February 10, 2021

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 2237

Page 1, line 21, after "2." insert "All air quality rules, standards, policies, or procedures affecting coal conversion and associated facilities, coal-fueled electric generating units, petroleum refineries, or oil and gas production and processing facilities must have clear objectives and specific, enforceable environmental regulations that balance the principles of cooperative federalism and state primacy and which provide regulatory certainty to the regulated entity.

<u>3.</u>"

- Page 2, line 9, overstrike "3." and insert immediately thereafter "4."
- Page 2, line 30, after "b." insert ""Regulatory certainty" means a regulatory structure in which rules, policies, guidelines, and conditions of compliance are specific and well defined for the regulated entity.

C."

- Page 3, line 28, overstrike "c." and insert immediately thereafter "d."
- Page 4, line 1, overstrike "4." and insert immediately thereafter "5."
- Page 4, line 3, after the second underscored comma insert "oil and gas production and processing facilities,"
- Page 4, line 9, after the underscored comma insert "oil and gas production and processing facilities,"
- Page 4, line 14, overstrike "and fugitive"

Renumber accordingly

Sixty-seventh Legislative Assembly of North Dakota

SENATE BILL NO. 2237

Introduced by

Senator Bell

- A BILL for an Act to amend and reenact section 23.1-06-07 of the North Dakota Century Code,
- 2 relating to limitations on regulation of coal-fueled electric generating units; to provide a penalty:
- 3 and to declare an emergency.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

5 **SECTION 1. AMENDMENT.** Section 23.1-06-07 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:

23.1-06-07. Requirements for adoption of air quality rules more strict than federal standards.

- Notwithstanding any other provisions of this title, the department may not adopt air quality rules or standards affecting coal conversion and associated facilities, coal-fueled electric generating units, petroleum refineries, or oil and gas production and processing facilities which are more strict than federal rules or standards under the federal Clean Air Act [42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.], nor may the department adopt air quality rules or standards affecting such facilities when there are no corresponding federal rules or standards, unless the more strict or additional rules or standards are based on a risk assessment that demonstrates a substantial probability of significant impacts to public health or property, a cost-benefit analysis that affirmatively demonstrates that the benefits of the more stringent or additional state rules and standards will exceed the anticipated costs, and the independent peer reviews required by this section.
- 2. All air quality rules, standards, policies, or procedures affecting coal conversion and associated facilities, coal-fueled electric generating units, petroleum refineries, or oil and gas production and processing facilities must have clear objectives and specific, enforceable environmental regulations that balance the principles of cooperative

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1.

federalism and state primacy and which provide regulatory certainty to the regulated 1 2 entity. 3 The department shall hold a hearing on any rules or standards proposed for adoption 4 under this section on not less than ninety days' notice. The notice of hearing must 5 specify all studies, opinions, and data that have been relied upon by the department 6 and must state that the studies, risk assessment, and cost-benefit analysis that 7 support the proposed rules or standards are available at the department for inspection 8 and copying. If the department intends to rely upon any studies, opinions, risk 9 assessments, cost-benefit analyses, or other information not available from the 10 department when it gave its notice of hearing, the department shall give a new notice 11 of hearing not less than ninety days before the hearing which clearly identifies the 12 additional or amended studies, analyses, opinions, data, or information upon which the department intends to rely and conduct an additional hearing if the first hearing has 13 14 already been held. 15 3.4. In this section: 16 "Cost-benefit analysis" means both the analysis and the written document that a. 17 contains: 18 A description and comparison of the benefits and costs of the rule and of the (1) 19 reasonable alternatives to the rule. The analysis must include a 20 quantification or numerical estimate of the quantifiable benefits and costs. 21 The quantification or numerical estimate must use comparable assumptions, 22 including time periods, specify the ranges of predictions, and explain the 23 margins of error involved in the quantification methods and estimates being 24 used. The costs that must be considered include the social, environmental, 25 and economic costs that are expected to result directly or indirectly from 26 implementation or compliance with the proposed rule. 27 A reasonable determination whether as a whole the benefits of the rule (2)28 justify the costs of the rule and that the rule will achieve the rulemaking objectives in a more cost-effective manner than other reasonable 29 30 alternatives, including the alternative of no government action. In evaluating 31 and comparing the costs and benefits, the department may not rely on cost,

