### State of North Dakota

**HayGroup®** 

Implementation of Study Recommendations for Classified Employee Compensation System Presentation to the Budget Section Committee

**September 14, 2011** 



### Presented by:

Neville Kenning Vice President Public Sector Consulting Hay Group

In conjunction with

Ken Purdy Classification and Compensation Manager State of North Dakota

#### **HayGroup**<sup>®</sup>

#### Introduction

- In February 2010, the Government Services Committee (GSC) of the Legislature of the State of North Dakota contracted with Hay Group to conduct an audit of 10 components of the Classified Employee Compensation plan
- In August 2010, Hay Group presented to the GSC a report setting out the project steps, analysis and findings from an evaluation of the 10 components
- In September 2010, Hay Group presented to the GSC recommendations as a result of this evaluation, guidance on how to implement the recommendations and the benefits to be achieved by actioning the recommendations
- In October 2010, Hay Group provided a final report presentation to the GSC, which adopted the key recommendations made
- In November 2010, the Legislative Council contracted with Hay Group to partner with the State in the work to be done to implement the recommendations made and adopted in the Audit

### Introduction (continued)

- In April 2011, House Bill No. 1031, Section 2. Compensation System Initiatives Implementation documented the classified state employee compensation initiatives to be implemented
- On April 5, 2011 a preliminary report on progress to date and preliminary fiscal impact of proposed changes to grade and salary structures was presented to the State Employee Compensation System Oversight Committee (SECSOC)
- On April 14, 2011 a further report on fiscal impact was presented to enable the SECSOC to determine what action the Legislature may need to take in terms of implementation of recommendations that require Legislative action
- The purpose of this presentation is to provide the Budget Section with a summary of work completed

#### **HayGroup®**

### H.B. No. 1031 - Section 1

Initiative	Work to Be Done	Work Completed
A State compensation philosophy statement	Develop a Compensation Philosophy that serves as an umbrella statement, linking compensation to the State's Mission, Vision, Values and its human resources objectives  The Compensation Philosophy statement should include:  Definition of the market  Definition of compensation  Definition of how pay ranges will be established  Definition of how pay will move  Definition of roles and accountabilities  Definition of what will be stated in code, policy, procedure, etc.  Involve key leadership from the Legislative and Executive Branches in the development of the Compensation Philosophy	<ul> <li>Adopted by the 62<sup>nd</sup> Legislative Assembly in Section 1 of HB 1031 (NDCC 54-44.2-01.2)</li> <li>Implementation and administration of the Compensation Philosophy is covered in the initiatives in Section 2 of HB 1031</li> </ul>



# H.B. No. 1031 - Section 2 Item 1, a.-d.

Initiative		Work to Be Done	Work Completed
Adjust the methods used to determine classified state employee classifications by:	a.	Simplifying the classification and reclassification process (e.g., how decisions are made, constituency of decision-makers, accountability and responsibility of the State Personnel Board)	Preliminary process redesign and forms done by Hay Group in December 2010  Meeting held with HRMS and Agency HR leaders and classification staff in January, 2011  Feedback from Agencies in January, 2011
	b.	Revising classification and reclassification forms to collect additional information, including information from the employee	Consolidation of feedback from Agencies  Hay Group reviewed feedback and determined what changes should be made to the process and forms  Hay Group made modifications to preliminary process
	c. Revising classification specifications to ensure duties and responsibilities increase in complexity within a classification series and that minimum qualifications are appropriate  and forms per feedback HRMS staff and Hay Group file forms in August, 2011	and forms per feedback HRMS staff and Hay Group finalized process and forms in August, 2011 Roll out of the new process and forms to the Agencies	
	d.	Communicating and educating employees on the classification process	



# H.B. No. 1031 - Section 2 Item 2, a.- e.

Initiative		Work to Be Done	Work Completed
Minimize salary inequities both within an agency and within state government by:	a.	Providing job evaluation training for HRMS job evaluators and classification/reclassification committee members	Formation and training of Job Evaluation Committee consisting of 7 HRMS staff and 8 Agency HR staff
			Purchase of the Hay Job Evaluation Manager (JEM) technology to enhance the speed and efficiency of the job evaluation process
	b.	Evaluating, reviewing, and refining leveling for common/benchmark job classifications to create a framework of classified positions	Evaluation of benchmark classification job evaluations by Hay Group completed by early January
	C.	Evaluating, reviewing and refining leveling for	Review of benchmark job evaluations and slotting of the remaining classifications by the Job Evaluation Committee
	unique/non-benchmark job classifications to develop a classification framework that ensures internal	unique/non-benchmark job classifications to develop a classification framework that ensures internal	Review of the job evaluations for all 900+ classifications by Hay Group and the Job Evaluation Committee
			Development of a new grade structure
		Allocation of classifications to the new grade structure	
	Plan developed by HRMS to implement the new grade structure effective July 1, 2011; subsequently deferred to July 1, 2012		
			Ongoing work by HRMS to address classification issues identified during the job evaluation process
		(e.g consolidation of selected direct care	



