

HB 1469

Dear Representatives of House Bill 1469,

I am writing in direct opposition to 23-07-17.1.3.b as well as 23-07-17.1.8

I am in full support of the 1468 bill proposed, as well as the 1377 bill.

As a practicing clinician in a chiropractic and health center where our focus is on health restoration, we are often the last resort for very sick children. I am trained in removing triggers that lead to malfunction of the human body (as opposed to using drugs and surgery to control function) and to help to restore homeostasis.

Upon consulting, we ask about vaccination history, and if relevant, inquire as to whether they have concerns about safety. Most have significant concerns however report they have been scared, intimidated, and were never told about their options to opt out or what risks are.

I get about 1-5 contacts per month asking if we write exemptions because they cannot find a doctor willing to write them for their children or for their work. We don't write them. Parents are afraid because of how they are treated. They are intimidated, told that there are no risks, and when they express concerns about prior inoculations causing harm, they are very often flippantly dismissed.

Unfortunately, in giving my patients the time they deserve, I have not had the opportunity to thoroughly express why I am directly opposed to forcing biased information onto patients.

The NDDOH has been shown to minimize publicity of adverse events. Frankly, I do not trust them to put out unbiased information free from Industry (drug company) influence.

I had previously submitted a research study comparing fully inoculated individuals, partially inoculated, and those that had not had inoculations, and their likelihood of being diagnosed with various health problems. There ARE physiological mechanisms that cause these problems. However parents are grossly undereducated on the risks. They are taught to never question.

In regards to 1377, I am in full support, as exemption should be available whenever the producers of said product are considered free from any liability for damages. Drug companies, hospitals, and prescribing/administrating doctors are all exempt from liability if and when damage occurs. For that reason alone, no one should be forced/coerced for not wanting it or penalized for denying being injected with said product. **I don't know of any other product in the world that is free from manufacturer liability and at the same time, people are coerced into using it or penalized for not using it. The same companies that are free from liability are often convicted felons, some even hiding research causing damage from medications (avandia) from the public eye to continue to profit.**

For this reason, I believe 1377 would PROTECT people from impending mandates by companies influenced by drug industry lobbyists and pressure.

Thank you for your time and I apologize that this is not better formulated. If you have questions or would like further explanation, you may call me or email.

Dr. Steve Nagel

180 Health Solutions