1			bene	fit, or risk assessment information that is not accompanied by data,
2			anal	ysis, or supporting materials that would enable the department and
3			othe	r persons interested in the rulemaking to assess the accuracy, reliability,
4			and	uncertainty factors applicable to the information.
5	b.	<u>"Re</u>	gulato	ry certainty" means a regulatory structure in which rules, policies,
6		guio	delines	and conditions of compliance are specific and well defined for the
7		regu	ulated	entity.
8	C.	_"Ris	k ass	essment" means both the process used by the department to identify
9		and	quan	ify the degree of toxicity, exposure, or other risk posed for the exposed
10		indi	viduals	s, populations, or resources, and the written document containing an
11		ехр	lanatio	on of how the assessment process has been applied to an individual
12		sub	stance	e, activity, or condition. The risk assessment must include a discussion
13		that	chara	cterizes the risks being assessed. The risk characterization must
14		include the following elements:		
15		(1)	A de	scription of the exposure scenarios used, the natural resources or
16			subp	opulations being exposed, and the likelihood of these exposure
17			scen	arios expressed in terms of probability.
18		(2)	A ha	zard identification that demonstrates whether exposure to the
19			subs	tance, activity, or condition identified is causally linked to an adverse
20			effec	t.
21		(3)	The	major sources of uncertainties in the hazard identification,
22			dose	-response, and exposure assessment portions of the risk assessment.
23		(4)	Whe	n a risk assessment involves a choice of any significant assumption,
24			infer	ence, or model, the department, in preparing the risk assessment, shall:
25			(a)	Rely only upon environmental protection agency-approved air
26				dispersion models.
27			(b)	Identify the assumptions, inferences, and models that materially affect
28				the outcome.
29			(c)	Explain the basis for any choices.
30			(d)	Identify any policy decisions or assumptions.

1	(e) Indicate the extent to which any model has been validated by, or				
2	conflicts with, empirical data.				
3	(f) Describe the impact of alternative choices of assumptions, inferences,				
4	or mathematical models.				
5	(5) The range and distribution of exposures and risks derived from the risk				
6	assessment.				
7	e.d. The risk assessment and cost-benefit analysis performed by the department				
8	must be independently peer reviewed by qualified experts selected by the				
9	environmental review advisory council.				
10	4.5. This section applies to any petition submitted to the department under section				
11	23.1-01-04 which identifies air quality rules or standards affecting coal conversion				
12	facilities, coal-fueled electric generating units, oil and gas production and processing				
13	facilities, or petroleum refineries that are more strict than federal rules or standards				
14	under the federal Clean Air Act [42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.] or for which there are no				
15	corresponding federal rules or standards, regardless of whether the department has				
16	previously adopted the more strict or additional rules or standards pursuant to section				
17	23.1-01-04. This section also applies to any petitions filed under section 23.1-01-04				
18	affecting coal conversion facilities, coal-fueled electric generating units, oil and gas				
19	production and processing facilities, or petroleum refineries that are pending on the				
20	effective date of this section for which new rules or standards have not been adopted,				
21	and the department shall have a reasonable amount of additional time to comply with				
22	the more stringent requirements of this section. To the extent section 23.1-01-04.1				
23	conflicts with this section, the provisions of this section govern. This section does not				
24	apply to existing rules that set air quality standards for odor, hydrogen sulfide, visible				
25	and fugitive emissions, or emission standards for particulate matter and sulfur dioxide,				
26	but does apply to new rules governing those standards.				
27	SECTION 2. EMERGENCY. This Act is declared to be an emergency measure.				