### H.B. No. 1031 - Section 2 Item 3, a.- f.

Initiative		Work to Be Done	Work Completed
Develop appropriate market comparisons and methods to set pay grade minimums, maximums,	a.	Redesigning the grade structure and reassigning common/benchmark and unique/non-benchmark job classifications	Identification of major sectors of employment and employers in North Dakota for participation in salary survey (112 employers)
and midpoints by:			Selection of salary survey benchmark positions (103 benchmark positions)
c. Identi requir classi d. Devel struct		Customizing salary surveys and market analyses for the determined relevant labor market	Reviewed survey data from other sources such as: Central States Compensation Survey; Job Service Survey; Hay Group PayNet Database; Healthcare Survey for a total of 162 benchmark positions
		Identifying job family and occupational groups that require different pay strategies from regular pay	Analyzed data from all surveys
	classifications		Reviewed benefits analysis (done as part of the 2010 review) for complete total pay competitive comparison
	Developing salary ranges for the general pay structure and for job family and occupational group structures	Development of new salary structures options and costing implications of new salary structure options  Presentation of impact of costing to SECSOC in Apr	
	implementation	Legislative decision to not appropriate funds for implementation	
	f.	Performing statewide, agency, and job family and occupational group internal equity analyses	As a result of this decision, the new grade and salary structure will be implemented effective July 1, 2012



# H.B. No. 1031 - Section 2 Item 4, a.- c.

Initiative		Work to Be Done	Work Completed
Develop cost estimates for potential fringe benefits adjustments relating to:	a.	Increasing the basic life insurance benefit from the current level of one thousand three hundred dollars to an amount equal to each employee's annual salary level or a benefit level of at least twenty-five thousand dollars	Any actions to be taken will be under the jurisdiction of the Employee Benefits Programs Committee  Any potential significant changes to the healthcare program are deferred pending the impact of changes in healthcare initiated at the federal level
	b.	Implementing a long-term disability benefit separate from the pension plan	
	c.	Requiring employees to share in the cost of healthcare insurance premiums	



#### H.B. No. 1031 - Section 2 Item 5, a.- e.

Initiative		Work to Be Done	Work Completed
Expand recruitment and retention tools by:	a.	Developing guidelines and amounts for recruitment and retention bonuses	Further analysis has been completed and given the degree of volatility in the employment in North Dakota, it is the conclusion of Hay Group that the current statute, policies and practices are allowing Agencies
	b.	Defining the type of performance to be recognized and rewarded through a performance bonus	to address recruitment and retention bonuses  NDCC 54-06-31sets the establishes the framework within which Agencies can develop programs
	c.	Reviewing the appropriateness of performance bonus maximums	Agencies must file their policies with HRMS and HRMS reports to the Legislative Committees on a regular basis. (e.g. in the past 2 years, one third of retention bonuses have been paid in the Department of Mineral Resources)
	d.	Continuing to assist agencies in determining the appropriate utilization of nonmonetary rewards for employee retention efforts	To the extent to which pay ranges are set at the
	е.	Developing a targeted retention program for employees with three to five years of service	The same commentary on recruitment and retention bonuses also applies to performance bonuses.



#### H.B. No. 1031 - Section 2 Item 6

Project Component	Work to Be Done	Work Completed
Develop a consistent long-term salary increase administration policy by determining the funding request for salary adjustments using a	Continue to utilize two key components: performance and equity for movement of pay. However, going forward, fund pay movement through one pot of money rather than two separate allocations of funds. This will	The Compensation Philosophy adopted in HB 1031 provides for setting salary ranges at a competitive level in the relevant labor market and pay movement to be primarily based on performance
single funding allocation method that includes performance and equity components	allow a greater linkage between relativity to market and performance, it is recommended that the following principles be applied:	HRMS will continue to provide recommendations regarding by how much the salary ranges should move and the amount of funding for salary changes.
	<ul> <li>for positions which are below market target, both a market adjustment and a performance payment be made;</li> <li>for positions where the incumbent is above market</li> </ul>	The intent of the compensation philosophy is that funding should be at a level greater than the amount by which the salary ranges change so that employees can move through their pay range, based on
	target, a performance payment be made; and	performance.
	for positions which are high in their salary range, the performance payment may be made with a mix of base salary and lump sum payment	Each year, HRMS will prepare a Pay/Performance Matrix that will be the basis for pay change. An example of this matrix is set out on page 13



# H.B. No. 1031 - Section 2 Item 7, a. – b.

Initiative		Work to Be Done	Work Completed
Analyze the effect of:	a.	Appropriating funds to agencies for accrued employee and annual leave and sick leave	This should be addressed through the budget process. While retirement cannot be specifically planned, Agencies should review their employee demographic data as part of the budgeting process and be predictive as to the extent to which they will have a cost for accrued employee, annual and sick leave. This cost should be considered for inclusion in the budget.
	b.	Defining "vacant" positions and excluding long-term vacant positions from agency budget requests	As stated in the report to the Government Services Committee in October 2010 report, it is the opinion of Hay Group that the period between one employee leaving a position and another employee filling that position constitutes a genuine vacancy, and Agencies should have the flexibility to utilize those salary dollars. Longer term vacancies should be monitored on a case by case basis within the budgeting process

### Example Matrix

### **Sample Pay/Performance Matrix**

Relativity to Market Policy Position	% Increase	
100% or Above	0%	
92.1 – 99.9% of Market Target	1%	
Less than 92% Below Market Policy Position	2%	

Level of Performance	% Increase
Exceeds Expectations	4%
Achieves Expectations	2%
Needs Improvement	0%

Increase