

**FISCAL NOTE**  
**Requested by Legislative Council**  
**01/08/2015**

Bill/Resolution No.: SB 2148

- 1 A. **State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2013-2015 Biennium		2015-2017 Biennium		2017-2019 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
<b>Revenues</b>				\$1,120,500		\$382,500
<b>Expenditures</b>				\$1,895,550		\$786,750
<b>Appropriations</b>				\$1,895,550		\$786,750

- 1 B. **County, city, school district and township fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

	2013-2015 Biennium	2015-2017 Biennium	2017-2019 Biennium
<b>Counties</b>			
<b>Cities</b>			
<b>School Districts</b>			
<b>Townships</b>			

- 2 A. **Bill and fiscal impact summary:** *Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).*

Bill provides for an enhanced driver's license with fee of \$45.

- B. **Fiscal impact sections:** *Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.*

The fiscal impact to the DOT stems from the requirement to issue the EDL and the one-time costs associated with providing the license. The scenario used for this fiscal note is based on the assumption that the enhanced driver's license would be offered out of four locations, requiring one FTE per location.

3. **State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

- A. **Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

Based on the experience of other border states, it is estimated that roughly 4% of the driving population may get an EDL. Based on this calculation, there could be 21,400 sales in FY16, with an on-going expectation of 3,500 to 4,500 each fiscal year thereafter. Accordingly, the incremental revenues produced by this bill would be: 2015 biennium:  $\$45 \times (21,400 + 3,500) = \$1,120,500$ ; 2017 biennium:  $\$45 \times (4,000 + 4,500) = \$382,500$ . Continuing cost for four FTE to provide the service in four locations in the state; 2015 biennium:  $4 \times \$134,000 = \$536,000$ ; 2017 biennium:  $4 \times \$134,000 = \$536,000$

- B. **Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

Costs include one-time IT start-up costs of \$625,000; mail costs (\$1.50 per license); and cost per license production (\$28 per license). These incremental costs would be: 2015 biennium: \$1,359,550; 2017 biennium: \$250,750

- C. **Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation or a part of the appropriation is included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.*

NDDOT will require the following additional appropriations to meet the requirements of this bill. These amounts have not been requested in the 2015-2017 appropriation request. 2015 biennium: \$1,859,550; 2017 Biennium: \$786,750

**Name:** Glenn Jackson

**Agency:** NDDOT

**Telephone:** 328-4792

**Date Prepared:** 01/13/2015