on by the property owners, but once they are in place if it's non agricultural property its' \$2.00 per \$500.00 of evaluation, if its agricultural property its \$2.00 per acre.

The committee asked Mike Dwyer how the Drain Districts were established, and how the powers apply to the Drain Boards. They kept the powers by just eliminating the Drain Boards, and gave those powers to the Water Resources Districts. So that is why there are two separate sets of authorities.

Senator Andrist: Are there situations in the western part of the state where they drain land? **Mike Dwyer**: There are limited situations. In Williams County, there is a couple of drain projects near Tioga; near Williston, there is a couple; in Ward County there is a few; up in Bottineau County there are a few more. As you go east you just continue to have more and more, but their limited.

61:16.1.40.1 Maintenance of Special Assessments Projects; Assessment Projects established.

61: 16.1: 45 Maintenance of Drainage Projects

61:21:46 Maximum Levies, Accumulation of Funds 61:21:47 Expenditures in Access of Maximum Levies

Motion Do Pass: Senator Olafson 2nd- Senator Dotzenrod 5 Yea, 0 No, 0 Absent

Carrier: Senator Olafson

FISCAL NOTE STATEMENT

Senate Bill or Resolution No. 2283

This bill or resolution appears to affect revenues, expenditures, or fiscal liability of counties, cities, or school districts. However, no state agency has primary responsibility for compiling and maintaining the information necessary for the proper preparation of a fiscal note regarding this bill or resolution. Pursuant to Joint Rule 502, this statement meets the fiscal note requirement.

Becky Keller Senior Fiscal Analyst

Date:	1-28-a	7011
Roll C	all Vote # _	

2011 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2283

Senate Political Subdivisions				Com	mittee
☐ Check here for Conference C	ommitte	ee			
Legislative Council Amendment Nun	nber _				
Action Taken: 🗹 Do Pass 🗌	Do Not	Pass	☐ Amended ☐ Add	opt Amer	dment
Rerefer to Ap	propria	tions	Reconsider		
Motion Made By		Se	conded By		
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Senator John Andrist	1/23	110	Octiators	103	110
Senator Lonnie Laffen	V				
Senator Judy Lee	V				
Senator Curtis Olafson	~				
Senator Jim Dotzenrod	V				
	ļ			ļ <u>.</u>	<u> </u>
			<u> </u>		$\vdash \dashv$
<u> </u>					
Total (Yes)5					
Absent		<u>) </u>			
Floor Assignment	ator C	Plass	in/		
If the vote is on an amendment, brie		V			

Com Standing Committee Report January 31, 2011 8:16am

Module ID: s_stcomrep_18_014 Carrier: Olafson

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE
SB 2283: Political Subdivisions Committee (Sen. Andrist, Chairman) recommends DO
PASS (5 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2283 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

2011 HOUSE POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS

SB 2283

2011 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

House Political Subdivisions Committee

Prairie Room, State Capitol

SB 2283 March 4, 2011 Job # 14950

☐ Conference Committee

Committee Clerk Signature	1 Strong by
Explanation or reason for introduction of bill/	resolution:
Relating to drainage project expenditures in exce	ess of the maximum levy.
Minutes:	Testimony #1

Chairman Johnson: Opened the hearing on SB 2283.

Rep. Hofstad: This bill was brought forward at the request of the water resource boards. If you could refer to the code 61-21-46 it says that a levy in any year for cleaning out and repairing a drain may not exceed \$2 per acre. What this does is make 61-21-47 consistent with the previous chapter.

Rep. Koppelman: Is that for a different type of legislation or what?

Rep. Hofstad: The maximum that you can assess for a cleanout is \$2 per acre. In 61-21-47 if the cost of maintenance, cleaning out, or repairing of any drain shall exceed the amount of produced by the maximum levy and this one had \$1.50 so it simply was not consistent with the previous section.

Rep. Klemin: Whenever they revised Section 46 they overlooked 47.

Rep. Hofstad: That is exactly right.

Cary Backstrand, ND Water Resource Districts Association: (See testimony #1).

Rep. Koppelman: This just refers back to that. This doesn't establish a different amount of levy. It deals with other issues. It is just a a reference back to the previous section.

Cary Backstrand: Yes. It is just housekeeping.

Opposition: None

Hearing closed.

Do Pass Motion Made by Rep. Maragos: Seconded by Rep. Beadle

House Political Subdivisions Committee SB 2283 March 4, 2011 Page 2

Rep. Koppelman: I have a question. The reason this is before us and all it is a reference to the previous section. I am wondering if we just shouldn't have taken the amount out? That would avoid this in the future.

Vote: 14 Yes 0 No 0 Absent Carrier: Rep. Shirley Meyer

Date: <u>3~4~//</u> Roll Call Vote #:_/

2011 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 2283

louse Political Subdivisions				Committee
Check here for Conference Co	ommitte	е		
egislative Council Amendment Num	ıber _			
ction Taken Do Pass Amendment	Do No	t Pass	Amended Ad	opt
	• • •		Reconsider	
Motion Made Bylop manage	<u> </u>	Se	conded By R.B.	۷
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes No
Chairman Nancy Johnson	1/		Rep. Kilichowski	
Vice Chairman Hatelstad	1		Rep. Shirley Meyer	V
Rep. Beadle	V		Rep. Mock	1
Rep. Devlin	V		Rep. Zaiser	V
Rep. Heilman	1			
Rep. Klemin	1			
Rep. Koppelman	1/			
Rep. Kretschmar	V			
Rep. Maragos	V			
Rep. Pietsch	V			
		<u> </u>		
		1		
Total (Yes)	4	N	lo <u>O</u>	
Absent	2)		
Floor Assignment R	ρ.	N.	Teyer	
If the vote is on an amendment, bri	efly indic	cate inte	ent:	

Module ID: h_stcomrep_39_019 Carrier: S. Meyer

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2283: Political Subdivisions Committee (Rep. N. Johnson, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (14 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2283 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2011 TESTIMONY

SB 2283

Testimony ND Water Resource Districts Association Senate Political Subdivision Committee January 28, 2011

Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee, four years ago we asked the Legislature to increase maintenance levies from \$1.50/acre to \$2.00/acre for assessment projects, and to allow accumulation of such funds for 6 years. However, we mistakenly left Section 61-21-47 out, and are seeking to correct this mistake. Thank you.

Statutes with \$2/acre for maintenance

- 1. 61-61.1-40.1
- 2. 61-61.1-45
- 3. 61-21-46

Statute left out

1. 61-21-47

north dakota water resource districts association



Michael A. Dwyer, Executive Secretary

Testimony on SB 2283 ND Water Resource Districts Association House Political Subdivision Committee March 4, 2011

Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee, four years ago we asked the Legislature to increase maintenance levies from \$1.50/acre to \$2.00/acre for assessment projects, and to allow accumulation of such funds for 6 years. However, we mistakenly left Section 61-21-47 out, and are seeking to correct this mistake. Thank you.

Statutes with \$2/acre for maintenance

- 1. 61-16.1-40.1. Maintenance of federally constructed projects Assessment district established.
- 2. 61-16.1-45. Maintenance of drainage projects.
- 3. 61-21-46. Establishing new drains in location of invalid or abandoned drain.

Statute left out

1. 61-21-47. Drain kept open and in repair by water resource board.