FISCAL NOTE Requested by Legislative Council 02/04/2021

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1315

1 A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2019-2021 Biennium		2021-2023 Biennium		2023-2025 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues						
Expenditures				\$650,000		\$650,000
Appropriations				\$650,000		\$650,000

1 B. County, city, school district and township fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

	2019-2021 Biennium	2021-2023 Biennium	2023-2025 Biennium
Counties			
Cities			
School Districts			
Townships			

2 A. **Bill and fiscal impact summary:** Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).

HB1315 as introduced establishes maximum and minimum speed limits on access controlled, paved and divided, multilane interstate highways.

B. **Fiscal impact sections:** *Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.*

Section 1 potentially raises the speed limit to 80 mph on access controlled, paved and divided, multilane interstate highways. Section 2 allows for the establishment of a 40 mph minimum speed limit on those highways. This fiscal note pertains only to the bill as originally submitted – version 21.0634.0300.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. **Revenues:** Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.
 - B. **Expenditures:** Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

Interstate 29

Under section 1 of the provisions of HB1315 as introduced (version 21.0634.03000), NDDOT could remove and replace 69 signs on Interstate 29 at a cost \$19,000 for only the increased speed limit signs. However, when coupled with the provisions required under section 2 of the bill, a combo sign setup would be necessary with a heavier base resulting in the replacement of 89 signs. Thus the total cost for Interstate 29 under sections 1 and 2 is \$304,000 for the increased speed limit/minimum speed limit combo signs.

Interstate 94

Under section 1 of the provisions of HB1315 as introduced (version 21.0634.03000), NDDOT could remove and

replace 98 signs on Interstate 94 at a cost of \$16,000 for the increased speed limit signs. However, when coupled with the provisions of section 2 of the bill, a combo sign setup would be necessary with a heavier base requiring the replacement of 127 signs. Thus the total cost for Interstate 94 under sections 1 and 2 is \$346,000 for increased speed limit/minimum speed limit combo signs.

Combined Interstates 29 and 94

The combined total cost under section 1 for both Interstate highways is \$35,000 for the replacement of 167 signs. However, when coupled with the provisions required under section 2, a combo sign setup could be necessary with a heavier base. Thus sections 1 and 2 of the bill as introduced could require the replacement of 216 signs for a total cost of \$650,000.

C. **Appropriations:** Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation or a part of the appropriation is included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.

These items were not included in the executive budget request. NDDOT may need additional appropriation authority of \$650,000 for the 21-23 biennium.

Name: Chad Orn Agency: NDDOT

Telephone: (701) 328-4587

Date Prepared: 02/04/2021